







PRINCIPLES  
OF THE  
LAW OF PERSONAL PROPERTY,

INTENDED FOR  
  
THE USE OF STUDENTS IN CONVEYANCING.

BY  
JOSHUA WILLIAMS, ESQ.,  
OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER AT LAW,  
ONE OF THE CONVEYANCING COUNSEL OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY.

FIFTH EDITION.

LONDON:  
H. SWEET, 3, CHANCERY LANE, FLEET STREET,  
Late Bookseller and Publisher;  
HODGES, SMITH AND CO., GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

---

1864.



**L O N D O N :**  
**PRINTED BY C. ROWORTH AND SONS,**  
**BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR.**

ADVERTISEMENT  
TO THE FIFTH EDITION.

---

IN this Edition the alterations which have taken place in the Law since the publication of the last Edition have been incorporated in the Text.

3, STONE BUILDINGS, LINCOLN'S INN,  
*Feb., 1864.*



## PREFACE.

TO THE FIRST EDITION.

---

THE following pages are intended as supplementary to the author's "Principles of the Law of Real Property." At the time when that work was written, the plan of the present treatise was not matured, and a chapter "On Personal Property and its Alienation" was inserted in that work. The contents of that chapter will be found interspersed in parts of the present volume; and should a second edition of the "Principles of the Law of Real Property" be called for, it is the author's intention to omit that chapter of his former work, and to supply its place by some further remarks on such elementary parts of the law of real property as may appear to have been but slightly touched upon before. The very favourable reception which the author's work on the law of real property has met with from the profession has encouraged him to undertake in the present work, a task, he believes,

hitherto unattempted : for it is singular that, notwithstanding the rapid growth and now enormous value of personal property in this country, no treatise has yet appeared having for its object the introduction of the student in conveyancing to that large and increasing portion of his study and practice which comprises the law relating to such property. • As to real property, he may take his choice amongst three or four publications, all having the same object of facilitating his studies ; but the law of personal property, though sufficiently treated of in all that relates to it as purely mercantile, has not yet had any elementary treatise of its principles, so far as they affect the practice of conveyancing. The present work is an attempt to supply this deficiency, and, in conjunction with the author's " Principles of the Law of Real Property," to afford the student a brief and simple introduction to the whole system of modern conveyancing. The novelty of the attempt has, however, increased the difficulty of the task. The author has endeavoured proportionably to increase his diligence and care. He can, however, scarcely hope to have escaped all errors. And here he would caution the student against too implicit a reliance on the dicta of text

books. Elementary books cannot from their nature be completely accurate. As helpers to more perfect knowledge, they may be most valuable. But it would be as great a mistake for a student to remain satisfied with his knowledge of a text book, as for an author to compress into an elementary work all that could possibly be said on the subject.

7, NEW SQUARE, LINCOLN'S INN,  
23rd May, 1848.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

---

## INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER.

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| OF THE SUBJECTS AND NATURE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY | PAGE<br>1 |
|---|-----------|

---

## PART I.

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| OF CHOSÉS IN POSSESSION ..... | 9 |
|-------------------------------|---|

### CHAP. I.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| OF CHATTELS WHICH DESCEND TO THE HEIR ..... | 9 |
|---|---|

### CHAP. II.

|                                    |    |
|------------------------------------|----|
| OF TROVER, BAILMENT AND LIEN ..... | 22 |
|------------------------------------|----|

### CHAP. III.

|   |    |
|---|----|
| OF THE ALIENATION OF CHOSÉS IN POSSESSION ..... | 32 |
|---|----|

### CHAP. IV.

|                |    |
|----------------|----|
| OF SHIPS ..... | 52 |
|----------------|----|

---

## PART II.

|                           |    |
|---------------------------|----|
| OF CHOSÉS IN ACTION ..... | 60 |
|---------------------------|----|

### CHAP. I.

|                             |    |
|-----------------------------|----|
| OF ACTIONS EX DELICTO ..... | 60 |
|-----------------------------|----|

### CHAP. II.

|                    |    |
|--------------------|----|
| OF CONTRACTS ..... | 66 |
|--------------------|----|



|                                   | PAGE |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| CHAP. III.                        |      |
| OF DEBTS .....                    | 91   |
| CHAP. IV.                         |      |
| OF BANKRUPTCY OF TRADERS.....     | 124  |
| CHAP. V.                          |      |
| OF BANKRUPTCY OF NON-TRADERS..... | 149  |
| CHAP. VI.                         |      |
| OF INSURANCE .....                | 159  |
| CHAP. VII.                        |      |
| OF ARBITRATION .....              | 165  |



|  |     |
|--|-----|
| PART III.                                      |     |
| OF INCORPOREAL PERSONAL PROPERTY .             | 180 |
| CHAP. I.                                       |     |
| OF PERSONAL ANNUITIES, STOCKS AND SHARES ..... | 180 |
| CHAP. II.                                      |     |
| OF PATENTS AND COPYRIGHT .....                 | 214 |



|  |     |
|--|-----|
| PART IV.                                     |     |
| OF PERSONAL ESTATE GENERALLY.....            | 236 |
| CHAP. I.                                     |     |
| OF SETTLEMENTS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY .....    | 236 |
| CHAP. II.                                    |     |
| OF JOINT OWNERSHIP AND JOINT LIABILITY ..... | 276 |
| CHAP. III.                                   |     |
| OF A WILL .....                              | 294 |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

xi

|  | PAGE  |
|--|-------|
| CHAP. IV.                                      |       |
| OF INTESTACY.....                              | 326   |
| CHAP. V.                                       |       |
| OF THE MUTUAL RIGHTS OF HUSBAND AND WIFE ..... | 340 . |



|                |     |
|----------------|-----|
| PART V.        |     |
| OF TITLE ..... | 365 |



|                     |       |
|---------------------|-------|
| APPENDIX (A.) ..... | 383 . |
| APPENDIX (B.) ..... | 388   |
| INDEX .....         | 401   |



# INDEX TO CASES CITED.

| A.   |    | PAGE |  | PAGE         |
|--|----|------|--|--------------|
| Abbot v. Blofield ..                               | .. | 345  | Anchor Reversionary Company, Limited, Marriott v. .. | 56           |
| Abbott v. Rogers ..                                | .. | 197  | Anderson v. Coxeter ..                               | 175          |
| Abergavenny, Earl of, Morgan v. 19                 |    |      | — v. Martindale ..                                   | 278          |
| Abingdon, Lord, Clarke, v. ..                      | .. | 103  | — Petty v. ..  | 353          |
| Acaster, Rogers v. ..                              | .. | 352  | — Price v. ..  | 240          |
| Accidental Death Insurance Company, Shilling v. .. | .. | 160  | Andrew v. Andrew ..                                  | 239          |
| Ackerman, Ex parte ..                              | .. | 287  | Andrews v. Diggs ..                                  | 93           |
| Acraman v. Herniman ..                             | .. | 96   | — Kempe v. ..  | 279          |
| Acton v. Acton ..                                  | .. | 317  | — v. Partington ..                                   | 256          |
| — M'Neille v. ..                                   | .. | 289  | — Windle v. ..                                       | 81           |
| — v. White ..                                      | .. | 357  | Angerstein, Tidswell v. ..                           | 161          |
| — v. Woodgate ..                                   | .. | 274  | Angier v. Stannard ..                                | 269          |
| Adam v. Statham ..                                 | .. | 173  | Annandale, Brown v. ..                               | 216          |
| — Wilkinson v. ..                                  | .. | 322  | Annesley, Macleod v. ..                              | 258          |
| Adams, Malkin v. ..                                | .. | 125  | Anon. ..   | 48, 144, 172 |
| — v. Paynter ..                                    | .. | 266  | Anstruther, Ouseley v. ..                            | 183          |
| Adcock, Walter v. ..                               | .. | 122  | Antrobus, Cuninghame v. ..                           | 319          |
| — Wood v. ..                                       | .. | 173  | — v. Smith ..  | 34           |
| Addenbrooke, Foley v. ..                           | .. | 280  | Appleby, Pickering v. ..                             | 186          |
| Addison v. Round ..                                | .. | 24   | Arbouin, Pritchard v. ..                             | 321          |
| Adney, Wennall v. ..                               | .. | 72   | Archer v. Gardiner ..                                | 348          |
| Aflalo, Grove v. ..                                | .. | 40   | — v. Kelly ..  | 271          |
| Agar v. Lisle ..                                   | .. | 23   | — v. Marsh ..  | 86           |
| Aitcheson v. Cargay ..                             | .. | 173  | Armistead, De Begnis v. ..                           | 84           |
| — Cargay v. ..                                     | .. | 173  | Armory v. Delamirie ..                               | 24, 25       |
| Alexander v. Alexander ..                          | .. | 250  | Armstrong, Tullett v. ..                             | 356          |
| — Dover v. ..                                      | .. | 322  | Arnold, Rawsthorn v. ..                              | 175          |
| Alford, Sunbolf v. ..                              | .. | 28   | Ashburner, Fletcher v. ..                            | 262          |
| Allatt v. Carr ..                                  | .. | 33   | — v. M'Guire ..                                      | 817          |
| Allen, Brown v. ..                                 | .. | 317  | — Warden v. ..                                       | 241          |
| — Festing v. ..                                    | .. | 244  | Ashby v. Ashby ..                                    | 350          |
| — Hobby v. ..                                      | .. | 353  | — Lloyd v. ..  | 290          |
| Alley v. Alley ..                                  | .. | 319  | — Vere v. ..   | 290          |
| Allwood v. Heywood ..                              | .. | 12   | Ashford, Davies v. ..                                | 263          |
| Alsager v. Spalding ..                             | .. | 116  | Ashley v. Ashley ..                                  | 160          |
| Ambergate, &c. Railway Company v. Norcliffe ..     | .. | 193  | Ashton v. Lord Langdale ..                           | 320, 321     |
| Ames v. Parkinson ..                               | .. | 183  | Askew, Carey v. ..                                   | 296          |
| Amicable Assurance Society v. Bolland ..           | .. | 160  | — Newton v. ..                                       | 273          |
| Amies v. Skillern ..                               | .. | 277  | Aspinall v. Pickford ..                              | 29           |
| Amis, Witt v. ..                                   | .. | 300  | Aston, Harvey v. ..                                  | 341          |
| Amyot, Brown v. ..                                 | .. | 241  | Atcheson, Scarpellini v. ..                          | 347          |
|  |    |      | Atherton, Lackington v. ..                           | 41           |
|  |    |      | Atkinson v. Bell ..                                  | 87           |
|  |    |      | — v. Denby ..  | 116          |



# INDEX TO CASES CITED.

XV

|                                    | PAGE     |                                  | PAGE     |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Bayldon, Baker v. ..               | 349      | Billingsley, Lady Shore v. ..    | 277      |
| Baylis, Chowne v. ..               | 44       | Binks, Harland v. ..             | 274      |
| Beale v. Beale ..                  | 350      | Binnington v. Wallis ..          | 70, 85   |
| Bear v. Bromley ..                 | 196      | Binns, Swallow v. ..             | 254      |
| Beard, Boulton v. ..               | 269      | Birch, Watson v. ..              | 371      |
| — v. Egerton ..                    | 218, 220 | Bird v. Boulter ..               | 40       |
| Beaufort, Duke of, Wellesley v. .. | 359      | — v. Brown ..                    | 41       |
| Beaumont v. Reeve ..               | 72       | — v. Gammon ..                   | 78       |
| Beavan v. Earl of Oxford ..        | 188      | — Morley v. ..                   | 277, 323 |
| Beck, Newton v. ..                 | 11       | — v. Ralph ..                    | 65       |
| — Ward v. ..                       | 54       | Bishop v. Elliott ..             | 15       |
| Beckett, Donaldson v. ..           | 224      | Bishopp v. Colebrook ..          | 352      |
| Beckford, Greening v. ..           | 378      | Bissett v. Burgess ..            | 65       |
| Beckham v. Drake ..                | 286, 289 | Bittleston, Quartermaine v. ..   | 51       |
| Beckton v. Barton ..               | 319      | Bittlestone, Penn v. ..          | 46       |
| Beer v. Beer ..                    | 241      | Black, Willis v. ..              | 271      |
| Bell, Atkinson v. ..               | 37       | Blackburn, Hobson v. ..          | 321      |
| — v. Banks ..                      | 111      | Blackburne, Stode v. ..          | 12       |
| — v. Bidgood ..                    | 93, 98   | Blacklow v. Laws ..              | 355      |
| — Bowlby v. ..                     | 209      | Blades v. Higgs ..               | 21       |
| — Hamilton v. ..                   | 50       | Blair, Bainbridge v. ..          | 267      |
| — Hobson v. ..                     | 379      | — v. Bromley ..                  | 290      |
| — Meux v. ..                       | 378      | — v. Nugent ..                   | 371      |
| — Sanderson v. ..                  | 28       | Blake, Dundas v. ..              | 375      |
| Bellaris, Brown v. ..              | 273      | — Ex parte ..                    | 271      |
| Bellassis v. Ermine ..             | 341      | — v. White ..                    | 110      |
| Belton v. Hodges ..                | 126      | Blakelock, Stevenson v. ..       | 29       |
| Bendict, Montague v. ..            | 353      | Bland, Ex parte ..               | 126      |
| — Seaton v. ..                     | 353      | Blantern, Collins v. ..          | 84       |
| Bennett v. Burton ..               | 153      | Bligh v. Brent ..                | 279      |
| — v. Daniel ..                     | 93       | Blight, Loveacres d. Mudge v. .. | 281      |
| — Ex parte ..                      | 316      | Blissett v. Cranwell ..          | 281      |
| — Page v. ..                       | 162      | Blofield, Abbott v. ..           | 345      |
| Benning, Sweet v. ..               | 226      | Bloomer v. Darks ..              | 122      |
| Bensley v. Bignold ..              | 84       | Blount v. Burrow ..              | 301      |
| Benson v. Maude ..                 | 313      | Bloxham v. Sanders ..            | 41, 43   |
| Bentall v. Burn ..                 | 39       | — v. Elsee ..                    | 220      |
| Bentley v. Mackay ..               | 273      | Blunden v. Desart ..             | 30       |
| Benyon v. Maddison ..              | 243      | Blurton, Kirk v. ..              | 290      |
| Berchtoldt, Countess of, Earl of   |          | Blythe v. Granville ..           | 271      |
| — Lonsdale v. ..                   | 243      | Bodly v. Reynolds ..             | 43       |
| Bern, Hardy v. ..                  | 104      | Bogue v. Houlston ..             | 229      |
| Bernard, Coggs v. ..               | 26       | Boldero, Godsall v. ..           | 100      |
| Bernes, Stanley v. ..              | 298      | — Lushington v. ..               | 18       |
| Berney, West v. ..                 | 251      | Bolland, Amicable Assurance      |          |
| Berriman v. Peacock ..             | 18       | — Society v. ..                  | 160      |
| Berrington v. Evans ..             | 99, 272  | — v. Disney ..                   | 160      |
| Betts v. Burch ..                  | 67       | Bollet, Burnby v. ..             | 369      |
| — v. Kimpton ..                    | 347      | Bond, Biddlecombe v. ..          | 149      |
| Bevan, Ex parte ..                 | 287      | — Smith v. ..                    | 103      |
| — v. Walters ..                    | 28       | Boosey v. Jeffreys ..            | 224, 227 |
| Bewit, Whitfield v. ..             | 18       | Booth v. Booth ..                | 268      |
| Bewley, Hancock v. ..              | 281      | — Kirkman v. ..                  | 289      |
| Biddell v. Leader ..               | 85       | — Martindale v. ..               | 46       |
| Biddlecomb v. Bond ..              | 149      | — Whale v. ..                    | 312      |
| Bidgood, Bell v. ..                | 93, 98   | Boothby, Morley v. ..            | 75       |
| Biffin v. Yorke ..                 | 94       | Borman, Scarborough v. ..        | 356      |
| Bignold, Bensley v. ..             | 84       | Boss v. Godsall ..               | 262      |
| Bill v. Cureton ..                 | 273      | Botfield, Bradburne v. ..        | 278      |

|                                      | PAGE     |                                  | PAGE         |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Boucher, Presscott v. . . . .        | 347      | Bright v. Hutton . . . . .       | 293          |
| Boughton, Knight v. . . . .          | 241      | — Jones v. a . . . . .           | 369          |
| — Lord St. John v. . . . .           | 371      | Bright's trusts . . . . .        | 377          |
| Boulter, Bird v. . . . .             | 40       | Briscoe, Barton v. . . . .       | 356          |
| Boulton v. Beard . . . . .           | 269      | — Fianchetti v. . . . .          | 352          |
| — v. Bull. . . . .                   | 218      | Brise, Matthews v. . . . .       | 258          |
| Bourne, Dowbiggin v. . . . .         | 109      | Bristead v. Wilkins . . . . .    | 189          |
| — Hawken v. . . . .                  | 291      | Bristol and Exeter Railway       |              |
| Boutts v. Ellis . . . . .            | 300      | Company, Coombes v. . . . .      | 39           |
| Bouwens, Attorney-General v. . . . . | 309      | Bristowe v. Ward . . . . .       | 250          |
| Bowden, Jones v. . . . .             | 369      | Britain, Braithwaite v. . . . .  | 286          |
| Bower v. Marris . . . . .            | 115      | British Empire Shipping Com-     |              |
| — Mexborough v. . . . .              | 165      | pany v. Somes . . . . .          | 28           |
| Bowen, Grymes v. . . . .             | 14       | Broadhurst v. Balguy . . . . .   | 268          |
| Bowes, Countess of Strathmore        |          | Brocklebank, Stocker v. . . . .  | 290          |
| v. . . . .                           | 354      | Bromage v. Lloyd . . . . .       | 81           |
| Bowker, Wilmhurst v. . . . .         | 43       | Bromhead, Wilkins v. . . . .     | 37           |
| Bowlby v. Bell . . . . .             | 209      | Bromley, Bear v. . . . .         | 196          |
| Bewles's case . . . . .              | 18       | — Blair v. . . . .               | 290          |
| Bowman, Mullen v. . . . .            | 324      | Brooke v. Enderby . . . . .      | 288          |
| Bowser v. Cox . . . . .              | 110      | — v. Mitchell . . . . .          | 24, 170, 171 |
| Bowsher, Davis v. . . . .            | 29       | Brookes, Ex parte . . . . .      | 128          |
| Boyd, Robins v. . . . .              | 138      | Brooks v. Keith . . . . .        | 271          |
| Boydell v. M'Michael . . . . .       | 16       | Broom v. Broom . . . . .         | 279          |
| Boyle v. Bishop of Peterborough      | 249      | Broughton v. Broughton . . . . . | 268          |
| — Ex parte . . . . .                 | 144      | Brown v. Allen . . . . .         | 317          |
| Bracebridge v. Cook . . . . .        | 344      | — v. Amyott . . . . .            | 241          |
| Bradburne v. Botfield . . . . .      | 278      | — v. Appandale . . . . .         | 216          |
| Bradley, Baker v. . . . .            | 357      | — v. Bamford . . . . .           | 357          |
| — v. Copley . . . . .                | 24, 46   | — v. Bellaris . . . . .          | 273          |
| — Hampshire v. . . . .               | 269      | — Bird v. . . . .                | 41           |
| — Perkins v. . . . .                 | 44       | — Collin's Co. v. . . . .        | 234          |
| — Wren v. . . . .                    | 358      | — v. Edgington . . . . .         | 370          |
| Bradley v. Clyston . . . . .         | 171      | — Pilegar v. . . . .             | 114, 116     |
| Bradshaw, Custance v. . . . .        | 279      | — Jennings v. . . . .            | 70           |
| — Yeoman v. . . . .                  | 105      | — v. Lee . . . . .               | 110          |
| Brady, Burridge v. . . . .           | 318      | — Metropolitan Counties, &c.     |              |
| Braithwaite v. Britain . . . . .     | 286      | Society v. . . . .               | 14           |
| — v. Skinner . . . . .               | 6        | — v. Pocock . . . . .            | 356          |
| Bramah v. Roberts . . . . .          | 291      | — Richardson v. . . . .          | 369          |
| Brandao v. Barnett . . . . .         | 29       | — v. Vawsep . . . . .            | 179          |
| Brander v. Brander . . . . .         | 240      | — Wakefield v. . . . .           | 278          |
| Brandon v. Robinson . . . . .        | 356      | — v. Weatherby . . . . .         | 286          |
| Brathwait, Lampleigh v. . . . .      | 69       | Browne v. Cavendish . . . . .    | 274          |
| Breary, Roundell v. . . . .          | 272      | — v. Savage . . . . .            | 378          |
| Bremridge, Evans v. . . . .          | 256, 285 | Brownlow, Nixon v. . . . .       | 194          |
| Brent, Bligh v. . . . .              | 279      | Brownrigg, Bryson v. . . . .     | 301          |
| Brereton, Drosier v. . . . .         | 258      | Brownsmith, Wilson v. . . . .    | 318          |
| Brett v. Greenwell . . . . .         | 348      | Brunridge v. Brunridge . . . . . | 269          |
| Brewin v. Short . . . . .            | 141      | Bruning, Smith v. . . . .        | 341          |
| Briant, Philpot v. . . . .           | 111      | Bryan v. Child . . . . .         | 96           |
| Brice v. Stokes . . . . .            | 268      | — v. Clay . . . . .              | 65           |
| Bridge v. Bridge . . . . .           | 273      | — Hemsworth v. . . . .           | 170          |
| — v. Cage . . . . .                  | 86       | Bryans v. Nix . . . . .          | 35           |
| — v. Yates . . . . .                 | 277      | Bryant, Hollis v. . . . .        | 150          |
| Bridges, Eddy v. . . . .             | 379      | — Laythorpe v. . . . .           | 77           |
| — v. Hawksworth . . . . .            | 25       | Bryce, Cannan v. . . . .         | 82, 88       |
| Brierley v. Kendall . . . . .        | 46       | Bryson v. Brownrigg . . . . .    | 301          |
| Briggs v. Chamberlain . . . . .      | 353      | Buchanan, Fleming v. . . . .     | 246          |

|                                  | PAGE   |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Buck, Sutton v. . . . .          | 26     |
| Buckhurst's case . . . . .       | 30     |
| Buckingham, Earl of, v. Drury    | 343    |
| Buckland v. Johnson . . . . .    | 43     |
| Buckley v. Barber . . . . .      | 279    |
| — Earl of Stafford v. . . . .    | 181    |
| — Ex parte . . . . .             | 290    |
| Buckley's trust . . . . .        | 270    |
| Bull, Boulton v. . . . .         | 218    |
| — v. Faulkner . . . . .          | 30     |
| Bunn v. Maffkham . . . . .       | 301    |
| Burch, Betts v. . . . .          | 67     |
| Burdiss, Carr v. . . . .         | 35     |
| Burford, Dix v. . . . .          | 268    |
| Burge, Heyhoe v. . . . .         | 290    |
| Burgess, Bissett v. . . . .      | 65     |
| — Tappenden v. . . . .           | 117    |
| — Williams v. . . . .            | 96     |
| Burghart, Lane, v. . . . .       | 75     |
| Burke v. Jones . . . . .         | 375    |
| Burley, Gilly v. . . . .         | 240    |
| Burn, Bentall v. . . . .         | 39     |
| — v. Burn . . . . .              | 291    |
| Burnby v. Bollett . . . . .      | 369    |
| Burnell, Gale v. . . . .         | 32, 46 |
| Burnet v. Mann . . . . .         | 333    |
| Burridge v. Bradyl . . . . .     | 318    |
| Burrough v. Moss . . . . .       | 315    |
| Burrow, Blount v. . . . .        | 301    |
| Burrowes, Stuart v. . . . .      | 306    |
| Burton, Bennett v. . . . .       | 153    |
| — Goode v. . . . .               | 11     |
| — v. Hughes . . . . .            | 27     |
| Bury, Betrie v. . . . .          | 276    |
| Bush, Hart v. . . . .            | 39     |
| — v. Shipman . . . . .           | 116    |
| Bushell v. Wheeler . . . . .     | 38     |
| Busk v. Davis . . . . .          | 37     |
| — Pickering v. . . . .           | 368    |
| Butcher v. Butcher . . . . .     | 271    |
| — v. Jackson . . . . .           | 252    |
| Butler, Falkner v. . . . .       | 250    |
| Butterfield, In re . . . . .     | 289    |
| Byers, Wilkinson v. . . . .      | 114    |
| Byng v. Lord Strafford . . . . . | 242    |
| Byrne, Ward v. . . . .           | 86     |
| Byron v. Byron . . . . .         | 73     |
| Bywater, Wrightson v. . . . .    | 173    |

C.

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Cadogan v. Earl of Essex . . . . .            | 262 |
| Cage, Bridge v. . . . .                       | 86  |
| Caldwell v. Ball . . . . .                    | 59  |
| Calvert v. London Dock Com-<br>pany . . . . . | 110 |
| Camm, Goulder v. . . . .                      | 357 |
| Campbell v. Campbell . . . . .                | 268 |
| — v. Home . . . . .                           | 251 |

|  | PAGE     |
|--|----------|
| Campbell, Macarthur v. . . . .         | 175      |
| Campion v. Cotton . . . . .            | 70       |
| Candish, Wilkinson v. . . . .          | 125      |
| Cannan v. Bryce . . . . .              | 84, 88   |
| Cannings v. Flower . . . . .           | 255      |
| Careless, Rachfield v. . . . .         | 324      |
| Carew, Cive v. . . . .                 | 356      |
| Carey v. Askew . . . . .               | 296      |
| — Collins v. . . . .                   | 267      |
| Cargie v. Aitcheson . . . . .          | 173      |
| — Aitcheson v. . . . .                 | 173      |
| Carlisle, Earl of, Lechlere v. . . . . | 263      |
| Carpenter v. Smith . . . . .           | 216      |
| — Tebb v. . . . .                      | 183      |
| Carr, Allatt v. . . . .                | 33       |
| — v. Burdiss . . . . .                 | 35       |
| Carrick, Freshney v. . . . .           | 46       |
| Carrington, Ex parte . . . . .         | 125      |
| Carruthers, Parkin v. . . . .          | 288      |
| Carstairs, Ex parte . . . . .          | 111      |
| — Malthy v. . . . .                    | 111      |
| Carter v. Crick . . . . .              | 369      |
| — v. Taggart . . . . .                 | 241, 349 |
| — v. Whalley . . . . .                 | 288      |
| Cartwright v. Cartwright . . . . .     | 357      |
| — v. Vawdry . . . . .                  | 322      |
| Carver, Waugh v. . . . .               | 289, 291 |
| Case, Hartley v. . . . .               | 82       |
| — South Carolina Bank v. . . . .       | 291      |
| Cassell, In re . . . . .               | 177      |
| — v. Stiff . . . . .                   | 232      |
| Castle v. Swarder . . . . .            | 39       |
| Castrique, Iderton v. . . . .          | 122      |
| Caulfield v. Maguire . . . . .         | 109      |
| Cautley, Foster v. . . . .             | 248      |
| Cave v. Cave . . . . .                 | 16       |
| — Farquharson v. . . . .               | 301      |
| — v. Roberts . . . . .                 | 336      |
| Cavendish, Browne v. . . . .           | 274      |
| Chadwick v. Doleman . . . . .          | 250      |
| Chaloner, Horsley v. . . . .           | 315      |
| Chamberlain, Briggs v. . . . .         | 353      |
| — v. Williamson . . . . .              | 63       |
| Chambers, Willett v. . . . .           | 290      |
| Champernown v. Scott . . . . .         | 30       |
| Champneys, Sturgis v. . . . .          | 348      |
| Chandler, Kensington v. . . . .        | 273      |
| Channon v. Patch . . . . .             | 18       |
| Chanter v. Hopkins . . . . .           | 369      |
| Chaplin, Ex parte . . . . .            | 258      |
| — v. Rogers . . . . .                  | 34       |
| Chapman, Howse v. . . . .              | 220      |
| — May v. . . . .                       | 82       |
| — v. Milvain . . . . .                 | 196      |
| — Nicholson v. . . . .                 | 28       |
| Charlesworth, Malcomb v. . . . .       | 349      |
| Chase, Goodman v. . . . .              | 75       |
| Cherry v. Hemming . . . . .            | 76       |
| Cheslyn v. Dalby . . . . .             | 78       |



|  | PAGE          |  | PAGE     |
|--|---------------|--|----------|
| Cheyne, Eccles &c. ..                                | 324           | Collins & Lowndes v. ..                            | 108      |
| Chichester, Smith v. ..                              | 30            | — v. Martin ..                                     | 82       |
| Chidell v. Galsworthy ..                             | 33            | — Company v. Brown ..                              | 234      |
| Chilcote v. Kemp ..                                  | 122           | Collinson, Holderness v. ..                        | 29       |
| Child, Bryan v. ..                                   | 96            | Colne Valley and Halstead<br>Railway In re ..      | 261      |
| — v. Morley ..                                       | 185           | Colvin, Wilton v. ..                               | 271      |
| Chiswell, Gray v. ..                                 | 286           | Combe, Ward v. ..                                  | 240      |
| Chitty, Hulme v. ..                                  | 358           | Compton, Peter v. ..                               | 76       |
| Chollett v. Hoffman ..                               | 224           | — Right d. Compton v. ..                           | 341      |
| Cholmeley v. Paxton ..                               | 18            | Conduitt v. Soane ..                               | 238      |
| Chowne, Baylis v. ..                                 | 44            | Congreve, Douglas v. ..                            | 271      |
| Churchill v. Bank of England ..                      | 189           | — v. Evetts ..                                     | 33       |
| — v. Small ..  | 12            | Cook, Bracebridge v. ..                            | 344      |
| Churchward v. Studdy ..                              | 21            | — v. Wright ..                                     | 70       |
| City of London Steam Packet<br>Company, Fenton v. .. | 58            | Cooke, Bates v. ..                                 | 165      |
| Clancy v. Piggott ..                                 | 75            | — v. Fuller ..                                     | 360      |
| Clare, Ridgway v. ..                                 | 286           | — v. Whorwood ..                                   | 173      |
| Claridge, Hollis v. ..                               | 30            | Cookson v. Cookson ..                              | 279      |
| Clarke v. Lord Abingdon ..                           | 103           | — v. Reay ..                                       | 263      |
| — Groves v. ..                                       | 349           | Coombs v. Bristol and Exeter<br>Railway Company .. | 39       |
| — v. Parker ..                                       | 341           | Coope v. Twyman ..                                 | 110      |
| — Rawlinson v. ..                                    | 290           | Cooper, Davidson v. ..                             | 83       |
| — In re ..   | 290           | — Edwards v. ..                                    | 272      |
| — v. Seton ..  | 103           | — v. Johnston ..                                   | 170      |
| — v. Shee ..   | 365           | — Loveridge v. ..                                  | 377, 378 |
| — Smith v. ..  | 81            | — Rust v. ..                                       | 144      |
| Clarkson, Wild v. ..                                 | 103           | — v. Shepherd ..                                   | 43       |
| Clay, Bryan v. ..                                    | 65            | — v. Willomatt ..                                  | 27       |
| Clayton v. Kynaston ..                               | 282, 284, 285 | — v. Woolfit ..                                    | 17       |
| Cleave v. Jones ..                                   | 78            | Cope v. Rowlands ..                                | 84       |
| Clegg v. Clegg ..                                    | 271           | Copeland, Morton v. ..                             | 226      |
| Clift v. Schwabe ..                                  | 160           | Copis v. Middleton ..                              | 109      |
| Clive, Carew v. ..                                   | 356           | Copley, Bradley v. ..                              | 24, 46   |
| Close v. Close ..                                    | 111           | Coppin, Dillon v. ..                               | 34, 70   |
| — v. Waterhouse ..                                   | 20            | Corbet, Ewer v. ..                                 | 312      |
| Clough v. French ..                                  | 101           | Corbitt, White v. ..                               | 138      |
| — v. Lambert ..                                      | 358           | Cordell, Elliott v. ..                             | 350      |
| Clulow, In re ..                                     | 241           | Corles, Dipple v. ..                               | 69       |
| Clyston, Bradsey v. ..                               | 171           | Cornforth v. Smithard ..                           | 72       |
| Cobb, Rishton v. ..                                  | 340           | Cornthwaite, Frith v. ..                           | 274      |
| Cock, Lashbrook v. ..                                | 281           | Cornwallis, Lassells v. ..                         | 246      |
| Cockburn, Daubney v. ..                              | 251           | Corporation of Liverpool, Scott<br>v. ..           | 166      |
| Cocksedge v. Cocksedge ..                            | 357           | Cotton, Campion v. ..                              | 70       |
| Coggs v. Bernard ..                                  | 26            | Coventry v. Coventry ..                            | 266      |
| Coker, Hitchcock v. ..                               | 86            | Coventry, Lord, Lygon v. ..                        | 257      |
| Colbeck, In re ..                                    | 389           | Cowell v. Simpson ..                               | 29, 31   |
| Cole, Kearsley v. ..                                 | 285           | Cowper, Hutton v. ..                               | 98       |
| — Kerrison v. ..                                     | 85            | Cox, Bowser v. ..                                  | 110      |
| Colebrook, Bishopp v. ..                             | 352           | Coxeter, Anderson v. ..                            | 175      |
| Colegrave v. Dias Santos ..                          | 14            | Craddock, Lake v. ..                               | 279      |
| Collectors of Customs, Rex v. ..                     | 279           | Craddock v. Piper ..                               | 268      |
| Collett, Meryon v. ..                                | 244           | Craig, Downes v. ..                                | 65       |
| — v. Morrison ..                                     | 161           | Crallan v. Oulton ..                               | 375      |
| Collins v. Blantern ..                               | 34            | Cramer v. Moore ..                                 | 271, 281 |
| — v. Carey ..  | 267           | Cranefeld, Freake v. ..                            | 374, 375 |
| — v. Collins ..                                      | 177           | Craffley v. Hillary ..                             | 116      |
| — Drew v. ..   | 122           |  |          |
| — Hobby v. ..  | 353           |  |          |

|   | PAGE   |
|---|--------|
| Cranmer's case ..                               | 319    |
| Cranwell, Blissett v. ..                        | 281    |
| Craythorne v. Swineburn ..                      | 110    |
| Creed v. Perry ..                               | 352    |
| Creswell, Green v. ..                           | 75     |
| Creswick v. Woodhead ..                         | 303    |
| Crick, Carter v. ..                             | 369    |
| Cristall, Ferguson v. ..                        | 24, 27 |
| Crofts, Elves v. ..                             | 86     |
| Crofton v. Poole ..                             | 147    |
| Crompe, Martin v. ..                            | 279    |
| Crosby v. Crouch ..                             | 144    |
| Crossfield v. Stanley ..                        | 98     |
| Crosskey, European, &c. Ship-<br>ping Co. v. .. | 177    |
| Crossley v. Dobson ..                           | 283    |
| Crouch, Crosby v. ..                            | 144    |
| Croydon Canal Co., Hodges v. ..                 | 372    |
| Cruger v. Dunlop ..                             | 122    |
| Cruise v. Hunter ..                             | 359    |
| Cubitt, Stansfeld v. ..                         | 47     |
| Cullingworth v. Lloyd ..                        | 116    |
| Cumber v. Wane ..                               | 114    |
| Cunningham v. Antrobus ..                       | 349    |
| Cunynghame v. Thurlow ..                        | 252    |
| Cureton, Bill v. ..                             | 273    |
| Curling v. Flight ..                            | 380    |
| Curry, Wilmer v. ..                             | 283    |
| Cusack v. Robinson ..                           | 38     |
| Custance v. Bradshaw ..                         | 279    |
| Cutbush v. Cutbush ..                           | 289    |
| Cuthbert v. Dobbin ..                           | 94     |
| Cutler, In re ..                                | 348    |
| Cutten v. Sanger ..                             | 273    |

D.

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Dabbs, Ford v. ..                                  | 151      |
| Dalby, Cheslyn v. ..                               | 78       |
| — v. India and London Life<br>Assurance Company .. | 161      |
| Dale, Drayton v. ..                                | 147      |
| Dalton, In re ..                                   | 342      |
| Daly, Aubin v. ..                                  | 181      |
| Dalzell, Lynch v. ..                               | 162      |
| Daniel, Bennett v. ..                              | 93       |
| — v. Dudley ..                                     | 244      |
| — Kirwan v. ..                                     | 274      |
| Darby v. Darby ..                                  | 279      |
| Darell, Hales v. ..                                | 319      |
| — Sturgis v. ..                                    | 374      |
| Darkes, Bloomer v. ..                              | 122      |
| Dartmouth, Lord, Howe v. ..                        | 183      |
| Darton, Moore v. ..                                | 300      |
| Daubeny v. Cockburn ..                             | 251      |
| Davenport, Elliot v. ..                            | 243, 323 |
| — Ex parte ..                                      | 35       |
| Dauids, Jones v. ..                                | 109      |
| Davidson v. Cooper ..                              | 83       |

|   | PAGE       |
|---|------------|
| Davidson, Ex parte ..                             | 227        |
| Davies v. Ashford ..                              | 263        |
| — Attorney-General v. ..                          | 321        |
| — v. Humphreys ..                                 | 110        |
| — v. Penton ..                                    | 67         |
| — v. Stainbank ..                                 | 110        |
| — v. Vernon ..                                    | 10, 12, 29 |
| Davis, Bateman v. ..                              | 261        |
| — v. Bowsher ..                                   | 29         |
| — Busk v. ..                                      | 37         |
| — v. Earl of Dysart ..                            | 12         |
| — Godfrey v. ..                                   | 322        |
| — v. Johnston ..                                  | 53         |
| — v. Mason ..                                     | 86         |
| — Shepley v. ..                                   | 37         |
| Davison, Attorney-General v. ..                   | 172        |
| Dawes v. Peck ..                                  | 39, 42     |
| Dawson v. Kearton ..                              | 71         |
| — Pearson v. ..                                   | 35, 39     |
| Day, Baring v. ..                                 | 28         |
| — Hulkes v. ..                                    | 188        |
| — Wallis v. ..                                    | 86         |
| Deakle, Pain v. ..                                | 171        |
| Dean v. Hogg ..                                   | 58         |
| Dearle v. Hall ..                                 | 377, 378   |
| De Begnis v. Armistead ..                         | 84         |
| De Castro, Willis v. ..                           | 285        |
| Dedire, Freemoult v. ..                           | 272        |
| Decks v. Strutt ..                                | 6          |
| Deering v. Earl of Winchelsea ..                  | 109        |
| Delamirie, Armoury v. ..                          | 24, 25     |
| De la Crouée, Hambidge v. ..                      | 291        |
| De la Garde v. Lempriere ..                       | 349        |
| De Mattos, De Pothonier v. ..                     | 112        |
| De Mautort v. Saunders ..                         | 286        |
| Denby, Atkinson v. ..                             | 116        |
| Denny, Flory v. ..                                | 36         |
| De Pass, Lyons v. ..                              | 306        |
| De Pothonier v. De Mattos ..                      | 112        |
| De Proven, Dupleix v. ..                          | 99         |
| Desanges, Thomas v. ..                            | 141        |
| Desbrisay, Fearon v. ..                           | 252        |
| Desart, Blunden v. ..                             | 30         |
| Detastet, Ex parte ..                             | 287        |
| Devaynes v. Noble ..                              | 115, 286   |
| Devereux v. Kilkenny, &c. Rail-<br>way Company .. | 193        |
| Dewdney, Ex parte ..                              | 374        |
| Dewhurst v. Kershaw ..                            | 122        |
| Dias Santos, Colegrave v. ..                      | 14         |
| Dickie, Gibson v. ..                              | 70         |
| Dickinson v. Kitchen ..                           | 56         |
| — Orr v. ..                                       | 57         |
| — v. Teesdale ..                                  | 375        |
| — v. Valpy ..                                     | 291        |
| Diggs, Andrews v. ..                              | 93         |
| Dillon v. Coppin ..                               | 34, 70     |
| Dinsdale v. Robertson ..                          | 171        |
| Dipple v. Corles ..                               | 69         |

|                                      | PAGE       |  | PAGE         |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|--------------|
| Disney, Bolland v. . . . .           | 160        | Dundas v. Blake . . . . .                      | 375          |
| Dix v. Burford. . . . .              | 268        | — v. Dutens . . . . .                          | 188          |
| Dixie, Wood v. . . . .               | 49         | Dunganon, Lord, Ker v. . . . .                 | 238          |
| Dixon, Fisher v. . . . .             | 15         | Dunkley v. Dunkley . . . . .                   | 348          |
| — v. Yates. . . . .                  | 35, 41, 42 | Dunlop, Cruger v. . . . .                      | 122          |
| Dobbin, Cuthbert v. . . . .          | 94         | — v. Higgins . . . . .                         | 77           |
| Dobree, Ruddell v. . . . .           | 301        | Dunnecliff v. Mallet . . . . .                 | 281          |
| Dobson, Crossley v. . . . .          | 283        | Duplex v. De Proven . . . . .                  | 99           |
| Dodd, Lewen v. . . . .               | 281        | Durant, James v. . . . .                       | 271          |
| — Oldfield v. . . . .                | 131        | — v. Prestwood . . . . .                       | 333          |
| Doe d. Esdaile v. Mitchell . . . . . | 141        | Durnford v. Lane . . . . .                     | 312          |
| — d. Morrison v. Glover . . . . .    | 212        | Dutens, Dundas v. . . . .                      | 188          |
| — d. Stace v. Wheeler . . . . .      | 303        | Dutton v. Morrison . . . . .                   | 117          |
| Doleman, Chadwick v. . . . .         | 250        | Dyke v. Walford . . . . .                      | 327          |
| Dolland, Kensington v. . . . .       | 355        | Dykes, Tolson v. . . . .                       | 155          |
| Dominy, Thompson v. . . . .          | 59         | Dysart, Earl of, Davis v. . . . .              | 12           |
| Donaldson v. Beckett . . . . .       | 224        |  |              |
| — v. Donaldson . . . . .             | 273        |  |              |
| Doncaster v. Doncaster . . . . .     | 242        |  |              |
| Donellan v. Reid . . . . .           | 76         |  |              |
| Doran v. Wiltshire . . . . .         | 264        |  |              |
| Dorrien, Lucas v. . . . .            | 35         |  |              |
| Dorrill, Routledge v. . . . .        | 251, 252   |  |              |
| Douglas v. Congreve . . . . .        | 271        |  |              |
| — v. Russell . . . . .               | 59         |  |              |
| Dover v. Alexander . . . . .         | 322        |  |              |
| Dowbiggen v. Bourne . . . . .        | 109        |  |              |
| Dowling, Wade v. . . . .             | 173        |  |              |
| Downes, Ex parte . . . . .           | 139        |  |              |
| — v. Craig . . . . .                 | 65         |  |              |
| Downman, Motley v. . . . .           | 235        |  |              |
| Downs, England v. . . . .            | 354        |  |              |
| Dowson, Pickering v. . . . .         | 369        |  |              |
| Drake v. Attorney-General . . . . .  | 310        |  |              |
| — Beckham v. . . . .                 | 286, 289   |  |              |
| Drayton v. Dale . . . . .            | 147        |  |              |
| Drew v. Collins . . . . .            | 122        |  |              |
| Driver v. Mawdesley . . . . .        | 274        |  |              |
| — v. Scott . . . . .                 | 269        |  |              |
| Drosier v. Brereton . . . . .        | 258        |  |              |
| Drummond, Evans v. . . . .           | 288        |  |              |
| — v. McCleod v. . . . .              | 312        |  |              |
| — v. Parish . . . . .                | 296        |  |              |
| Drury, Earl of Buckingham v. . . . . | 343        |  |              |
| — v. Scott . . . . .                 | 271        |  |              |
| Dry, Bagwell v. . . . .              | 323, 324   |  |              |
| Drybutter v. Bartholomew . . . . .   | 192        |  |              |
| Dubost, Ex parte . . . . .           | 34         |  |              |
| — Morfell v. . . . .                 | 93         |  |              |
| Dudley, Daniel v. . . . .            | 244        |  |              |
| — v. Warde . . . . .                 | 15         |  |              |
| Dufaur, Ex parte . . . . .           | 125        |  |              |
| Duff v. East India Company . . . . . | 290        |  |              |
| — Gordon v. . . . .                  | 317        |  |              |
| Duffield v. Elwes . . . . .          | 380        |  |              |
| Duffy's trust, In re . . . . .       | 350        |  |              |
| Duke, Samuel v. . . . .              | 366        |  |              |
| — Sheppard v. . . . .                | 371        |  |              |
| Duncan v. Topham . . . . .           | 77         |  |              |
|                                      |            | E.   |              |
|                                      |            | Eads v. Williams . . . . .                     | 173          |
|                                      |            | Eardley v. Owen . . . . .                      | 271          |
|                                      |            | Earle, Hinekey v. . . . .                      | 42           |
|                                      |            | — Marc v. . . . .                              | 116          |
|                                      |            | East India Co., Duff v. . . . .                | 290          |
|                                      |            | — Murray v. . . . .                            | 374          |
|                                      |            | — Venables v. . . . .                          | 364          |
|                                      |            | Eastwood v. Kenyon . . . . .                   | 69, 72       |
|                                      |            | Eccles v. Cheyne . . . . .                     | 324          |
|                                      |            | Ede, Mitchell v. . . . .                       | 35           |
|                                      |            | Edelston v. Vick . . . . .                     | 235          |
|                                      |            | Edgeberry v. Stephens . . . . .                | 218          |
|                                      |            | Edgington, Brown v. . . . .                    | 370          |
|                                      |            | Edmonds, Goodtitle d. Rich-<br>ards v. . . . . | 213          |
|                                      |            | — v. Low . . . . .                             | 318          |
|                                      |            | Edsun, Smarte v. . . . .                       | 283          |
|                                      |            | Edwards v. Cooper . . . . .                    | 272          |
|                                      |            | — v. Freeman . . . . .                         | 330          |
|                                      |            | — v. Hall . . . . .                            | 320          |
|                                      |            | — v. Harben . . . . .                          | 45           |
|                                      |            | — v. Jones . . . . .                           | 76           |
|                                      |            | — v. Jones . . . . .                           | 34, 273, 301 |
|                                      |            | — v. Scarsbrook . . . . .                      | 97           |
|                                      |            | — v. Countess of Warwick . . . . .             | 212          |
|                                      |            | Egerton, Beard v. . . . .                      | 218, 220     |
|                                      |            | Elibank, Lady, v. Montolieu . . . . .          | 348          |
|                                      |            | — Lord, Murray v. . . . .                      | 318, 349     |
|                                      |            | Elliott, Bishop v. . . . .                     | 15           |
|                                      |            | — v. Cordell . . . . .                         | 350          |
|                                      |            | — v. Davenport . . . . .                       | 243, 323     |
|                                      |            | — v. Merriman . . . . .                        | 312          |
|                                      |            | Ellis, Boutts v. . . . .                       | 300          |
|                                      |            | — v. Nimmo . . . . .                           | 70           |
|                                      |            | Ellison v. Ellison . . . . .                   | 34, 273      |
|                                      |            | — v. Elwin . . . . .                           | 342, 350     |
|                                      |            | — Lyddon v. . . . .                            | 250          |
|                                      |            | Else, Bloxham v. . . . .                       | 220          |
|                                      |            | Elton, Ex parte . . . . .                      | 286          |

|                                   | PAGE       |                                   | PAGE     |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Elves v. Crofts .. ..             | 86         | Fenn v. Bittlestone .. ..         | 46       |
| Elvey v. Norwood .. ..            | 373        | Fenton v. City of London Steam    |          |
| Elwes, Duffield v. .. ..          | 300        | Packet Company .. ..              | 58       |
| — Forrest v. .. ..                | 183, 269   | — Trueman .. ..                   | 72       |
| — v. Maw .. ..                    | 14         | Fenwick v. Greenwall .. ..        | 269      |
| Elwin, Ellison v. .. ..           | 342, 350   | Ferguson v. Cristall .. ..        | 24, 27   |
| Enderby, Brooke v. .. ..          | 288        | — Sainter v. .. ..                | 67       |
| England v. Downes .. ..           | 354        | Fergusson v. Norman .. ..         | 84       |
| Equitable Reversionary Interest   |            | Fernie, Hodgkinson v. .. ..       | 174      |
| Society v. Fuller .. ..           | 260        | Fesenmeyer, Johnson v. .. ..      | 126      |
| Ermine, Bellasis v. .. ..         | 341        | Festing v. Allen .. ..            | 244      |
| Ernest, Balfour v. .. ..          | 292        | Fetherston v. Hutchinson .. ..    | 85       |
| Errat v. Barlow .. ..             | 255        | Fettiplace v. Gorges .. ..        | 354      |
| Erskine's trust .. ..             | 348        | Fidgeon, Laing v. .. ..           | 370      |
| Espinasse, Petre v. .. ..         | 273        | Field v. Evans .. ..              | 357      |
| Essex, Earl of, Cadogan v. .. ..  | 262        | — Northey v. .. ..                | 42       |
| Ettrick v. Ettrick .. ..          | 281        | — Yea v. .. ..                    | 10       |
| Etty v. Bridges .. ..             | 379        | Fielder, Proudley v. .. ..        | 355      |
| European Co. v. Royal Mail Co. .. | 56         | Finney, Foden v. .. ..            | 348      |
| European, &c. Shipping Co. v. ..  |            | Firmin v. Pulham .. ..            | 268      |
| Crosskey .. ..                    | 177        | Fisher v. Dixon .. ..             | 15       |
| Evans, Berrington v. .. ..        | 99, 272    | — v. Pimbley .. ..                | 173      |
| — v. Bremridge .. ..              | 285        | Fitch v. Sutton .. ..             | 114      |
| — v. Drummond .. ..               | 288        | Fitzer v. Fitzer .. ..            | 358      |
| — Field v. .. ..                  | 357        | Fleming v. Buchanan .. ..         | 246      |
| — Legg v. .. ..                   | 24, 30, 46 | — v. Self .. ..                   | 212      |
| — v. Scott .. ..                  | 257        | Fletcher v. Ashburner .. ..       | 262      |
| — Shaw v. .. ..                   | 93         | — v. Fletcher .. ..               | 359      |
| — Williams v. .. ..               | 14         | — Lechmere v. .. ..               | 78       |
| Everard v. Poppleton .. ..        | 95         | Flight, Curling v. .. ..          | 380      |
| Everett, Eyre v. .. ..            | 111        | Flintoff, Horner v. .. ..         | 67       |
| Events, Congreve v. .. ..         | 33         | Flory v. Denny .. ..              | 36       |
| Ewer v. Corbett .. ..             | 312        | Flower, Cannings v. .. ..         | 255      |
| Eyre v. Bartrop .. ..             | 110        | Foden v. Finney .. ..             | 348      |
| — v. Everett .. ..                | 111        | Foley v. Addenbrooke .. ..        | 278      |
| — Holland v. .. ..                | 77         | — Paget v. .. ..                  | 373      |
| Eyres v. Faulkland .. ..          | 238        | Foljambe, Ogilvie v. .. ..        | 76       |
| Eyton, Pott v. .. ..              | 290        | — v. Willoughby .. ..             | 257      |
| F.                                |            | Fooks, Pride v. .. ..             | 183, 269 |
| Falkner v. Butler .. ..           | 250        | Footo, Woods v. .. ..             | 122      |
| Farebrother v. Simmons .. ..      | 40         | Ford v. Dabbs .. ..               | 151      |
| Farina v. Horne .. ..             | 39         | — v. Jones .. ..                  | 177      |
| Farmer v. Smith .. ..             | 212        | — v. Peering .. ..                | 12       |
| — Sparrow v. .. ..                | 212        | — Fordham v. Wallis .. ..         | 78       |
| Farnell, Kiddill v. .. ..         | 368        | Fordwich (Mayor of), Tomlin v. .. | 173      |
| Farquhar, M'Queen v. .. ..        | 251        | Fores v. Johns .. ..              | 86       |
| Farquharson v. Cave .. ..         | 301        | Forrest v. Elwes .. ..            | 183, 269 |
| Farr, Meredith v. .. ..           | 322        | Foss, Ex parte, Re Baldwin v. ..  | 233      |
| Farrant v. Thompson .. ..         | 16         | Foster v. Bates .. ..             | 329      |
| Farren, Kemble v. .. ..           | 67         | — v. Cautley .. ..                | 248      |
| Farrow v. Mayes .. ..             | 96         | — v. Pearson .. ..                | 365      |
| Faulder, Harper v. .. ..          | 12         | — v. Weston .. ..                 | 108      |
| Faulkland, Eyres v. .. ..         | 238        | Fourdrin v. Gowdey .. ..          | 318, 319 |
| Faulkner, Bull v. .. ..           | 30         | — Fowler v. Fowler .. ..          | 318      |
| Fearnhead, Kratchbull v. .. ..    | 313        | — Marshall v. .. ..               | 348      |
| Fearon v. Desbrisay .. ..         | 252        | Fox, Barber v. .. ..              | 102      |
|                                   |            | — Freakley v. .. ..               | 303      |
|                                   |            | — v. Smith .. ..                  | 173      |
|                                   |            | — Webb v. .. ..                   | 147      |

|                               | PAGE     |   | PAGE     |
|-------------------------------|----------|---|----------|
| Francis v. Grover ..          | 372      | Gibbeson v. Greenham v. ..                              | 261      |
| — v. Hawkesley ..             | 72       | Gibbon, Kempe v. ..                                     | 372      |
| — Viner v. ..                 | 323      | Gibbons v. North-Eastern Metropolitan Asylum District.. | 77       |
| Franklin v. Bank of England.. | 190      | Gibbs, Lindsay v. ..                                    | 59       |
| — v. Hoes ..                  | 28       | Gibson v. Iockie ..                                     | 70       |
| — v. Neate ..                 | 26       | Gifford, Ex parte ..                                    | 110, 285 |
| Franks, Ex parte ..           | 125      | — Nugent v. ..  | 312      |
| Fraser, Mathier v. ..         | 14, 47   | Gilbert v. Lewis ..                                     | 355      |
| — v. Palmer ..                | 267      | — Richardson v. ..                                      | 226      |
| — v. Thompson ..              | 70       | Giles, Walker v. ..                                     | 212      |
| Freaks v. Cransfeldt ..       | 374, 375 | Gilkes v. Leonine ..                                    | 77       |
| Freakley v. Fox ..            | 303      | Gill, In the Goods of ..                                | 328      |
| Frecker, Norton v. ..         | 374      | — v. Shelley..  | 322      |
| Freeman, Ex parte ..          | 287      | Gillard, Bartlett v. ..                                 | 319      |
| — Edwards v. ..               | 330      | Gilly v. Burley ..                                      | 240      |
| Freemoult v. Dedire ..        | 272      | Giraud Hilton v. ..                                     | 320      |
| French, Clough v. ..          | 101      | Girdlestone, Watts v. ..                                | 183, 269 |
| — Ex parte ..                 | 259      | Gleaves v. Paine ..                                     | 348      |
| Frere, Thomason v. ..         | 280      | Glendinning, Ex parte ..                                | 116      |
| Freshney v. Carrick ..        | 46       | Glengall, Earl of, v. Barnard ..                        | 319      |
| Friar, Grey v. ..             | 104      | Gloucester, Corporation of,                             |          |
| Frith v. Cornthwaite ..       | 274      | Trye v. ..  | 321      |
| Frost, Williams v. ..         | 215      | Glover v. Barrie ..                                     | 173      |
| Frowd, Moore v. ..            | 267      | — Morrison v. ..  | 211, 212 |
| Fry, Ex parte ..              | 287      | Glubb v. Attorney-General ..                            | 321      |
| Fryatt, Reid v. ..            | 171      | Glyn v. Thorpe ..                                       | 100      |
| Fuller, Cooke v. ..           | 360      | Glynn, Morris v. ..                                     | 320      |
| — Equitable Reversionary      |          | Goddard, Hedley v. ..                                   | 175      |
| Interest Society v. ..        | 260      | — v. Snow ..  | 354      |
| Furber, Graham v. ..          | 141      | Godden, Ex parte ..                                     | 122      |
| Furniss v. Leicester ..       | 369      | Godfrey v. Davis ..                                     | 322      |
|                               |          | — v. Turnbull ..  | 288      |
| G.                            |          | Godsall v. Boldero ..                                   | 160      |
| Gaffee, In re ..              | 356      | — Boss v. ..  | 282      |
| Gale v. Burnell ..            | 32, 46   | Goldsmid v. Goldsmid..                                  | 251      |
| — Griffiths v. ..             | 324      | Gomley v. Wood ..                                       | 267      |
| — v. Walsh ..                 | 81       | Gomme, Hill v. ..                                       | 313      |
| Galsworthy, Chidell v. ..     | 33       | Goode v. Burton ..                                      | 11       |
| — v. Strutt ..                | 67       | Goodman v. Chase ..                                     | 75       |
| Gambart v. Sumner ..          | 229      | — v. Harvey ..  | 365      |
| Ganmon, Bird v. ..            | 78       | Goodtitle d. Richards v. Ed-                            |          |
| Gardiner, Archer v. ..        | 348      | monds ..  | 243      |
| Gardner v. Marshall ..        | 318      | Gordon v. Duff..  | 317      |
| Gardom, Ex parte ..           | 290      | — v. Gordon ..  | 322      |
| Garland, Ex parte ..          | 289      | — v. Harper ..  | 24, 27   |
| Garner v. Hannington ..       | 12       | — Norcott v. ..   | 318      |
| Garnett, Pierson v. ..        | 250      | Gorges, Fettiplace v. ..                                | 354      |
| Garrard v. Lord Lauderdale    | 274      | Gould, Weldon v. ..                                     | 23       |
| Gaskell v. King ..            | 85       | Goulder v. Camm ..                                      | 357      |
| Gaters v. Madeley ..          | 347      | Gouthwaite, Ex parte ..                                 | 128      |
| Gatty, Phillipson v. ..       | 258      | Gowdey, Foudrin v. ..                                   | 318, 319 |
| Gaylor, Howell v. ..          | 244      | Grace v. Smith..  | 289      |
| Gaze, Love v. ..              | 325      | — Webb v. ..  | 341      |
| Gee v. Gurney ..              | 252      | Grailley v. Humpage ..                                  | 244, 271 |
| Geldard, Robinson v. ..       | 322      | Graham v. Furber ..                                     | 141      |
| Gent v. Harris ..             | 348      | — v. Graham ..  | 318      |
| Gery, Humfrey v. ..           | 372, 373 | — v. Londonderry ..                                     | 345      |
|                               |          | Grané, White v. ..                                      | 257      |

|   | PAGE     |
|---|----------|
| Grant v. Grant ..                               | 103      |
| — Routledge v. ..                               | 77       |
| — v. Vaughan ..                                 | 365      |
| Grantham v. Hawley ..                           | 32       |
| Granville, Blythe v. ..                         | 271      |
| Graves, Attorney-General v. ..                  | 320      |
| — v. Weld ..                                    | 17       |
| Gray v. Chiswell ..                             | 286      |
| — Hind v. ..                                    | 86       |
| — Irving v. ..                                  | 122      |
| — v. Limerick, Earl of, ..                      | 250      |
| — v. Mathias ..                                 | 85       |
| Great Northern Railway Com-<br>pany, Pym v. ..  | 62       |
| Great Western Railway Com-<br>pany, Rouch v. .. | 141      |
| — Greaves v. Hepke ..                           | 35       |
| — Shuttleworth v. ..                            | 317      |
| — Steward v. ..                                 | 196      |
| Greedy v. Lavender ..                           | 351      |
| Green v. Cresswell ..                           | 75       |
| — Hardy v. ..                                   | 271      |
| —, In re ..                                     | 314      |
| — Price v. ..                                   | 67       |
| — v. Price ..                                   | 85, 87   |
| Greenham v. Gibbeson ..                         | 261      |
| Greenhill, Rex v. ..                            | 359      |
| Greening v. Beckford ..                         | 378      |
| Green's Patent ..                               | 224      |
| Greenwell, Brett v. ..                          | 348      |
| — Fenwick v. ..                                 | 269      |
| — v. Greenwell ..                               | 255      |
| Greenwood's case ..                             | 198      |
| Greeg, Richardson v. ..                         | 319      |
| Gresham, Wiles v. ..                            | 261      |
| Gregory, Hecksher v. ..                         | 185      |
| Grey v. Friar ..                                | 104      |
| — v. Stuart ..                                  | 271      |
| Griffin, Bishop of Hereford v. ..               | 226      |
| — Lee v. ..                                     | 40       |
| — Thompson v. ..                                | 256      |
| Griffith v. Ricketts ..                         | 262, 274 |
| Griffiths v. Gale ..                            | 324      |
| Grimes v. Harrison ..                           | 212      |
| Grove v. Affalo ..                              | 40       |
| Grover, Francis v. ..                           | 372      |
| — Whittingstall v. ..                           | 286      |
| Groves v. Clarke ..                             | 349      |
| — Perkins ..                                    | 349      |
| Grymes v. Boweren ..                            | 14       |
| — Stratton v. ..                                | 341      |
| Guedalla, Montefiore v. ..                      | 319      |
| Gunn, Powle v. ..                               | 69, 186  |
| Gurney, Gee v. ..                               | 252      |
| Guthrie, Hewison v. ..                          | 31       |
| — Leslie v. ..                                  | 59       |
| Gutteridge, Simmons v. ..                       | 303      |
| Guy, Styles v. ..                               | 268      |

II.

|  | PAGE     |
|--|----------|
| Haddan, Mason v. ..                    | 166      |
| Hadfield, Rashforth v. ..              | 29       |
| Haigh, Howdon v. ..                    | 116      |
| Hale v. Saloon Omnibus Com-<br>pany .. | 49       |
| Hales v. Darell ..                     | 319      |
| Halesham v. Young ..                   | 290      |
| Haley v. Bannister ..                  | 255      |
| Halford v. Kymer ..                    | 161      |
| Hall, Dearle v. ..                     | 377, 378 |
| — Edwards v. ..                        | 320      |
| — v. Hardy ..                          | 178      |
| — Heath v. ..                          | 111      |
| — v. Hewer ..                          | 250      |
| — v. Hugonin ..                        | 362      |
| — v. Lawrence ..                       | 177      |
| — Moss v. ..                           | 110      |
| — v. Norfolk Estuary Com-<br>pany ..   | 193      |
| — v. Palmer ..                         | 85       |
| — Pinkney v. ..                        | 290      |
| — v. Potter ..                         | 341      |
| — Reynolds v. ..                       | 50       |
| — Stanton v. ..                        | 350      |
| Hallewell, Hawker v. ..                | 87       |
| Halliday, Strentfield v. ..            | 284      |
| Halthin, Steinmitz v. ..               | 349      |
| Hambidge v. De La Crouée ..            | 291      |
| Hames v. Hames ..                      | 244      |
| Hamilton v. Bell ..                    | 50       |
| — v. Kirwan ..                         | 251      |
| Hammond v. Hammond ..                  | 271      |
| Hamper, Ex parte ..                    | 290      |
| Hampshire v. Bradley ..                | 269      |
| Hanbury v. Kirkland ..                 | 268      |
| Hanchett v. Briscoe ..                 | 352      |
| Hancock v. Bewley ..                   | 281      |
| — v. Heywood ..                        | 280      |
| Hanforth, Howell v. ..                 | 241      |
| Hannington, Garner v. ..               | 12       |
| Hanrott, Wombwell v. ..                | 248, 249 |
| Hanson v. Keating ..                   | 348      |
| — v. Meyer ..                          | 37       |
| Harben, Edwards v. ..                  | 45       |
| Harcourt v. Ramsbottom ..              | 172      |
| Hardey v. Green ..                     | 271      |
| Hardy v. Bern ..                       | 104      |
| — Hall v. ..                           | 178      |
| Hare v. Horton ..                      | 14       |
| — Hyat v. ..                           | 290      |
| Harewood, Lord, Milner v. ..           | 342      |
| Harford, Neilson v. ..                 | 220      |
| Harland v. Binks ..                    | 274      |
| Harley v. Harley ..                    | 352      |
| Harmer v. Westmacott ..                | 233      |
| Harnett v. Macdougall ..               | 357      |
| Harper v. Faulder ..                   | 12       |
| — Gordon v. ..                         | 24, 27   |

|                             | PAGE     |  | PAGE     |
|-----------------------------|----------|--|----------|
| Harrington v. Price ..      | 10       | Heneage v. Hunloke ..                        | 250      |
| Harris, Ex parte ..         | 286      | Henning, White v. ..                         | 352      |
| — Gent v. ..                | 348      | Henshaw, Williams v. ..                      | 277      |
| — v. Lloyd ..               | 152, 322 | Hensloc's case ..                            | 303      |
| — v. Waj. ..                | 73       | Hepke, Greaves v. ..                         | 35       |
| Harrison, Grimes v. ..      | 212      | Hereford, Bishop of, v. Griffin ..           | 226      |
| — v. Jackson ..             | 291      | Herlakenden's case ..                        | 16, 18   |
| — v. Paynter ..             | 113      | Herniman, Acraman v. ..                      | 96       |
| Harrobin, Pole v. ..        | 84       | Hertford, Marquis of, Attorney-General v. .. | 315      |
| Harrop v. Howard ..         | 357      | Hesilridge, M'Donnell v. ..                  | 273      |
| Hart v. Bush ..             | 39       | Heslop v. Baker ..                           | 51       |
| — v. Stephens ..            | 347      | — Ex parte ..                                | 51       |
| Hartford v. Jones ..        | 28       | Hewer, Hall v. ..                            | 250      |
| Hartley v. Case ..          | 82       | Hewison v. Guthrie ..                        | 31       |
| Hartnoll, Cripps v. ..      | 75       | Hewitt v. Price ..                           | 209      |
| Harvey v. Aston ..          | 341      | — Webb v. ..                                 | 111      |
| — Goodman v. ..             | 365      | Heyhoe v. Burge ..                           | 290      |
| Haslam, Nickels v. ..       | 220      | Heywood, Allwood v. ..                       | 12       |
| Haselcham v. Young ..       | 290      | — Hancock v. ..                              | 280      |
| Hassell v. Hawkins ..       | 319      | Hibbert, Simond v. ..                        | 29       |
| Hastings, Lord, Scott v. .. | 188      | Hickman, Wheatcroft v. ..                    | 290      |
| Hawken v. Bourne ..         | 291      | Hicks, Lovell v. ..                          | 290      |
| Hawker v. Hallowell ..      | 87       | Higgins, Dunlop v. ..                        | 77       |
| Hawkes v. Hollingsall ..    | 153      | — v. Pitt ..                                 | 116      |
| Hawkesworth, Bridges v. ..  | 25       | Higgins v. Sargent ..                        | 108      |
| —, Wolverhampton            |          | Higgs, Blades v. ..                          | 21       |
| New Waterworks Company      |          | Hilbert, Tate v. ..                          | 300, 301 |
| v. ..                       | 103      | Hill v. Gonyne ..                            | 313      |
| Hawkins, Hassell v. ..      | 319      | — Johnson v. ..                              | 28       |
| Hawley, In re ..            | 177      | — Rex v. ..                                  | 171      |
| — Grantham v. ..            | 32       | — v. Spencer ..                              | 85       |
| Haworth, Meyer v. ..        | 72       | — v. Thompson ..                             | 218, 220 |
| Hay, Ex parte ..            | 287      | — Warburton v. ..                            | 189      |
| — v. Palmer ..              | 241      | Hillary, Cranley v. ..                       | 116      |
| Hayes v. Hayes ..           | 317      | Hillman, Tapfield v. ..                      | 32       |
| Haygarth, Taylor v. ..      | 336      | Hills v. Hill ..                             | 301      |
| Hayley, Hope v. ..          | 33       | Hilton v. Giraud ..                          | 320      |
| Hayter v. Tucker ..         | 320      | Hinchcliffe v. Hinchcliffe ..                | 319      |
| Hayward, Williams v. ..     | 212      | Hinchinbrook, Lord, Shipbrook v. ..          | 268      |
| Head, March v. ..           | 348      | Hind v. Gray ..                              | 86       |
| Headington, Holloway v. ..  | 70       | Hindley v. Westneath, Marquis of ..          | 357      |
| Heard v. Stamford ..        | 353      | Hinton v. Pincke ..                          | 317      |
| Heath v. Hall ..            | 111      | Hirsch v. Im Thurn ..                        | 166      |
| — v. Key ..                 | 110      | Hiscox, Willis v. ..                         | 268, 269 |
| — v. Lewis ..               | 341      | Hitchcock v. Coker ..                        | 86       |
| Heather, Richards v. ..     | 283      | Hitchins v. Kilkenny, &c.,                   |          |
| Heaver, Manser v. ..        | 173      | — Railway Company ..                         | 194      |
| Hecht, Hunt v. ..           | 38       | Hitchman v. Stewart ..                       | 109      |
| Heckacher v. Gregory ..     | 185      | — v. Walton ..                               | 14, 16   |
| Hedley v. Bainbridge ..     | 291      | Hoadley v. M'Laine ..                        | 38       |
| Heinekey v. Earle ..        | 42       | Hoare v. Hornby ..                           | 271      |
| Hellicar, Powell v. ..      | 301      | — v. Parker ..                               | 238      |
| Helme v. Smith ..           | 53       | Hobbs, Robins v. ..                          | 122      |
| Hembrow, Prior v. ..        | 170      | Hobby v. Allen ..                            | 353      |
| Heming, Cherry v. ..        | 76       | — v. Collins ..                              | 353      |
| — v. Swinnerton ..          | 168      | Hobson v. Bell ..                            | 379      |
| Hemsworth v. Bryan ..       | 170      |  |          |
| Henderson, Baker v. ..      | 30       |  |          |
| — Wilkinson v. ..           | 286      |  |          |

|   | PAGE    |
|---|---------|
| Hobson v. Blackburn ..                            | 321     |
| Hockley, Steadman v. ..                           | 30      |
| Hodge, Walter v. ..                               | 300     |
| Hodges, Belton v. ..                              | 126     |
| — v. Croydon Canal Com-<br>pany ..                | 372     |
| Hodgkinson v. Fernie ..                           | 174     |
| Hodgson v. Loy ..                                 | 41      |
| — Nash v. ..                                      | 78, 115 |
| — v. Shaw ..                                      | 109     |
| — v. Wightman ..                                  | 116     |
| Hodson v. Observer Life Assur-<br>ance Society .. | 160     |
| — Wallis v. ..                                    | 334     |
| Hoffman, Chollett v. ..                           | 224     |
| Hogg, Dean v. ..                                  | 58      |
| Holden, Stokes v. ..                              | 45      |
| Holderness v. Collinson ..                        | 29      |
| — v. Rankin ..                                    | 50      |
| Holford v. Phipps ..                              | 269     |
| Holland v. Eyre ..                                | 77      |
| — v. Hughes ..                                    | 183     |
| Hollier, Ravenshaw v. ..                          | 274     |
| Hollingsall, Hawkes v. ..                         | 153     |
| Hollinshead, Reid v. ..                           | 290     |
| Hollis v. Bryant ..                               | 150     |
| — v. Claridge ..                                  | 30      |
| Holloway v. Headington ..                         | 70      |
| Holmes v. Mackrell ..                             | 72      |
| — v. Tutton ..                                    | 113     |
| Holroyd v. Marshall ..                            | 33      |
| Holst v. Pownall ..                               | 42      |
| Homan, Owen v. ..                                 | 111     |
| Home, Campbell v. ..                              | 251     |
| Honewood, Leader v. ..                            | 14      |
| Honner v. Morten ..                               | 351     |
| Hooper v. Ramsbottom ..                           | 12      |
| — v. Rossiter ..                                  | 240     |
| Hope, Attorney-General v. ..                      | 309     |
| — v. Hayley ..                                    | 33      |
| — v. Hope ..                                      | 359     |
| Hopkins, Chanter v. ..                            | 369     |
| — v. Logan ..                                     | 69      |
| — v. Prescott ..                                  | 86      |
| — Tugman v. ..                                    | 355     |
| Hopkinson v. Lee ..                               | 278     |
| Hornby, Hoare v. ..                               | 271     |
| Horne, Farina v. ..                               | 39      |
| Horner v. Flintoff ..                             | 67      |
| Hornsby v. Miller ..                              | 46      |
| Horsley v. Chaloner ..                            | 315     |
| Horton, Hare v. ..                                | 14      |
| — Richardson v. ..                                | 283     |
| — v. Sayers ..                                    | 165     |
| — Wells v. ..                                     | 76      |
| Hosier, Franklin v. ..                            | 28      |
| Hotham v. Somerville ..                           | 12      |
| Houghton v. Houghton ..                           | 270     |
| — v. Matthews ..                                  | 29      |

|                                | PAGE     |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Houlston, Bogue v. ..          | 229      |
| How, Jones v. ..               | 271      |
| — Pidding v. ..                | 235      |
| Howard, Harrop v. ..           | 357      |
| — v. Rhodes ..                 | 268      |
| Howarth, Samuel v. ..          | 110      |
| Howden v. Haigh ..             | 116      |
| Howe v. Lord Dartmouth ..      | 183      |
| — v. Synge ..                  | 85       |
| — Whittaker v. ..              | 86       |
| Howell v. Gaylor ..            | 244      |
| — v. Hanforth ..               | 241      |
| — v. M'ivers ..                | 111      |
| Howes, Morris v. ..            | 244      |
| Howse v. Chapman ..            | 320      |
| Hughes, Burton v. ..           | 27       |
| — Holland v. ..                | 183      |
| — v. Kelly ..                  | 373      |
| — Oldham v. ..                 | 263      |
| — Rann v. ..                   | 68       |
| — Stoveld v. ..                | 34       |
| — v. Stubbs ..                 | 274      |
| — v. Wynne ..                  | 108, 375 |
| Hugonin, Hall v. ..            | 352      |
| Hulkes v. Day ..               | 188      |
| Hulme v. Chitty ..             | 358      |
| Humble v. Mitchell ..          | 186, 209 |
| — M'Iver v. ..                 | 288      |
| Humfrey v. Gery ..             | 372, 373 |
| Humpage, Graftley v. ..        | 244, 271 |
| Humphrey, Peer v. ..           | 366      |
| Humphreys, Davies v. ..        | 110      |
| Hunloke, Heneage v. ..         | 250      |
| Hunt v. Bate ..                | 69       |
| — v. Hecht ..                  | 38       |
| — Knight v. ..                 | 116      |
| — v. Peacock ..                | 376      |
| Hunter, Cruise v. ..           | 359      |
| — v. Nockolds ..               | 373      |
| — v. Parker ..                 | 53       |
| Huntley v. Russell ..          | 65       |
| Hurst v. Jennings ..           | 104      |
| — Parnham v. ..                | 112      |
| — Smith v. ..                  | 274      |
| Husbands, Ex parte ..          | 287      |
| Hutchings v. Smith ..          | 350      |
| Hutchinson, Featherstone v. .. | 85       |
| Hutton, Bright v. ..           | 293      |
| — v. Cowper ..                 | 98       |
| Hyat v. Hare ..                | 290      |
| — v. Price ..                  | 108      |

I.

|                          |     |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Idle's case ..           | 19  |
| Ilderton v. Castrique .. | 122 |
| — v. Jewell ..           | 121 |
| Im Thurn, Hirsch v. ..   | 166 |



|  | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Ince, Parker v. . . . .                                    | 138  |
| India & London Life Assurance<br>Company, Dalby v. . . . . | 161  |
| Inge v. Moseley . . . . .                                  | 85   |
| Ingham, Simkin v. . . . .                                  | 115  |
| Ingilby, Winn v. . . . .                                   | 16   |
| Ingleback v. Nichols . . . . .                             | 122  |
| Inland Revenue, Commissioners<br>of, Sanville v. . . . .   | 275  |
| Innes, Penny v. . . . .                                    | 82   |
| Inna, Stulworth v. . . . .                                 | 172  |
| Irish Land Co., Norris v. . . . .                          | 60   |
| Irons v. Smallpiece . . . . .                              | 34   |
| Irvine, Rannie v. . . . .                                  | 86   |
| Irving v. Gray . . . . .                                   | 122  |
| — Mercer v. . . . .  | 67   |
| Iveson, Other v. . . . .                                   | 283  |

## J.

|                                |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Jackson, Butcher v. . . . .    | 252      |
| — Ex parte . . . . .           | 239      |
| — Harrison v. . . . .          | 201      |
| — v. Jackson . . . . .         | 251      |
| — v. Nichol . . . . .          | 42       |
| — Purdew v. . . . .            | 351      |
| — v. Sinclair . . . . .        | 373      |
| — v. Thompson . . . . .        | 152      |
| — Thorpe v. . . . .            | 286      |
| — Wheelwright v. . . . .       | 144      |
| — v. Woolley . . . . .         | 78, 285  |
| Jacquet v. Jacquet . . . . .   | 375      |
| Jacob, Worrall v. . . . .      | 358      |
| James v. Durant . . . . .      | 271      |
| — v. Thomas . . . . .          | 103      |
| Jamieson, In re . . . . .      | 177      |
| Janes, Edwards v. . . . .      | 78       |
| Jansen, Ex parte . . . . .     | 286, 287 |
| Jarvis, Smith v. . . . .       | 290      |
| Jeffereys v. Small . . . . .   | 279      |
| Jeffery v. Jeffery . . . . .   | 70       |
| Jefferyes, Watts v. . . . .    | 188      |
| Jefferys, Boosey v. . . . .    | 224, 227 |
| Jenkins, Perry v. . . . .      | 374      |
| — Richardson v. . . . .        | 101      |
| — v. Usborne . . . . .         | 42       |
| Jenkyn v. Vaughan . . . . .    | 272      |
| Jennings v. Brown . . . . .    | 72       |
| — Hurst v. . . . .             | 104      |
| — Rawlings v. . . . .          | 182      |
| Jervis, Radburn v. . . . .     | 181      |
| Jervoise v. Jervoise . . . . . | 845      |
| — v. Silk . . . . .            | 267      |
| Jessopp v. Watson . . . . .    | 833      |
| Jewell, Ilderton v. . . . .    | 121      |
| Johnes, Fores v. . . . .       | 86       |
| Johnson, Buckland v. . . . .   | 43       |

|                             | PAGE         |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Johnson, Cooper v. . . . .  | 170          |
| — v. Fesenmeyer . . . . .   | 126          |
| — v. Hill . . . . .         | 28           |
| — v. Johnson . . . . .      | 324          |
| — Rawson v. . . . .         | 41           |
| — Worrall v. . . . .        | 30           |
| Jones v. Bowden . . . . .   | 369          |
| — v. Bright . . . . .       | 369          |
| — Burke v. . . . .          | 375          |
| — Cleave v. . . . .         | 78           |
| — v. Davids . . . . .       | 109          |
| — Edwards v. . . . .        | 34, 273, 301 |
| — Ford v. . . . .           | 177          |
| — Hartford v. . . . .       | 28           |
| — v. How . . . . .          | 271          |
| — Macoubrey v. . . . .      | 250          |
| — Reilly v. . . . .         | 67           |
| — Scott v. . . . .          | 375          |
| — Tyler v. . . . .          | 170          |
| — Waite v. . . . .          | 86, 358      |
| Judson, Nicholls v. . . . . | 319          |

## K.

|                                    |        |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Kain, Sheppard v. . . . .          | 369    |
| Keane, Mornington v. . . . .       | 272    |
| Kearsly v. Cole . . . . .          | 285    |
| — Morris v. . . . .                | 279    |
| Kearton, Dawson v. . . . .         | 71     |
| Keating, Hanson v. . . . .         | 348    |
| — Smith v. . . . .                 | 274    |
| Keeley, Winch v. . . . .           | 112    |
| Keightley v. Watson . . . . .      | 278    |
| Keith, Brooks v. . . . .           | 271    |
| — Rees v. . . . .                  | 349    |
| Kekewich v. Manning . . . . .      | 273    |
| Kelly, Archer v. . . . .           | 271    |
| — Hughes v. . . . .                | 373    |
| Kemble v. Farren . . . . .         | 67     |
| Kemp, Chilcote v. . . . .          | 122    |
| — Philanthropic Society v. . . . . | 322    |
| Kempe v. Andrews . . . . .         | 279    |
| — v. Gibbon . . . . .              | 372    |
| Kendall, Brierley v. . . . .       | 46     |
| Kennay, Rogers v. . . . .          | 24     |
| Kennedy, Ex parte . . . . .        | 286    |
| — Molony v. . . . .                | 355    |
| Kensington v. Chandler . . . . .   | 273    |
| — v. Dolland . . . . .             | 354    |
| — Ex parte . . . . .               | 286    |
| Kenyon, Eastwood v. . . . .        | 69, 72 |
| Ker v. Lord Dungannon . . . . .    | 238    |
| Kerrison v. Cole . . . . .         | 85     |
| Kershaw, Dewhurst v. . . . .       | 122    |
| Kettlewell, Meek v. . . . .        | 70     |
| Key, Heath v. . . . .              | 110    |
| Kiddill v. Farnell . . . . .       | 368    |

## INDEX TO CASES CITED.

xxvii

|  | PAGE     |
|--|----------|
| Kidson v. Turner .. ..                             | 72       |
| Kilkenny, &c., Railway Com-<br>pany, Devereux v. . | 193      |
| ———— Hitchens v. . . .                             | 194      |
| Kimpton, Betts v. . . .                            | 347      |
| Kincaid, In re .. .                                | 348      |
| King, Gaskell v. . . .                             | 85       |
| ———— v. Rendall .. .                               | 122      |
| Kingsford v. Merry .. .                            | 35, 366  |
| Kingsley's trust, In re .. .                       | 360      |
| Kinnersley v. Mussen .. .                          | 95       |
| Kinnier, Atkyns v. . . .                           | 67, 86   |
| Kirby v. Potter.. . .                              | 317      |
| Kirk v. Blurton .. .                               | 290      |
| Kirkland, Hanbury v. . .                           | 268      |
| Kirkman v. Booth .. .                              | 289      |
| ———— Needham v. . .                                | 271      |
| Kirkpatrick v. Tattersall ..                       | 72       |
| Kirwan v. Daniel .. .                              | 274      |
| ———— Hamilton v. . . .                             | 251      |
| Kitchen, Dickinson v. . .                          | 56       |
| Knatchbull v. Fearnhead ..                         | 313      |
| Knight v. Barber .. .                              | 186, 209 |
| ———— v. Boughton .. .                              | 241      |
| ———— v. Hunt .. .                                  | 116      |
| Kruges v. Wilcox .. .                              | 31       |
| Kymer, Halford v. . . .                            | 161      |
| Kynaston, Clayton v. . .                           | 282, 285 |
| ———— Lacey v. . . .                                | 285      |
| Kyne v. Moore.. . .                                | 85       |
| Kynman, Whimham v. . .                             | 78       |
| Kynnersley, Marquis of Or-<br>mond v. . . .        | 178      |

**L.**

|                                |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Lacey v. Kynaston ..           | 285      |
| — Thompson v. ..               | 28       |
| Lack, Thompson v. ..           | 111, 285 |
| Lackington v. Atherton ..      | 41       |
| Ladbrooke, Wheelhouse v. ..    | 104      |
| Laing v. Fidgeon ..            | 370      |
| — Tucker v. ... ..             | 111      |
| Lake v. Craddock ..            | 279      |
| — Tyler v. ..                  | 355      |
| Lambert's case ..              | 290      |
| Lambert, Clough v. ..          | 358      |
| — Mason v. ..                  | 64       |
| Lampert's case ..              | 237      |
| Lampleigh v. Brathwait ..      | 69       |
| Lane v. Burghart ..            | 75       |
| — Dunnford v. ..               | 342      |
| Langdale, Lord, Ashton v. 320, | 321      |
| Langford, Avery v. ..          | 86       |
| — In re ..                     | 260      |
| Langham's trust, In re ..      | 321      |
| Langston, Wetherell v. ..      | 278      |

|                                  | PAGE       |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Lashbrook & Cock .. ..           | 281        |
| Lassels v. Cornwallis .. ..      | 246        |
| Lauderdale, Lord, Garrard v. . . | 274        |
| Lavater, Walton, v. . . . .      | 223, 281   |
| Lavell v. Hicks .. ..            | 290        |
| Lavender, Greedy v. . . . .      | 351        |
| Law v. Bagwell .. ..             | 274        |
| — v. London Indisputable         |            |
| Life Policy Company .. ..        | 161        |
| Lawence, Hall v. . . . .         | 177        |
| — v. Smith .. ..                 | 86         |
| — Sollers v. . . . .             | 65         |
| Laws, Blacklow v. . . . .        | 355        |
| Lawton v. Lawton .. ..           | 15         |
| Laythorpe & Bryant .. ..         | 77         |
| Lea, Barker v. ? .. ..           | 348        |
| Leader v. Homewood .. ..         | 14         |
| Leake v. Loveday .. ..           | 24         |
| Leake, Monys v. . . . .          | 87         |
| Lechmere v. Earl of Carlisle ..  | 263        |
| — v. Fletcher .. ..              | 78         |
| Ledsam, Russell v. . . . .       | 216        |
| Lee, Brown v. . . . .            | 110        |
| — v. Griffin .. ..               | 40         |
| — Hopkinson v. . . . .           | 278        |
| — v. Lockhart .. ..              | 116        |
| — Morris v. . . . .              | 82         |
| — v. Muggeridge .. ..            | 71         |
| — v. Pain .. ..                  | 323        |
| — v. Prieaux .. ..               | 355        |
| — Waring v. . . . .              | 260        |
| — v. Young .. ..                 | 261        |
| Leeder, Biddell v. . . . .       | 85         |
| Legg v. Evans .. ..              | 24, 30, 46 |
| Leicester, Furniss v. . . . .    | 369        |
| — v. Rose .. ..                  | 116        |
| Leighton v. Wales .. ..          | 67, 86     |
| Leith, Mant v. . . . .           | 268        |
| Lempriere, De la Garde v. . .    | 349        |
| Leonard v. Baker .. ..           | 162        |
| Leonino, Gilkes v. . . . .       | 77         |
| Lepard v. Vernon .. ..           | 368        |
| Lescher, Bain v. . . . .         | 323        |
| Leslie v. Guthrie .. ..          | 69         |
| — v. Richardson .. ..            | 171        |
| Lett, Stahlschmidt v. . . . .    | 374        |
| Le Vasseur v. Scrutton .. ..     | 342, 350   |
| Lewen v. Dodd .. ..              | 281        |
| Lewis Bowles's case .. ..        | 18         |
| — Gilbert v. . . . .             | 355        |
| — Heath v. . . . .               | 341        |
| — v. Madocks .. ..               | 271        |
| — v. Marling .. ..               | 216        |
| — Reynell v. . . . .             | 292        |
| Lickbarrow v. Mason .. ..        | 42         |
| Liford's case .. ..              | 18         |
| Limerick, Earl of, Gray v. . .   | 250        |
| Lincoln v. Windsor .. ..         | 268        |

|  | PAGE     |
|--|----------|
| Lindsall v. Thacker ..                                   | 355      |
| Lindsay v. Gibbs ..                                      | 59       |
| Lingard, Ex parte ..                                     | 178      |
| Lingen v. Sowray ..                                      | 263      |
| Linley v. Taylor ..                                      | 320      |
| Linton, Trollope v. ..                                   | 343      |
| Lisle, Agar v. ..  | 23       |
| Lister, Tidd v. ..                                       | 350      |
| Littlefield v. Shee ..                                   | 72       |
| Livsey v. Redfern ..                                     | 317      |
| Lloyd v. Ashby ..  | 290      |
| — v. Baldwin ..  | 264      |
| — Bromage v. ..  | 80       |
| — Harris v. ..   | 152, 322 |
| — v. Lloyd ..  | 341      |
| — Powell v. ..   | 117      |
| — v. Tench ..  | 333      |
| Lockhart, Lee v. ..                                      | 116      |
| — v. Reilly ..   | 109      |
| Lofthus, Ricketts v. ..                                  | 249      |
| Logan, Hopkins v. ..                                     | 69       |
| Lomas v. Wright ..                                       | 105      |
| London Dock Company, Cal-<br>vert v. ..                  | 110      |
| — — Indisputable Life Policy<br>Company, Law v. ..       | 161      |
| Londonderry & Coleraine Rail-<br>way Company, Reg. v. .. | 193      |
| — — — Graham v. ..                                       | 345      |
| Long v. Storie ..  | 87       |
| Longman v. Tripp ..                                      | 233      |
| Longstaff v. Meagoe ..                                   | 14       |
| Lonsdale, Earl of, v. Countess<br>of Berchtholdt ..      | 243      |
| — — — Rigg v. ... ..                                     | 21       |
| Lord, In re ..   | 177      |
| Love v. Gaze ..  | 325      |
| Lovencres d. Mudge v. Blight ..                          | 281      |
| Loveday, Leake v. ..                                     | 24       |
| Loveridge v. Cooper ..                                   | 377, 378 |
| Low, Edmonds v. ..                                       | 318      |
| Lowc, Banner v. ..                                       | 242      |
| Lowndes v. Collins ..                                    | 108      |
| — v. Lowndes ..  | 175      |
| Loy, Hodgson v. ..                                       | 41       |
| Loyd, Cullingworth v. ..                                 | 116      |
| Luard's case ..  | 353      |
| Lucas v. Dorrien ..                                      | 35       |
| — v. Wilson ..   | 175      |
| Lucy's case ..   | 70       |
| Lunn, Bank of England v. ..                              | 188, 190 |
| — v. Thornton ..   | 32       |
| Lushington v. Boldero ..                                 | 18       |
| Lyddon v. Ellison ..                                     | 250      |
| Lyde v. Barnard ..                                       | 79, 378  |
| — v. Russell ..  | 14       |
| Lygon v. Lord Coventry ..                                | 257      |
| Lynch v. Dalzell ..                                      | 162      |

|   | PAGE     |
|---|----------|
| Lyne, — v. . . . .  | 355      |
| Myon v. Baker . . . . .                                   | 268      |
| — v. Perrin v. . . . .                                    | 340      |
| Lyons v. De Pass . . . . .                                | 366      |
| Lyons v. Barrow . . . . .                                 | 307      |
| M.  |          |
| Maberley v. Turton . . . . .                              | 257      |
| Macarthur v. Campbell . . . . .                           | 175      |
| Macaulay, Bailey v. . . . .                               | 292      |
| Macdonald, Walker v. . . . .                              | 81       |
| Macdougall, Harnett v. . . . .                            | 357      |
| — v. Robertson . . . . .                                  | 170, 171 |
| Mackay, Bentley v. . . . .                                | 273      |
| Mackenzie v. Mackenzie . . . . .                          | 244      |
| — Sandeman v. . . . .                                     | 250      |
| Mackinnon v. Stewart . . . . .                            | 274      |
| Mackintosh v. Trotter . . . . .                           | 14       |
| — Wellington v. . . . .                                   | 165      |
| Mackrell, Holmes v. . . . .                               | 72       |
| Macleod v. Annesley . . . . .                             | 258      |
| Macnaught, v. Russell . . . . .                           | 122      |
| Macoubrey v. Jones . . . . .                              | 250      |
| Maddison, Benyon v. . . . .                               | 243      |
| Madeley, Gaters v. . . . .                                | 347      |
| Madocks, Lewis v. . . . .                                 | 271      |
| Maguire, Caulfield v. . . . .                             | 109      |
| Malcolm v. Charlesworth . . . . .                         | 349      |
| Malkin v. Adams . . . . .                                 | 125      |
| — Attorney-General v. . . . .                             | 244      |
| Mallan v. May . . . . .                                   | 85, 87   |
| Mallet, Dunicliff v. . . . .                              | 281      |
| Maltby v. Carstairs . . . . .                             | 111      |
| Man v. Shiffner . . . . .                                 | 29       |
| Manders v. Williams . . . . .                             | 26       |
| Mann, Burnet v. . . . .                                   | 333      |
| Mangles, Naylor v. . . . .                                | 29       |
| Manning's, Matthew, case . . . . .                        | 237      |
| — Kekewich v. . . . .                                     | 273      |
| Manser v. Heaver . . . . .                                | 173      |
| Mant v. Leith . . . . .                                   | 258      |
| March v. Attorney-General . . . . .                       | 320      |
| — v. Head . . . . .                                       | 348      |
| — v. Russell . . . . .                                    | 314      |
| — v. Warwick . . . . .                                    | 122      |
| Mare v. Earle . . . . .                                   | 116      |
| — v. Warner . . . . .                                     | 116      |
| Market overt, the Case of . . . . .                       | 366      |
| Markham, Bunn v. . . . .                                  | 301      |
| Marlborough, the Duke of, v. St. John . . . . .           | 65       |
| Marling, Lewis v. . . . .                                 | 216      |
| Marris, Bower v. . . . .                                  | 115      |
| Marriott v. The Anchor Reversionary Co. Limited . . . . . | 56       |
| Marsh, Archer v. . . . .                                  | 86       |
| — Stone v. . . . .  | 290, 367 |

|                                | PAGE     |                                 | PAGE         |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Marshall v. Fowler ..          | 348      | Meux v. Bell ..                 | 378          |
| — Gardner ..                   | 348      | Mexborough, Bower v. ..         | 165          |
| — Shipley v. ..                | 143      | Meyer, Hanson v. ..             | 37           |
| — Holroyd v. ..                | 33       | — v. Haworth ..                 | 72           |
| Martin, Collins v. ..          | 82       | Meyrick, Attorney General v. .. | 320          |
| — v. Crompe ..                 | 279      | M'Guire, Ashburner v. ..        | 317          |
| — Reynish v. ..                | 341      | Michell v. Michell ..           | 241          |
| — v. Sedgwick ..               | 292, 379 | Michelmores v. Mudge ..         | 350          |
| — Townsend v. ..               | 318      | Middleton, Copis v. ..          | 109          |
| Martindale, Anderson v. ..     | 278      | Miles v. Presland ..            | 188          |
| — v. Booth ..                  | 46       | Miller, Hornsby v. ..           | 46           |
| — v. Smith ..                  | 37       | — v. Miller ..                  | 34, 300      |
| — Taylor v. ..                 | 181      | — v. Race ..                    | 365          |
| Mason, Davis v. ..             | 86       | — Spackman v. ..                | 46           |
| — v. Haddan ..                 | 166      | — v. Taylor ..                  | 224          |
| — v. Lambert ..                | 64       | Mills v. Barber ..              | 79           |
| — Lickbarrow v. ..             | 42       | Milne, Walker v. ..             | 320          |
| — v. Morgan ..                 | 346      | — v. Walmsley ..                | 14           |
| — Sidwell v. ..                | 72       | Milner v. Lord Harewood ..      | 342          |
| — v. Wallis ..                 | 171      | Milvain, Chapman v. ..          | 196          |
| Mather v. Fraser ..            | 14, 47   | Minnit v. Whinery ..            | 291          |
| — v. Scott ..                  | 321      | Mitchell, Brooke v. ..          | 24, 170, 171 |
| Mathias, Gray v. ..            | 85       | — Doe d. Esdaile v. ..          | 141          |
| Matthews v. Brise ..           | 258      | — v. Ede ..                     | 35           |
| — Houghton v. ..               | 29       | — Humble v. ..                  | 186, 209     |
| Maude, Benson v. ..            | 313      | — Holmes v. ..                  | 75           |
| — Scales v. ..                 | 34       | M'Iver v. Humble ..             | 288          |
| Maunder, Wright v. ..          | 151      | M'Ivers, Howell v. ..           | 111          |
| Maw, Elwes v. ..               | 14       | M'Laine, Hoadley v. ..          | 38           |
| Mawdesley, Driver v. ..        | 274      | M'Leod v. Drummond ..           | 312          |
| Maxwell's trusts, In re ..     | 240      | M'Michael, Boydell v. ..        | 16           |
| May v. Chapman ..              | 82       | M'Neill v. Acton ..             | 289          |
| — Mallan v. ..                 | 85, 87   | Moffatt, Bank of England v. ..  | 190          |
| Mayes, Farrow v. ..            | 96       | Mollard, Bagley v. ..           | 322          |
| Mayor, Squire v. ..            | 16       | Mollett v. Wackerbarth ..       | 83           |
| Maze, Aubert v. ..             | 84       | Molony v. Kennedy ..            | 355          |
| McCulloch, Barrack v. ..       | 272      | Monkman v. Shepherdson ..       | 72           |
| McDonnell v. Hesilrige ..      | 273      | Montague v. Benedict ..         | 353          |
| McEwen v. Smith ..             | 35       | Montefiore v. Guedalla ..       | 319          |
| Meacher v. Younge ..           | 256      | Montolieu, Lady Elibank v. ..   | 348          |
| Meagoe, Longstaff v. ..        | 14       | Monys v. Leake ..               | 87           |
| Medina v. Stoughton ..         | 369      | Moodie v. Bannister ..          | 372          |
| Medlicot's case ..             | 132      | Moor v. Barham ..               | 334          |
| Meek v. Kettlewell ..          | 70       | — Stokes v. ..                  | 77           |
| Melville, Otter v. ..          | 271      | — Williams v. ..                | 73           |
| Melville, Preston v. ..        | 240      | Moore, Cramer v. ..             | 271          |
| Mentney v. Petty ..            | 334      | — v. Darton ..                  | 300          |
| Merced v. Irving ..            | 67       | — v. Frowd ..                   | 267          |
| Meredith v. Farr ..            | 322      | — Kyne v. ..                    | 85           |
| Merrett, Powell v. ..          | 336      | — v. Moore ..                   | 357          |
| Merriman, Elliott v. ..        | 312      | Morell v. Dubost ..             | 93           |
| — v. Ward ..                   | 115      | Morgan, Ex parte ..             | 116, 122     |
| Merry, Kingsford v. ..         | 35, 366  | — Mason v. ..                   | 346          |
| Meryon v. Collett ..           | 244      | — Osborn v. ..                  | 349          |
| Metcalf, Wise v. ..            | 65       | — Rickman v. ..                 | 319          |
| Methin, Morris v. ..           | 93       | — v. The Earl of Aberga-        |              |
| Metropolitan Counties, &c. So- |          | venny ..                        | 19           |
| cietv v. Brown ..              | 14       | Morley v. Attenborough ..       | 369          |

|  | PAGE     |
|--|----------|
| Morley, Bird v. . . . .                | 277, 323 |
| — v. Boothby . . . . .                 | 75       |
| — Child v. . . . .                     | 185      |
| — v. Rennoldson . . . . .              | 340, 341 |
| — Roddham v. . . . .                   | 372      |
| — Wright v. . . . .                    | 350      |
| Mornington v. Keane . . . . .          | 272      |
| Morris v. Glynn . . . . .              | 320      |
| — v. Howes . . . . .                   | 244      |
| — v. Kearsley . . . . .                | 279      |
| — v. Lee . . . . .                     | 82       |
| — v. Methin . . . . .                  | 93       |
| Morrison, Collett v. . . . .           | 161      |
| — Dutton v. . . . .                    | 117      |
| — v. Glover . . . . .                  | 211, 212 |
| Mortimore v. Mortimore . . . . .       | 258      |
| Morton v. Copeland . . . . .           | 226      |
| — Honner v. . . . .                    | 351      |
| — v. Tibbett . . . . .                 | 38       |
| Mosley, Inge v. . . . .                | 85       |
| Mosley v. Baker . . . . .              | 212      |
| Moss, Burrough v. . . . .              | 346      |
| — v. Hall . . . . .                    | 110      |
| Motley v. Downman . . . . .            | 235      |
| M'Queen v. Farquhar . . . . .          | 251      |
| Mudge, Michelmores v. . . . .          | 350      |
| Muggeridge, Lee v. . . . .             | 71       |
| Mullen v. Bowman . . . . .             | 324      |
| Munnings, Attwood v. . . . .           | 368      |
| Munro, Ex parte . . . . .              | 377      |
| Murray v. East India Company . . . . . | 374      |
| — v. Lord Elibank . . . . .            | 348, 349 |
| Mussen, Kinnerley v. . . . .           | 95       |
| Myers v. Perigall . . . . .            | 320      |

## N.

|                              |          |
|------------------------------|----------|
| Nash, Hodgson v. . . . .     | 78, 115  |
| — Sprigens v. . . . .        | 177      |
| Naylor v. Mangles . . . . .  | 29       |
| Ncate, Franklin v. . . . .   | 26       |
| Needham v. Kirkman . . . . . | 271      |
| — v. Smith . . . . .         | 271      |
| — Webb v. . . . .            | 328      |
| Neilson, Ex parte . . . . .  | 198      |
| — v. Harford . . . . .       | 220      |
| Nesham, Barry v. . . . .     | 290      |
| Netherseal, Rex v. . . . .   | 305      |
| Newall, In re . . . . .      | 216, 217 |
| Newbon, Wakefield v. . . . . | 30       |
| Newman, Page v. . . . .      | 108      |
| Newnham, Parberry v. . . . . | 171      |
| Newton, Ex parte . . . . .   | 267      |
| — v. Askew . . . . .         | 273      |
| — v. Beck . . . . .          | 11       |
| Nichol, Jackson v. . . . .   | 42       |
| Nicholls v. Judson . . . . . | 319      |

|   | PAGE     |
|---|----------|
| Nicholls v. Rosewarne . . . . .                                   | 213      |
| — v. Stretton . . . . .   | 85, 87   |
| Nichols, Ingleback v. . . . .                                     | 122      |
| — v. Norris . . . . .   | 116      |
| — v. Roe . . . . .  | 175, 176 |
| Nicholson, Potter v. . . . .                                      | 95       |
| — v. Revill . . . . .   | 110, 285 |
| Nickels v. Haslam . . . . .                                       | 220      |
| Nicolls v. Bastard . . . . .                                      | 26       |
| — Smith v. . . . .  | 99       |
| Nimmo, Ellis v. . . . .   | 70       |
| Nix, Bryans v. . . . .  | 35       |
| Nixon v. Brownlow . . . . .                                       | 194      |
| Noble, Devaynes v. . . . .  | 115, 286 |
| — Vulliamy v. . . . .   | 289      |
| Nockolds, Hunter v. . . . .                                       | 373      |
| Norbury v. Norbury . . . . .                                      | 183      |
| Norcliffe, Ambergate, &c. Rail-<br>way Company v. . . . .         | 193      |
| Norcott v. Gordon . . . . .                                       | 318      |
| Norfolk Estuary Co., Hall v. . . . .                              | 193      |
| Norman v. Baldry . . . . .  | 313      |
| — Ferguson v. . . . .   | 84       |
| — v. Phillips . . . . .   | 39       |
| — v. Thompson . . . . .   | 114      |
| Norris v. Irish Land Co. . . . .                                  | 60       |
| — Nichols v. . . . .  | 114      |
| — v. Wright . . . . .   | 259      |
| North Eastern Metropolitan<br>Asylum District, Gibbons v. . . . . | 77       |
| Northey v. Field . . . . .  | 42       |
| — v. Northey . . . . .  | 345      |
| Norton v. Frecker . . . . .                                       | 374      |
| Norwood, Elvy v. . . . .  | 373      |
| Nourse, Richardson v. . . . .                                     | 174      |
| Nugent, Blair v. . . . .  | 371      |
| — v. Gifford . . . . .  | 312      |
| Numes v. Scipio . . . . .   | 186      |

## O.

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Observer Life Assurance So-<br>ciety, Hodson v. . . . . | 160 |
| Ockenden, Ex parte . . . . .                            | 28  |
| Ogilvie v. Foljambe . . . . .                           | 76  |
| Oldfield v. Dodd . . . . .                              | 131 |
| Oldham v. Hughes . . . . .                              | 263 |
| Olive, Stephens v. . . . .                              | 358 |
| Oliver, Ex parte . . . . .                              | 116 |
| — Smith v. . . . .                                      | 321 |
| Onslow v. Onslow . . . . .                              | 335 |
| Onwhyn, Stockdale v. . . . .                            | 86  |
| Ormond (Marquis of) v. Kyn-<br>nersley . . . . .        | 178 |
| Orr v. Dickinson . . . . .                              | 57  |
| Osborn v. Morgan . . . . .                              | 349 |
| — Silk v. . . . .                                       | 147 |

# INDEX TO CASES CITED.

xxx

|                               | PAGE |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Other v. Iveson ..            | 283  |
| Otter v. Melville ..          | 271  |
| Oulton, Crallan v. ..         | 875  |
| Ouseley v. Anstruther ..      | 183  |
| Overhill's trust ..           | 322  |
| Owen, Eardley v. ..           | 271  |
| — v. Hogan ..                 | 111  |
| — v. Thomas ..                | 77   |
| Oxford, Earl of, Beavan v. .. | 188  |

## P.

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| Packman, Sloane v. ..                           | 87       |
| Page v. Bennett ..                              | 162      |
| — v. Newman ..                                  | 108      |
| — v. Page ..                                    | 323      |
| — Powles v. ..                                  | 292, 379 |
| Paget v. Foley ..                               | 373      |
| Pain, Lee v. ..                                 | 323      |
| — Ridout v. ..                                  | 174      |
| — v. Whitaker ..                                | 27       |
| Paine, Gleaves v. ..                            | 348      |
| Palles, Simmonds v. ..                          | 274      |
| Palmer, Fraser v. ..                            | 267      |
| — Hall v. ..                                    | 85       |
| — Hay v. ..                                     | 241      |
| — Vandenberg v. ..                              | 34       |
| — v. Wakefield ..                               | 353      |
| — v. Wheeler ..                                 | 251      |
| Perberry v. Newnham ..                          | 171      |
| Paris v. Paris ..                               | 240      |
| — Sparrow v. ..                                 | 67       |
| Parish, Drummond v. ..                          | 296      |
| Parker, Baldey v. ..                            | 39       |
| — Clarke v. ..                                  | 341      |
| — Hoare v. ..                                   | 238      |
| — Hunter v. ..                                  | 53       |
| — v. Ince ..                                    | 138      |
| — Sparling v. ..                                | 320      |
| — Staines v. ..                                 | 267      |
| — Watson v. ..                                  | 105      |
| Parkin v. Carruthers ..                         | 288      |
| Parkinson, Ames v. ..                           | 183      |
| Parnham v. Hurst ..                             | 112      |
| Parry, Barrett v. ..                            | 171      |
| Parsons, Bank of England v. ..                  | 190      |
| Partington, Andrews v. ..                       | 266      |
| Partridge, Wheldale v. ..                       | 262      |
| Pass, Poole v. ..                               | 269      |
| Patch, Channon v. ..                            | 18       |
| Patent Derrick Co., Thames Iron Works Co. v. .. | 28       |
| Paton v. Sheppard ..                            | 241      |
| Paulett (Lord William), Ex parte ..             | 259      |
| Pawle v. Gunn ..                                | 69, 186  |
| Paxton, Cholmely v. ..                          | 18       |

|                                       | PAGE     |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| Paxton v. Popham ..                   | 84       |
| Payne v. Deakle ..                    | 171      |
| Paynter, Adams v. ..                  | 266      |
| — v. Harrison ..                      | 113      |
| Peacock, Berriman v. ..               | 18       |
| — Hunt v. ..                          | 376      |
| — Rhodes v. ..                        | 81, 365  |
| Peake, Ex parte ..                    | 286      |
| Pearley v. Smith ..                   | 241      |
| Peasmon v. Dawson ..                  | 35, 39   |
| — Foster v. ..                        | 365      |
| Peck, Dawes v. ..                     | 39, 42   |
| Pedley v. Goddard ..                  | 175      |
| Peel v. Tatlock ..                    | 111      |
| Peer v. Huthphrey ..                  | 366      |
| Peering, Ford v. ..                   | 12       |
| Pelly v. Wathen ..                    | 30       |
| Pemberton, Ex parte ..                | 29       |
| — v. Vaughan ..                       | 86       |
| — Wortham v. ..                       | 348      |
| Pendlebury v. Walker ..               | 110, 116 |
| Pennell v. Reynolds ..                | 126      |
| Pennistone, Waterfall v. ..           | 47       |
| Penny v. Innes ..                     | 82       |
| Penoyre, Ward v. ..                   | 313      |
| Penton, Davies v. ..                  | 67       |
| Perigal, Myers v. ..                  | 320      |
| Perkins v. Bradley ..                 | 44       |
| — Groves v. ..                        | 349      |
| — Walker v. ..                        | 85       |
| Perrin v. Lyon ..                     | 340      |
| Perry, Creed v. ..                    | 352      |
| — v. Jenkins ..                       | 374      |
| — v. Skinner ..                       | 220      |
| — v. Truefit ..                       | 235      |
| Petch v. Tutin ..                     | 32       |
| Peter v. Compton ..                   | 76       |
| — v. Rich ..                          | 109      |
| Peterborough (Bishop of), Boyle v. .. | 249      |
| Petre v. Espinasse ..                 | 273      |
| Petrie v. Bury ..                     | 276      |
| Pettitt, Thompson v. ..               | 36       |
| Petty v. Anderson ..                  | 353      |
| — Mentney v. ..                       | 334      |
| — v. Styward ..                       | 280      |
| Pflegar v. Browne ..                  | 114, 116 |
| Philanthropic Society v. Kemp ..      | 322      |
| Philips v. Robinson ..                | 10       |
| Phillips, Norman v. ..                | 39       |
| — v. Phillips ..                      | 279, 281 |
| — Swan v. ..                          | 79, 378  |
| Phillipson v. Gatty ..                | 258      |
| Philpot v. Briant ..                  | 111      |
| Philpott, Ex parte ..                 | 117      |
| — v. St. George's Hospital ..         | 321      |
| Phipps, Holford v. ..                 | 269      |

|                                  | PAGE     |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Pickering v. Appleby ..          | 186      |
| — v. Busk ..                     | 368      |
| — v. Dowson ..                   | 369      |
| — Pacock & ..                    | 95       |
| Pickford, Aspinall v. ..         | 29       |
| Picton, Shaw v. ..               | 115      |
| Pidding v. How ..                | 235      |
| Pidgley v. Rawling ..            | 18       |
| Pierce v. Thornely ..            | 350      |
| Pierson v. Garnett ..            | 250      |
| Piggott, Clancey v. ..           | 75       |
| — Wilson v. ..                   | 248, 249 |
| Pigot's case ..                  | 83       |
| Pilck, Shower v. ..              | 34       |
| Pilkington, Smith v. ..          | 212      |
| Pimbley, Fisher v. ..            | 173      |
| Pinchon's case ..                | 101      |
| Pinke, Hinton v. ..              | 317      |
| Pinkney v. Hall ..               | 290      |
| Piper, Cradock v. ..             | 268      |
| Pitt, Higgins v. ..              | 116      |
| Plaice, Russell v. ..            | 312      |
| Platt v. Routh ..                | 310      |
| Plummer, In re ..                | 286      |
| Plymouth (Earl of), Ridout v. .. | 345      |
| Pocock, Brown v. ..              | 356      |
| — v. Pickering ..                | 95       |
| — Roberts, v. ..                 | 317      |
| Pole v. Harrobin ..              | 84       |
| Poole, Crofton v. ..             | 147      |
| — v. Pass ..                     | 269      |
| Pope, Seagrave v. ..             | 212      |
| Popham, Paxton v. ..             | 84       |
| Poppleton, Everard v. ..         | 95       |
| Porter, Watts v. ..              | 188      |
| Pott v. Eyton ..                 | 290      |
| Potter, Hall v. ..               | 341      |
| — Kirby v. ..                    | 317      |
| — v. Nicholson ..                | 95       |
| Powell v. Hellicar ..            | 301      |
| — v. Lloyd ..                    | 117      |
| — v. Morrett ..                  | 336      |
| — v. Rees ..                     | 64       |
| — Shafto v. ..                   | 99       |
| Power v. Barham ..               | 369      |
| Powle v. Gunn ..                 | 69       |
| Powles v. Page ..                | 292, 379 |
| Pownal, Holst v. ..              | 42       |
| France v. Sympton ..             | 72       |
| Presland, Miles v. ..            | 188      |
| Prescot, Snee v. ..              | 42       |
| Prescott v. Boucher ..           | 347      |
| — Hopkins v. ..                  | 86       |
| Preston v. Melville ..           | 240      |
| Prestwood, Durant v. ..          | 335      |
| Price v. Anderson ..             | 340      |
| — Barker v. ..                   | 285      |
| — v. Green ..                    | 67       |

|   | PAGE     |
|---|----------|
| Price Green v. C .. ..                  | 85, 87   |
| — Harrington v. .. ..                   | 10       |
| — Hewitt v. .. ..                       | 209      |
| — Hyde v. .. ..                         | 108      |
| — Prosser v. .. ..                      | 212      |
| — v. Richardson .. ..                   | 75       |
| Pride v. Fooks .. ..                    | 183, 269 |
| Prieaux, Lee v. .. ..                   | 354      |
| Pring v. Pring .. ..                    | 324      |
| Prior v. Hembrow .. ..                  | 170      |
| Pritchard v. Arbouin .. ..              | 321      |
| — Shaw v. .. ..                         | 86       |
| Proctor v. Sargeant .. ..               | 86       |
| Prosser v. Price .. ..                  | 212      |
| Proudley v. Fielder .. ..               | 355      |
| Pugh v. Stringfield .. ..               | 278      |
| — v. Taylor .. ..                       | 354      |
| Pulham, Firmin v. .. ..                 | 268      |
| Pullen v. Purbecke .. ..                | 49       |
| Purdew v. Jackson .. ..                 | 350      |
| Pye, Ridout v. .. ..                    | 172      |
| Pym v. Great Northern Railway Co. .. .. | 62       |
| — Sweet v. .. ..                        | 31       |

**Q.**

**Quartermaine v. Bittleston** .. 51

## R.

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Race, Miller v. ..         | 365 |
| Rachfield v. Careless ..   | 324 |
| Radburn v. Jervis ..       | 181 |
| Ralph, Bird v. ..          | 65  |
| Ram, Ex parte ..           | 375 |
| Ramsbottom, Harcourt v. .. | 172 |
| —— Hooper v. ..            | 12  |
| Ramsden v. Smith ..        | 271 |
| Randall v. Randall ..      | 279 |
| —— v. Russell ..           | 239 |
| Rankin, Holderness v. ..   | 50  |
| —— v. Weguelin ..          | 300 |
| Rann v. Hughes ..          | 68  |
| Rannic v. Irvine ..        | 86  |
| Ravenshaw v. Hollier ..    | 274 |
| Rawling, Pidgley v. ..     | 18  |
| Rawlings v. Jennings ..    | 182 |
| —— In re ..                | 122 |
| Rawlinson v. Clarke ..     | 290 |
| —— Williams v. ..          | 115 |
| Rawson v. Johnson ..       | 41  |
| Rawsthorn v. Arnold ..     | 175 |
| Reay, Cookson v. ..        | 263 |
| —— v. Richardson ..        | 114 |
| Redfern, Livesay v. ..     | 317 |

|   | PAGE     |                                    | PAGE     |
|---|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Reed v. Wilnot ..                                     | 46       | Ridout v. Earl of Plymouth ..      | 345      |
| Rees v. Keith ..                                      | 349      | — v. Pain ..                       | 174      |
| — Powell v. ..  | 64       | — v. Pye ..                        | 172      |
| Reeve, Beaumont v. ..                                 | 72       | Rigg v. Earl of Lonsdale ..        | 21       |
| — v. Whitmore ..                                      | 33       | Right d. Compton v. Compton ..     | 341      |
| Regina v. Londonderry and<br>Coleraine Railway Co. .. | 193      | Ripley v. Waterworth ..            | 190      |
| — v. Whitmarsh ..                                     | 196      | Rishton v. Cobb ..                 | 346      |
| Reid, Donellan v. ..                                  | 76       | Roberts, Bramah v. ..              | 291      |
| — v. Fryatt ..  | 171      | — Cave v. ..                       | 336      |
| — v. Hollingshead ..                                  | 290      | — v. Pocock ..                     | 317      |
| — Vest v. ..  | 379      | — v. Spicer ..                     | 355      |
| Reilly v. Jones ..                                    | 67       | — v. Walker ..                     | 45       |
| — Lockhart v. ..                                      | 109      | — v. Wyatt ..                      | 24       |
| Reindel v. Schell ..                                  | 67       | Robertson, Dimsdale v. ..          | 171      |
| Relph, Bird v. ..                                     | 63       | — Macdougall v. ..                 | 170, 171 |
| Rendall, King v. ..                                   | 122      | Robins, Boyd v. ..                 | 138      |
| Rennoldson, Morley v. ..                              | 340, 341 | — v. Hobbs ..                      | 122      |
| Revill, Nicholson v. ..                               | 110, 285 | Robinson, Brandon v. ..            | 356      |
| Rex v. Bardell ..                                     | 168      | — Cusack v. ..                     | 38       |
| — v. Collectors of Customs ..                         | 279      | — v. Geldard ..                    | 322      |
| — v. Greenhill ..                                     | 359      | — Philips v. ..                    | 10       |
| — v. Hill ..  | 171      | — v. Reynolds ..                   | 82       |
| — v. Netherseal ..                                    | 305      | — v. Robinson ..                   | 183, 258 |
| — v. Sankey ..  | 30       | Roddam v. Morley ..                | 372      |
| — v. Sherrington ..                                   | 359      | Rodway, Sanders v. ..              | 358      |
| — v. Wheeler ..                                       | 220      | Roe, Nichols v. ..                 | 175, 176 |
| Reynell v. Lewis ..                                   | 292      | Rogers, Abbott v. ..               | 197      |
| Reynish v. Martin ..                                  | 341      | — v. Acaster ..                    | 352      |
| Reynolds, Bodley v. ..                                | 43       | — Chaplin v. ..                    | 34       |
| — v. Hall ..  | 50       | — v. Kennay ..                     | 24       |
| — v. Pennell v. ..                                    | 126      | Rogers's trusts ..                 | 242      |
| — Robinson v. ..                                      | 82       | Rolle, Ryall v. ..                 | 46       |
| Rhodes, Howard v. ..                                  | 268      | Rose, Leicester v. ..              | 116      |
| — Peacock v. ..                                       | 81, 365  | Rosewarne, Nicholls v. ..          | 213      |
| — v. Smeethurst ..                                    | 374      | Rosier, Shackell v. ..             | 69       |
| Rice v. Shute ..                                      | 285      | Ross, Bateman v. ..                | 358      |
| — Weall v. ..   | 319      | Ross's trust ..                    | 357      |
| Rich, Peter v. ..                                     | 310      | Rossiter, Hooper v. ..             | 240      |
| Richards v. Heather ..                                | 283      | Rouch v. Great Western Ry. Co. ..  | 441      |
| — v. Richards ..                                      | 347      | Round, Addison v. ..               | 24       |
| — Wilding v. ..                                       | 274      | Roundell v. Breary ..              | 272      |
| Richardson v. Bank of England ..                      | 195      | Routh, Platt v. ..                 | 310      |
| — v. Brown ..   | 369      | Routledge v. Dorril ..             | 251, 252 |
| — Ex parte ..   | 289      | — v. Grant ..                      | 77       |
| — v. Gilbert ..                                       | 226      | Rowlands, Cope v. ..               | 84       |
| — v. Greese ..  | 319      | Rowlandson, Ex parte ..            | 290      |
| — v. Horton ..  | 283      | Rowles, Ryall v. ..                | 34, 46   |
| — v. Jenkins ..                                       | 101      | Royal Mail Co., European Co. v. .. | 56       |
| — Leslie v. ..  | 171      | Ruddell v. Dobree ..               | 301      |
| — v. Nourse ..  | 174      | Rudge v. Winnall ..                | 17       |
| — Price v. ..   | 75       | Rushforth v. Hadfield ..           | 29       |
| — Reay v. ..  | 114      | Russell, Douglass v. ..            | 59       |
| — Warwick v. ..                                       | 282      | — Huntley v. ..                    | 65       |
| Ricketts, Griffiths v. ..                             | 264, 274 | — v. Ledsam ..                     | 215      |
| — v. Loftus ..  | 249      | — v. Lyde ..                       | 14       |
| Rickman v. Morgan ..                                  | 319      | — Macnaught v. ..                  | 122      |
| Ridgway v. Clare ..                                   | 286      | — March v. ..                      | 314      |
| W.P.P. ..   | •        | — v. Plaipe ..                     | 312      |



|  | PAGE     |   | PAGE     |
|--|----------|---|----------|
| Russell, Randall v. . . . .                                  | 239      | Scott v. Van Sandau . . . .                                 | 168      |
| — v. Smith . . . . .   | 220      | Scottish Union, &c. Simpson v. .                            | 162      |
| Rust v. Cooper . . . . .                                     | 144      | Scrutton, Le Vasseur v. . . .                               | 342, 350 |
| Rutland, Duke of, v. Duchess<br>of Rutland . . . . .         | 334      | Seagrave v. Pope . . . . .                                  | 212      |
| Ryall v. Rolle . . . . .                                     | 46       | Seaton v. Benedict . . . . .                                | 353      |
| — v. Rowles . . . . .  | 34, 46   | Sedgwick, Martin v. . . . .                                 | 292, 379 |
| S.   |          | Selby v. Selby . . . . .                                    | 76       |
| Saddlers' Company v. Badcock                                 | 162      | Self, Fleming v. . . . .                                    | 212      |
| Sainter v. Ferguson . . . .                                  | 67       | Seton, Clarke v. . . . .                                    | 103      |
| Salkeld, In re . . . . .                                     | 177      | Sewell, Stickney v. . . . .                                 | 253      |
| Saloon Omnibus Company,<br>Hale v. . . . .                   | 49       | Shackell v. Rosier . . . . .                                | 69       |
| Salt, Stead v. . . . .                                       | 291      | Shafto v. Powell . . . . .                                  | 99       |
| Samon's case . . . . .                                       | 173      | Shalmer, Spalting v. . . . .                                | 264      |
| Samuda, Zwinger v. . . . .                                   | 35       | Shaw, Badger v. . . . .                                     | 47       |
| Samuel v. Duke . . . . .                                     | 366      | — v. Evans . . . . .  | 93       |
| — v. Howarth . . . . .                                       | 110      | — Hodgson v. . . . .  | 109      |
| Sandeman v. Mackenzie . . .                                  | 250      | — v. Pictou . . . . .                                       | 115      |
| Sanders, Bloxham v. . . . .                                  | 41, 43   | — v. Pritchard . . . . .                                    | 87       |
| — v. Rodway . . . . .  | 358      | Shee, Clarke v. . . . .                                     | 365      |
| Sanderson v. Bell . . . . .                                  | 28       | — Littlefield v. . . . .                                    | 72       |
| Sandwich, Case of Lord . . .                                 | 252      | Shelley, Gill v. . . . .                                    | 322      |
| Sandys, Warburton v. . . . .                                 | 266      | Shepherd, Cooper v. . . . .                                 | 43       |
| Sanger, Cullen v. . . . .                                    | 273      | — Zachary v. . . . .  | 175      |
| Sankey, Rex v. . . . .                                       | 30       | Shepherdson, Monkman v. . .                                 | 72       |
| Sanville v. The Commissioners<br>of Inland Revenue . . . . . | 275      | Shepley v. Davis . . . . .                                  | 37       |
| Sargeant, Proctor v. . . . .                                 | 86       | Sheppard v. Duke . . . . .                                  | 371      |
| Sargent, Higgins v. . . . .                                  | 108      | Sheppard v. Kain . . . . .                                  | 369      |
| Saunders, De Mautort v. . . .                                | 286      | — Paton v. . . . .  | 241      |
| — Tollit v. . . . .  | 178      | Sherrard v. Sherrard . . . .                                | 241      |
| — v. Topp . . . . .  | 39       | Sherrington, Rex v. . . . .                                 | 359      |
| — v. Wakefield . . . . .                                     | 75       | — v. Yates . . . . .  | 346      |
| Savage, Browne v. . . . .                                    | 378      | Sherwood, In re . . . . .                                   | 267      |
| Savill v. Barchard . . . . .                                 | 29       | Shewen v. Vanderhorst . . .                                 | 374      |
| Sawyer, Whittem v. . . . .                                   | 348      | Shiffner, Man v. . . . .                                    | 29       |
| Sayers, Horton v. . . . .                                    | 165      | Shilling v. Accidental Death<br>Insurance Company . . . . . | 160      |
| Scales v. Maude . . . . .                                    | 34       | Shipbrook, Lord, v. Lord Hinch-<br>inbrook . . . . .        | 268      |
| Scarborough v. Borman . . .                                  | 356      | Shipley v. Marshall . . . . .                               | 143      |
| Scarpellini v. Atcheson . . .                                | 347      | Shipman, Bush v. . . . .                                    | 116      |
| Scarsbrook, Edwards v. . . .                                 | 97       | Shore, Lady v. Billingsley . .                              | 277      |
| Scattergood v. Sylvester . .                                 | 367      | Short, Brewin v. . . . .                                    | 141      |
| Schall, Reindel v. . . . .                                   | 67       | Shortland, Ex parte . . . . .                               | 273      |
| Schwabe, Clift v. . . . .                                    | 160      | Shower v. Pilck . . . . .                                   | 34       |
| Scipio, Nunes v. . . . .                                     | 186      | Shute, Rice v. . . . .                                      | 285      |
| Scott v. Avery . . . . .                                     | 166      | Shuttleworth v. Greaves . .                                 | 317      |
| — v. Champenown . . . . .                                    | 30       | — — Wigg v. . . . .   | 85       |
| — v. Corporation of Liverpool                                | 166      | Sibree v. Tripp . . . . .                                   | 114      |
| — Driver v. . . . .  | 269      | Sidwell v. Mason . . . . .                                  | 72       |
| — Drury v. . . . .   | 271      | Silk, Jervoise v. . . . .                                   | 257      |
| — Evans v. . . . .   | 254      | — v. Osborn . . . . .                                       | 147      |
| — v. Jones . . . . .   | 775      | Simmonds v. Palles . . . . .                                | 274      |
| — v. Lord Hastings . . . . .                                 | 188      | Simmons, Farebrother v. . .                                 | 40       |
| — Mather v. . . . .  | 321      | — v. Gutteridge . . . . .                                   | 303      |
| — v. Spashitt . . . . .                                      | 348, 349 | Simond v. Hibbert . . . . .                                 | 29       |
|  |          | Simpson, Cowell v. . . . .                                  | 29, 31   |
|  |          | — v. Scottish Union, &c. . . .                              | 162      |
|  |          | — Thompson v. . . . .                                       | 251      |

# INDEX TO CASES CITED.

XXXV

|                             | PAGE     |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Sims v. Thomas ..           | 272, 273 |
| Simon v. Ingham ..          | 115      |
| Sinclair v. Jackson ..      | 373      |
| Skarf v. Soulbey ..         | 272      |
| Skerrat, Ex parte ..        | 273      |
| Skey v. Barnes ..           | 254      |
| Skilern, Andes v. ..        | 277      |
| Skinner, Braithwaite v. ..  | 6        |
| — Perry v. ..               | 220      |
| — v. Upshaw ..              | 28       |
| Skip, West v. ..            | 34       |
| Slatter v. Slatter ..       | 358      |
| Sleech v. Thorington ..     | 317      |
| Slingsby's case ..          | 276, 278 |
| Sloane v. Packman ..        | 87       |
| Smales v. Wright ..         | 177      |
| Small, Churchill v. ..      | 12       |
| — Jeffereys v. ..           | 279      |
| Smallpiece, Irons v. ..     | 34       |
| Smarte v. Edsun ..          | 283      |
| Smethurst, Rhodes v. ..     | 374      |
| Smith, Antrobus v. ..       | 34       |
| — v. Bond ..                | 103      |
| — v. Bruning ..             | 341      |
| — Carpenter v. ..           | 216      |
| — v. Chichester ..          | 30       |
| — v. Clarke ..              | 81       |
| — Farmer v. ..              | 212      |
| — Fox v. ... ..             | 173      |
| — Grace v. ..               | 289      |
| — v. Hurst ..               | 274      |
| — Hutchings v. ..           | 350      |
| — v. Jarvis ..              | 290      |
| — v. Keating ..             | 274      |
| — Lawrence v. ..            | 86       |
| — M'Ewen v. ..              | 35       |
| — Martindale v. ..          | 37       |
| — Needham v. ..             | 271      |
| — v. Nicolls ..             | 99       |
| — v. Oliver ..              | 321      |
| — Pearley v. ..             | 241      |
| — v. Pilkington ..          | 212      |
| — Ramsden v. ..             | 271      |
| — Russell v. ..             | 226      |
| — v. Smith ..               | 314, 378 |
| — Vernon v. ..              | 162      |
| — Whitmore v. ..            | 172      |
| — Williams v. ..            | 366      |
| Smithard, Cornforth v. ..   | 72       |
| Snee v. Prescott ..         | 42       |
| Snellgrove v. Baily ..      | 300      |
| Snow, Goddard v. ..         | 354      |
| — Willbraham v. ..          | 24       |
| Soane, Conduitt v. ..       | 238      |
| Sollers v. Lawrence ..      | 65       |
| Somerville, Hotham v. ..    | 12       |
| Somes, British Empire Ship- |          |
| ping Company v. ..          | 28       |

|                                  | PAGE     |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Sothorn, Swanwick v. ..          | 37       |
| Souch v. Strawbridge ..          | 76       |
| Soulby, Skarf v. ..              | 272      |
| South Carolina Bank v. Case ..   | 291      |
| Sowray, Lingen v. ..             | 263      |
| Spackman v. Miller ..            | 46       |
| Spalding, Alsager v. ..          | 176      |
| — v. Shalmer ..                  | 264      |
| Sparling v. Parker ..            | 320      |
| Sparrow, Ex parte ..             | 46       |
| — Farmer v. ..                   | 212      |
| — v. Paris ..                    | 67       |
| Spashett, Scott v. ..            | 348, 349 |
| Spencer, Hill v. ..              | 85       |
| — v. Spencer ..                  | 250      |
| Spettigue, White v. ..           | 366      |
| Spicer, Roberts v. ..            | 355      |
| Spiers, Thompson v. ..           | 377, 379 |
| Spottiswoode's case ..           | 293      |
| Sprague, Ex parte ..             | 287      |
| Sprigens v. Nash ..              | 177      |
| Squire, Mayor v. ..              | 16       |
| — v. Whitton ..                  | 110      |
| Stafford, Earl of, v. Buckley .. | 181      |
| Stahlschmidt v. Lett ..          | 374      |
| Stainbank, Davies v. ..          | 110      |
| Stallwood, Tharpe v. ..          | 329      |
| Stalworth v. Inns ..             | 172      |
| Stamford, Heard v. ..            | 353      |
| Stamper v. Barker ..             | 358      |
| Stanes v. Parker ..              | 267      |
| Staniland v. Willot ..           | 301      |
| Stanley v. Bernes ..             | 298      |
| — Crossfield v. ..               | 98       |
| Stannard, Angier v. ..           | 269      |
| Stansfeld v. Cubitt ..           | 47       |
| Stanton v. Hall ..               | 350      |
| Statham, Adam v. ..              | 173      |
| Stead, Barker v. ..              | 292      |
| — v. Salt ..                     | 291      |
| Steadman v. Hockley ..           | 30       |
| Steele, Swan v. ..               | 290      |
| Steinmitz v. Halthin ..          | 349      |
| Stephens, Edgeberry v. ..        | 218      |
| — Hart v. ..                     | 347      |
| — v. Olive ..                    | 358      |
| Sterling, Ex parte ..            | 29       |
| Stevenson v. Blakelock ..        | 29       |
| — Toft v. ..                     | 373      |
| St. George's Hospital, Phil-     |          |
| pott v. ..                       | 321      |
| St. John, Lord, v. Boughton ..   | 371      |
| — v. Lady St. John ..            | 358, 359 |
| — Marlborough, ..                |          |
| — The Duke of, v. ..             | 65       |
| Steward v. Greaves ..            | 196      |
| Stewart, Hitchman v. ..          | 110      |

|                              | PAGE     |   | T.       | PAGE |
|------------------------------|----------|---|----------|------|
| Stewart Mackinnon v. . .     | 274      | Taggart, Carter v. . .                                | 241, 349 |      |
| Stickney v. Sewell . .       | 258      | Tapfield v. Hillman . .                               | 32       |      |
| Stiff, Casell v. . .         | 232      | Tappenden v. Burgess . .                              | 117      |      |
| Stockdale v. Onwhyn . .      | 86       | Tate v. Hibbert . .                                   | 300      |      |
| Stocken v. Stucken . .       | 256      | Tatlock, Pöel v. . .                                  | 111      |      |
| Stocker v. Brockelbank . .   | 290      | Tattersall, Kirkpatrick v. .                          | 72       |      |
| Stokes, Brice v. . .         | 268      | Taunton, Wood v. . .                                  | 178      |      |
| — v. Holden . .              | 45       | Taylor v. Haygarth . .                                | 336      |      |
| — v. Moor . .                | 77       | — Linley v. . .                                       | 320      |      |
| Stone v. Marsh . .           | 250, 367 | — v. Martindale . .                                   | 181      |      |
| Storie, Long v. . .          | 87       | — Miller v. . .                                       | 224      |      |
| Stoughton, Medina v. . .     | 369      | — v. Pugh . .   | 351      |      |
| Stoveld v. Hughes . .        | 34       | — In re . .   | 359      |      |
| Strafford, Lord, Byng v. .   | 242      | — Tetley v. . .                                       | 122      |      |
| Strathmore, Countess of v. . |          | — v. Turnbull . .                                     | 189      |      |
| — Bowes . .                  | 354      | — Wallis v. . .                                       | 244      |      |
| Stratton v. Grimes . .       | 341      | — Waters v. . .                                       | 163      |      |
| Strawbridge, South v. . .    | 76       | Tebbs v. Carpenter . .                                | 183      |      |
| Streathfield v. Halliday . . | 284      | Teesdale, Dickinson v. . .                            | 375      |      |
| Stretton, Nicholls v. . .    | 85, 87   | Tempest v. Tempest . .                                | 322      |      |
| Stringfield, Pugh v. . .     | 278      | Templeton v. Warrington . .                           | 251      |      |
| Strode v. Blackburne . .     | 12       | Tench, Lloyd v. . .                                   | 333      |      |
| Strutt, Deeks v. . .         | 6        | Tetley v. Taylor . .                                  | 122      |      |
| — Galsworthy v. . .          | 67       | Teynham, Lord, v. Webb . .                            | 250      |      |
| Stuart v. Burrowes . .       | 306      | Thacker, Lindsall v. . .                              | 355      |      |
| — Grey v. . .                | 271      | Thames Iron Works Company v. Patent Derrick Company . | 28       |      |
| Stubbs, Hughes v. . .        | 274      | Tharpe v. Stallwood . .                               | 329      |      |
| Studdy, Churchward v. . .    | 21       | Thomas v. Desanges . .                                | 141      |      |
| Sturges v. Champneys . .     | 348      | — James v. . .  | 103      |      |
| — Welchman v. . .            | 329      | — Owen v. . .   | 77       |      |
| Sturgis v. Darell . .        | 374      | — Sims v. . .   | 272, 373 |      |
| Styles v. Guy . .            | 268      | Thomason v. Frere . .                                 | 280      |      |
| Styward, Petty v. . .        | 280      | Thompson v. Dominy . .                                | 59       |      |
| Sumner, Gambart v. . .       | 229      | — Farrant v. . .                                      | 16       |      |
| Sunbolf v. Alford . .        | 28       | — Fraser v. . .                                       | 70       |      |
| Sutton, Ex parte . .         | 132      | — v. Griffin . .                                      | 256      |      |
| — v. Buck . .                | 26       | — Hill v. . .   | 218, 220 |      |
| — Fitch v. . .               | 114      | — Jackson v. . .                                      | 152      |      |
| Swallow v. Binns . .         | 254      | — v. Lacey . .  | 28       |      |
| Swan v. Steele . .           | 290      | — v. Lack . .   | 111, 285 |      |
| Swann v. Phillips . .        | 79, 378  | — Norman v. . .                                       | 114      |      |
| Swans, The case of . .       | 19       | — v. Pettit . .                                       | 36       |      |
| Swanwick v. Sothorn . .      | 37       | — v. Simpson . .                                      | 251      |      |
| Swayne v. Swayne . .         | 378      | — v. Spiers . .                                       | 377, 378 |      |
| Sweet v. Benning . .         | 226      | — v. Symonds . .                                      | 229      |      |
| — v. Pym . .                 | 31       | — v. Thompson . .                                     | 320      |      |
| Swinburne, Craythorne v. .   | 110      | Thompson's trusts . .                                 | 45       |      |
| Swinerton, Heming v. . .     | 160      | Thorington, Sleech v. . .                             | 317      |      |
| Swinton, Willoughby v. . .   | 104      | Thorneley, Pierce v. . .                              | 350      |      |
| Sworder, Castle v. . .       | 39       | Thornton, Lunn v. . .                                 | 32       |      |
| Sylvester, Scattergood v. .  | 367      | Thorpe, Glynn v. . .                                  | 100      |      |
| Symes, Balch v. . .          | 30       | — v. Jackson . .                                      | 286      |      |
| Symonds, Thompson v. . .     | 229      | — Williams v. . .                                     | 377, 379 |      |
| — Williams v. . .            | 378      | Thurlow, Cunynghame v. .                              | 252      |      |
| Sympson, Prouce v. . .       | 12       | Tibbett, Morton v. . .                                | 38       |      |
| Synge, Howe v. . .           | 85       | Tidd v. Lister . .                                    | 350      |      |

|                                       | PAGE     |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| Tidswell v. Angerstein ..             | 161      |
| Tipping v. Tipping ..                 | 345      |
| Todd v. Wilson ..                     | 267      |
| Toft v. Stephenson ..                 | 373      |
| Tollit v. Saunders ..                 | 178      |
| Tolson v. Dykes ..                    | 155      |
| Tomlin v. Mayor of Fordwich ..        | 173      |
| Topham, Duncan v. ..                  | 77       |
| — Ex parte ..                         | 139      |
| Topp, Saunders v. ..                  | 39       |
| Townroe, Wightman v. ..               | 289      |
| Townsend v. Martin ..                 | 318      |
| Townshend, Lord, v. Windham ..        | 345      |
| Travers v. Travers ..                 | 271      |
| Tripp, Longman v. ..                  | 233      |
| — Sibree v. ..                        | 114      |
| Trollope v. Linton ..                 | 343      |
| Trotter, Mackintosh v. ..             | 14       |
| Truefit, Perry v. ..                  | 235      |
| Trueman v. Fenton ..                  | 72       |
| Trye v. Gloucester, Corporation of .. | 321      |
| — Williams v. ..                      | 209      |
| Tucker, Hayter v. ..                  | 320      |
| — v. Laing ..                         | 111      |
| Tuer v. Turner ..                     | 353      |
| Tugman v. Hopkins ..                  | 355      |
| Tullett v. Armstrong ..               | 356      |
| Tupper, Bamfield v. ..                | 78       |
| Turnbull, Godfrey v. ..               | 288      |
| — Taylor v. ..                        | 189      |
| Turner, Kidson v. ..                  | 72       |
| — Tuer v. ..                          | 353      |
| — v. Turner ..                        | 181, 255 |
| — v. Vaughan ..                       | 85       |
| — v. Ward ..                          | 34       |
| — v. Wood ..                          | 301      |
| Turton, Maberley v. ..                | 257      |
| Tutin, Petch v. ..                    | 32       |
| Tutton, Holmes v. ..                  | 113      |
| Twynam, Coope v. ..                   | 110      |
| Twyne's case ..                       | 45, 71   |
| Tyler v. Jones ..                     | 170      |
| — v. Lake ..                          | 355      |
| Tyndall, Attorney-General v. ..       | 321      |
| Tyre, Williams v. ..                  | 209      |

U.

|                        |     |
|------------------------|-----|
| Upfill's case ..       | 293 |
| Upshaw, Skinner v. ..  | 28  |
| Usborne, Jenkyns v. .. | 42  |

V.

|                        |     |
|------------------------|-----|
| Valpy, Dickinson v. .. | 291 |
| Van v. Barnett ..      | 263 |

|  | PAGE       |
|--|------------|
| Vandenberg v. Pahrer ..                | 34         |
| Vandeputt, Wiseman v. ..               | 42         |
| Vanderhorst, Shewen v. ..              | 374        |
| Van Sandau, Scott v. ..                | 168        |
| Vansittart v. Vansittart ..            | 359        |
| Vaughan, Grant v. ..                   | 365        |
| — Jenkin v. ..                         | 272        |
| — Pemberton v. ..                      | 86         |
| — Turner v. ..                         | 85         |
| — Walmsley v. ..                       | 249        |
| Vawdry, Cartwright v. ..               | 322        |
| Vawser, Brown v. ..                    | 179        |
| Veal v. Veal ..                        | 300        |
| Venables v. East India Com-<br>pany .. | 304        |
| Vere v. Ashby ..                       | 290        |
| Vernon, Davis v. ..                    | 10, 12, 30 |
| — Lepard v. ..                         | 368        |
| — v. Smith ..                          | 162        |
| Vick, Edelman v. ..                    | 235        |
| Viner v. Francis ..                    | 323        |
| Vulliamy v. Noble ..                   | 289        |

W.

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Wade v. Dowling ..        | 173      |
| Wackerbath, Mollett v. .. | 83       |
| Wain v. Walters ..        | 74       |
| Wainwright, Barclay v. .. | 240      |
| Wainman v. Kynman ..      | 78       |
| Wainsford, Warner v. ..   | 329      |
| Waite v. Jones ..         | 86       |
| — Jones v. ..             | 358      |
| Wakefield v. Brown ..     | 278      |
| — v. Newbon ..            | 30       |
| — Palmer v. ..            | 375      |
| — Saunders v. ..          | 75       |
| Wales, Leighton v. ..     | 67, 86   |
| Walford, Dyke v. ..       | 327      |
| Walker v. Giles ..        | 212      |
| — v. Macdonald ..         | 81       |
| — v. Milne ..             | 320      |
| — Pendlebury v. ..        | 110, 116 |
| — Perkins v. ..           | 85       |
| — Roberts v. ..           | 45       |
| — Woodmeston v. ..        | 356      |
| — Young v. ..             | 174      |
| Wall, Harris v. ..        | 73       |
| Wallace v. Auldjo ..      | 349      |
| — v. Woodgate ..          | 28       |
| Wallis, Binnington v. ..  | 70, 85   |
| — v. Day ..               | 86       |
| — Fordham v. ..           | 78       |
| — v. Hodson ..            | 334      |
| — Mason v. ..             | 171      |
| — v. Taylor ..            | 244      |
| Walmsley v. Vaughan ..    | 249      |

|                             | PAGE     |                                    | PAGE |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|------|
| Walrond v. Walrond ..       | 359      | Welchman, In re ..                 | 348  |
| Walsh, Gale v. ..           | 81       | — v. Sturgis ..                    | 329  |
| — v. Whitcomb ..            | 112      | Weld, Graves v. ..                 | 17   |
| Walter, Adcock v. ..        | 122      | Weldon v. Gould ..                 | 29   |
| — v. Hodge ..               | 300      | Welland, Balfour v. ..             | 264  |
| — Idle's case ..            | 18       | Wellesley v. Beaufort, Duke of, .. | 359  |
| Walters, Bevan v. ..        | 28       | — v. Wellesley ..                  | 272  |
| Walton, Hitchman v. ..      | 14, 16   | Wellington v. Mackintosh ..        | 165  |
| — v. Lavater ..             | 223, 281 | Wells v. Horton ..                 | 76   |
| Wane, Cumber v. ..          | 114      | Wennall v. Adney ..                | 72   |
| Warburton v. Hill ..        | 189      | West v. Burney ..                  | 251  |
| — v. Sandys ..              | 266      | — v. Reid ..                       | 379  |
| Ward, Bainton v. ..         | 246      | — v. Skip ..                       | 34   |
| — v. Beck ..                | 54       | Westland, Wiseman v. ..            | 12   |
| — v. Byrne ..               | 86       | Westmacott, Harmer v. ..           | 233  |
| — v. Combe ..               | 240      | Westmeath, Marquis of, Hind-       |      |
| —, Merriman v. ..           | 115      | ley v. ..                          | 357  |
| — v. Penoyre ..             | 313      | Weston, Foster v. ..               | 108  |
| — v. Turner ..              | 34       | Wetherell v. Langston ..           | 278  |
| — v. Yates ..               | 349      | — v. Wilson ..                     | 257  |
| Warde, Bristow v. ..        | 250      | Whale v. Booth ..                  | 312  |
| — In re ..                  | 260      | Whalley, Carter v. ..              | 288  |
| — Dudley v. ..              | 15       | Wheatcroft v. Hickman ..           | 290  |
| Warden v. Ashburner ..      | 241      | Wheeler, Bushel v. ..              | 38   |
| Waring v. Lee ..            | 250      | — Doe d. Stace v. ..               | 303  |
| Walters, Wain v. ..         | 72       | — Palmer v. ..                     | 251  |
| Warner, Mare v. ..          | 116      | — Rex v. ..                        | 220  |
| — v. Wainsford ..           | 329      | Wheelhouse v. Ladbroke ..          | 104  |
| Warrington, Templeton v. .. | 254      | Wheelwright v. Jackson ..          | 144  |
| Warwick, Countess of, Ed-   |          | Wheldale v. Partridge ..           | 262  |
| wards v. ..                 | 242      | Whinery, Minnit v. ..              | 291  |
| — March v. ..               | 122      | Whitaker, Pain v. ..               | 27   |
| — v. Richardson ..          | 282      | Whitcomb, Walsh v. ..              | 112  |
| Waterfall v. Pennistone ..  | 47       | Whitmore, Reeve v. ..              | 33   |
| Waterhouse, Close v. ..     | 29       | White, Acton v. ..                 | 357  |
| Waterpark, Young v. ..      | 248      | — Blake v. ..                      | 110  |
| Waters v. Taylor ..         | 165      | — Corbitt v. ..                    | 138  |
| Waterworth, Ripley v. ..    | 190      | — v. Grane ..                      | 257  |
| Wathen, Pelly v. ..         | 30       | — v. Spettigue ..                  | 366  |
| Watson v. Birch ..          | 371      | Whitfield v. Bewitt ..             | 18   |
| — Jessopp v. ..             | 333      | Whitmarsh, Regina v. ..            | 196  |
| — Keightley v. ..           | 278      | Whitmore v. Smith ..               | 172  |
| — v. Parker ..              | 105      | Whittaker v. Howe ..               | 86   |
| Watt v. Watt ..             | 355      | Whittem v. Sawyer ..               | 348  |
| Watts v. Girdlestone ..     | 183, 269 | Whittingstall v. Grover ..         | 286  |
| — v. Jefferyes ..           | 188      | Whittle v. Henning ..              | 352  |
| — v. Potter ..              | 188      | Whitton, Squire v. ..              | 110  |
| Waugh v. Carver ..          | 289, 291 | Whorwood, Cooke v. ..              | 173  |
| Way v. Bassett ..           | 286      | Wigg v. Shuttleworth ..            | 85   |
| Weall v. Rice ..            | 319      | Wightman, Hodgson v. ..            | 116  |
| Weatherby, Brown v. ..      | 286      | — v. Townroe ..                    | 289  |
| Webb v. Fox ..              | 147      | Wilbraham v. Snow ..               | 24   |
| — v. Grace ..               | 341      | Wilcox, Kruges v. ..               | 31   |
| — v. Hewitt ..              | 111      | Wild v. Clarkson ..                | 103  |
| — v. Needham ..             | 328      | Wilding v. Richards ..             | 274  |
| — Teynham, Lord, v. ..      | 250      | Wildman v. Wildman ..              | 182  |
| Webster v. Webster ..       | 289      | Wiles v. Gresham ..                | 261  |
| Weguelin, Rankin v. ..      | 300      | Wilkins, Bristead v. ..            | 189  |

|  | P        | GE  |                          | PAGE     |
|--|----------|-----|--------------------------|----------|
| Wilkins v. Bromhead ..                             | ..       | 37  | Wombwell v. Harroft ..   | 248, 249 |
| Wilkinson v. Adam ..                               | ..       | 322 | Wood v. Adcock ..        | .. 173   |
| — v. Byers ..                                      | ..       | 114 | — v. Dixie ..            | .. 49    |
| — v. Candlish ..                                   | ..       | 125 | — Ex parte ..            | 51, 130  |
| — v. Henderson ..                                  | ..       | 286 | — Gornley v. ..          | .. 267   |
| Willet v. Chambers ..                              | ..       | 280 | — v. Taunton ..          | .. 178   |
| Williams v. Burgess ..                             | ..       | 96  | — v. Turner ..           | .. 301   |
| — Eads v. ..                                       | ..       | 173 | — v. Wood ..             | .. 173   |
| — Ex parte ..                                      | ..       | 257 | Woodgate, Acton v. ..    | .. 274   |
| — v. Evans ..                                      | ..       | 14  | — Wallace v. ..          | .. 28    |
| — v. Frost ..                                      | ..       | 215 | Woodhead, Creswick v. .. | .. 303   |
| — v. Hayward ..                                    | ..       | 212 | Woodmeston v. Walke ..   | .. 356   |
| — v. Henshaw ..                                    | ..       | 277 | Woods v. Foote ..        | .. 122   |
| — Manders v. ..                                    | ..       | 26  | Woolfit, Cooper v. ..    | .. 17    |
| — v. Moore ..                                      | ..       | 73  | Woolley, Jackson v. ..   | 78, 285  |
| — v. Rawlinson ..                                  | ..       | 115 | Worrall v. Jacob ..      | .. 358   |
| — v. Smith ..                                      | ..       | 366 | — v. Johnson ..          | .. 30    |
| — v. Symonds ..                                    | ..       | 378 | Wortham v. Pemberton ..  | .. 343   |
| — v. Thorpe ..                                     | 377, 379 |     | Wren v. Bradley ..       | .. 358   |
| — v. Tyre ..                                       | ..       | 209 | Wright, Cook v. ..       | .. 70    |
| Williamson, Chamberlain v. ..                      | ..       | 63  | — Lomas v. ..            | .. 105   |
| Willing v. Baine ..                                | ..       | 277 | — v. Maunder ..          | .. 151   |
| Willis v. Black ..                                 | ..       | 271 | — v. Morley ..           | .. 350   |
| — v. De Castro ..                                  | ..       | 285 | — Norris v. ..           | .. 259   |
| — v. Hiscox ..                                     | 268, 269 |     | — Smailes v. ..          | .. 177   |
| Willomatt, Cooper v. ..                            | ..       | 27  | Wrightson v. Bywater ..  | .. 173   |
| Willott, Staniland v. ..                           | ..       | 301 | Wyatt, Roberts v. ..     | .. 24    |
| Willoughby, Poljambe v. ..                         | ..       | 257 | Wynne, Hughes v. ..      | 103, 375 |
| — v. Swinton ..                                    | ..       | 104 |                          |          |
| Wilmer v. Currey ..                                | ..       | 283 |                          |          |
| Wilnot, Reed ..                                    | ..       | 46  |                          |          |
| Wilmshurst v. Bowker ..                            | ..       | 43  |                          |          |
| Wilson v. Brownsmith ..                            | ..       | 318 |                          |          |
| — Lucas v. ..                                      | ..       | 175 |                          |          |
| — v. Piggott ..                                    | 248, 249 |     |                          |          |
| — Todd v. ..                                       | ..       | 267 |                          |          |
| — Wetherell v. ..                                  | ..       | 257 |                          |          |
| — v. Wilson ..                                     | 268, 358 |     |                          |          |
| Wilton v. Colvin ..                                | ..       | 271 |                          |          |
| Wiltshire, Doran v. ..                             | ..       | 264 |                          |          |
| Winch v. Keeley ..                                 | ..       | 112 |                          |          |
| Winchelsea, Earl of, Deering v. ..                 | ..       | 109 |                          |          |
| Windham, Townsend, Lord v. ..                      | ..       | 345 |                          |          |
| Windle v. Andrews ..                               | ..       | 81  |                          |          |
| Windsor, Lincoln v. ..                             | ..       | 268 |                          |          |
| Winn v. Ingilby ..                                 | ..       | 16  |                          |          |
| Winnall, Rudge v. ..                               | ..       | 17  |                          |          |
| Wise v. Metcalf ..                                 | ..       | 65  |                          |          |
| Wiseman v. Westland ..                             | ..       | 12  |                          |          |
| — v. Vandeputt ..                                  | ..       | 42  |                          |          |
| Witt v. Amis ..                                    | ..       | 300 |                          |          |
| Wolverhampton New Water-works Co. v. Hawkesford .. | ..       | 193 |                          |          |

Y.

|                       |            |     |
|-----------------------|------------|-----|
| Yates, Bridge v. ..   | ..         | 277 |
| — Dixon v. ..         | 35, 41, 43 |     |
| — Sherrington v. ..   | ..         | 346 |
| — Ward v. ..          | ..         | 349 |
| Yea v. Field ..       | ..         | 10  |
| Yeoman v. Bradshaw .. | ..         | 105 |
| Young v. Axtell ..    | ..         | 288 |
| — Ex parte ..         | ..         | 51  |
| — Hazleham v. ..      | ..         | 290 |
| — Lee v. ..           | ..         | 261 |
| — v. Walker ..        | ..         | 174 |
| — v. Waterpark ..     | ..         | 248 |
| Younge, Meacher v. .. | ..         | 256 |

Z.

|                        |    |     |
|------------------------|----|-----|
| Zachary v. Shepherd .. | .. | 175 |
| Zwinger v. Samuda ..   | .. | 35  |



# PRINCIPLES

OF THE

## LAW OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.

---

### INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER.

#### OF THE SUBJECTS AND NATURE OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.

THE English law of property is divided into two great branches,—the law of real property, and the law of personal property. The feudal rules, which respected the holding and culture of land, were the elements of the common law of real property; the rules relating to the disposition of goods were the origin of the law of personal property. Such property was anciently of little importance, and its laws were consequently few and simple. It did not, however, escape the ecclesiastical influence which spread so widely in the middle ages; and it has thence derived that subjection to the rules of the civil law by which it is characterized when transmitted by will or distributed on intestacy.

Real and personal property.

The civil law.

The division of property into real and personal, though now well recognized, and constantly referred to even in the acts of the legislature, is comparatively of modern date. In ancient times, property was divided into *lands, tenements and hereditaments* on the one hand, and *goods*

Chattels real.



and *chattels*, on the other. These two last terms appear to be synonymous. In process of time, however, certain estates and interests in land grew up, which were unknown to the ancient feudal system, and could not conveniently be subjected to its rules. Of these the most important were leases for years. Such interests, therefore, were classed among chattels; but as they savoured, as it was said, of the realty, they acquired the name of *chattels real* (a). In more modern times, chattels real have been classed, with other chattels, within the division of personal property; but as chattels real, though personal property, are in fact interests in land, the laws respecting them have been noticed in the author's treatise on the Principles of the Law of Real Property (b). Chattels real will therefore be only incidentally noticed amongst the subjects treated of in the present work.

Chattels personal.

Reason for the term "personal."

When leases for years, and other incidents in land of the like nature, were admitted into the class of chattels as chattels real, it became necessary that such goods as had previously constituted the whole class, should be distinguished from them by some further name; and the title of *chattels personal* was accordingly applied to all such chattels as did not savour of real estate. For this title, the choice of two reasons is given to the reader by Sir Edward Coke, "because, for the most part, they belong to the person of a man, or else for that they are to be recovered by personal actions (c)." The former of these two reasons has been chosen by Mr. Justice Blackstone (d). But it is submitted that the latter reason is most probably the true one. When goods and chattels began to be called personal, they had become

(a) Co. Litt. 118 b.

5th ed.; 350, 6th ed.

(b) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 315 et seq., 1st ed.; 307, 2nd ed.; 322, 4th ed.; 333,

(c) Co. Litt. 118 b.

(d) 2 Black. Com. 16, 384; 3 Black. Com. 144.

too numerous and important to accompany the persons of their owners. On the other hand, the bringing and defending of actions has always been the most prevailing business of lawyers; from the different natures of actions, the nomenclature of the law is therefore most likely to have proceeded. Now actions were long divided into three classes,—real actions, personal actions, and mixed actions. Real actions were brought for the recovery of lands, and by their aid, the *real land* was restored to its rightful owner. Mixed actions, as their name imports, were real and personal mixed together. Personal actions were brought in respect of goods, for which, as they are in their nature destructible, nothing but pecuniary *damages* could with certainty be recovered from the *person* against whom the action was brought. Accordingly, by the ancient law of England, there never were more than two kinds of personal actions in which there was a possibility of recovering, by the judgment of the Court, the identical goods in respect of which the action was brought. One of these was the action of *detinue*, where goods, having come into a man's possession, were unlawfully detained by him; in which case, however, the judgment was merely conditional, that the plaintiff recover the said goods, or (*if they could not be had*) their respective values, and also the damages for detaining them(*e*). The other was the action of *replevin*, brought for goods which had been unlawfully distrained; but in this case the goods were never beyond the custody of the sheriff, who is an officer of the law, and their safe return could therefore be secured(*f*). Goods therefore seem to have been called personal, because the remedy for their abstraction was against the *person* who had taken them away, or because, in the words of Lord Coke, they were to be recovered by personal actions(*g*).

Actions real,  
personal, and  
mixed.

Action of de-  
tinue.

Action of re-  
plevin.

(*e*) 3 Black. Com. 152.

(*f*) Ibid, 146.

(*g*) See Principles of the Law of  
Real Property, 7.

New enact-  
ments.

By recent statutes (*h*), however, provision has been made for enforcing the delivery of goods, in actions for their detention or for breach of contract to deliver them for a price in money; and if they cannot be found, all the lands and chattels of the defendant may be distrained till they are delivered. .

Chose in ac-  
tion.

Chattels personal, then, are the subjects of the present treatise. In ancient times they consisted entirely of moveable goods, visible and tangible in their nature, and in the possession either of the owner or of some other person on his behalf. Nothing of an incorporeal nature was anciently comprehended within the class of chattels personal. In this respect the law of personal property strikingly differs from that of real property, in which, from the earliest times, incorporeal hereditaments occupied a conspicuous place. But although there was formerly no such thing as an incorporeal chattel personal, there existed not unfrequently a right of action, or the liberty of proceeding in the courts of law either to recover pecuniary damages for the infliction of a wrong or the nonperformance of a contract, or else to procure the payment of money due. Such a right was called, in the Norman French of our early lawyers, a *chose* or thing *in action*, whilst moveable goods were denominated *choses in possession*. Choses in action, though valuable rights, had not in early times the ordinary incident of property, namely, the capability of being transferred; for, to permit a transfer of such a right was, in the simplicity of the times, thought to be too great an encouragement to litigation (*i*); and the attempt to make such a transfer involved the guilt of *maintenance* or the maintaining of another person in his suit. It was impossible, however, that this simple state of things should

Maintenance.

(*h*) Stats. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,      (*i*) 10 Rep. 48 a.  
s. 78; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 2.

long continue. Within the class of choses in action was comprised a right of growing importance, namely, that of suing for money due, which right is all that constitutes a *debt*. That a debt should be incapable of transfer A debt. was obviously highly inconvenient in commercial transactions; and in early times the custom of merchants rendered debts secured by bills of exchange assignable by indorsement and delivery of the bills. But choses in action, not so secured, could only be sued for by the original creditor, or the person who first had the right of action. In process of time, however, an indirect method of assignment was discovered, the assignee being empowered to sue in the name of the assignor; and in the reign of Henry VII. it was determined that a "chose in action may be assigned over for lawful cause as a just debt, but not for maintenance, and that where a man is indebted to me in £20, and another owes him £20 by bond, he may assign this bond and debt to me in satisfaction, and I may justify for suing it *in the name of the other* at my own costs (*j*).<sup>1</sup>" Choses in action, having now become assignable, became an important kind of personal property; and their importance was increased by an act of the following reign (*k*), whereby the taking of interest for money, which had previously been unlawful, was rendered legal to a limited extent. Loans and mortgages soon became common, forming a kind of incorporeal personal property unknown to the ancient law. In the reign of Queen Anne, promissory notes were rendered, by act of parliament, assignable by indorsement and delivery in the same manner as inland bills of exchange (*l*). But other choses in action continue to this day assignable at law only by empowering the assignee to sue in the name of the assignor.

(*j*) Bro. Abbr. tit. Chose in Action, pl. 3, 15 Hen. VII. 2.

(*k*) Stat. 37 Hen. VIII. c. 9.

(*l*) Stat. 3 & 4 Anne, c. 9, made perpetual by stat. 7 Anne, c. 25,

s. 3.

Equitable  
choses in ac-  
tion.

In addition to the mass of incorporeal personal property, which now exists in the form of choses in action recoverable by action at law, there exist also equitable choses in action, or rights to be enforced by suit in equity; of these a pecuniary legacy is a familiar instance, for which, if the executor withhold payment, the legatee can maintain no action at law (*m*), but must bring a suit in equity. This kind of chose in action may be assigned directly from one person to another, and the assignee may sue in equity in his own name. For equity, being of more modern origin than the common law, is guided in its practice by rules more adapted to the exigencies of modern society.

Funds, shares,  
&c.

In modern times also several species of property have sprung up which were unknown to the common law. The funds now afford an investment, of which our forefathers were happily ignorant, whilst canal and railway shares, and other shares in joint stock companies, and patents and copyrights, are evidently modern sources of wealth. These kinds of property are all of a personal nature, many of them having been made so by the acts of parliament, under the authority of which they have originated. For want of a better classification, these subjects of personal property are now usually spoken of as *choses in action*. They are, in fact personal property of an incorporeal nature, and a recurrence to the history of their classification amongst *choses in action* will, as we shall hereafter see, help to explain some of their peculiarities.

(*m*) *Deeks v. Strutt*, 5 T. Rep. 690; *Braithwaite v. Skinner*, 5 Mee. & Wells. 313. Legacies under fifty pounds may now be recovered in the county courts, under the acts for the more easy recovery of small

debts and demands in England, unless the validity of the bequest be disputed. Stats. 9 & 10 Vict. c. 95, ss. 58, 65; 13 & 14 Vict. c. 61; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 108.

Such is a general outline of the subjects of modern personal property. They are distinguished from real property by being unaffected by the feudal rules of tenure, by being alienable by methods altogether different, by passing in the first instance to the executors, when bequeathed by will, and by devolving, on their owner's intestacy, not on his heir, but on an administrator appointed formerly by the Ecclesiastical Court, but now by the Court of Probate, by whom they are distributed amongst the next of kin of the deceased. On the first of these characteristics, however, mainly depends the nature of the property which exists in things personal. The first lesson to be learned on the nature of real property is this—that of such property there can be no such thing as an absolute ownership; the utmost that can be held or enjoyed in real property is an estate (n). There may be an estate for life, or an estate tail, or an estate in fee simple; but, according to the law of England, there cannot exist over landed property any absolute and independent dominion. All the land in the kingdom is the subject of tenure; and if the estate is not holden of any subject, at any rate it must be held of the crown. With regard to personal property, however, the primary rule is precisely the reverse. Such property is essentially the subject of absolute ownership, and cannot be held for any estate. It is true that the phrase *personal estate* is frequently used as synonymous with personal property; but this general use of the term *estate* should not mislead the student into the supposition that there can be any such thing as an estate in personality properly so called. The rule that no estate can subsist in personal property would seem to have originated in the nature of such property in early times. Goods and chattels of a personal kind, in other words, moveable articles, then formed, as we have seen, the

How personal property differs from real.

Real property held by estates.

Personal property the subject of absolute ownership.

(n) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 16.

whole of a man's personal estate. And such articles, it is evident, may be the subjects of absolute ownership, and have not those enduring qualities which would render them fit to be holden by any kind of feudal tenure. As personal property increased in value and variety, many kinds of property of a more permanent nature became, as we have seen, comprised within the class of personal, such as leases for years, of whatever length, and Consolidated Bank Annuities. But the rule that there can be no estate in chattels, the reason of which was properly applicable only to moveable goods, still continues to be applied generally to all sorts of personal property, both corporeal and incorporeal. The consequences of this rule, as we shall hereafter see, are curious and important. But in the first place it will be proper to consider the laws respecting those moveable chattels, or choses in possession, which constitute the most ancient and simple class of personal property; the class, however, which has given to the rest many of the rules for regulating their disposition.

# PART I.

## OF CHOSSES IN POSSESSION.

### CHAPTER I.

#### OF CHATTELS WHICH DESCEND TO THE HEIR.

CHOSSES in possession are moveable goods, such as plate, furniture, farming stock, both live and dead, locomotive engines and ships. These, as has been before remarked, are essentially the subjects of absolute ownership, and cannot be held by estates; they are alienable by methods altogether different from those employed for the conveyance of landed property, and they devolve in the first instance on the executor of the will of their owner, or on the administrator of his effects, if he should die intestate. There are, however, some kinds of choses in possession which form exceptions to the general rule: these consist of certain chattels so closely connected with land that they partake of its nature, pass along with it, whenever it is disposed of, and descend along with it, when undisposed of, to the heir of the deceased owner. The chattels which thus form exceptions are the subject of the present chapter: they consist principally of *title deeds, heir-looms, fixtures, chattels vegetable, and animals fera natura*. Of each in their order.

Exceptions to the general rule.

Title deeds, though moveable articles, are not strictly speaking chattels. They have been called the sinews of the land<sup>(a)</sup>, and are so closely connected with it that they will pass, on a conveyance of the land, without

Title deeds pass by the conveyance of the lands.



being expressly mentioned: the property in the deeds passes out of the vendor to the purchaser simply by the grant of the land itself (*b*). In like manner a devise of lands by will entitles the devisee to the possession of the deeds; and if a tenant in fee simple should die intestate, the title deeds of his lands will descend along with them to his heir at law (*c*). In former times, when warranty was usually made on the conveyance of lands (*d*), the rule was that the feoffor should retain all deeds containing warranties made to himself or to those through whom he claimed; and also all such deeds as were material for the maintenance of the title to the land (*e*). But if the feoffment was made without any warranty, the feoffee was entitled to the whole of the deeds; for the feoffor could receive no benefit by keeping them, nor sustain any damage by delivering them (*f*). Warranties have now fallen into disuse; but the principle of the rule above stated still applies when the grantor has any other lands to which the deeds relate, or retains any legal interest in the lands conveyed; for in either of these cases he has still a right to retain the deeds (*g*). And if the grantor should retain merely an equitable right to redeem the lands, as in the case of a mortgage in fee simple, it has been said that this equitable right is a sufficient interest in the lands to authorize him to withhold the deeds, unless they are expressly granted to the mortgagee (*h*). It is very questionable, however, whether a legal right ought to be attached to an interest

(*b*) *Harrington v. Price*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 170; *Philips v. Robinson*, 4 Bing. 106; S. C. 12 Moore, 308.

(*c*) *Wentworth's Office of an Executor*, 14th ed. 153; *Williams on Executors*, pt. 2, book 2, c. 3, s. 3.

(*d*) See *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 344, 1st ed.; 346, 2nd ed.; 365, 4th ed.; 376,

5th ed.; 399, 6th ed.

(*e*) *Buckhurst's case*, 1 Rep. 1 b.

(*f*) 1 Rep. 1 a.

(*g*) Bro. Abr. tit. Charters de Terre, pl. 53; *Yea v. Field*, 2 T. Rep. 708; see however Sugd. Vend. & Pur. 367, 13th ed.; 2 Prest. Conv. 466.

(*h*) *Davies v. Vernon*, 6 Q. B. 443, 447.

merely equitable. And the doctrine last mentioned is opposed by more recent decisions in another court (i).

If a conveyance of lands should be made by way of use, thus, if lands should be granted to A. and his heirs, to the use of B. and his heirs, it is said that the title deeds of the land will belong to A., the grantee; because, although the Statute of Uses (j) conveys the legal estate in the lands from A. to B., it does not affect the title deeds, which must consequently still remain vested in A. (k). But this doctrine has been justly questioned, on the ground that the legislative conveyance from A. to B., effected by the Statute of Uses, ought to be at least as powerful as the common law conveyance of the lands to A.; and if the latter conveyance can carry with it the deeds relating to the land, the former conveyance should be considered as powerful enough to do the same (l).

When the conveyance is by way of use.

The tenant of an estate in fee simple in lands possesses the highest interest which the law of England allows to any subject; and such a tenant possesses also an absolute property in the title deeds, which he may destroy at his pleasure, or sell for the value of the parchment (m). But if the lands to which deeds relate should be settled on any person for life or in tail, a qualified ownership will arise with respect to the deeds, different in its nature from that simple property which is usually held in chattels personal. As the lands are now held for a limited estate, so a limited interest in the deeds belongs to the tenant. The tenant for life or in tail, when in possession of the lands, being the freeholder for the time being, is entitled also to the possession of the

When the lands are settled.

(i) *Goode v. Burton*, 1 Exch. Rep. 189; *Newton v. Beck*, 3 H. & N. 220.

5th ed. 117.

(l) Sugd. Vend. & Pur. 366, 13th ed.; Co. Litt. 6 a, n. (4).

(j) 27 Hen. VIII. c. 10.

(m) Cro. Eliz. 496.

(k) 1 Sand. Uses, 4th ed. 119;

deeds (*n*); whereas the tenant for a mere term of years, of whatever length, not having the freehold or feudal possession of the lands, has no right to deeds which relate to such freehold (*o*); although deeds relating only to the term belong to such a tenant, and will pass, without any express grant, to the assignee of the term (*p*). The tenant for life or in tail in possession, though entitled to the possession or custody of the deeds which relate to the inheritance, has no right to injure or part with them (*q*): he has an interest in the title deeds correspondent only to his estate in the lands; and if he should part with the deeds, even for a valuable consideration, the remainder-man, on coming into possession of the lands, will nevertheless be entitled to the possession of the deeds, just as if the tenant for life or in tail had kept them in his own custody (*r*).

#### Heir-loom.

Heir-loom, strictly so called, are now very seldom to be met with. They may be defined to be such personal chattels as go, by force of a *special custom*, to the heir, along with the inheritance, and not to the executor or administrator of the last owner (*s*). The owner of an heir-loom cannot by his will bequeath the heir-loom, if he leave the land to descend to his heir; for in such a case the force of the custom will prevail over the bequest, which, not coming into operation until after the decease of the owner, is too late to supersede the custom (*t*). According to some authorities heir-loom con-

(*n*) *Ford v. Peering*, 1 Ves. jun. 76; *Strode v. Blackburne*, 3 Ves. 225; *Garner v. Hannyngton*, 22 Beav. 627; *Allwood v. Heywood*, Exch. 11 W. R. 291.

(*o*) *Churchill v. Small*, 8 Ves. 323; *Harper v. Faulder*, 4 Mad. 129, 138; *Wiseman v. Westland*, 1 You. & Jarv. 117; *Hotham v. Somerville*, 5 Beav. 360.

(*p*) *Hooper v. Ramsbottom*, 6

Taunt. 12.

(*q*) Bro. Abr. tit. Charters de Terre, pl. 36. As to production see *Davis v. Earl of Dysart*, 20 Beav. 405.

(*r*) *Davies v. Vernon*, 6 Q. B. 443; *Easton v. London*, Exch. 12 W. R. 53.

(*s*) See Co. Litt. 18 b.

(*t*) Ibid. 185 b.

sist only of bulky articles, such as tables and benches fixed to the freehold (u); but such articles would more properly fall within the class of fixtures, of which we shall next speak. The ancient jewels of the crown are heir-looms (v). And if a nobleman, knight or esquire be buried in a church, and his coat armour or other ensigns of honor belonging to his degree be set up, or if a tombstone be erected to his memory, his heirs may maintain an action against any person who may take or deface them (x). The boxes in which the title deeds of land are kept are also in the nature of heir-looms, and will belong to the heir or devisee of the lands; for such boxes "have their very creation to be the houses or habitations of deeds" (y); and accordingly a chest made for other uses will belong to the executor or administrator of the deceased, although title deeds should happen to be found in it. In popular language the term "heir-loom" is generally applied to plate, pictures or articles of property which have been assigned by deed of settlement or bequeathed by will to trustees, in trust to permit the same to be used and enjoyed by the persons for the time being in possession, under the settlement or will, of the mansion-house in which the articles may be placed. Of this kind of settlement more will be said hereafter.

Crown jewels.

Coat armour.

Tombstone.

Deed boxes.

Popular use  
of the term  
"heir-loom."

Fixtures are such moveable articles or chattels personal as are fixed to the ground or soil, either directly, or indirectly by being attached to a house or other building. The ancient common law, regarding land as of far more consequence than any chattel which could be fixed to it, always considered everything attached to the land as part of the land itself,—the maxim being

Fixtures.

(u) Spelman's Glossary, voce  
Heir- Loom. See Williams on  
Executors, pt. 2, bk. 2, ch. 2, s. 3.

(x) Co. Litt. 18 b.

(y) Wentworth's Office of an  
Executor, 157, 14th ed.

(v) Co. Litt. 18 b.

*quicquid plantatur solo, solo cedit* (z). Hence it followed that houses themselves, which consist of aggregates of chattels personal (namely, timber and bricks) fixed to the land, were regarded as land, and passed by a conveyance of the land without the necessity of express mention; and this is the case at the present day (a). So now, a conveyance of a house or other building, whether absolutely or by way of mortgage, will comprise all ordinary fixtures, such as stoves, grates, shelves, locks &c, without any express mention (b), unless an intention to withhold the fixtures can be gathered from the context (c). So on the decease of a tenant in fee simple, the devisee of a house, or the heir at law in case of intestacy, will be entitled generally to the fixtures set up in it (d). The ancient rule respecting fixtures has been greatly relaxed in favour of tenants for terms of years, who are now permitted to remove articles set up by them for the purposes of trade or of ornament or domestic convenience (e), provided they remove them before the expiration of their tenancy (f). But the old rule still prevails with regard to agricultural fixtures, which, though set up by the tenant, become, by being fixed to the soil, the property of the landlord (g); unless they are put up with the consent *in writing* of the landlord for the time being, in which case it is provided by a recent act (h)

New act.

(z) See 4 Rep. 64 a; 1 Lord Raymond, 738; *Mackintosh v. Trotter*, 3 Mee. & Wels. 184, 186; *Williams on Executors*, pt. 2, bk. 2, ch. 3, s. 2.

(a) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 13.

(b) *Colegrave v. Dias Santos*, 2 Barn. & Cress. 76; 8 C. 3 Dowl. & Ry. 255; *Longstaff v. Meagor*, 2 Ad. & Ell. 167; *Hitchman v. Walton*, 4 Mee. & Wels. 409; *Ex parte Barclay*, 5 De G., M. & G. 403; *Mather v. Fraser*, 2 Kay & John. 536; *Williams v. Evans*, 23 Beav.

239; *Walmesley v. Milne*, 7 C. B. N. S. 115; *Metropolitan Counties &c. Society v. Brown*, 26 Beav. 454.

(c) *Hare v. Horton*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 715.

(d) *Shep. Touch.* 470.

(e) *Grymes v. Boweren*, 6 Bing. 437.

(f) *Lyde v. Russell*, 1 Barn. & Adol. 394; *Leader v. Homewood*, 5 C. B., N. S. 546.

(g) *Elwes v. Maw*, 3 East, 38.

(h) Stat. 14 & 15 Vict. c. 25, s. 3.

that they shall be the property of the tenant, and shall be removable by him on giving to the landlord or his agent one month's previous notice in writing of his intention so to do, subject to the landlord's right to purchase the same by valuation in the manner provided by the act. This act extends to farm buildings either detached or otherwise, and to engines and machinery, either for agricultural purposes or for the purposes of trade and agriculture, although built in or permanently fixed to the soil, so as the tenant making any such removal do not in anywise injure the land or buildings belonging to the landlord, or otherwise do put the same in like plight and condition, or as good plight and condition, as the same were in before the erection of anything so removed. A relaxation of the old rule has also been made in favour of the executors of a tenant for life, who appear to be allowed to remove fixtures set up by their testator for the purposes of trade or of ornament or domestic convenience (*i*). But the rule of the common law still retains much of its force as between the devisee or heir of a tenant in fee simple and his executor or administrator. Thus a tenant for years may remove ornamental chimney-pieces set up by him during his tenancy (*j*); but if erected by a tenant in fee simple, they will pass with the house to the devisee or heir (*k*). So machinery employed in carrying on iron works or collieries may be removed by a lessee for years, if erected by him; but if erected by a tenant in fee simple, such machinery, even though removable without injury to the freehold, will belong to the heir or the devisee of the land (*l*). However it seems that pier glasses, fixed by nails, and not let into panels, and hangings fastened up for ornament, will now belong to the executor or ad-

(*i*) *Lawton v. Lawton*, 3 Atk. 14.

(*k*) *Dudley v. Warde*, Amb. 113.

(*j*) *Bishop v. Elliot*, Ex. Ch. 1  
Jur. N. S. 962; 24 Law J. Exch.

(*l*) *Fisher v. Dixon*, 12 Cl. &  
Fin. 312.

229; 11 Ex. Rep. 113.

ministrator of a tenant in fee simple as part of his personal estate (*m*).

When fixtures are demised.

Where fixtures are demised to a tenant along with the house, mill or other building in which they may happen to be, the property in the fixtures still remains in the landlord, subject to the tenant's right to the possession and use of them during his term (*n*); and if they should be severed from the building by the tenant or any other person, or should be separated by accident, the landlord will acquire an immediate right to the possession of them (*o*). In this respect they are subject to the same rules as timber, which, as we shall see, is equally a part of the inheritance until severed, and when cut becomes the personal property of the owner of the fee. Fixtures, which would descend with the house or building to the heir of the owner of the fee on intestacy, are not in fact his goods and chattels properly so called (*p*).

Chattels vegetable.

Chattels vegetable consist, as their name imports, of moveable articles of a vegetable origin, such as timber, underwood, corn and fruit. All these articles, so long as they remain unsevered from the land, are for many purposes considered as part of it; and they will pass by a conveyance or devise of the land without express mention (*q*). If, however, the trees should be expressly excepted out of the conveyance, they will remain the personal property of the grantor, although severed only in contemplation of law (*r*); and in like manner the trees alone may be granted by a tenant in fee simple, and will then form the personal property of the grantee, even

(*m*) *Cave v. Cave*, 2 Vern. 608;  
*Squire v. Mayor*, 2 Eq. Ca. Abr.  
430, pl. 7; S. C. 2 Freem. 219.

(*n*) *Boydell v. M<sup>r</sup>Michael*, 1 Cro.  
Mee. & Rose. 177; *Hitchman v.*  
*Watson*, 4 Mee. & Wels. 409.

(*o*) *Farrant v. Thompson*, 5 Barn.  
& Ald. 826.

(*p*) *Winn v. Ingilby*, 5 Barn. &  
Ald. 625.

(*q*) Com. Dig. tit. Biens (H.)

(*r*) *Herlakenden's case*, 4 Rep.  
63 b.

before they are cut down (*s*). But if a tenant of lands in fee simple should die without having sold or devised them (*t*), the law then draws a distinction between such vegetable products as are the annual results of agricultural labour, and such as are not. The former class are called by the name of *emblemments*, and the right to reap them belongs to the executor or administrator of the deceased in exclusion of the heir (*u*); whilst the latter class descend to the heir along with the land. The reason of the distinction appears to be, that as annual crops are mainly the result of labour incurred at the expense of the owner's personal estate, his personal estate ought to reap the benefit of the crop which results (*x*). Accordingly crops of corn, and grain of all kinds, flax, hemp, and every thing yielding an artificial annual profit produced by labour, belong to the executor or administrator, as against the heir; whilst timber, fruit trees, grass, and clover, which do not repay within the year the labour by which they are produced, belong to the heir as part of the land (*y*). The right to emblemments also belongs to the executor or administrator of a tenant for life (*z*), and to a tenant at will if dismissed from his tenancy before harvest (*a*). The claims of tenants at rack rent, whose tenancies may determine by the death or cesser of the estate of tenants for life, or for any other uncertain interest, are now provided for by a recent enactment, giving the tenants at rack rent a right to continue to hold until the expiration of the current year of their tenancy (*b*).

(*s*) Wentworth's Office of an Executor, 14th ed. 148; Williams on Executors, pt. 2, bk. ch. 2, sect. 2.

(*t*) As to a devisee, see *Rudge v. Winnall*, 12 Beav. 357; *Cooper v. Woolfit*, 2 Hurl. & Norm. 122.

(*u*) Com. Dig. tit. Biens (G).

(*x*) Wentworth's Office of an Executor, 14th ed. 147.

(*y*) See *Graves v. Weld*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 105; S. C. 2 Nev. & Man. 725.

(*z*) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 24, 2nd ed.; 25, 3rd & 4th eds.; 27, 5th and 6th eds.

(*a*) *Ibid.* p. 310, 2nd ed., 325, 4th ed.; 336, 5th ed.; 353, 6th ed.

(*b*) Stat. 14 & 15 Vict. c. 25, s. 1. See Principles of the Law of



When lands  
are let for  
years or life.

Timber trees.

Tenant with-  
out impeach-  
ment of waste.

When lands are let to a tenant for years or for life, if no exception is made of the timber, the property in the timber will still remain in the owner of the inheritance, subject to the tenant's right to have the mast and fruit growing upon it, and the loppings for fuel, and the benefit of the shade for his cattle (c). Accordingly all fruit which may be plucked, or bushes or trees, not being timber, which may be cut or blown down, will belong to the tenant (d); but timber trees, which may be cut or blown down, will immediately become the property of the owner of the first estate of inheritance in the land, whether in fee simple or in tail (e). Timber trees are oak, ash, and elm in all places; and in some particular parts of the country, by local custom, where other trees are generally used for building, they are for that reason considered as timber (f). But if the tenant should be a tenant *without impeachment of waste* (*sine impetitione vasti*), timber cut down by him in a husband like manner will become his own property when actually severed (g), but not before (h); for the words "without impeachment of waste" imply a release of all demands in respect of any waste which may be committed (i). If, however, the words should be merely *without being impleaded for waste*, the property in the trees when cut would still remain in the landlord, and the action only would be discharged, which he might otherwise have maintained

Real Property, p. 25, 3rd & 4th eds.; 27, 5th & 6th eds.

(c) *Lilford's case*, 11 Rep. 48 b.

(d) *Channon v. Patch*, 5 Barn. & Cress. 897; S. C. 8 Dow. & Ry. 651; *Berriman v. Peacock*, 9 Bing. 384; S. C. 2 Moo. & Scott, 524; *Pidgley v. Rawling*, 2 Coll. 275.

(e) *Herlakenden's case*, 4 Rep. 63 a; *Whitfield v. Bewitt*, 2 P. Wms. 240; 3 P. Wms. 268; *Lush-*

*ington v. Boldero*, 15 Beav. 1.

(f) 2 Black. Com. 281.

(g) *Lewis Bowles' case*, 11 Rep. 82 b. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 23, 2nd ed.; 24, 3rd & 4th eds.; 25, 5th and 6th eds.

(h) *Cholmeley v. Paxton*, 3 Bing. 207; 10 Barn. & Cress. 564.

(i) 11 Rep. 82 b.

against the tenant for the waste committed by the act of felling the timber (*k*).

Animals *feræ naturæ*, or wild animals, including game, Animals *feræ naturæ* are exceptions from the rules which relate to other moveables, on the ground that until they are caught there is no property in them. If therefore the owner of land in ~~fee simple~~ should die, the game on his land, or the fish in any river or pond upon the land, will not belong to his executor or administrator (*l*). And if a man should have a park or warren, he has no true property in the deer, conies, pheasants, or partridges; but they belong to him only "*ratione privilegii* for his game and pleasure so long as they remain in the privileged place (*m*)."  
But a property in wild animals may be obtained by reclaiming or catching them (*propter industriam*), or by reason of their being unable to get away (*propter impotentiam*) (*n*). This deer, even though in a legal park, may be so tame and reclaimed as to pass to the executors of the owner of the park on his decease (*o*); so rabbits in a hutch, fish in a box, and young pigeons in a dove house, unable to fly, will belong to the executor or administrator of the owner, and not to his heir. It appears to have been formerly thought that hawks and hounds were not subjects of personal property, but would descend with the lands to the heir; but this opinion is not now law. Hawks and hounds.  
"For," observes the author of the Office of an Executor (*p*), "although they be for the most part but things of pleasure, *that hindereth not but they may be valuable as well as instruments of music, both tending to delight*

(*k*) *Walter Idle's case*, 11 Rep. 83 a.

(*l*) Co. Litt. 8 a; *The case of Swans*, 7 Rep. 17 b.

(*m*) 7 Rep. 17 B; Year Book, 4 Hen. VI. 55 b, 56 a; F. N. B. 87, n. (*a*).

(*n*) 2 Black. Com. 391, 394;

*Williams on Executors*, pt. 2, bk. 2, ch. 2, sec. 1.

(*o*) *Morgan v. The Earl of Abergavenny*, 8 C. B. 678.

(*p*) *Wentworth's Office of an Executor*, 143, 14th ed. The author of this work is supposed to have been Mr. Justice Dodderidge.

and exhilarate the spirits; a cry of hounds hath to my sense more spirit and vivacity than any other music."

Right to kill  
and take game.

The occupier of land for the time has now the sole right of killing and taking the game upon the land, unless such right be reserved to the landlord or any other person (*q*). Where the landlord has reserved to himself the right of killing game, he may authorize any person or persons, who shall have obtained certificates, to enter upon the land for the purpose of pursuing and killing game thereon (*r*). And the lord of any manor or reputed manor has the right to pursue and kill the game upon the wastes or commons within the manor, and to authorize any other person or persons, who shall have obtained certificates, to enter upon such wastes or commons for the same purpose (*s*).

Property in  
game.

When game or other wild animals were killed on any land by any other person than the rightful owner, the law, with respect to the property in the game, was formerly as follows: If a man started any game within his own grounds and followed it into another's, and killed it there, the property remained in himself. And so if a stranger started game in one man's chase or free warren, and hunted it into another liberty, the property continued in the owner of the chase or warren; this property arising from privilege, and not being changed by the act of a mere stranger. Or if a man started game on another's private grounds, and killed it there, the property belonged to him on whose ground it was killed. Whereas, if, after being started there, it was killed in the grounds of a third person, the property belonged not to the owner of the first ground, because the property was local; nor yet to the owner of the second, because it was not started

(*q*) Stat. 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 32.  
See as to hares, stat. 11 & 12 Vict.  
c. 29.

(*r*) Stat. 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 32,  
s. 11.  
(*s*) Sect. 10.

in his soil; but it vested in the person who started and killed it, though guilty of a trespass against both the owners (*t*). And this appears to be still the law with respect to wild animals which are not game (*u*). But with respect to game, an alteration appears to have been made by the last Game Act (*x*), which seems to vest the property in game killed on any land by strangers, in the person having the right to kill and take the game upon the land (*y*).

(*t*) 2 Bl. Com. 419; *Churchward v. Studdy*, 14 East, 249.

(*u*) See *Blades v. Higgs*, 12 C. B., N. S. 501, and qu. as to the correctness of the grounds of this

decision.

(\*) Stat. 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 32.

(*y*) Sect. 36. *Rigg v. Earl of Lonsdale*, 1 H. & N. 923.

## CHAPTER II.

## OF TROVER, BAILMENT AND LIEN.

HAVING now considered those moveable articles of property which form exceptions to the rules by which chattels personal are in general governed, let us proceed to notice some circumstances in which chattels personal may be placed, so as to form not real but apparent exceptions to the primary rule already noticed (*a*), that personal property is essentially the subject of absolute ownership, and cannot be held for any *estate*. The property in goods can only belong to, or be vested in, one person at one time : in this respect it resembles the seisin of feudal possession of lands (*b*). Lands however may be so conveyed that several persons may possess in them, at the same time, several distinct vested *estates* of freehold, one of them being in possession, and the others in remainder, or the last perhaps being in reversion (*c*). But the law knows no such thing as a remainder or reversion of a chattel. It recognizes only the simple *property* in goods, coupled or not with the right of immediate possession. This simple principle of law, if carefully borne in mind, will serve to explain many points which would otherwise appear difficult or even contradictory. It must be remembered, however, that it does not strictly apply to the moveable articles noticed in our first chapter, which, from their connection with the land, are often governed by the principles of real, rather than those of personal property.

Property in  
goods.

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 7.

(*b*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 111, 2nd ed.; 116, 3rd & 4th eds.; 121, 122, 5th ed.;

127, 128, 6th ed.

(*c*) *Ibid.* p. 198, 2nd ed.; 206, 4th ed.; 215, 5th ed.; 225, 6th ed.

1. When the property in goods is coupled with the possession of them, the ownership is of course complete. This is the common and usual case of the ownership of chattels personal: the owner knows that the goods are his own, and in his own possession, and that is sufficient for him. Circumstances may, however, arise to change this state of things. An article may be lost. In this case the owner still retains his property in the thing, but he has lost the possession of it. The property, however, which still remains in him, entitles him to the possession of the article, whenever, he can meet with it; or, in legal phraseology, the property draws with it the right of possession (*d*). If therefore another person should find the article lost, he will have no right to convert it to his own use, if he has any means of knowing to whom it belonged, but must on demand deliver it up to the rightful owner, in whom the property is already vested. If he should refuse to do so, such refusal will argue that he claims it as his own, and will accordingly be evidence of a conversion of the thing to his own use (*e*). For the wrong or *trespass* thus committed, a specific remedy has been provided by the law, in the shape of an action of *trover and conversion*, or more shortly an action of *trover*, which is one of those actions comprised within the technical class of *trespass on the case*. The word *trover* is from the French *trouver*, to find; and the word *conversion* is added, from the conversion of the goods to the use of the defendant being the gist of the action thus brought against him. That the defendant should have found the article lost is not his fault, but his conversion of it to his own use is a trespass, and renders him liable to the action we are now considering. This action accordingly is now constantly brought to recover damages for withholding the possession of goods whenever they

Where an article is lost.

Action of trover and conversion.

(*d*) 2 Wms. Saunders, 47 a.

Hob. 187; Bac. Abr. tit. Trover

(*e*) *Ibid.* 47 c; *Agar v. Lisle*, (B).

have been wrongfully converted by the defendant to his own use without regard to the means, whether by finding, or otherwise, by which the defendant may have become possessed (*f*). This action can be maintained only when the plaintiff has been in possession of the goods (*g*), or has such a property in them as draws to it the right to the possession. If the goods have been wrongfully converted by the defendant to his own use, the plaintiff will succeed, if he should prove either way his own right to the immediate possession of the goods (*h*); if he should not prove such right, he will fail (*i*). The property in the goods is that which most usually draws to it the right of possession; and the right to maintain an action of trover is therefore often said to depend on the plaintiff's *property* in the goods; the right of immediate possession is also sometimes called itself a special kind of property (*k*); but these expressions should not mislead the student. The action of trover tries only the right to the immediate possession, which, as we shall now see, may exist apart from the *property* in the goods.

If the finder should be deprived, he may maintain trover.

For let us suppose that the finder of the article lost, whilst ignorant of the true owner, should have been wrongfully deprived of it by a third person. In this case, the owner being absent, the finder is evidently entitled to the possession of the thing; and he will accordingly succeed in an action of trover brought by him

(*f*) 3 Black. Com. 153.

(*g*) *Addison v. Round*, 4 Ad. & Ell. 799; S. C. 6 Nev. & Man. 422; *Brooke v. Mitchell*, 6 N. C. 349; S. C. 8 Scott, 739.

(*h*) *Wilbraham v. Snow*, 2 Saund. 47; *Armory v. Delamirie*, 1 Str. 505; *Roberts v. Wyatt*, 2 Taunt. 268; *Legg v. Evans*, 6 Mee. & W.

36; Stephen on Pleading, 354, 5th ed.

(*i*) *Gordon v. Harper*, 7 T. Rep. 9; *Ferguson v. Cristall*, 5 Bing. 305; *Leake v. Loveday* 4 Man. & Gr. 972; *Bradley v. Copley*, 1 C. B. 685.

(*k*) *Rogers v. Kennay*, 9 Q. B. 592.

against the wrong-doer (*l*). Here the property in the thing which was lost evidently belongs still to the original owner; but the right of possession is in the finder, until the owner makes his appearance. The owner's property then draws with it the right of possession; and should the finder convert the article found to his own use, he in his turn will be liable to an action of *trover* in respect of the owner's right of possession. Thus, so far as we have already proceeded, we have found nothing more than a simple property in goods, existing with or without the right of possession. The action of *trover* tries the right of possession, and may or may not determine the property. For, strange as it may appear, there is no action in the law of England by which the property either in goods or lands is alone decided.

2. But the article in question, instead of being lost and found, may become the subject of *bailment*. Bailment is defined by Sir William Jones, in his admirable and classical Treatise on the Law of Bailment (*m*), to be a delivery of goods in trust, on a contract expressed or implied, that the trusts shall be duly executed, and the goods redelivered as soon as the trust or use for which they were bailed shall have elapsed or be performed. The term *bailment* is derived from the French word *bailler*, to deliver. The person who delivers the goods is called the bailor; the person to whom they are delivered the bailee. The trusts on which goods may be delivered are various: the principal are the following. They may merely be lent to a friend, or left in the custody of a warehouseman or wharfinger, or they may be entrusted to a carrier to convey to a distance, or to an agent or factor to sell; or they may be pawned for

(*l*) *Armory v. Delamirie*, 1 Str. Jur. 1079.  
505; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, (*m*) P. 117.  
151; *Bridges v. Hawkesworth*, 15



Property remains in the bailor.

money lent, or let out to hire (*n*). In all cases of bailment, however, the simple rule still holds, that the *property* in goods can belong to one party only; and when any goods are *bailed*, the property still remains in the bailor (*o*). The possession of the goods, however, is evidently for the time being with the bailee. But if, while goods are in bailment, a third person should become possessed of them, and should wrongfully convert them to his own use, the right to recover possession will in some degree depend upon the nature of the bailment.

Simple bailment.

Bailee or bailor may maintain trover.

If the bailment should be what is called a *simple bailment*, as in the four first instances above mentioned, that is, a bailment which does not confer on the bailee a right to exclude the bailor from possession, in such a case either the bailee or the bailor may maintain an action of trover against the wrong-doer (*p*). The bailee may maintain this action, because the action depends only on the right to the possession which the bailee has by virtue of the bailment made to him (*q*); and the bailor may also maintain the action, because his property in the goods draws with it the right of possession, and the bailment is not of such a kind as to vest this right in the bailee solely. The bailee is rather in the situation of servant to the bailor, and the possession of the one is equivalent in construction of law to the possession of the other. But as it would be unjust that the wrong-doer should pay damages twice over for his offence, the recovery of damages either by bailee or bailor deprives the other of his right of action (*r*). If, however, the bailment should not be of the simple kind, but should confer on

Pawnee or hirer can alone maintain trover.

(*n*) See *Coggs v. Bernard*, 2 Ld. Raym. 909, 912.

(*o*) *Franklin v. Neate*, 13 C. Mee. & W. 481.

(*p*) *Nicholls v. Bustard*, 2 C. M.

& R. 659; *Manders v. Williams*, 4 Exch. Rep. 339.

(*q*) *Sutton v. Buck*, 2 Taunt. 302.

(*r*) Bac. Abr. tit. Trover (C).

the bailee the right to exclude the bailor from the possession, here, though the property in the goods still remains in the bailor, the bailee alone can maintain an action of trover against any person who may have taken the goods and converted them to his own use. Thus the pawnee or hirer of goods can alone maintain an action of trover so long as the pawning or hiring continues (s). Here again we have the property in the goods still vested in one person, the bailor, drawing with it, in the case of simple bailment, the right to the possession, and, in the case of other bailments, temporarily disconnected from that right. If, however, any bailee, whatever be the nature of his bailment, should convert the goods bailed to him to his own use, he will by that act have determined the bailment; the property in the bailor will draw to it the right to immediate possession, and the bailor may accordingly recover damages for the act by an action of trover (t).

3. The last case requiring notice in which goods may be in the possession of a person who has no property in them, is the case of the existence of a *lien* on the goods. A lien is the right of a person in the possession of goods to retain them until a debt due to him has been satisfied (u). A lien is either *particular* or *general*. A particular lien is a right to retain the particular goods in respect of which the debt arises. A general lien is a right to retain goods in respect of a general balance of an account. The former kind of lien is favoured in law; but the latter, having a tendency to prefer one creditor above another, is taken strictly (x). A particular lien is

Lien.

Particular or  
General.

Particular lien.

(s) *Gordon v. Harper*, 7 T. R. 9;  
*Burton v. Hughes*, 2 Bing. 173;  
*Ferguson v. Cristall*, 5 Bing. 305;  
*Pain v. Whitaker*, Ry. & Moo. 99.

(t) *Cooper v. Willomatt*, 1 C. B.

(u) 2 East, 235; 2 Rose, 357;  
Smith's Compendium of Mercan-  
tile Law, 534, 5th ed.; 563, 6th  
ed.

(x) 3 Bos. & Pul. 494.

given by the common law over goods which a person is compelled to receive; thus carriers(*y*) and innkeepers(*z*) have a lien on the goods in their care; although an innkeeper cannot detain his guest's person, or take his coat off his back, to secure payment of his bill (*a*). A particular lien is also given by law to every person who by his labour or skill has improved or altered an article entrusted to his care: thus a miller has a lien on the flour he has ground for the cost of grinding (*b*); and a shipwright has a lien on a ship entrusted to him to repair for the costs of repairing it (*c*). So a lien may be claimed for training a horse, because he is improved by the labour and skill thus bestowed upon him (*d*); but no lien can arise merely for his keep (*e*), unless he has been kept by an innkeeper, who is compelled to take him in (*f*). A lien on goods is not sufficient to warrant the sale of them (*g*), nor does it authorize the possessor to charge for their standing (*h*). A particular lien also arises in the case of salvage, or rescuing a ship or its lading from the perils of the sea or the queen's enemies, for the trouble and risk incurred (*i*); but this kind of lien has been modified by the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854, which provides for the appointment of public receivers of all wreck, into whose hands any person, not being the owner, who finds or takes possession of any wreck,

## Salvage.

(*y*) *Skinner v. Upshaw*, 2 Lord Raym. 752.

(*z*) *Thompson v. Lacey*, 3 B. & Ald. 283.

(*a*) *Sunbolf v. Alford*, 3 Mee. & Wels. 248. The lien of innkeepers on the goods of their guests is now regulated by stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 41.

(*b*) *Ex parte Ockenden*, 1 Atk. 235.

(*c*) *Franklin v. Hosier*, 4 B. & Ald. 341.

(*d*) *Bevan v. Wallers*, 1 Moo. &

Mal. 236.

(*e*) *Wallace v. Woodgate*, 1 Ry. & Moo. 293. See *Sanderson v. Bell*, 2 Cro. & Mee. 304, 311; 4 Tyr. 244, 252.

(*f*) *Johnson v. Hill*, 3 Stark. 172.

(*g*) *Thames Iron Works Company v. Patent Derrick Company*, 1 John & H. 93.

(*h*) *British Empire Shipping Company v. Somes*, 1 E. B. & E. 353.

(*i*) *Hartford v. Jones*, 1 Lord Raym. 393; *Baring v. Day*, 8 East 57.

is bound to deliver it as soon as possible (*j*). The lien of a shipowner for freight is now regulated by the Merchant Shipping Act Amendment Act, 1862 (*k*). Freight.

A general lien, when it does not arise by express contract, or from a contract implied by the course of dealing between the parties (*l*), accrues in consequence of the custom of some trade or profession; and it may be local also, that is, confined to some particular place (*m*). It obtains in many trades, such as wharfingers (*n*), dyers (*o*), calico printers (*p*), factors (*q*), policy brokers (*r*), and bankers (*s*), and perhaps also common carriers (*t*). Solicitors and attornies have also a lien on all the deeds and documents of their clients in their possession for their professional charges generally (*u*); but this doctrine is to be taken in connection with the peculiar nature of title deeds, which being the sinews of the land, follow the seisin of it, and may therefore be held by the client only for a limited interest. Thus, if a tenant for life should leave the title deeds of the land in the hands of his solicitor, the lien of the solicitor for his professional charges would be coextensive only with his client's interest, and on the client's decease the solicitor would be bound to deliver up the deeds to the remainder-man, although his General lien.

Solicitor's lien.

(*j*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104; amended by stats. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 91; 24 Vict. c. 10, and 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63.

(*k*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, ss. 66-78.

(*l*) *Simond v. Hibbert*, 1 Rus. & Myl. 719.

(*m*) *Holderness v. Collinson*, 7 Barn. & Cress. 212.

(*n*) *Naylor v. Mangles*, 1 Esp. 109.

(*o*) *Savill v. Barchard*, 4 Esp. 53. See however *Close v. Waterhouse*, 6 East, 523, n.

(*p*) *Weldon v. Gould*, 3 Esp. 268.

(*q*) *Houghton v. Matthews*, 3 Bos. & Pul. 488; *Cowell v. Simpson*, 16 Ves. 280.

(*r*) *Man v. Shifner*, 2 East, 523.

(*s*) *Davis v. Bowsher*, 5 T. R. 488; *Brandao v. Barnett*, 3 C. B. 519, 530.

(*t*) See *Rushforth v. Hadfield*, 6 East, 519; 7 East, 224; *Aspinall v. Pickford*, 3 Bos. & Pul. 44 note.

(*u*) *Stevenson v. Blakelock*, 1 Mau. & Sel. 535; *Ex parte Sterling*, 16 Ves. 258; *Ex parte Pemberton*, 18 Ves. 282.

charges might remain unpaid (*v*). So if the client should be a mortgagee, the solicitor having the deeds would be bound to deliver them to the mortgagor, on the reconveyance of the property, on payment to the mortgagee of all principal and interest; for on such reconveyance the mortgagee ceased to have any interest in the lands (*x*). And in like manner if the client should be a mortgagor, the solicitor would have no right to retain the deeds as against the prior claim of the mortgagee (*y*): and if the client should be a trustee, the deeds must be given up for the purposes of the trust (*z*). This lien also extends only to charges strictly professional (*a*), and to documents in the possession of the attorney or solicitor in his professional character (*b*); but it has been held that such lien is assignable, together with the debt and documents, to a third person not a solicitor or attorney (*c*). A mere certificated conveyancer has no general lien on the document in his hands (*d*).

Lien, then, of whatever kind, is merely a right to retain the *possession* of the goods. This right of possession enables the person who has been in possession by virtue of the lien to maintain an action of trover for the goods (*e*); but the *property* in the goods still remains with the owner; and if the person having the lien should give up the possession of the goods, his lien will be

Property of  
goods subject  
to lien is in  
the owner.

(*v*) *Davies v. Vernon*, 6 Q. B. 443, 447.

(*x*) *Wakefield v. Newbon*, 6 Q. B. 276.

(*y*) *Smith v. Chichester*, 2 Dr. & War. 393; *Blunden v. Desart*, *id.* 405; *Pelly v. Wathen*, 7 Hare, 361; 1 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 16.

(*z*) *Baker v. Henderson*, 4 Sim. 27.

(*a*) *The King v. Sankey*, 5 Ad &

Ell. 423; *Worrell v. Johnson*, 2 Jac. & Walk. 218.

(*b*) *Champernown v. Scott*, 6 Madd. 93; *Balch v. Symes*, T. & Russ. 87.

(*c*) *Bull v. Faulkner*, 2 De G. & S. 772, *sed qu.*

(*d*) *Hollis v. Claridge*, 4 Taunt. 807; *Steadman v. Hoekley*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 553.

(*e*) *Legg v. Evans*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 36.

lost (*f*); the owner's property in them will draw to it the right of possession, and enable him to maintain an action of trover (*g*). And if the person having the lien should take a security for his debt, payable at a distant day, his lien would on that account be lost, as it would be unreasonable that he should detain the goods till such future time of payment (*h*); and in this case also an action of trover may be maintained by the owner of the goods, by virtue of the right of possession now accrued to him in respect of his property (*i*). How lien is lost.

In all the above cases of finding of goods, bailment and lien, it appears clear, therefore, that the property in the goods is still simply vested in one party only, although the right to their immediate possession may be in another party, and the actual possession possibly in a third.

(*f*) *Kruges v. Wilcox*, Amb. 254.

(*g*) *Sweet v. Pym*, 1 East, 4.

(*h*) *Cowell v. Simpson*, 16 Ves. 275.

(*i*) *Hewison v. Guthrie*, 2 New Cas. 756, 759.

## CHAPTER III.

## OF THE ALIENATION OF CHOSSES IN POSSESSION.

A grant cannot be made of that in which a man has no actual or potential property.

CHOSSES in possession have always been freely alienable from one person to another. The feudal principles of tenure, which in ancient times opposed the alienation of landed estates, could have no application to the then insignificant subjects of personal property; although the full right of testamentary disposition was not, as we shall hereafter see, enjoyed in early times. But, though the property in personal chattels may be freely aliened, it is impossible for a man to make a valid grant in law of that in which he has no actual or potential property, but which he only expects to have. A person who has an interest in land may grant all the fruit which may grow upon it hereafter (*a*). So a grant of the next year's wool of all the sheep which a man now has is valid, because he has a potential property in such wool (*b*). But a grant of the wool of all the sheep which a man ever shall have is void (*c*). And in the same manner the assignment of a man's stock in trade passes only such articles as are his property at the time he executes such assignment, and will not comprise any other articles which he may afterwards purchase (*d*); not even if the instrument of assignment should purport to convey all goods which should at any time thereafter be in or upon his dwelling-house (*e*). The property in goods to be hereafter ac-

(*a*) *Grantham v. Hawley*, Hob. 132; *Petch v. Tutin*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 110.

(*b*) *Per Pollock*, C. B., 15 Mee. & Wels. 116.

(*c*) Com. Dig. tit. Grant (D).

(*d*) *Tophill v. Hillman*, 6 Man. & Gr. 245; S. C. 6 Scott, N. R. 967.

(*e*) *Lunn v. Thornton*, 1 C. B. 379; *Gale v. Burnell*, 7 Q. B. 850.

quired may however be effectually passed by an assignment thereof in equity coupled with a licence to seize them (*f*).

The manner in which the alienation of personal chattels is effected, is in many respects essentially different from the modes of conveying real estate. In ancient times, indeed, there was more similarity than there is at present. The conveyance of land was then usually made by feoffment, with livery of seisin, which was nothing more than a simple gift of an estate in the land, accompanied by delivery of possession (*g*). This gift might then have been made by mere word of mouth (*h*); but the Statute of Frauds (*i*) made writing necessary; and now every conveyance of landed property is required to be by deed (*j*). Personal chattels, on the contrary, are still alienable by mere *gift and delivery*; though they may be disposed of by *deed*; and they are also assignable by *sale*, in a manner totally different from the conveyance requisite on the transfer of real estate. Each of these three modes of conveyance deserves a separate notice.

Ancient mode of conveying real property.

Modes of alienation of personal chattels.

1. And first, personal chattels are alienable by a mere gift of them, accompanied by delivery of possession. For this purpose no deed or writing is required, nor is it essential that there should be a consideration for the gift. Thus, if I give a horse to A. B., and at the same time deliver it into his possession, this gift is complete and irrevocable, and the property in the horse is thencefor-

Gift and delivery.

(*f*). *Congreve v. Evelts*, 10 Exch. 298; *Hope v. Hayley*, 5 E. & B. 830; *Allatt v. Carr*, Exch. 6 W. R. 578; *Chidell v. Galsworthy*, 6 C. B. N. S. 471; *Holroyd v. Marshall*, H. of Lords, 9 Jur. N. S. 213; *Reeve v. Whitmore*, L. C., 12 W. R. 113, qu.?

(*g*) See principles of the Law W.P.P.

of Real Property, 113, 2nd ed.; 118, 3rd & 4th eds.; 121, 5th ed. 127, 6th ed.

(*h*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 117, 2nd ed.; 122, 3rd & 4th eds.; 128, 5th ed.; 134, 6th ed.

(*i*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, ss. 1, 2.

(*j*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 106, s. 3.



Trust, though  
voluntary, en-  
forced in  
equity.

ward vested in A. B. (*k*). But if I purport to assign the horse, and yet retain the possession, the gift, though made by writing (so that it be not a deed), is absolutely void at law (*l*), and equity will give no relief to the donee (*m*). It may, however, be observed, that if the donor should not attempt to part with the subject of gift, but should declare that he keeps possession of it *in trust* for the donee, equity will seize on and enforce this trust, although voluntarily created (*n*). In some cases it is not possible to make an immediate and complete delivery of the subject of gift; and in these cases, as near an approach as possible must be made to actual delivery; and if this be done the gift will be effectual. Thus if goods be in a warehouse, the delivery of the key will be sufficient (*q*); timber may be delivered by marking it with the initials of the assignee (*p*), and an actual removal is not essential to the delivery of a haystack (*q*). But the delivery of a part of goods capable of actual delivery, is not a sufficient delivery of the whole (*r*).

Constructive  
delivery when  
goods are in  
the custody of  
a simple bailee.

When goods are in the custody of a simple bailee, such as a wharfinger or carrier, the possession of such bailee is, as we have seen (*s*), constructively the possession of the bailor; and either the bailor or bailee may maintain an action of trover in respect of the goods.

(*k*) 2 Black. Com. 441.

(*l*) *Irons v. Smallpiece*, 2 Barn. & Ald. 551; *Miller v. Miller*, 3 P. Wms. 356. See also *Shower v. Pitck*, 4 Ex. Rep. 478.

(*m*) *Antrobus v. Smith*, 12 Ves. 39, 46; *Edwards v. Jones*, 1 My. & Cr. 226; *Dillon v. Coppin*, 4 My. & Cr. 647, 671.

(*n*) *Ellison v. Ellison*, 6 Ves. 656; *Ex parte Dubost*, 18 Ves. 140, 150; *Fandenberg v. Palmer*, 4 Kay & John. 204. The case of *Scales v.*

*Maude*, 6 De G., M. & G. 43, 51, is not to be relied on.

(*o*) *West v. Skip*, 1 Ves. sen. 244; *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. sen. 362; 1 Atk. 171; *Ward v. Turner*, 2 Ves. sen. 443.

(*p*) *Stoveld v. Hughes*, 14 East, 308.

(*q*) *Chaplin v. Rogers*, 1 East, 190.

(*r*) *Per Pollock*, C. B., 14 Mee. & Wels. 37, correcting a dictum of Taunton, J., 2 Ad. & Ell. 73.

(*s*) *Ante*, p. 26.

This constructive possession of the bailor may be delivered by him to a third person, by making as near an approach to actual delivery as is possible under the circumstances of the case. By the custom of Liverpool the delivery of goods in another person's warehouse is effected by merely handing over a delivery order (t); and the property in wines in the London Docks appears to pass by the indorsement and delivery of the dock warrant (u). But in the absence of a custom to the contrary, it would seem that there can be no legal delivery of goods into the hands of a third person without the consent of the warehouseman or wharfinger in whose custody the goods are (x). When goods are at sea, the delivery of the bill of lading, after its indorsement, is a delivery of the goods themselves (y); for it is not possible, in this case, to make any nearer approach to an actual delivery (z).

Dock warrant.

Bill of lading.

2. The next method of alienating chattels personal is by deed. Every deed imports a consideration (a); for it was anciently supposed, that no person would do so solemn an act as the sealing and delivery of a deed without some sufficient ground. The presence of this implied consideration renders a deed sufficient of itself to pass the property in goods (b). It supplies on the one

Alienation by deed.

(t) *Dixon v. Yates*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 313; and see *Greaves v. Hepke*, 2 Barn. & Ald. 131; *Kingsford v. Merry*, 1 Hurl. & N. 503.

(u) *Ex parte Davenport*, Mon. & Bl. 165. Delivery orders are now subject to a stamp duty of one penny, and dock warrants to a stamp duty of threepence, by statutes 23 Vict. c. 15 and 23 & 24 Vict. c. 111.

(x) *Zwinger v. Samuda*, 7 Taunt. 265; *Lucas v. Dorrien*, *ibid.* 278; *Bryans v. Niz*, 4 Mee. & Wels.

775, 791; *M'Ewan v. Smith*, 2 H. of L. Cases, 309. And see *Pearson v. Dawson*, 1 E. B. & E. 448.

(y) *Mitchell v. Ede*, 11 Ad. & Ell. 888; and see stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111.

(z) 1 Ves. sen. 362; 1 Atk. 171.

(a) Plowd. 308; 3 Burr. 1639; 1 Fonb. Eq. 342; 2 Fonb. Eq. 26; *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 118, 2nd ed.; 123, 3rd & 4th eds.; 128, 5th ed.; 134, 6th ed.

(b) *Carr v. Burdiss*, 1 C., M. & R. 782, 788; S. C. 5 Tyrw. 309, 316.

hand the want of delivery, and on the other the want of that actual consideration which always exists in the third and most usual mode of alienation of chattels personal, which is,

**Sale.**

**Effect of a contract for the sale of lands.**

**Contract for sale of goods transfers the property.**

**Requisites for the sale of goods under the value of 10*l*.**

3. By sale. It is in this last and most usual method of alienation that the contrast presents itself between the means to be employed for the alienation of real property and chattels personal. When a contract has been entered into for the sale of lands, the legal estate in such lands still remains vested in the vendor; and it is not transferred to the vendee until the vendor shall have executed and delivered to him a proper deed of conveyance. In *equity*, it is true, that the lands belong to the purchaser from the moment of the signature of the contract; and from the same moment, the purchase-money belongs, in equity, to the vendor (*c*). But at *law* the only result of the signature of a contract for the sale of lands is, that each party acquires a right to sue the other for pecuniary damages, in case such contract be not performed. Not so, however, the case of a contract for the sale of chattels personal. Such a contract immediately transfers the legal property in the goods sold from the vendor to the vendee, without the necessity of any thing further (*d*). In order to this, it is of course necessary, that the transaction have within itself all the legal requisites for a sale; and these requisites will accordingly form the next subject for our consideration (*e*).

The requisites for the sale of goods partly depend upon their value. Goods under the value of 10*l*. sterling may now be sold in the same manner as goods of whatever value were anciently saleable; whereas goods of the

(*c*) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 133, 2nd ed.; 137, 3rd & 4th eds.; 143, 5th ed.; 150, 6th ed.

(*d*) Com. Dig. tit. Biens (D. 3).

(*e*) In the recent cases of *Thomp-*

*son v. Pettitt*, 10 Q. B. 101, and *Flory v. Denny*, 7 Ex. Rep. 581, the property in goods was held to pass by a mere written memorandum by way of mortgage, without any delivery; *sed qu*.

value of 10*l.* or upwards are now regulated in their sale by an enactment contained in the Statute of Frauds (*f*). And first, with regard to such goods and chattels as do not fall within this enactment, there can be no sale without a tender, or part payment of the money, or a tender or part delivery of the goods, unless the contract is to be completed at a future time. Thus if A. should agree to pay so much for the goods, and B., the owner, should agree to take it, and the parties should then separate without any thing further passing, this is no sale (*g*). But if A. should tender the money, or pay but a penny of it, or B. should tender the goods, or should deliver any, even the smallest portion, of them to A., or if the payment or delivery or both should be postponed by agreement till a future day, the sale will be valid, and the property in the goods will pass at once from the vendor to the vendee (*h*). If, however, any act should remain to be done on the part of the seller previously to the delivery of the goods, the property will not pass to the vendee until such act shall have been done. Thus if goods, the weight of which is unknown, are sold by weight (*i*), or if a given weight or measure is sold out of a larger quantity (*j*), the property will not pass to the vendee until the price shall have been ascertained by weighing the goods in the one case, or the goods sold shall have been separated by weight or measure in the other. So if an article be ordered to be manufactured, the property in it will not vest in the person who gave the order, until it shall, with his assent, have been appropriated for his benefit (*k*).

(*f*) 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 17.

(*g*) 2 Bla. Com. 447; Smith's Mercantile Law, 461, 5th ed.; 468, 6th ed. •

(*h*) Shep. Touch. 224; *Martindale v. Smith*, 1 Q. B. 389, 395.

(*i*) *Hanson v. Meyer*, 6 East, 614;

*Swanwick v. Sothorn*, 9 Ad. & Ell. 895.

(*j*) *Busk v. Davis*, 2 Mau. & Selw. 397; *Shepley v. Davis*, 5 Taunt. 617.

(*k*) *Atkinson v. Bell*, 3 B. & Cress. 277; *Wilkins v. Bromhead*, 5 Man. & Gr. 963, 973.

Requisites for the sale of goods of the value of 10*l.* or upwards.

But with regard to goods of the value of 10*l.* or upwards, additional requisites have been enacted by the seventeenth section of the Statute of Frauds (*l*), which provides, "that no contract for the sale of any goods, wares and merchandizes for the price of 10*l.* sterling or upwards shall be allowed to be good, except the buyer shall accept part of the goods so sold, and actually receive the same, or give something in earnest to bind the bargain, or in part of payment, or that some note or memorandum in writing of the said bargain be made and signed by the parties to be charged by such contract, or their agents thereunto lawfully authorized." And by a modern statute (*m*), this enactment "shall extend to all contracts for the sale of goods of the value of 10*l.* sterling and upwards, notwithstanding the goods may be intended to be delivered at some future time, or may not at the time of such contract be actually made, procured or provided, or fit or ready for delivery, or some act may be requisite for the making or completing thereof, or rendering the same fit for delivery."

What is an acceptance and actual receipt within the statute.

The above section of the Statute of Frauds has been interpreted by a vast number of cases decided on almost every one of the phrases it contains (*n*). The chief difficulty has been to determine the exact meaning of the acceptance of part of the goods and actual receipt of the same, required on the part of the buyer, and to ascertain in each particular case whether such acceptance and actual receipt have taken place or not. The acceptance required appears not to be necessarily such as shall preclude the purchaser from afterwards objecting to the quality of the goods (*o*), and it may be prior

(*l*) 29 Car. II. c. 3.

468 *et seq.* 5th ed.; 495 *et seq.* 6th ed.

(*m*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 7.

See *Hoadley v. M' Laine*, 10 Bing. 482, 486.

(*o*) *Morton v. Tibbett*, 15 Q. B. 428; *Bushell v. Wheeler*, 15 Q. B. 442; *sed qu.* and see *Hunt v. Hecht*, 8 Ex. 814.

(*n*) See Smith's Mercantile Law,

to the receipt (*p*). Actual receipt seems, according to a great preponderance of authority, to mean receipt of the *possession* of the goods, and to be merely correlative to delivery of possession on the part of the vendor (*q*). There must, therefore, be an actual transfer of the article sold, or some part thereof, by the seller, and an actual taking possession of it, by the buyer (*r*). The possession of a simple bailee is, however, as we have seen (*s*), constructively the possession of the bailor. If, therefore, any part of the goods be delivered to an agent of the vendee, or to a carrier named by him, this is a sufficient receipt by the vendee himself (*t*); and if the goods should be in the possession of a warehouseman or wharfinger at the time of sale, the receipt by the purchaser of a delivery order, provided it were coupled with the assent of the bailee, would be a sufficient receipt of the goods within the statute (*u*). The wharfinger holds the goods as the agent of the vendor, until he has agreed with the purchaser to hold for him. Then, and not till then, the wharfinger is the agent or bailee of the purchaser, and the possession of such wharfinger is that of the purchaser; and then only is there a constructive delivery to him (*x*).

The requisitions of the statute, it will be observed, are in the alternative. Either the buyer must accept part of the goods sold, and actually receive the same, or he

The requisitions of the statute are in the alternative.

(*p*) *Cusack v. Robinson*, 1 Best & Smith, 299.

(*q*) Smith's Mercantile Law, 472, n. (*g*), 5th ed.; 499, n. (*m*), 6th ed.; *Saunders v. Topp*, 4 Ex. Rep. 390; *Castle v. Swooner*, 5 H. & N. 281.

(*r*) *Baldev v. Parker*, 2 B. & Cress. 37, 41.

(*s*) *Ante*, p. 26.

(*t*) *Dawes v. Peck*, 8 T. Rep.

330; *Hart v. Bush*, 1 E. B. & E. 494, 498. See however *Norman v. Phillips*, 14 M. & W. 277; *Coombs v. Bristol and Exeter Railway Company*, 3 H. & N. 510.

(*u*) *Bentall v. Burn*, 3 B. & Cress. 423; *Pearson v. Dawson*, 1 E. B. & E. 448. See *ante*, p. 35.

(*x*) *Farina v. Horne*, 16 M. & W. 119, 123.

Memorandum  
in writing.

must give something in earnest or in part of payment, or some note or memorandum in writing must be signed. The two former alternatives are left as they were before the statute; but the last is a new requisition, which must be observed in the absence of either of the former (*y*). The effect of the statute, therefore, is to abolish tender and mere words as sufficient for a sale, and to substitute for them the more exact evidence of a note or memorandum in writing (*z*). But as the memorandum may be signed by an agent lawfully authorized, the bought-and-sold notes given by a broker are a sufficient memorandum within the meaning of the statute (*a*). And it is held that the entry of a purchaser's name by an auctioneer's clerk at an auction is also sufficient to satisfy the statute, as the clerk is, for that purpose, the authorized agent of the purchaser (*b*). But one of the contracting parties to a sale cannot be the agent for the other for the purpose of signing a memorandum of the bargain (*c*).

When the  
agreement is  
not to be per-  
formed within  
a year.

If the agreement is not to be performed within the space of one year from the making thereof, then, however small be the value of the goods, no action can be brought upon it, unless the agreement, or some memorandum or note thereof, shall be in writing, and signed by the party to be charged therewith, or some other person thereunto by him lawfully authorized. This is another provision of the Statute of Frauds (*d*), and will be hereafter noticed more particularly.

Although the property in goods sold passes, as we

(*y*) *Lee v. Griffin*, 1 Best & Smith, 272.

(*z*) Every memorandum, letter or agreement made for or relating to the sale of any goods, wares or merchandize, is exempt from all stamp duty; stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184, Sched., Part I. tit. Agree-

ment.

(*a*) *Grove v. Asfalo*, 6 B. & Cress. 117.

(*b*) *Bird v. Boulter*, 4 B. & Adol. 443.

(*c*) *Farebrother v. Simmons*, 5 B. & Ald. 333.

(*d*) 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 4.

have seen (e), from the vendor to the vendee, immediately upon the execution of a valid contract for sale, yet the possession of the goods of course remains with the vendor until he deliver them, which he is bound to do when the purchaser is ready to pay the price (f), but not before (g). And so long as the vendor retains actual or constructive possession of the goods, he has a lien upon them for so much of the purchase-money as may remain unpaid (h). But when the goods are once delivered by the vendor out of his own actual or constructive possession, his lien is gone; for lien in law is, as we have seen (i), merely a right to retain possession, and not to recover it when given up. Vendor's lien.

Under certain circumstances, however, the vendor of goods has a right to resume their possession, with which he had previously parted under a contract for sale. This right is called the right of *stoppage in transitu*; and it occurs when goods are consigned entirely or partly (k) on credit from one person to another, and the consignee becomes bankrupt or insolvent before the goods arrive. In this event the consignor (l) has a right to direct the captain of the ship, or other carrier, to deliver the goods to himself or his agent instead of to the consignee, who has thus become unable to pay for them. The right of stoppage in transitu was first allowed and enforced only by the Court of Chancery, which, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, considered that, under the circumstances above mentioned, it was very allowable in equity for the consignor to get his goods again into his own Stoppage in transitu. First allowed by Court of Chancery.

(e) *Ante*, p. 36.

(f) *Rawson v. Johnston*, 1 East, 203.

(g) *Blozam v. Sanders*, 4 Barn. & Cress. 941.

(h) *Dixon v. Yates*, 5 Barn. &

Adol. 313; *Lackington v. Atherton*, 7 Man. & G. 360.

(i) *Ante*, p. 27.

(k) *Hodgson v. Loy*, 7 T. R. 440.\*

(l) *Bird v. Brown*, 4 Ex. Rep. 786.



hands(m). But the right was subsequently acknowledged by the courts of law; and it is now constantly enforced by them. As this right was originally of equitable origin it cannot be expected to depend on strictly legal principles; and the doctrines of law on this particular subject are in fact unlike its usual doctrines on other matters. Thus it is at variance with the general principles of law that a man should be allowed to transfer to another a right which he has not, or that a second purchaser should stand in a better position than his vendor (n); but the consignee of goods may, by indorsing the bill of lading to a *bonâ fide* indorsee, defeat the consignor's right to stop in transitu (o). So a delivery of goods into the possession of a carrier appointed by the vendee is, in construction of law, a delivery to the vendee himself, and divests the vendor's lien for the unpaid purchase-money (p); but until the *transitus* is completely ended, or the goods come to the actual possession of the vendee, the vendor's right to stop them in transitu may still be exercised in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the vendee (q), unless indeed such right be defeated, as we have said, by a *bonâ fide* indorsement of the bill of lading. Thus, although by the sale of the goods the property in them, involving the risk of their loss, passes to the purchaser, and although the possession of them be delivered to a carrier named by him, still such possession may be resumed by the vendor during the journey, in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the vendee. As this right is a departure from legal princi-

(m) *Wiseman v. Vandeputt*, 2 Vern. 203; *Snee v. Prescott*, 1 Atk. 245.

(n) *Dixon v. Yates*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 339.

(o) *Lickbarrow v. Mason*, 2 T. R. 68; 1 H. Bl. 357; 6 East, 21; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 388; *Jenkyns v. Ushorne*, 7 Man. & Gr. 678, 699.

(p) *Dawes v. Peck*, 8 T. R. 330; ante, p. 39; *Wilmshurst v. Bowker*, in error, 7 Man. & Gr. 882.

(q) *Holst v. Pownall*, 1 Esp. 240; *Northey v. Field*, 2 Esp. 613; *Jackson v. Nichol*, 5 New Cases, 508, 519. See *Van Casteel v. Booker*, 2 Ex. Rep. 691; *Heinekey v. Earle* 8 E. & B. 410.

ples on the vendor's behalf, it is allowed only in one of the two cases of bankruptcy or insolvency, by which latter term appears to be here meant a general inability to pay, evidenced by stopping of payment (†). When possession of goods has been resumed by the vendor under his right of stoppage in transitu, he is restored to the lien for the unpaid purchase-money which he had before he parted with such possession; but, according to the better opinion, the contract for sale is not thereby rescinded (s).

There is one case in which the property in goods passes from one person to another by payment of their value without any actual sale. In an action of trover (t) the plaintiff is entitled to damages equal to the value of the property he has lost, but not further, unless he has sustained any special damage (u). The defendant therefore, having paid the amount of the damages, is entitled to retain the goods in respect of which the action is brought; and the property in them vests in him accordingly (x).

A recovery in trover vests the property in the defendant.

The alienation of personal chattels is prohibited to be made by certain persons and for certain objects. And first with respect to persons. An alien or foreigner is under great restrictions as to the acquirement of real estate (y); but with respect to personal chattels he stands on the same footing as a natural-born subject; for by

(r) See Smith's Merc. Law, 525, n. (b), 5th ed.; 564, n. 6th ed. The case of *Wilmshurst v. Bowker*, 5 New Cas. 541, 7 Scott, 561, 2 Man. & G. 812, was reversed in error, 7 Mañ. & Gr. 582.

(s) *Bloxam v. Sanders*, 4 Barn. & Cress. 949; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 432.

(t) See ante, p. 23.

(u) *Bodley v. Reynolds*, 8 Q. B. 779.

(x) *Cooper v. Shepherd*, 3 C. B. 266, 272. See *Buckland v. Johnson*, C. P. 18 Jur. 775; 15 C. B. 145.

(y) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 56, 2nd ed.; 58, 3rd & 4th eds.; 61, 5th & 6th eds.

Infant, idiot  
and lunatic.

Married  
women.

Convicts.

the recent act to amend the laws relating to aliens (z), it is enacted (a) that from and after the passing of this act, any alien, being the subject of a friendly state, shall and may take and hold by purchase, gift, bequest, representation or otherwise, every species of personal property, except chattels real, as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes, and with the same rights, remedies, exemptions, privileges and capacities, as if he were a natural-born subject of the united kingdom. The gift of an *infant* or person under the age of twenty-one years is voidable (b), and that of an *idiot* or *lunatic* appears to be absolutely void (c): in this respect the law of personal chattels is now the same as that of real estate (d). Married women also are incapable of making any disposition of personal chattels, except such as may be settled in equity in trust for their own *separate use*; for marriage is an absolute gift in law of all the wife's choses in possession to her husband, as well those she is possessed of at the time of the marriage, as those which come to her during her coverture (e). Persons convicted of treason or felony forfeit on such conviction the whole of their goods and chattels to the crown; and nothing but a *bonâ fide* alienation for a valuable consideration, made previously to conviction, can avert such forfeiture (f). When a felony is not capital, the punishment endured has the effect of a pardon (g); but the restoration to civil rights does not take effect till the determination of the period of punishment. All personal property, therefore, which accrues to a felon during his transportation

(z) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 66, explained by stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 83.

(a) Sect. 4.

(b) Bac. Abr. tit. Infancy Age (1), 3.

(c) *Ibid.* tit. Idiots and Lunatics (F).

(d) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 57, 2nd ed.;

59, 3rd & 4th eds.; 62, 5th ed.; 63, 6th ed.

(e) Co. Litt. 300 a; 1 Rep. Husband and Wife, 169. See *post*, the chapter on Husband and Wife.

(f) 3 Rep. 82 b; 4 Bla. Com. 387, 388; *Perkins v. Bradley*, 1 Hare, 219; *Chowne v. Baylis*, 31 Beav. 351.

(g) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 32, s. 3.

is forfeited to the crown (*h*); but a mere contingent interest will not be forfeited, if it do not vest until the expiration of the period of banishment (*i*).

With regard to the objects for which the alienation of chattels personal is prohibited, gifts to charitable purposes are not restricted, neither are corporations excepted objects, as in the case of landed property (*k*). But by a statute of the reign of Elizabeth (*l*), the gift or alienation of any lands, tenements, hereditaments, *goods and chattels*, made for the purpose of delaying, hindering or defrauding creditors, is rendered void as against them, unless made upon *good*, which here means *valuable*, consideration and *bonâ fide* to any person not having at the time of such gift any notice of such fraud. There are also more stringent provisions to the same effect contained in the bankrupt laws, to which reference will be hereafter made in the chapter on bankruptcy. The fraudulent purpose intended by the statute of Elizabeth can of course only be judged of by circumstances. Thus it has been held that if the owner of goods make an absolute assignment of them by deed to one of his creditors, and yet remain in the possession of the goods, such remaining in possession is a badge of fraud, which renders the assignment void, by virtue of the statute, as against the other creditors (*m*). But if the assignment be made to secure the payment of money at a future day, with a proviso that the debtor shall remain in possession of the goods until he shall make default in payment, the possession of the debtor, being then consistent with the terms of the deed, is not

Gift for defrauding creditors.

(*h*) *Roberts v. Walker*, 1 Russ. & M. 752.

(*i*) *Stokes v. Holden*, 1 Keen, 145; *Thompson's trusts*, 22 Beav. 506.

(*k*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 58, 2nd ed.; 60,

61, 3rd & 4th eds.; 64, 65, 5th ed.; 69, 6th ed.

(*l*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 5.

(*m*) *Twyne's case*, 3 Rep. 80 b; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 1; *Edward's v. Harben*, 2 T. Rep. 587.

Mortgage of  
goods.

regarded in modern times as rendering the transaction fraudulent within the meaning of the statute(*n*). Such a transaction is in fact a *mortgage* of the goods, analogous to a mortgage of lands(*o*). The property in the goods passes at law by the deed to the mortgagee(*p*), whilst the possession of them rightly remains with the mortgagor. The mortgagee therefore cannot maintain an action of trover for the goods against a stranger, until default has been made by the mortgagor in payment of the money secured(*q*). In this respect a mortgage of goods differs from a mere pledge, in which the property in the goods remains with the pledgor, and the pledgee obtains possession only, the right to retain which constitutes his lien for the money he has advanced(*r*), and enables him to maintain an action of trover(*s*). The chief disadvantage in a mortgage of goods is, that, as the goods continue in the possession of the mortgagor as reputed owner, they will, by virtue of provisions in one of the bankrupt acts become liable, in the event of his bankruptcy, to be sold for the benefit of his creditors generally(*t*). By a recent act of par-

Filing of bills  
of sale.

(*n*) *Edwards v. Harben*, 2 T. Rep. 587; *Martindale v. Booth*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 498; *Reed v. Wilmot*, 7 Bing. 577.

(*o*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 332, 2nd ed.; 349, 4th ed.; 360, 5th ed.; 382, 6th ed.

(*p*) *Gale v. Burnell*, 7 Q. B. 850.

(*q*) *Bradley v. Copley*, 1 C. B. 685; *Brierley v. Kendall*, 17, Q. B. 937. If the mortgagor should retain possession after default in payment at the time specified, it may possibly be doubted whether the security would not then be void as against creditors under the statute of Elizabeth, for, by the terms of the deed, the mortgagor is only to

enjoy possession until default. But the better opinion is that the deed will still be good. See 2 Davidson's Precedents, 609, 2nd ed.; *Ex parte Sparrow*, 2 De G., M. & G. 907.

(*r*) *Ante*, p. 27.

(*s*) *Legg v. Evans*, 6. Mee. & Wels. 36.

(*t*) *Ryall v. Rolle*, 1 Atk. 165, 170; S. C. nom. *Ryall v. Rowles*, 1 Ves. sen. 348; stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 72, repealed and re-enacted by stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 125; *Freshney v. Carrick*, 1 H. & N. 653; *Spackman v. Miller*, 12 C. B. N. S. 659; *Hornsby v. Miller*, 1 Ell. & Ell. 192.

liament (u) every bill of sale of personal chattels, whereby the grantee shall have power to take possession of any effects therein comprised, or a true copy thereof, must be filed in the office of the Court of Queen's Bench within twenty-one days; otherwise such bill of sale is rendered void, so far as regards any of the goods in the apparent possession of the grantor, both as against the assignees of the grantor, in case of his bankruptcy, or under any assignment for the benefit of his creditors, and also as against all sheriff's officers and other persons seizing the effects in execution of any process of any court of law or equity issued against the goods of the grantor. Such bills of sale before the act were valid as against an execution creditor, though void as against assignees under the bankruptcy of the grantor; and the act does not appear to give to such bills of sale as are filed under it any greater validity than they had before (v). But if the bill of sale be not filed, the goods may now be taken in execution, which they could not have been before the act. The act does not apply to fixtures, when they pass by a conveyance of the premises to which they are affixed (x). And as seizure of the goods of a trader under an execution for an amount exceeding fifty pounds has now been made an act of bankruptcy (y), a bill of sale of the goods of a trader, whether filed or not, now affords a very unsatisfactory security.

Fixtures.

Choses in possession have long been liable to involuntary alienation for the payment of the debts of their owner. On the decease of any person, his personal property generally has always been liable, in the first place, to the payment of his debts of every kind. And if a

Involuntary alienation for payment of debts.

(u) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 36.

(v) *Stansfeld v. Cubitt*, 2 De G. & Jones, 222; *Badger v. Shaw*, Q. B., 8 W. R. 210; 6 Jur. N. S. 377.

(x) *Mather v. Fraser*, 2 Kay & J. 536; *Waterfall v. Pennistone*,

6 E. & B. 876. The bill of sale must be duly stamped before it can be registered. Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 91, s. 34.

(y) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 73.

Writ of fieri  
facias.

creditor take proceedings against his debtor in the debtor's lifetime, a sale of his goods<sup>c</sup> and chattels may be procured by means of a writ of *feri facias* (*fi. fa.*) issued in execution of the judgment of the court. This writ is of very ancient date, and is usually said to be given by the common law; though some suppose that its name arose from the wording of the statute of Edward I. (z), by which the writ of *elegit* was provided (a). The writ directs the sheriff to cause the debt to be realized out of the goods and chattels of the debtor, *quod fieri facias de bonis et catallis*, &c.; and a sale of the goods is made by the sheriff accordingly. This sale is now directed to be made by public auction in all cases where the debt or damages recovered exceeds fifty pounds, unless the Court of Bankruptcy shall otherwise direct (b). And the seizure of the goods of a trader is now an act of bankruptcy whenever the debt or damages recovered exceed fifty pounds (c). Goods however are not, like lands, affected by the mere entry of a judgment of a court of law against the owner. The debtor was always allowed to alienate his goods until the writ of execution was issued; although by a fiction of law, all judicial proceedings, writs of execution included, formerly related back to the first day of the term to which they belonged (d). Goods, therefore, which had been sold after the first day of a term, might yet practically have been seized under a writ of *fi. fa.* relating back to that day, but subsequently issued. To remedy this evil, it was enacted by one of the sections of the Statute of Frauds (e), that no writ of *feri facias*

Statute of  
Frauds.

(z) Stat. 13 Edw. I. c. 18, called the Statute of Westminster the Second. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 63, 2nd ed.; 66, 3rd & 4th eds.; 71, 5th ed.; 75, 6th ed.

(a) Bac. Abr. tit. Execution (C).

(b) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s.

74. This section was perhaps intended to apply to traders only, but its wording is general.

(c) Sec. 73.

(d) Com. Dig. tit. Execution (D 2); Anon. 2 Vent. 218. See 2 Sudg. Vend. & Pur. 9th ed. 198.

(e) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 16.

or other writ of execution shall bind the property of the goods against which it is sued, but from the time that such writ shall be delivered to the sheriff, under-sheriff, or coroner, to be executed; and the officer is required, upon receipt of the writ, to indorse on it (without fee) the day of the month and year on which he received it. Goods and chattels might therefore be safely alienated, although judgment might exist against the owner, provided a writ of execution were not actually in the hands of the sheriff. And a recent statute now provides that no writ of execution shall prejudice the title to goods acquired by any person *bonâ fide*, and for a valuable consideration, before the actual seizure thereof by virtue of such writ; provided such person had not, at the time when he acquired such title, notice that such writ, or any other writ under which the goods might be seized, had been delivered to the officer and remained unexecuted in his hands (*f*). It has been decided that an alienation to secure or satisfy another creditor is not void within the above-mentioned statute of the 13 Elizabeth (*g*), although made with the intention of defeating an expected execution of the judgment creditor (*h*). Besides the sale of goods under the writ of *fieri facias*, there might also be a writ of *levari facias*, now disused, by which the sheriff levied the corn and other present profit which grew on the lands, together with the rents then due, and the cattle thereon (*i*). And by the writ of *elegit*, the goods of the debtor are delivered to his creditor at an appraised value, together with possession of his lands (*k*). It has however been enacted, that the

New enact-  
ment.

Levari facias.

Elegit.

(*f*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 1.

(*g*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 5.

(*h*) *Wood v. Dixie*, 7 Q. B. 892; *Hale v. Saloon Omnibus Company*, 4 Drew. 492.

(*i*) 2 Wms. Saunders, 68 a, n.

W.P.P.

(1).

(*k*) *Pullen v. Purbecke*, 1 Ld. Raym. 346. See the present forms of this writ and of the writ of *fi. fa.*, 9 Adol. & Ell. 986 *et seq.*, 5 New Cases, 386 *et seq.*



wearing apparel and bedding of any judgment debtor or his family, and the tools and implements of his trade (not exceeding in the whole the value of five pounds), shall not be liable to seizure under any execution or order of any court against his goods and chattels (*l*).

The Court may order a sale.

And the Common Law Procedure Act, 1860, now provides, that where goods or chattels have been seized in execution by a sheriff or other officer under process of the superior courts of common law, and some third person claims to be entitled under a bill of sale or otherwise to such goods or chattels by way of security for a debt, the court or a judge may order a sale of the whole or part thereof, upon such terms as to payment of the whole or part of the secured debt or otherwise as they or he shall think fit, and may direct the application of the proceeds of such sale in such manner and upon such terms as to such court or judge may seem just (*m*).

Bankruptcy.

Personal estate of bankrupt vests in the assignees.

Choses in possession are also liable to involuntary alienation on the bankruptcy of their owner. In this event all the personal estate of the bankrupt, wheresoever the same may be found or known, vests at once, first in the official assignee and then in the creditors' assignees under the bankruptcy by virtue of their appointment (*n*). And in order to prevent traders from obtaining false credit from the possession of property which is not their own, it is provided (*o*), that if any bankrupt at the time he becomes bankrupt shall, by the consent and permission of the true owner thereof,

Goods in the possession, order or disposition of a bankrupt.

(*l*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 127, s. 8.

(*m*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 126, s. 13.

(*n*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, ss. 108, 117. See post, the chapter on bankruptcy.

(*o*) Stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 72;

1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 56, s. 7; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 59 *et seq.*, repealed and consolidated by stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 125; *Hamilton v. Bell*, 10 Ex. Rep. 545; 18 Jur. 1109; *Reynolds v. Hall*, 4 H. & N. 519; *Holderness v. Rankin*, 2 De Gex, F. & J. 258.

have in his *possession, order, or disposition*, any goods or chattels, whereof he was reputed owner, or whereof he had taken upon him the sale, alteration or disposition as owner, the Court of Bankruptcy shall have power to order the same to be sold and disposed of for the benefit of the creditors under the bankruptcy. But it has been held, that until an order for the sale of such goods has been made by the court, no property in them is vested in the assignees (*p*); and the order ought to specify the particular goods which are to be sold (*q*). The above provision appears not to extend to all persons, whether traders or not (*r*).

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| ( <i>p</i> ) <i>Heslop v. Baker</i> , 6 Ex. Rep. | M. & G. 864.                                     |
| 740; 15 Jur. 684. See <i>Ex parte</i>            | ( <i>q</i> ) <i>Quartermaine v. Bittleston</i> , |
| <i>Heslop</i> , 1 De G., M. & G. 477;            | 13 C. B. 133.                                    |
| <i>Ex parte Wood</i> , 4 De Gex, M. &            | ( <i>r</i> ) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,         |
| G. 861; <i>Ex parte Young</i> , 4 De Gex,        | s. 232.  |

## CHAPTER IV.

## OF SHIPS.

**British ships.**

THERE is one important class of choses in possession which the policy of the law has rendered subject to peculiar rules, namely, ships and vessels. The whole of the acts relating to Merchant Shipping were repealed by the Merchant Shipping Repeal Act, 1854 (*a*), and the law on this subject is now contained in the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854 (*b*), as amended by the Merchant Shipping Act Amendment Acts, 1855 (*c*) and 1862 (*d*). Every British ship, with a few unimportant exceptions, is required to be registered (*e*), and no ship is to be deemed a British ship unless she belongs wholly to natural born British subjects, or to persons made denizens or duly naturalized. But no natural born subject who has taken the oath of allegiance to any foreign state can be owner, unless he has subsequently taken the oath of allegiance to her Majesty, and continues during his ownership resident within her Majesty's dominions, or, if not so resident, member of a British factory, or partner in a house actually carrying on business within her Majesty's dominions. And every denizen and naturalized person must continue during his ownership resident within her Majesty's dominions, or, if not so resident, must be a member of a British factory, or partner in such a house of business as above mentioned. But bodies corporate established under and subject to the laws of the United Kingdom or any British possession, and having their principal place of

(*a*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 120.(*d*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63.(*b*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104.(*e*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s.(*c*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 91.

19.

business therein, may be owners (*f*). The registration is made by the collector, comptroller or other principal officer of customs for the time being at any port or other place in the United Kingdom approved by the commissioners of customs for the registry of ships, and by other officers in the colonies and possessions abroad (*g*).

The property in every ship is divided into sixty-four shares; and, subject to the provisions of the act with respect to joint owners or owners by transmission, not more than thirty-two individuals, shall be entitled to be registered at the same time as owners of any one ship; but this rule is not to affect the beneficial title of any number of persons, or of any company, represented by or claiming under any registered owner or joint owner. And no person is entitled to be registered as owner of any fractional part of a share in a ship; but any number of persons not exceeding five may be registered as joint owners of a ship, or of a share or shares therein. And joint owners are to be considered as constituting one person only, as regards the foregoing rule relating to the number of persons entitled to be registered as owners, and shall not be entitled to dispose in severalty of any interest in any ship, or in any share or shares therein, in respect of which they are registered. A body corporate may be registered as owner by its corporate name (*h*). No notice of any trust, express, implied or constructive, shall be entered in the register book or receivable by the registrar; and, subject to any rights and powers appearing by the register book to be vested in any other party, the registered owner of any ship, or share therein, shall have power absolutely to dispose of such ship or share in the manner prescribed by the act, and to give effectual receipts for any money paid or advanced by way of consideration (*i*). But the intention of the

Property in British ships divided into sixty-four shares.

No trusts entered on the register.

But equities may be enforced.

(*f*) Sect. 18.

(*g*) Sect. 30.

(*h*) Sect. 37.

(*i*) Sect. 43.

Certificate of  
registry.

act is that, without prejudice to the provisions contained in the act for preventing notice of trusts from being entered on the register, and without prejudice to the powers of disposition and of giving receipts, conferred by the act on registered owners and mortgagees, and without prejudice to the provisions contained in the act relating to the exclusion of unqualified persons from the ownership of British ships, equities may be enforced against owners and mortgagees of ships in respect of their interest therein, in the same manner as equities may be enforced against them in respect of any other personal property (*k*). Upon the completion of the registry of any ship, the registrar gives a certificate of registry in the form prescribed by the act. And whenever any change takes place in the registered ownership of any ship, then if such change occurs when the ship is at her port of registry, a memorandum of such change is forthwith indorsed by the registrar on the certificate of registry. But if the ship is absent from her port of registry, then, upon her first return to such port, the master must deliver the certificate of registry to the registrar, and he is to indorse thereon a like memorandum of the change. Or if she previously arrives at any port where there is a British registrar, such registrar shall, upon being advised by the registrar of her port of registry of the change having taken place, indorse a like memorandum thereof on the certificate of registry, and may for that purpose require the certificate to be delivered to him, so that the ship be not thereby detained (*l*). Provision is also made for the granting of a new certificate in the place of any which may be delivered up, or may be mislaid, lost or destroyed (*m*). The certificate of registry is to be used only for the

(*k*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63,  
s. 3. See *Ward v. Beck*, C. P. 9  
Jur. N. S. 912.

(*l*) Sect. 15.  
(*m*) Sects. 47, 48, 53.

navigation of the ship, and is kept in the custody of the master, and is not subject to detention by reason of any title, lien, charge, or interest whatsoever which any owner, mortgagee or other person may have or claim to have in the ship described in such certificate (*n*).

A registered ship or any share therein, when disposed of to persons qualified to be owners of British ships, must be transferred by bill of sale, and such bill of sale must contain such a description of the ship as is contained in the surveyor's certificate, or such other description as may be sufficient to identify the ship to the satisfaction of the registrar, and must be according to the form set out in the schedule to the act, or as near thereto as circumstances permit, and must be executed by the transferor in the presence of and be attested by one or more witnesses (*o*). And in case any bill of sale, mortgage or other instrument for the disposal or transfer of any ship, or any share or interest therein, is made in any form or contains any particulars other than the form and particulars prescribed and approved for the purpose by or in pursuance of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854, no registrar shall be required to record the same without the express direction of the commissioners of her Majesty's customs (*p*). And no individual can be registered as transferee of a ship, or of any share therein, until he has made a declaration in a prescribed form, stating his qualification to be registered as owner of a share in a British ship. And if a body corporate be transferee, the secretary or other duly appointed public officer of such body corporate must make a similar declaration (*q*). The bill of sale, together with the required declaration, must then be produced to the

Transfer of  
ships.

(*n*) Sect. 50.

(*o*) Sect. 55.

(*p*) St. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 91, s. 11.

(*q*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 101,  
s. 56.

registrar of the port at which the ship is registered, who thereupon enters in the register the name of the transferee as owner of the ship or share comprised in the bill of sale, and also indorses on the bill of sale the fact of such entry having been made, with the date and hour thereof. All bills of sale are entered in the register book in the order of their production to the registrar (r).

**Mortgage of ships.**

All mortgages of any ship, or share therein, are to be in a form prescribed by the act, or as near thereto as circumstances permit; and on the production of such instrument, the registrar of the port at which the ship is registered is to record the same in the register book (s). Every such mortgage is to be recorded by the registrar in the order of time in which the same is produced to him for that purpose, and the registrar shall by memorandum under his hand notify on the instrument of mortgage that the same has been recorded by him, stating the day and hour of such record (t). If there is more than one mortgage registered, the mortgagees are entitled to priority one over the other according to the date at which each instrument is recorded in the register book, and not according to the date of each instrument itself, notwithstanding any express, implied or constructive notice (u). No mortgagee is to be deemed by reason of his mortgage to be the owner of a ship, or of any share therein, nor is the mortgagor to be deemed to have ceased to be owner, except in so far as may be necessary for making such ship or share available as a security for the mortgage debt (x). Every registered mortgagee is to have power absolutely to dispose of the ship or share in respect of which he is registered, and to give

(r) Sect. 57.

(s) Sect. 66.

(t) Sect. 67.

(u) Sect. 69.

(x) Sect. 70. See *European Co.*

*v. Royal Mail Co.*, 4 K. & J. 676,  
*Dickinson v. Kitchen*, 8 E. & B. 789;  
*Murriott v. The Anchor Reversionary Company, limited*, 2 Giff. 457.

effectual receipts for the purchase-money; but if more persons than one are registered as mortgagees of the same ship or share, no subsequent mortgagee shall, except under the order of some court capable of taking cognizance of such matters, sell such ship or share without the concurrence of every prior mortgagee (y). Mortgages of ships are not to be affected by the bankruptcy of the mortgagor (z); and a form is provided for the transfer of mortgages (a). And whenever any registered mortgage shall have been discharged, the registrar, on production of the mortgage deed with a receipt for the mortgage money indorsed thereon, duly signed and attested, makes an entry of the discharge of such mortgage in the register book; and upon such entry being made, the estate, if any, which passed to the mortgagee, vests in the same persons in whom the same would (having regard to intervening acts and circumstances, if any) have vested if no such mortgage had ever been made (b).

Provision is made enabling any registered owner to empower any other person or persons to sell any entire ship, or to mortgage any ship or any share therein, at any place out of the country or possession in which the port of registry of the ship is situate. For this purpose what are called certificates of sale or mortgage are granted by the registrar on certain conditions mentioned in the act, and in forms set out in the schedule thereto (c). The above are the principal provisions of the act so far as relates to the conveyance of ships. For more particular information the reader must be referred to the acts themselves, which are of great length. It may, however, be added, that all instruments used in carrying into effect that part of the act which relates to

Certificates of sale and mortgage.

Exempt from stamp duty.

(y) Sect. 71.

(z) Sect. 72.

(a) Sect. 73.

(b) Sect. 68.

(c) Sects. 76 *et seq.* See *Orr v. Dickinson*, 1 John. 1.



British ships, their ownership and registry, are exempt from stamp duty (*d*).

Jurisdiction of  
the High  
Court of  
Admiralty.

The Admiralty Court Act, 1861 (*e*), confers on the High Court of Admiralty jurisdiction to decide all questions arising between the co-owners, or any of them, touching the ownership, possession, employment and earnings of any ship registered in any port in England or Wales, or any share thereof; and it empowers that court to settle all accounts outstanding and unsettled between the parties in relation thereto, and to direct the ship or any share thereof to be sold, and to make such order in the premises as to the court shall seem fit (*f*). The same act also gives the Court of Admiralty jurisdiction over any claim in respect of any mortgage duly registered according to the provisions of the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854 (*g*).

Charter-party.

Sometimes a vessel is hired for a given voyage. The instrument by which such hiring is effected is termed a charter-party. Whether the legal possession of the ship passes to the hirer (or charterer, as he is called) depends on the stipulations contained in the charter-party, such as whether the charterer or the owner is to provide the seamen, and keep the vessel in order (*h*). Where a merchant ship is open to the conveyance of goods generally, it is called a *general ship*. The receipt for the goods given by the master is called the *bill of lading*: it states that the goods are to be delivered to the consignee or his assigns; and by the custom of merchants, the bill of lading, when indorsed by the consignee with his name, becomes a negotiable instrument, the delivery of which

General ship.

Bill of lading.

(*d*) Sect. 9.

(*e*) Stat. 24 Vict. c. 10.

(*f*) Sect. 8.

(*g*) Sect. 11. See also sects. 10,

12 and 13.

(*h*) *Dean v. Hogg*, 10 Bing. 545;

*Fenton v. City of London Steam Packet Company*, 8 Ad. & Ell. 835.

passes the property in the goods (*i*) ; but it was formerly held that the right to sue upon the contract contained in the bill of lading to carry and deliver the goods did not pass by the indorsement (*k*). It is, however, now enacted, that every consignee of goods named in a bill of lading, and every indorsee of a bill of lading, to whom the property in the goods therein mentioned shall pass upon or by reason of such consignment or indorsement, shall have transferred to and vested in him all rights of suit, and be subject to the same liabilities in respect of such goods, as if the contract contained in the bill of lading had been made with himself (*l*). The money payable for the hire Freight. of a ship, or for the carriage of goods in it, is the *freight* which, whether accrued or accruing, is assignable in the same manner as any other ordinary chose in action (*m*). The delivery of goods imported from foreign parts, and the lien of the ship owner for their freight, are now regulated by the provisions of the Merchant Shipping Act Amendment Act, 1862 (*n*).

(*i*) *Caldwell v. Ball*, 1 T. Rep. 205, 216.

(*k*) *Thompson v. Dominy*, 14 Mee. & Wels. 406.

(*l*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 111, s. 1.

(*m*) *Douglas v. Russell*, 4 Sim. 524; 1 M. & K. 488; *Leslie v. Guthrie*, 1 New Cases, 697; *Lindsay v. Gibbs*, 22 Beav. 522.

(*n*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, ss. 66—78.

## PART II.

### OF CHOSSES IN ACTION.

#### CHAPTER I.

##### OF ACTIONS EX DELICTO.

IN addition to moveable goods, or *choses in possession*, we have observed (a), that there existed also in ancient times *choses in action*, or the liberty of proceeding in the courts of law either to recover pecuniary damages for the infliction of a wrong or the nonperformance of a contract, or else to procure the payment of money due. The actions to be thus brought were, of course, not real, but purely personal actions. Real actions were brought for the recovery of land or real property; but the above-mentioned actions were against persons only, and the object was merely to obtain from them money, being the only recompense then generally available. In this respect, however, the law has recently undergone some change: for the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now enables the plaintiff in any action, except replevin and ejectment, in any of the superior courts, to claim a writ of mandamus commanding the defendant to fulfil any duty in the fulfilment of which the plaintiff is personally interested, and by the nonperformance of which he may sustain damage (b). And it also provides, that in all cases of breach of contract or other injury, where the party injured is entitled to maintain and has brought an action, he may claim a writ of injunction against the repetition or continuance of such breach of contract or

Writ of mandamus.

Writ of injunction.

(a) *Ante*, p. 4.

(b) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,

ss. 68, 69; *Norris v. Irish Land*

*Company*, 8 E. & B. 512.

other injury, or the committal of any breach of contract or injury of a like kind, arising out of the same contract or relating to the same property or right (c); and the Common Law Procedure Act, 1860, requires that in the above cases the costs of the writ of mandamus or injunction shall be paid by the defendant, unless otherwise ordered by the court or a judge (d). But the rights thus given do not appear to have materially interfered with the wider and more ancient jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery in issuing an *injunction* to restrain the wrong-doer from continuing his wrong, or in decreeing the *specific performance* of a contract. By a recent statute the Court of Chancery is empowered to award pecuniary damages, either in addition to or in substitution for an injunction or specific performance (e). In many cases, however, money alone is a sufficient recompense; and then the right to bring an action at law, in other words a legal chose in action, constitutes a valuable kind of personal property.

The infliction of a wrong, and the nonperformance of a contract, are evidently the two grand sources from which personal actions ought to proceed. If one man commits a wrong against another, justice evidently requires that he should give him satisfaction; and if one man enters into a contract with another, he certainly ought to keep it, or make reparation for its breach; or if the contract be to pay a sum of money, the money ought to be duly paid. Personal actions are accordingly divided by the law of England into two great classes, actions *ex delicto*, and actions *ex contractu* (f). The former arises in respect of a wrong committed, called in law French a

Actions ex delicto and ex contractu.

(c) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, ss. 79-82.

(d) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 126, s. 32.

(e) Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 27, s. 2.

(f) 3 Black. Com. 117.

*tort*; the latter, in respect of a contract made for the performance of some action, which thus becomes a *duty*, or for the payment of some money, which thus becomes a *debt*. Let us consider, in the present chapter, the right of action which occurs *ex delicto*, or in respect of a *tort*.

Maxim actio  
personalis mori-  
tur cum per-  
sonâ.

Exceptions on  
death of the  
party injured.

The ancient law, in its dread of litigation, confined the remedy by action for a *tort* or wrong committed, to the joint lives of the injurer and the injured. If either party died, the right of action was at an end, the maxim being *actio personalis moritur cum personâ* (g). In this rule, actions *ex delicto* only were included; of which, however, there seem to have been more than any other in early times. But by an early statute (h), the same action was given to the executor for any injury done to the personal estate of the deceased in his lifetime, whereby it became less beneficial to the executor, as the deceased himself might have brought in his lifetime. And by a recent statute (i), an action is given to the executors or administrators of any person deceased for any injury to the real estate of such person, committed within six calendar months before his death, for which an action might have been maintained by him; so that the action be brought within one year after the death of such person; and the damages, when recovered, are to be part of the personal estate of such person. And by a still more recent statute (k), it is provided, that whenever the death of a person shall be caused by such wrongful act, neglect or default, as would (if death had not ensued) have entitled the party injured to maintain an action and recover damages in respect thereof, the wrong-doer shall be liable to an action for damages, notwithstanding the death of

(g) 1 Wms. Saund. 216 a, n. (1). s. 2.

(h) Stat. 4 Edw. III. c. 7, *de bonis asportatis in vita testatoris*, extended to executors of executors by stat. 15 Edw. III. c. 5.

(i) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42,

(k) Stat. 9 & 10 Vict. c. 93. See *Pym v. The Great Northern Railway Company*, 2 Best & Smith, 759.

the person injured, and although the death shall have been caused under such circumstances as amount in law to felony. Under this act, one action only can lie for the same subject-matter of complaint; and such action must be commenced within twelve calendar months after the death of the deceased (*l*), in the name of his executor or administrator (*m*), and must be for the benefit of the wife, husband, parents, grandfather and grandmother, stepfather and stepmother, children, grandchildren and stepchildren of the deceased, in such shares as the jury shall direct (*n*). Previously to this statute, a man who had been maimed by another could recover compensation for the injury; but if he died of his wound, his family could obtain no recompense for the loss of a life which might have been their only dependence. And even now, when the death of a person is not *caused*, no action can be brought by his executor or administrator for any injury which affected him personally, if it did not touch his property. Thus it has been held, that an executor or administrator cannot have an action for a breach of promise of marriage with the deceased, where no special damage can be stated to have accrued to her personal estate (*o*).

Not only the death of the injured party, but also that Death of the wrong-doer.

(*l*) Sect. 3.

(*m*) Sect. 2.

(*n*) Sects. 2, 5. This act is a specimen of the common absurdity of modern acts of parliament, in introducing an interpretation clause in one section just to vary the meaning of another. It enacts in one section that the action shall be for the benefit of the wife, husband, parent and child; and in another section that the word "parent" shall include father and mother, and grandfather and grand-

mother, and stepfather and stepmother; and the word "child" shall include son and daughter, and grandson and granddaughter, and stepson and stepdaughter. Now the words "parent" and "child" occur only in the one place just mentioned besides this interpretation clause. Why not therefore say at once what is really intended?

(*o*) *Chamberlain v. Williamson*, 2 Mau. & Sel. 408, 415.

of the wrong-doer, formerly put an end to every action which arose from a *tort* or wrong; and this was the case up to a very recent period; although if the executor or administrator had profited by the wrong done, the injured party was able to recover from him the money or goods he had thus gained (*p*). But by a modern statute (*q*) an action may now be maintained against the executors or administrators of any person deceased, for any wrong committed by him within six calendar months before his death against another person, in respect of his property real or personal; so as such action be brought within six calendar months after such executors or administrators shall have taken upon themselves the administration of the estate and effects of such person. And the damages to be recovered in such action are to be payable in the like order of administration as the simple contract debts of such person. The remedy afforded by this statute does not preclude such action as might have previously been brought against the executor or administrator (*r*).

Action for dilapidations.

There is one peculiar action founded on *tort*, to which, from the nature of the case, the deceased himself cannot be liable, but which is maintainable by the common law against his executors or administrators. This is the action for dilapidations of the houses or buildings on a benefice; and it is brought by the new incumbent, whether of a rectory, vicarage or perpetual curacy (*s*), against the executors or administrators of his predecessor. This action cannot be said to be an exception to the rule *actio personalis moritur cum personâ*, for the deceased is not liable during his lifetime; the plaintiff must be the succeeding incumbent; and an action cannot be said to die

(*p*) *Powell v. Rees*, 7 Ad. & El. 426.

(*q*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV.<sup>c</sup> 42, s. 2.

(*r*) *Powell v. Recz.* ubi supra.

(*s*) *Mason v. Lambert*, 12 Q. B. 795.

which never had or could have any existence (*t*). However, in the case of resignation or exchange, the preceding incumbent is himself liable for dilapidations (*u*). In estimating the damages to be recovered in this action, the rule is as follows:—The incumbent is bound to maintain the parsonage, farm buildings, and chancel in good and substantial repair, restoring and rebuilding when necessary, according to the original form, without addition or modern improvement; and he is not bound to supply or maintain any thing in the nature of ornament, to which painting (unless necessary to preserve exposed timbers from decay) and whitewashing and papering belong (*x*). And no damages can be recovered on account of neglect to cultivate the glebe lands in a husbandlike manner (*y*). But if the incumbent commit any act of waste, such as could not be committed by any ordinary tenant for life (*z*), his executors will be liable in an action for dilapidations (*a*), and he himself may be restrained by an injunction out of the Court of Chancery (*b*). Claims for dilapidations have this peculiarity, that they are not to be satisfied by the executor until after payment of all the debts of the testator, including those merely by simple contract (*c*).

(*t*) *Sollers v. Lawrence*, Willes, 421.

(*u*) *Downes v. Craig*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 166.

(*x*) *Wise v. Metcalf*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 299.

(*y*) *Bird v. Ralph*, 4 Barn. & Adol. 826.

(*z*) See Principles of the Law of

Real Property, p. 23, 4th, 5th & 6th eds.

(*a*) *Huntley v. Russell*, 13 Q. B. 572.

(*b*) *The Duke of Marlborough v. St. John*, 5 De Gex & Sin. 174.

(*c*) *Bryan v. Clay*, 1 E. & Black. 38. But as to equitable assets, see *Bissett v. Burgess*, 23 Beav. 278.



## CHAPTER II.

## OF CONTRACTS.

PERSONAL actions, we have observed (*a*), may be brought not only on account of the infliction of a wrong, but also to recover pecuniary damages for the nonperformance of a contract, or to procure the payment of money due, if the payment of a specific sum be the subject of the contract. As the payment of money is the law's ultimate remedy in personal actions, an action for a given debt will be effectually satisfied by a judgment that the plaintiff do recover his debt; and this is the judgment accordingly given in an action of debt, which lies for the recovery of a specific sum due from the defendant to the plaintiff (*b*). But when no specific sum is claimed; the action can only, in the law phrase, *sound in damages*; and the amount of the damages to be recovered must, until recently, have been assessed by a jury according to the injury sustained (*c*). But the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, now provides, that in actions in which it shall appear to the court or a judge that the amount of damages sought to be recovered by the plaintiff is substantially a matter of calculation, the court or a judge may direct that the amount for which final judgment is to be signed shall be ascertained by one of the masters of the court (*d*); and further, that in all actions where the plaintiff recovers a sum of money, the amount to which he is entitled may be awarded to him by the judgment generally, without any distinction being therein made as to whether such sum is recovered by way of a debt or damages (*e*). It is, however, competent to the

Action of debt.

Actions which sound in damages.

New enactment.

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 4.

(*b*) Stephen on Pleading, 116.

(*c*) *Ibid.* p. 117.

(*d*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, s. 94.

(*e*) *Ibid.* s. 95.

## OF CONTRACTS.

parties to a contract to agree between themselves, that, in the event of a breach by either party, a given sum shall be recovered from him by the other as stipulated or liquidated damages; and in this case the whole of the sum thus agreed on may, on a breach of the contract, be recovered from the defaulter (*f*). The sum so agreed on is not properly called a penalty; for, as we shall see hereafter when speaking of bonds, the law regards a penalty as a security only for the damage actually sustained; although the use of the word *penalty* will not prevent the whole sum from being recovered, if this be clearly the intention (*g*). But where a sum of money is stipulated to be recovered as liquidated damages in case of the breach of an agreement to do several acts, and such sum will, in case of breaches of the agreement, be in some instances too large and in others too small a compensation for the injury occasioned, such sum will not be allowed to be recovered in case of any breach, but damages only, proportioned to the actual injury which the breach has occasioned (*h*). In such a case, if the parties wish to bind themselves to pay liquidated damages, they must contract in clear and express terms, that for the breach of each and every stipulation contained in the agreement a sum certain is to be paid; and in that case, although the stipulations may be of various degrees of importance, the parties will be held to their contract (*i*).

(*f*) *Reilly v. Jones*, 1 Bing. 302; S. C. 8 Moore, 244; Sugd. Vend. & Pur. 221, 11th ed.; *Leighton v. Wales*, 2 Mee. & Wels. 545; *Price v. Green*, 16 M. & W. 346, 354; *Galsworthy v. Strutt*, 1 Exch. Rep. 659; *Atkins v. Kinnier*, 4 Exch. Rep. 776.

(*g*) *Sainter v. Ferguson*, 7 C. B. 716; *Sparrow v. Paris*, 7 H. & N. 594.

(*h*) *Kemble v. Farren*, 6 Bing.

141; S. C. 3 Moo. & Pay. 425; *Davies v. Penton*, 6 Bar. & Cress. 216, 223; S. C. 9 Dowl. & Ry. 369; *Horner v. Flintoff*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 678, 681; *Reindel v. Schell*, 4 Scott, N. S. 97; *Betts v. Burch*, 4 H. & N. 506.

(*i*) *Per Parke, B.*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 680. See *Atkins v. Kinnier*, 4 Excl<sup>r</sup>. Rep. 776; *Mercer v. Irving*, 1 E. B. & E. 563.

Definition of  
a contract.

Implied pro-  
mise.

*Assumpsit*.

So much then for the legal remedies for a breach of contract. Let us now inquire more particularly of what a contract itself consists. A contract then, as defined by Blackstone (*k*), is "an agreement upon sufficient consideration to do or not to do a particular thing." This agreement may be either express or implied; for the law always implies a promise to do that which a person is legally liable to perform, and the action of *assumpsit* on promises is constantly maintained for damages for the breach of such an implied contract (*l*). Thus a person who takes the goods of a tradesman is liable in *assumpsit* for their market value: for, as he took the goods, the law will imply for him a promise to pay for them. So a person who employs another to work for him impliedly contracts to give him reasonable remuneration; and a man who borrows money impliedly promises to repay it. And in all these cases the plaintiff, until recently, plainly stated that the defendant promised the plaintiff to pay him the money on request, and that the defendant had disregarded his promise, and had not paid the said monies or any part thereof. But the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, now requires that all statements of this kind shall be omitted (*m*).

Parol or simple  
contract.

Consideration  
necessary.

Express contracts are either by parol, or word of mouth, which are called *simple contracts*, or by deed under seal, which are called *special contracts* (*n*); although simple contracts may, and often must at the present day, be evidenced by writing. Let us consider first mere parol or simple contracts. A parol contract then is an agreement by word of mouth, upon sufficient consideration, to do or not to do a particular thing. According to the law of England a *consideration* is an essential ingredient in every contract: a promise without

(*k*) 2 Bla. Com. 442.

49.

(*l*) Stephen on Pleading, 18.

(*n*) *Rann v. Hughes*, 7 T. R.

(*m*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, s. 351, n.

a consideration is regarded as *nudum pactum*, and no recompense can be recovered for its breach (*o*), neither will its performance be enforced in a court of equity (*p*). Thus if a man promise to give me 100*l.* without any consideration, he is not bound to perform his promise, and I am without remedy if he should break his word. So even if I should have done him any service, his subsequent promise to pay me money, or otherwise benefit me, for a consideration already executed on my part, will not be binding, unless I should have done him the service at his request, in which case the promise will relate back to the request (*q*), or unless a request can be implied from a subsequent allowance of the service, or from other circumstances (*r*); and if the service rendered be of such a nature that the law will imply a promise in respect of it, any subsequent express promise different from that which the law will imply will be void, as *nudum pactum* (*s*). And if the service, or any part of it, has been illegal from being contrary to the common law or to any statute, such illegal consideration will not support a promise. Thus a promise made in consideration that the other party had published a libel at the request of the person making the promise, and had also at the like request incurred certain costs, was held void on account of the illegality of part of the consideration, namely, publishing the libel, which vitiated the whole (*t*). and in like manner the circumstance of a woman's having cohabited with a man is not a valid consideration

Consideration  
executed.

Illegal consi-  
deration exe-  
cuted.

(*o*) Doctor & Student, dial. 2, c. 24; 2 Bla. Com. 445.

(*p*) 1 Fonb. Eq. 335 *et seq.*; *Dipple v. Corles*, 11 Harc, 183.

(*q*) *Hunt v. Bate*, Dyer, 272 a; *Lampleigh v. Brathwait*, Hob. 105; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 67; *Powle v. Gunn*, 4 N. C. 445, 448; *Eastwood v. Kenyon*, 11 Ad. & Ell. 438, 451; S. C. 3 Per. & Dav.

282; 1 Wms. Saund. 284, n. (1).

(*r*) The maxim is *omnis rati-  
habilio retrotrahitur et mandato  
æquiparatur*: 1 Wms. Saund. 264 b,  
n. (*e*).

(*s*) *Hopkins v. Logan*, 5 Mec. & Wels. 541, 247.

(*t*) *Shackell v. Rosier*, 2 Bing. N. C. 634, 644.

to support a promise made by him to pay her a sum of money (*u*).

Considerations  
good or valu-  
able.

Good.

Valuable.

A good consi-  
deration insuf-  
ficient to sup-  
port a promise.

Considerations are divided in law into two classes, *good* (sometimes called meritorious) and *valuable*. A good consideration is that of *blood*, or the natural love and affection which a person has to his children or any of his relatives (*v*). A valuable consideration may be either pecuniary, namely, the payment of money; or the gift or conveyance of anything valuable; or it may be the consideration of the marriage of the party himself or of any relative (*w*); or the compromise of a bonâ fide claim (*x*); or any act of one party from which the other, or any stranger at his request, express or implied, derives any advantage; or any labour, detriment, inconvenience or risk sustained by the one party, if such labour be performed or such detriment, inconvenience or risk be suffered by the one party at the request, express or implied, of the other, although such other may himself derive no actual benefit (*y*). A good consideration is not of itself sufficient to support a promise, any more than the moral obligation which arises from a man's passing his word; neither will the two together make a binding contract; thus a promise by a father to make a gift to his child will not be enforced against him (*z*). The consideration of natural love and affection is indeed good for so little in law, that it is not easy to see why it should be called a *good* consideration; for in law it is

(*u*) *Binnington v. Wallis*, 4 B. & Ald. 650, 652. See however *Gibson v. Dickie*, 3 Mau. & Sel. 463.

(*v*) 2 Black. Com. 297, 444.

(*w*) *Campion v. Cotton*, 17 Ves. 263; *Fraser v. Thompson*, 1 Giff. 49, 65.

(*z*) *Lucy's case*, 4 De Gex, M. & G. 356; *Cook v. Wright*, 1 Best & Smith, 559.

(*y*) *Selwyn's Nisi Prius*, tit. Assumpsit, 46; 1 Wms. Saund. 211 d, n. (2); 2 Wms. Saund. 137 h, n. (e).

(*x*) *Jeffery v. Jeffery*, 1 Craig & Ph. 138; *Dillon v. Coppin*, 4 My. & Cr. 647; *Holloway v. Headington*, 8 Sim. 324; *Meek v. Kettlewell*, 1 Hare, 464; 1 Phil. 342. See however *Ellis v. Nimmo*, Lloyd & Goold, 333.

considered as not good against creditors within the statute 13 Elizabeth (*a*), in which the very phrase *good consideration* is used; it is not good to support a contract; and a gift for such consideration is regarded as simply voluntary (*b*). The only reason why such a consideration should be called *good* appears to be, that in early times, previously to the passing of the Statute of Uses (*c*), the Court of Chancery enforced a covenant to stand seised of lands to the use of any person of the blood of the covenantor, on account of the goodness of the consideration; whence it has happened that, since that statute, the legal estate (being by that statute annexed to the use (*d*)) will pass to a relative under a covenant to stand seised to his use (*e*). But the rules that anciently governed the Court of Chancery do not now regulate its proceedings (*f*); although modern equity will still interfere in favour of a wife or child in some cases in which it will not interpose on behalf of strangers (*g*).

A valuable consideration is, therefore, in all cases necessary to form a valid contract. It has indeed been thought that an express promise, founded on a moral obligation, is sufficient for this purpose (*h*). This however appears to be a mistake. An express promise can give no original right of action, if the obligation on which

Valuable consideration necessary to a contract.

Express promise founded on moral obligation insufficient.

(*a*) *Twyne's case*, 3 Rep. 80 b; ante, p. 45.

(*b*) 2 Black. Com. 297.

(*c*) 27 Hen. VIII. c. 10.

(*d*) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 126 *et seq.*, 2nd ed.; 131, 3rd & 4th eds.; 136, 5th ed.; 143, 6th ed.

(*e*) *Ibid.* p. 159, 2nd ed.; 164, 3rd ed.; 166, 4th ed.; 173, 5th ed.; 181, 6th ed.

(*f*) *Ibid.* p. 131, 2nd ed.; 135,

3rd & 4th eds.; 141, 5th ed.; 148, 6th ed.

(*g*) *Ibid.* p. 239, 2nd ed.; 246, 3rd ed.; 248, 4th ed.; 258, 5th ed.; 270, 6th ed.

(*h*) *Lee v. Muggeridge*, 5 Taunt. 36. This case may now be considered as virtually overruled by subsequent authorities mentioned in the next note. See however *Dawson v. Kearton*, 3 Sma. & Giff. 190, *qu.* ?

Debt barred  
by bankruptcy.

Debt barred by  
the Statute of  
Limitations.

it is founded could never have been itself enforced (*i*). But in some cases a valuable consideration, which might have formed a contract by means of an implied promise, had its operation not been suspended by some positive rule of law, may be revived and made available by a subsequent express promise. Thus a debt barred by the debtor's having become bankrupt and obtained his certificate, might formerly have been enforced against him, if, after his bankruptcy, he had expressly promised to pay it (*j*); but such a promise was required, by the modern bankrupt acts (*k*), to be made in writing signed by the bankrupt, or by some person thereto lawfully authorized by him in writing; and the Bankrupt Law Consolidation Act, 1849, rendered all such promises void (*l*); whilst the last bankrupt act on this as on most points appears far from clear (*m*). So a simple contract debt, which would otherwise have been barred by the Statute of Limitations (*n*), from having been incurred upwards of six years, may be revived by a subsequent promise to pay, or even by an unconditional acknowledgment of the debt (*o*); but by modern statutes such promise or acknowledgment must be made or contained by or in some writing, to be signed by

(*i*) Note to *Wennall v. Adney*, 3 Bos. & Pull. 252; *Littlefield v. Shee*, 2 Barn. & Adol. 811; *Meyer v. Haworth*, 8 Adol. & Ellis, 467; S. C. 3 Nev. & Per. 462; *Monkman v. Shepherdson*, 11 Adol. & Ell. 411, 415; S. C. 3 Per. & Dav. 182; *Jennings v. Brown*, per Parke, B., 9 Mee. & Wels. 501; *Eastwood v. Kenyon*, 11 Adol. & Ell. 447; S. C. 3 Per. & D. 276; 2 Wms. Saund. 137 f. n. (*e*); *Beaumont v. Reeve*, 8 Q. B. 483.

(*j*) *Trueman v. Fenton, Cowper*, 544; *Kirkpatrick v. Tattersall*, 13 Mee. & Wels. 766.

(*k*) 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 131; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 43.

(*l*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. 106, s. 204; *Kidson v. Turner*, 3 H. & N. 581.

(*m*) Compare sec. 164 of Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134 with sec. 204 of Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106.

(*n*) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, s. 3.

(*o*) Bac. Abr. tit. Limitations of Actions (E); *Prance v. Sympton*, 1 Kay, 678; *Sidwell v. Mason*, 2 H. & N. 306, 310; *Holmes v. Mackrell*, 3 C. B., N. S. 789; *Cornforth v. Smithard*, 5 H. & N. 13; *Francis v. Hawkesley*, 1 E. & E. 1052.

the party chargeable thereby, or by his agent (*p*). And in like manner a debt incurred or contract made by a person during infancy, and voidable on that account, may be confirmed by an express promise or ratification made when of full age (*q*); although such a promise or ratification must now, by the statutes just mentioned (*r*), be made by some writing signed by the party to be charged therewith, or his agent.

Debt incurred during infancy.

By the ancient common law, every legal instrument in writing was a deed sealed and delivered (*s*); and in accordance with this circumstance, contracts are, as we have seen (*t*), now divided in law into two kinds only, namely, parol (that is verbal) or *simple* contracts, and *special* contracts made by deed. But as the art of writing became general, many parol contracts were, for greater certainty, put into writing, though not made by deed. And by some statutes, of modern times, writing is required to most simple contracts respecting matters of importance. These statutes we shall now proceed to notice, premising that in all cases where writing is by any statute made necessary to a contract, the contract is still a *parol* one, though evidenced by the writing (*u*); but when a contract is made by deed, the *deed itself* is the contract (*x*). The first and most important statute then, by which writing is required to many agreements, is the Statute of Frauds (*y*), which enacts in its fourth section that no action shall be brought whereby to charge

Contracts which are required to be in writing.

Statute of Frauds, s. 4.

(*p*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 1, called Lord Tenterden's Act; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 13.

(*q*) Bac. Abr. tit. Infancy and Age (I) 8; *Williams v. Moor*, 11 Mec. & Wels. 256, 263; *Harris v. Wall*, 1 Ex. Rep. 122.

(*r*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 5; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 13.

(*s*) See Principles of the Law of

Real Property, 118, 2nd ed.; 123, 3rd & 4th eds.; 128, 5th ed.; 134, 6th ed.

(*t*) *Ante*, p. 68.

(*u*) Sugd. Vend. & Pur. 115, 13th ed.

(*x*) *Dyer*, 305 a; *Byron v. Byron*, Cro. Eliz. 472; 1 Wms. Saund. 274 a, n. (3).

(*y*) 29 Car. II. c. 3.



any executor or administrator upon any special promise to answer damages out of his own estate, or whereby to charge the defendant upon any special promise to answer for the debt, default or miscarriage of another person; or to charge any person upon any agreement made upon consideration of marriage; or upon any contract or sale of lands, tenements or hereditaments, or any interest in or concerning them; or upon any agreement that is not to be performed within the space of one year from the making thereof; unless the agreement upon which such action shall be brought, or some memorandum or note thereof, shall be in writing, and signed by the party to be charged therewith, or some other person thereunto by him lawfully authorized. This enactment, it will be observed, does not give to writing any validity which it did not possess before. A written promise made since this statute, without any consideration, is quite as much *nudum pactum* as it would have been before (z). The statute merely adds a further requisite to the validity of certain contracts, namely, that they shall, besides being good in other respects, be put into writing, otherwise no action shall be maintained upon them (a).

*Wain v.  
Warlters.*

A great number of cases have been decided upon the above section of this celebrated statute. One of the most important is that of *Wain v. Warlters* (b), in which it was held that the statute, in requiring the *agreement* to be in writing, required that the *consideration*, which is part of the agreement, should be in writing, as well as the promise itself. And therefore a promise in writing

(z) See Williams on Executors, pt. 4, bk. 2, ch. 2, sect. 2; 1 Wms. Saund. 211, n. (2).

(a) Agreements, where the matter thereof is of the value of 5*l.*, or upwards, are, with some exceptions, liable to a stamp duty of

6*d.*, with a further progressive duty of the same amount for every entire quantity of 1080 words beyond the first 1080; stat. 23 Vict. c. 15.

(b) 5 East, 10; 2 Smith's Leading Cases, 147.

to pay the debt of a third person, which did not state any consideration, was held to give no cause of action; and parol evidence of a consideration was not allowed to be given. This case was followed by many other decisions to the same effect (c). But a recent statute now provides that no special promise to answer for the debt, default or miscarriage of another person, being in writing and duly signed, shall be invalid to support an action, by reason only that the consideration for such promise does not appear in writing, or by necessary inference from a written document (d). The phrase in the statute, to *answer for* the debt, default or miscarriage of another person, means to answer for a debt, default or miscarriage *for which that other remains liable* (e). Thus where one party to an agreement verbally promised the other, that, in consideration of his discharging from custody a third person whom he had taken in execution for debt, he, the first party, would pay the debt, it was held that action might well be brought on this promise, although it was not put in writing (f). For this was not a promise to answer for the debt of another person, to which that other remained liable, but to pay a debt from which the other was discharged. It was an original promise to pay, and not a collateral promise to guarantee, which is the meaning in the statute of the words "answer for." The words, "any agreement that is not to be performed within the space of one year from the making thereof," have been held to mean an agreement which

Consideration need not now appear.

Answering for debt, default or miscarriage.

Space of one year from the making.

(c) *Saunders v. Wakefield*, 4 Barn. & Ald. 595; *Morley v. Boothby*, 3 Bing. 107; *Clancy v. Piggott*, 2 Add. & Ell. 473; 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 136; 1 Wms. Saund. 211, n. (d); *Price v. Richardson*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 539.

(d) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 3. See *Holmes v. Mitchell*, 7 C. B., N. S. 361.

(e) 1 Wms. Saund. 211 b, n. (2); 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 134; *Green v. Cresswell*, 10 Ad. & Ell. 463; S. C. 2 Per. & Dav. 430; *Cripps v. Hartnoll*, Ex. Ch. 11 W. R. 953.

(f) *Goodman v. Chase*, 1 Barn. & Ald. 297. See also *Lane v. Burghart*, 1 Q. B. 933.

appears from its terms incapable of performance within the year. Thus where one man promised another, for one guinea, to give him a certain number on the day of his marriage, it was held that a writing was unnecessary, for the marriage might have happened within the year (*g*). So a contract by A. that his executor shall pay 10,000*l*. need not be in writing (*h*); for the death of A. and payment of the money may all take place within a twelve-month. It has also been held that, in order to bring an agreement within this clause of the statute, so as to render writing necessary, both parts of the agreement must be such as are not to be performed within a year from the making thereof. Thus, where a landlord agreed to lay out 50*l*. in improvements, in consideration of the tenant undertaking to pay him 5*l*. a year during the remainder of his term (of which several years were unexpired), it was held that writing was unnecessary (*i*); for although the tenant's part of the agreement was not to be performed within a year, the landlord's part might reasonably have been so. These decisions have considerably narrowed the operation of the statute, and have left remaining much of the mischief arising from reliance on memory only, which it was the intention of the statute to obviate, by requiring written evidence (*k*). The last clause of the enactment has however received a very liberal construction. The words are "signed by the party to be charged therewith, or some other person thereunto by him lawfully authorized." And it has been held that any insertion by the party of his name in any part of the agreement is a sufficient signing within the statute (*l*), provided the name be inserted in such a manner as to have the effect of au-

Signed by the  
party to be  
charged.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| ( <i>g</i> ) <i>Peter v. Compton</i> , Skin. 353; | Rep. 631.  |
| 1 <i>Smith's Leading Cases</i> , 142;             | ( <i>k</i> ) See 1 <i>Smith's Leading Cases</i> ,  |
| <i>Souch v. Strawbridge</i> , 2 C. B. 808.        | 141 <i>et seq.</i>                                 |
| ( <i>h</i> ) <i>Wells v. Horton</i> , 4 Bing. 40. | ( <i>l</i> ) <i>Ogilvie v. Foljambe</i> , 3 Meriv. |
| ( <i>i</i> ) <i>Donellan v. Reid</i> , 3 Barn. &  | 62.  |
| Adol. 899; <i>Cherry v. Heming</i> , 4 Ex.        |  |

thenticating the instrument (*m*); and it is not necessary, that both parties should sign the agreement. The whole of the agreement must be contained in the writing, either expressly or by reference to some other document, but the writing is required by the statute to be signed only by the party to be charged (*n*). And as a "memorandum or note" of the agreement is allowed, a writing sufficient to satisfy the statute may often be made out from letters written by the party (*o*), or from a written offer, accepted, without any variation (*p*), before the party offering has exercised his right of retracting (*q*); and when correspondence is carried on by means of the post, an offer is held to be accepted from the moment that a letter accepting the offer is put into the post, although it may never reach its destination (*r*).

Memorandum  
or note.

Acceptance of  
offer by letter.

The seventeenth section of the Statute of Frauds, which relates to contracts for the sale of goods, wares and merchandize for the price of 10*l.* or upwards, has been already noticed (*s*), together with the clause in the statute of Geo. IV., next noticed, called Lord Tenterden's Act, by which this enactment has been extended and explained (*t*).

Sale of goods  
for 10*l.* or up-  
wards.

The next statute which requires our notice is intituled "An Act for rendering a written Memorandum necessary to the Validity of certain Promises and Engagements,"

Lord Tenter-  
den's Act.

(*m*) *Stokes v. Moor*, 1 Cox, 219;  
*Selby v. Selby*, 3 Meriv. 4, 6.

Beav. 1.

(*n*) *Laythoarp v. Bryant*, 2 Bing.  
N. C. 735, 742. See Sugd. Vend.  
& Pur. c. 4, ss. 3, 4, p. 102 *et seq.*,  
13th edit.

(*q*) *Routledge v. Grant*, 4 Bing.  
653; S. C. 1 Moo. & P. 717; *Gilkes*  
*v. Leonino*, 4 C. B., N. S. 485.

(*o*) *Owen v. Thomas*, 3 My. &  
Keen, 353.

(*r*) *Dunlop v. Higgins*, 1 H. of  
L. Cas. 381; *Duncan v. Topham*,  
8 C. B. 225.

(*p*) *Holland v. Eyre*, 2 Sim. &  
Sta. 194; *Gibbons v. North-Eastern*  
*Metropolitan Asylum District*, 11

(*s*) *Ante*, p. 37.

(*t*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 7;  
*ante*, p. 38.

Written acknowledgment required to take the case out of the Statute of Limitations.

and is commonly called Lord Tenterden's Act (*u*). By this statute no acknowledgment or promise by words only can take any case of simple contract out of the operation of the Statute of Limitations (*x*), or deprive any party of the benefit thereof, unless such acknowledgment or promise shall be made or contained by or in some writing to be signed by the party chargeable thereby (*y*). The effect of such a promise has already been referred to (*z*). The statute makes no mention of any signature by an agent; but by a recent statute the signature of an agent has been rendered sufficient (*a*). And no joint contractor is to lose the benefit of the Statute of Limitations by reason only of any written acknowledgment or promise made and signed by any other joint contractor; but nothing therein contained is to alter, or take away, or lessen the effect of any payment of any principal or interest made by any person whatsoever (*b*). However, no indorsement or memorandum of any payment written or made upon any promissory note, bill of exchange or other writing, by or on behalf of the party to whom such payment shall be made, shall be deemed sufficient proof of such payment, so as to take the case out of the operation of the Statute of Limitations (*c*). And by a recent statute payment of any principal or interest by a co-contractor or co-debtor will not deprive a debtor of the benefit of the Statute of Limitations (*d*). Lord Tenterden's Act further enacts, as has been already mentioned (*e*), that no action

Promise to pay debts contracted in infancy.

(*u*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14.

(*x*) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, s. 3.

(*y*) See *Lechmere v. Fletcher*, 1 Cro. & Mee. 623; *Bird v. Gammon*, 3 Bing. N. C. 883; *Cheslyn v. Dalby*, 4 You. & Coll. 238.

(*z*) *Ante*, p. 72.

(*a*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 13.

(*b*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 1; *Whinman v. Kynman*, 1 Ex. Rep. 118; *Cleave v. Jones*, 6 Ex. Rep.

573; *Bamfield v. Tupper*, 7 Ex. Rep. 27; *Fordham v. Wallis*, 10 Hare, 217; *Nash v. Hodgson*, 1 Kay, 650; *Edwards v. Janes*, 1 Kay & John. 534.

(*c*) Sect. 3.

(*d*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 14, not retrospective; *Jackson v. Woolley*, 8 E. & B. 784.

(*e*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 5, *ante*, p. 73.

shall be maintained whereby to charge any person upon any promise made after full age to pay any debt contracted during infancy, or upon any ratification after full age of any promise or simple contract made during infancy, unless such promise or ratification shall be made by some writing signed by the party to be charged therewith. And now the signature of an agent is sufficient (*f*). And it is further enacted (*g*), that no action shall be brought whereby to charge any person upon or by reason of any representation or assurance made or given concerning or relating to the character, conduct, credit, ability, trade or dealings of any other person, to the intent or purpose that such other person may obtain *credit, money or goods upon*, unless such representation or assurance be made in writing signed by the party to be charged therewith. There appears to be some error in the word "*upon*" in this enactment, which, as it stands, is superfluous (*h*). And it has been doubted whether a representation made to a purchaser by the trustee of some property, that the property was encumbered to a less extent than was actually the case, was a representation concerning the *ability* of the vendor within the meaning of the statute (*i*). The better opinion seems to be, that such a representation is within the statute, and ought consequently to be obtained in writing.

Representations of character, &c.

In addition to those contracts which by statute are required to be in writing, there exists a peculiar class of contracts, which in their nature are expressed in writing, and for which a consideration is presumed to have been given till the contrary is proved (*k*). These are bills of exchange and promissory notes (*l*). A bill of exchange

Bills and notes.

A bill of exchange.

(*f*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 13. & Wells. 101; *Swann v. Phillips*, 8 Ad. & Ell. 457.

(*g*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 6. (*k*) See *Mills v. Barber*, 1 Mee.

(*h*) See 1 Mee. & Wells. 104, & Wells. 425. (*l*) See Byles on Bills, and 123. Bayley on Bills.

(*i*) See *Lyde v. Barnard*, 1 Mee.

A promissory  
note.

Prohibited bills  
and notes.

is a written order from one person to another to pay to a third person, or to his order, or to the bearer, a certain sum of money. The person making the order is called the drawer, the person on whom it is made the drawee, and the person to whom the money is payable the payee. The bill is sometimes made payable to the drawer himself, or to his order, or to him or bearer. If the person on whom the bill is drawn undertakes to pay it, he writes on it the word "accepted," with his signature, and is then called the acceptor. A promissory note, or note of hand, as it is sometimes called, is a written promise from one person to pay to another, or to his order, or to bearer, a certain sum of money. The person making the promise is called the maker of the note. No negotiable or transferable bill or note can be lawfully drawn or made for any sum under 20*s.* (*m*); but any person may now draw upon his banker, who shall bonâ fide hold money for his use, any draft or order for the payment to the bearer, or to order on demand, of any sum of money less than 20*s.* (*n*). Bills and notes under 5*l.* cannot be made payable to bearer on demand, and were formerly subject to other stringent restrictions (*o*), which are now repealed for three years from the 28th of July, 1863, and until the end of the then next session of Parliament (*p*). Bills and notes payable to bearer on demand are also prohibited from being issued by bankers, except by the banks and under the restrictions mentioned in the act passed to regulate the issue of bank notes (*q*). Bills or notes payable to A. B. or order are transferable by a written order indorsed thereon by A. B. The mere signature by A. B. of his name on the back, followed by his delivery of the bill or note (*r*), is however sufficient

(*m*) Stat. 48 Geo. III. c. 58, s. 2.

(*n*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 111,  
s. 19.

(*o*) Stat. 17 Geo. III. c. 30; 7  
Geo. IV. c. 6, s. 4.

(*p*) Stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 105.

(*q*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 32, ss.  
10, 11.

(*r*) *Bromage v. Lloyd*, 1 Ex.  
Rep. 32.

for this purpose. This is called an indorsement in blank; and after such an indorsement, the bill or note, together with the right to sue upon it, may be transferred by mere delivery (*s*). Any holder of the bill may, consequently, after such an indorsement, enforce payment to himself. The indorsement may, however, be special, as "Pay C. D. or order, A. B." And in this case the bill or note, in order to become transferable, must be indorsed by C. D. But if a bill be once indorsed in blank, it will always be payable to the bearer by any of the parties thereto, although it may subsequently be specially indorsed; but the special indorser will not be liable to the bearer without the indorsement of the person to whom he has specially indorsed it (*t*). A bill or note payable to bearer is transferable by mere delivery without any indorsement.

Indorsement in blank.

Special indorsement.

The effect of accepting a bill, or making a promissory note, is to render the acceptor or maker primarily liable to pay the same to the person entitled to require payment. The effect of drawing a bill is to make the drawer liable to payment, if the acceptor make default. But in order to charge the drawer of a foreign bill, it must, by the custom of merchants, be protested by a notary public (*u*). This protest is a declaration by him in due form that payment has been demanded and refused. A protest, however, is unnecessary for an inland bill or promissory note (*x*). The effect of indorsing a bill or note is to make the indorser also liable to payment, if the acceptor of the bill or maker of the note should make default. The indorsement operates as against the indorser as a new drawing of the bill by

Liability of acceptor;

of drawer.

Protest.

Liability of indorser.

(*s*) *Peacock v. Rhodes*, 2 Doug. 333.

(*u*) *Gale v. Walsh*, 5 T. Rep. 239.

(*t*) *Smith v. Clarke*, 1 Peake, 295; *Walker v. Macdonald*, 2 Ex. Rep. 527.

(*x*) *Windle v. Andrews*, 2 Barn. & Ald. 696.



Bonâ fide  
holder may en-  
force payment.

Reason why a  
consideration  
is presumed.

him (a). An indorsement, however, may be made without recourse to the indorser, or "sans recours," as it is generally expressed, in which case the indorser avoids all personal liability (z). The drawer of a bill, or the indorser of a bill or note, will, however, be discharged from all liability, unless the person requiring payment should, within a reasonable time, give him notice that the bill or note has not been paid, or, as it is termed, has been dishonoured, and give him to understand, either expressly or by implication, that he looks to him for payment (a). In consequence of a consideration being presumed to have been given for every bill or note till the contrary is shown, it follows, that if a bill or note should have been drawn, accepted or indorsed without any consideration, or for a consideration which is illegal, a bonâ fide holder for valuable consideration, or any indorsee from him, may, nevertheless, enforce payment; for when he took the security he was entitled to rely on the legal presumption of a proper consideration having been given (b). It is stated by Sir William Blackstone (c), "that every note, from the subscription of the drawer, carries with it an internal evidence of a good consideration." This, however, appears to be a mistake. The law does not give this effect to bills of exchange and promissory notes in respect of the undertaking being evidenced by writing, but in order to strengthen and facilitate that commercial intercourse which is carried on through the medium of such securities (d). On this ground the law allows these instruments to form an exception to the general rule, that a consideration must

(y) *Penny v. Innes*, 1 Cro. Mee. & Rosc. 441.

(z) *Byles on Bills*, 117, 6th ed.

(a) *Hartley v. Case*, 4 Barn. & Cress. 339; *Byles on Bills*, 213 *et seq.*, 6th ed.

(b) *Collins v. Martin*, 1 Bos. &

Pull. 651; *Morris v. Lee*, Bayley on Bills, 500; *Robinson v. Reynolds*, 2 Q. B. 196; *May v. Chapman*, 16 M. & W. 355.

(c) 2 Black. Comm. 446.

(d) 1 Fonbl. Eq. 343, 344.

be shown for every agreement, although evidenced by writing. The remedies on bills of exchange and promissory notes have been facilitated by a recent act (c).

We now come to the second class of contracts, namely, <sup>Contracts by deed.</sup> special contracts, or contracts by deed. These contracts differ from mere simple contracts in the following important particular, that they of themselves import a consideration (f), whilst in simple contracts a consideration must be proved. For the law presumes that no man will put his seal to a deed without some good motive (g). And when an agreement is once embodied in a deed, such deed becomes itself the agreement, and not evidence merely, as is the case when a parol agreement is reduced to writing. <sup>Alteration, rasure, &c.</sup> On this principle it appears to be that, after a deed has been executed, any alteration, rasure or addition made in a material point, even by a stranger, will render the deed void: and any alteration made by the party to whom it is delivered, although in words not material, will also render it void (h). It is true that by recent decisions (i) this doctrine has been extended to a mere written agreement. But although it is no doubt highly important that all legal instruments should be preserved in their integrity, it may perhaps be doubted whether the doctrine in question would ever have existed, had there been no other reason for it than the duty of a person having the custody of an instrument, made for his benefit, to preserve it in its original state.

(c) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 67. of Real Property, 118, 2nd ed.;  
The stamps on bills and notes are 123, 3rd & 4th eds.; 128, 5th ed.  
now regulated by stats. 17 & 18 134, 6th ed.

Vict. c. 83, 23 Vict. c. 15, and (h) *Pigot's case*, 11 Rep. 27 a.  
23 & 24 Vict. c. 116. (i) *Davidson v. Cooper*, 13 Mec.

(f) 1 Fonbl. Eq. 342. & Wels. 343, 352; *Mollett v.*

(g) See Principles of the Law *Wuckerbarth*, 5 C.B. 181.

Objects of a contract, lawful or unlawful.

*Mala prohibita* and *mala in se*.

Distinction now exploded.

Contract with unlawful object void.

Having now spoken of the promise, whether express or implied, which is necessary to a contract, and also of the consideration, whether express or implied, by which such promise is sustained, let us consider some important *objects* for which a contract may be made, and which seem to require a special mention. The object for which a contract is made may be either lawful or unlawful; and if it be unlawful the contract will be void, and the illegality may be pleaded as a defence to an action brought upon such a contract (*k*). A distinction was formerly taken between contracts whose object was merely prohibited by the law under some given penalty, and those whose object was morally wrong. The former were termed *mala prohibita*, the latter *mala in se* (*l*); and it was considered that, as the former involved no moral turpitude, a man might embrace either of the alternatives offered by the law, and either abstain from the offence and remain harmless, or commit it and suffer the penalty. This distinction, however, has long been exploded (*m*); for it is considered to be equally unfit that a man should be allowed to take advantage of what the law says he ought not to do, whether the thing be prohibited because it is against good morals, or whether it be prohibited because it is against the interest of the state. Whether, therefore, the object of a contract be unlawful because morally wrong, or unlawful by the policy of the common law, or unlawful because a penalty is attached to it by any particular statute, in every case the contract is void; and it is indifferent, under such circumstances, whether the contract be made by deed,

(*k*) *Collins v. Bluntern*, 2 Wils. 341, 347; *S. C.* 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 154; *Paxton v. Popham*, 9 East, 408; *Pole v. Harrobin*, 9 East, 416, n.; *De Bagnis v. Armistead*, 10 Bing. 107; *S. C.* 3 Moo. & Scott, 516.

(*l*) See 1 Black. Com. 54, 57.

(*m*) *Aubert v. Maze*, 2 Bos. & Pul. 374, 375; *Cannan v. Bryce*, 3 B. & Ald. 183; *Bensley v. Bignold*, 5 Barn. & Ald. 335, 341; *Cope v. Rowland*, 2 Mee. & Wels. 149, 157; *Fergusson v. Norman*, 5 Bing. N. C. 76, 84.

or by parol merely. Thus if a bond under seal be given by a man to a woman in order to induce her to cohabit with him, it is void for the immorality of its object (*n*). But a bond given to a woman in respect of the injury she has sustained by past cohabitation is valid (*o*). For in this case the object is not immoral; and the consideration implied by the bond being a deed under seal supplies the want which would otherwise exist of a proper consideration (*p*). If a contract have more than one object, and some of the objects are lawful whilst the others are unlawful, the unlawful objects will not vitiate the others (*q*), provided the good part be separable from, and not dependent upon, that which is bad (*r*); unless of course the whole contract should be rendered void by any enactment to the effect that all instruments containing any matter contrary thereto shall be void, in which case everything connected with the instrument will be vitiated (*s*). And if the good part of a contract be inseparable from the bad, as if a contract be made partly in consideration of the payment of money (which would be good), and partly for a consideration whose object is illegal, the illegal part of the consideration will vitiate the good, and render the whole contract void (*t*).

Bond to induce cohabitation void.

But bond for past cohabitation good.

If some objects be lawful and others unlawful.

(*n*) *Walker v. Perkins*, 1 Wm. Black. 517; *S. C.* 3 Burr. 1568; *Gray v. Mathias*, 5 Ves. 286.

(*o*) *Turner v. Faughan*, 2 Wils. 359; *Hill v. Spencer*, 2 Amb. 641; *Gray v. Mathias*, 5 Ves. 286; *Hall v. Palmer*, 3 Hare, 532; *Kyne v. Moore*, 1 Sim. & Stu. 61; 2 Sim. & Stu. 260; *Inge v. Moseley*, 6 Barn. & Cres. 133; 2 Sim. 161.

(*p*) *Binnington v. Wallis*, 4 Barn. & Ald. 650, 952; *ante*, p. 69.

(*q*) *Gaskell v. King*, 11 East, 165; *Wigg v. Shuttleworth*, 13 East, 87; *Howe v. Syngue*, 15 East, 440; in all which decisions unlaw-

ful covenants to pay the property tax were held not to vitiate other valid covenants in the same instrument. See also *Kerrison v. Cole*, 8 East, 231; *Mullan v. May*, 11 Mee. & Wels. 653; *Green v. Price*, 13 Mee. & Wels. 695, affirmed 16 Mee. & Wels. 346; *Nicholls v. Stretton*, 10 Q. B. 346.

(*r*) See *Biddell v. Leeder*, 1 Barn. & Cress. 327, decided on the old Ship Registry Act.

(*s*) See 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 169, and the statutes recited in the preamble to 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 41.

(*t*) *Fetherston v. Hutchinson*, Cro-

Immoral publication.

Contracts in restraint of trade.

The instance above given of a bond for future cohabitation is an example of a contract void on account of its object being *malum in se*, or morally wrong. In the same manner, no action can be maintained on any contract for the sale or publication of any libellous or immoral book or print (*u*). A striking instance of a contract, void on account of its object being contrary to the policy of the common law, occurs in the case of a contract in restraint of trade. It is for the advantage of the community that every person should be allowed the full exercise of his trade or profession; and any contract whereby a person is attempted to be restrained from following his usual calling, even for a limited time, is therefore absolutely void (*x*). But a contract is not rendered void by having for its object the restraint of a person from trading in a particular place (*y*), or within a reasonable distance from any particular place (*z*), for he may carry on his trade elsewhere; nor is a contract void which restrains a person from serving a particular class of customers (*a*) (for there are plenty of others to be found), or which binds a person to be the servant for life in his trade to another (*b*), for this is not in restraint

Eliz. 199; *Bridge v. Cuge*, Cro.Jac. 103. See also per Tindal, C. J., in *Waite v. Jones*, 1 Bing. N. C. 662; *Hopkins v. Prescott*, 4 C. B. 678.

(*u*) *Fores v. Johns*, 4 Esp. 97; *Stockdale v. Onwhyn*, 5 Barn. & Cres. 173; S. C. 7 Dow. & Ry. 625; *Lawrence v. Smith*, Jac. 471.

(*x*) Year Book, P. 2 Hen. V. pl. 26; *Ward v. Byrne*, 5 Mee. & Wels. 548; *Hind v. Gray*, 1 Man. & Gran. 195.

(*y*) *Hitchcock v. Coker*, 6 Ad. & El. 438; S. C. 1 Nev. & P. 796; *Archer v. Marsh*, 6 Ad. & Ell. 959; S. C. 2 Nev. & P. 562;

*Leighton v. Wales*, 3 Mee. & Wels. 545.

(*z*) *Davis v. Mason*, 5 T. Rep. 118; *Proctor v. Sergeant*, 2 Man. & Gr. 20; S. C. 2 Scott, N. R. 289; *Whittaker v. Howe*, 3 Beav. 383; *Pemberton v. Vaughan*, 10 Q. B. 87; *Atkins v. Kinnier*, 4 Ex. Rep. 776; *Elves v. Crofts*, 10 C. B. 241; *Avery v. Langford*, 1 Kay, 663, 667, where the cases are collected.

(*a*) *Rannie v. Irvine*, 7 Man. & Gr. 969.

(*b*) *Wallis v. Day*, 2 Mee. & Wels. 273.

of trade when it is to be carried on for his life. In a recent case (c) a person agreed that he would become assistant to a dentist for four years, and that after the expiration of that term he would not carry on the business of a dentist in London, or any of the towns or places in England or Scotland where the dentist might have been practising before the expiration of the service. And it was held that the covenant not to practise in London was valid; but that the stipulation as to the other towns and places in England or Scotland was void. And according to the rule above mentioned (d), that where some of the objects of a contract are lawful and others unlawful, the unlawful objects will not vitiate the others, it was held that the stipulation as to practising in London was not affected by the illegality of the remainder of the agreement.

The cases in which contracts may be void in consequence of their contravening some acts of parliament are too numerous to be here specified. As an instance may be mentioned contracts by clergymen holding benefices with cure of souls, made for the purpose of charging such benefices with any sum of money; which contracts are rendered void by a statute of Elizabeth (e). And in these cases it has been held that any personal covenant for the payment of the money charged is not invalidated by being contained in the same deed as the attempted charge on the benefice (f). Contracts for the sale or transfer of stock, of which the person contracting was not possessed at the time, and of which no transfer

Charges on  
benefices.

Stock Jobbing  
Act.

(c) *Mallan v. May*, 11 Mee. & Wels. 653. See also *Green v. Price*, 13 Mee. & Wels. 695, affirmed, 16 Mee. & Wels. 446; *Nicholls v. Stretton*, 10 Q. B. 346.

(d) *Ante*, p. 85.

(e) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 20. See

*Shaw v. Pritchard*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 241; *Long v. Storie*, 3 De Gex & Smale, 308.

(f) *Mony v. Leake*, 8 T. Rep. 411; *Sloane v. Packman*, 11 Mee. & Wels. 770.

Securities for money won at play.

Contracts by way of gaming void.

Usurious contracts.

was intended to be made, were formerly void by the Stock Jobbing Act (*g*); and money lent for the purpose of settling losses which had arisen from such illegal contracts could not be recovered back (*h*). But this act is now repealed (*i*). Securities for money won at play or any game, or by betting on any game, or for money lent for gaming or betting at the time and place of such play, were declared by a statute of Anne to be utterly void (*k*); but by a later statute (*l*) such securities are not to be utterly void, but are to be taken to have been given for an illegal consideration; they are consequently now void only as between the parties, but valid in the hands of any innocent holder, to whom they may have been transferred without notice of the illegality of the transaction in which they originated (*m*). And by a more recent statute (*n*) it is enacted, that all contracts or agreements, whether by parol or in writing, by way of gaming or wagering, shall be null and void; and that no suit shall be brought or maintained in any court of law or equity for recovering any sum of money or valuable thing alleged to be won upon any wager, or which shall have been deposited in the hands of any person to abide the event on which any wager shall have been made. But this enactment is not to apply to any subscription or contribution, or agreement to subscribe or contribute, for or towards any plate, prize or sum of money to be awarded to the winner or winners of any lawful game, sport, pastime or exercise. Contracts for the payment of money, whereby there should be reserved more than five per cent. interest, were in like manner declared void by a statute of Anne, called the Usury

(*g*) Stat. 7 Geo. II. c. 8, s. 8.  
See *post*, the chapter on Stock.

(*h*) *Cannon v. Bryce*, 3 Barn. & Ald. 179.

(*i*) Stat. 23 Vict. c. 28.

(*k*) Stat. 9 Anne, c. 14.

(*l*) 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 41: *Hawker v. Hallewell*, 3 Sma. & Giff. 194.

(*m*) See *ante*, p. 82.

(*n*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 109, s. 18.

Law (*o*); but in order to protect innocent holders of securities given for usurious consideration, it was subsequently declared that such contracts should not be absolutely void, but should be considered to have been made for an illegal consideration (*p*). However, by a statute of the reign of King William the Fourth (*q*), it was provided that no bill of exchange or promissory note made payable at or within three months after the date thereof, or not having more than three months to run, should be void by reason of any interest taken thereon or secured thereby, or any agreement to pay or receive or allow interest in discounting, negotiating or transferring the same. And by a subsequent statute (*r*), all bills of exchange and promissory notes made payable at or within twelve months after the date thereof, or not having more than twelve months to run, and all contracts for the loan or forbearance of money above the sum of 10*l*. sterling, were exempted from the operation of the Usury Law. Nothing, however, contained in the last-mentioned act was to extend to the loan or forbearance of any money upon security of any lands, tenements or hereditaments, or any estate or interest therein. And now, by an act passed on the 10th of August, 1854 (*s*), all the laws against usury are repealed. But where interest is now payable upon any contract, express or implied, for payment of the legal or current rate of interest, or where interest is payable by any rule of law, the same rate is recoverable as before the act (*t*).

Usury laws  
now repealed.

The above enactments are perhaps the most important statutory provisions by which contracts may be vitiated. Contracts whose objects are lawful are endlessly diversified, and many of them are regulated by laws which it

Contracts with  
lawful objects.

(*o*) Stat. 12 Anne, st. 2, ch. 16.

(*r*) 2 & 3 Vict. c. 37.

(*p*) Stat. 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 41.

(*s*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 90.

(*q*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 98,

(*t*) Sect. 3.



Debts.

is not within the scope of the present work to enumerate. For the breach of any such contract pecuniary damages are, as we have seen (*u*), the sovereign remedy prescribed by law; though equity not unfrequently administers more appropriate specifics. The person to whom money has become due, whether from any injury received, or from any contract broken, or from a contract to pay money itself, stands in a situation more or less advantageous as regards his remedies for recovering the money, according to the nature of the *debt* which has thus become due to him. For by the law of England all creditors are not allowed equal rights, but are preferred the one to the other, partly according to accidental circumstances, and partly according to the degree of diligence and precaution which each may have used. The subject of debt is of sufficient importance to form a separate chapter.

(u) *Ante*, p. 60.<sup>h</sup>

## CHAPTER III.

## OF DEBTS.

DEBTS, by the law of England, are divided into different classes, conferring on the creditor different degrees of security for re-payment. The class which confers the highest privileges is that of debts of record, which class will accordingly first claim our attention.

A debt of record is a debt due by the evidence of a court of record (a). Every court, by having power given to it to fine and imprison, is thereby made a court of record (b). Such courts are either supreme, superior or inferior. The supreme court is the Parliament. The superior courts of record are the House of Lords, the Court of Chancery, and the Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas and Exchequer, which are the more principal courts. The courts of the Counties Palatine of Lancaster and Durham are also superior courts of record (c). The Court of Bankruptcy and its district courts, and every commissioner thereof, also exercise and enjoy all the powers and privileges of a court of record as fully as the courts of law at Westminster (d). The Court of Probate is also a court of record (e); and so is the High Court of Admiralty (f). The inferior courts of record may be said, generally, to consist of the numerous courts established throughout the country,

Debt of record.

Superior courts of record.

Inferior courts of record.

(a) 2 Black. Com. 465.

s. 6.

(b) Bac. Abr. tit. Courts (D) 2.

(e) Stat. 20 &amp; 21 Vict. c. 77, s.

(c) *Ibid.* (D) 1.

23.

(d) Stat. 12 &amp; 13 Vict. c. 106,

(f) Stat. 24 Vict. c. 10, s. 14.

under the recent acts for the more easy recovery of small debts and demands in England (*g*).

#### Crown debts.

Debts of record do not, however, confer the same advantages on all creditors equally, for there is one creditor whose claims are paramount to all others, namely, the crown, provided the debt be a debt of record, or a debt by specialty, that is, secured by deed (*h*). And if the debt be by simple contract without such security, it will have preference over the other simple contract creditors of the debtor, and, as some say, even over other creditors by specialty (*i*). The lien of the crown on the lands of its debtors by record or specialty, and also on the lands of accountants to the crown, is mentioned in the author's Treatise on the Principles of the Law of Real Property (*j*).

#### Judgment debt.

Of all debts which one subject may owe to another, that which confers the most important remedy is a *judgment debt*, or a debt which is due by the *judgment* of a court of record. As such a debt is due by the evidence of a court of record, it is of course a debt of record. Such a debt may however now be incurred, without any actual exercise of judgment on the part of the court. For, strange as it may appear, a judgment against a defendant in an adverse suit, though the most obvious, is yet not the most usual method of incurring a judgment debt. Such a debt may be incurred by the voluntary default of the defendant in making no reply to the action, which is called *nihil dicit*, or by his failing to instruct his attorney, whose statement of that circum-

(*g*) Stats. 9 & 10 Vict. c. 95, s. 3; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 101; 13 & 14 Vict. c. 61; 15 & 16 Vict. c. 54; 17 & 18 Vict. c. 16; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 108; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 74.

(*h*) Williams on Executors, pt.

3, bk. 2, ch. 2, s. 1.

(*i*) Bac. Abr. tit. Executors (L) 2.

(*j*) Page 62, 1st ed.; 65, 2nd ed.; 70, 3rd & 4th eds.; 76, 5th ed.; 81, 6th ed.

stance is called *non sum informatus*, or by a *cognovit* *Cognovit actionem*, or more shortly *cognovit*, by which the defendant confesses the action, and suffers judgment to be at once entered up against him (*k*). Of late years also it has become very usual for the parties to a suit to obtain by consent a judge's order, authorizing the plaintiff to enter up judgment against the defendant, or to issue execution against him, either at once and unconditionally, or more usually at a future time, conditionally on the non-payment of whatever amount may be agreed on. A judgment obtained on a judge's order for immediate judgment and execution is however the same thing as a judgment by *nihil dicit*, or confession (*l*). The most frequent method of incurring a judgment debt is not however attended with the actual commencement of any adverse action. A warrant of attorney is given by the intended debtor, which consists of an authority from him to certain attorneys to appear for him in court, and to receive a declaration in an action of debt for the amount of the intended judgment debt, at the suit of the intended creditor, and thereupon to confess the action, or suffer judgment to go by default, and to permit judgment to be forthwith entered up against the intended debtor for the amount, besides costs of suit. Such a warrant of attorney is generally executed as a security for a smaller sum of money, usually one-half of the amount of the judgment debt; and it is accordingly accompanied by a *defeazance*, which must be written on the same paper or parchment as the warrant of attorney (*m*). This defeazance, as its

Judge's order.

Warrant of attorney.

Defeazance.

(*k*) 3 Black. Com. 397; Stephen on Pleading, 120

(*l*) *Bell v. Bidgood*, 8 C. B. 763; *Andrews v. Diggs*, 4 Ex. Rep. 827.

(*m*) Reg. Gen. Hil. 1853, s. 27; stat. 3 Geo. IV. c. 39, s. 4; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 136. Collateral securities must be noticed, *Morell v. Dubost*, 3 Taunt. 285. If the

attorney neglect to insert the defeazance, the security is not void between the parties, but only as against the assignees of the debtor, in case of his bankruptcy, *Shaw v. Evans*, 14 East, 576; *Morris v. Methin*, 6 Barn. & Cress. 440; *Bennett v. Daniel*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 500.

name imports, defeats the full operation of the warrant of attorney, by declaring that it is given only as a security for the smaller sum and interest, and that no execution shall issue on the judgment to be entered up in pursuance of the warrant of attorney, until default shall have been made in payment of such sum and interest at the time agreed on; but that, in case of default, execution may be issued (n). The defeazance also until recently contained an agreement that it should not be necessary for the creditor to issue a writ of *scire facias*, or do any other act for reviving the judgment or keeping the same on foot, although no proceedings should have been taken thereupon for the space of one year. Without such a provision, no execution could be issued after the expiration of a twelvemonth from the date of the judgment, without the expense and trouble of a writ of *scire facias*, calling on the debtor to inform the court, or show cause, why execution should not be issued (o). But the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, now provides that during the lives of the parties to a judgment, or those of them during whose lives execution may at present issue within a year and a day without a *scire facias*, and within six years from the recovery of the judgment, execution may issue without a revival of the judgment (p). A warrant of attorney is also sometimes given for entering up judgment for a sum of money, in order to secure the regular payment of an annuity; in which case the defeazance of course expresses that no execution shall be issued until default shall have been made for so many days in some payment of the annuity, but that, in case of such default, execution may be issued from time to time (q).

New enactment.

Warrant of attorney to secure an annuity.

(n) Warrants of attorney to confess judgment for securing any sum or sums of money are, with some exceptions, liable to the same duty (one-eighth per cent. on the money secured) as bonds for the like purpose. Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c.

97. See post.

(o) Stat. Westm. the second, 13 Edw. I. c. 45.

(p) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, s. 128.

(q) See *Cuthbert v. Dobbin*, 1 C. B. 278.

A warrant of attorney need not be under seal (r), though it generally is so. In order to guard against any imposition in procuring debtors to execute warrants of attorney or *cognovits* in ignorance of the effect of such instruments, it is provided (s) that no warrant of attorney to confess judgment in any personal action, or *cognovit actionem*, given by any person, shall be of any force, unless there shall be present some attorney of one of the superior courts on behalf of such person, expressly named by him and attending at his request, to inform him of the nature and effect of such warrant or cognovit, before the same is executed; which attorney shall subscribe his name as a witness to the due execution thereof, and thereby declare himself to be attorney for the person executing the same, and state that he subscribes as such attorney. And a warrant of attorney or cognovit not executed in manner aforesaid, shall not be rendered valid by proof that the person executing the same did in fact understand the nature and effect thereof, or was fully informed of the same (t). Every acknowledgment of satisfaction of a judgment is also required to be attested in a similar manner (u). Since the act for registering writs of execution (x), warrants of attorney have become much less frequent than before.

Execution and attestation of warrants of attorney and cognovit.

Not only was there a risk of debtors being imposed upon, in being prevailed on to execute warrants of attorney, but creditors also were formerly liable to be defrauded, by their debtors giving secret warrants of attorney, cognovits, or judge's orders, to some favoured creditors, to the prejudice of the others. In order to

(r) *Kinnersley v. Mussen*, 5 Taunt. 264.

(s) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 9.

(t) Sect. 10. *Potter v. Nicholson*, 8 Mee. & Wels. 494; *Everard v. Poppleton* 5 Q. B. 181; *Pocock*

*v. Pickering*, 18 Q. B. 789.

(u) Reg. Gen. Hil. 1853, s. 80.

(x) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, p. 79, 6th ed.

Provision for filing warrants of attorney, cognovits, and judge's orders within twenty-one days.

Bankruptcy.

obviate this inconvenience, provision has been made by modern acts of parliament for the filing, in the office of the Court of Queen's Bench, of all warrants of attorney, with the defeazances thereto, and of all cognovits, and of all such judge's orders as before mentioned, or of copies thereof, within twenty-one days after their execution (*y*).

And, in the event of the bankruptcy of the debtor after the expiration of this time, unless any such warrant of attorney, or cognovit, or judge's order, or a copy thereof, shall have been filed within the time above limited, the same is now void as against the assignees of the bankrupt (*z*), although judgment may have been signed within the time (*a*). And a list of such warrants of attorney, cognovits and judge's orders (*b*), and also an index containing the names, additions and descriptions of the persons giving the same (*c*), is directed to be kept by the officer of the Queen's Bench, open to public inspection and search on payment of a small fee. It is also provided that every warrant of attorney to confess judgment in any personal action given by any bankrupt, within two months of the filing of a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy by or against such bankrupt, and being for or in respect of (wholly or in part) an antecedent debt or money demand, and every *cognovit actionem* or consent to a judge's order for judgment given by any bankrupt, within two months of the filing of any such petition in any action commenced by collusion with the bankrupt, and not adversely, or purporting to be given in an action, but having in fact been given before the commencement of any action against the bankrupt, such

(*y*) Stat. 3 Geo. IV. c. 39, ss. 1, 3; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 137. The twenty-one days are reckoned exclusively of the day of execution; *Williams v. Burgess*, 12 Adol. & Ell. 635.

(*z*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, ss. 136, 137; *Bryan v. Child*, 5 Ex.

Rep. 368.

(*a*) *Acraman v. Herniman*, Q. B. 15 Jur. 1008; 16 Q. B. 998; *Farrow v. Mayes*, Q. B. 17 Jur. 132; 18 Q. B. 516.

(*b*) Stat. 3 Geo. IV. c. 39, s. 5; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 137.

(*c*) Stat. 6 & 7 Vict. c. 66.

bankrupt being unable to meet his engagements at the time of giving such warrant of attorney, *cognovit actionem*, or consent (as the case may be), shall be void, whether the same shall have been given by such bankrupt in contemplation of bankruptcy or not (*d*).

In addition to these precautions, other provisions have been made to prevent an undue preference being given to one creditor over the others by means of a warrant of attorney, *cognovit*, or judge's order, in the event of the debtor becoming bankrupt. When once the judgment of a court of record was allowed to be diverted from its proper end of expressing and enforcing the opinion of the court, to serve the purpose of a mere security for money due, it was found necessary to guard its use by provisions of the legislature, which have added much to the intricacy of the law. The effect of these provisions appears to be, that if a judgment be entered up against a person by reason of any warrant of attorney, *cognovit*, or judge's order, no execution taken out on such judgment against his goods can avail the judgment creditor, if such execution be not completed, by sale of the goods, before the creditor has notice of a prior act of bankruptcy committed by the debtor, and before a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy issues against such debtor (*e*). If the execution be not so previously completed by sale of the goods, the judgment creditor has no other remedy than to come in for his dividend rateably with the other creditors. But a judgment obtained by default or *nihil dicit* in an adverse suit was not formerly within this rule; nor, was a judgment obtained on a *cognovit*, if the action were commenced

As to executions on judgments in case of bankruptcy.

(*d*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 135.      "s. 133, 184, repealing stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 108. *Edwards v.*

(*e*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,      *Scarsbrook*, 3 Best & Smith, 280.



New enact-  
ment.

adversely and not by collusion (*f*). In the case of judgment so obtained, therefore, seizure of the debtor's goods under an execution, if made before the creditor had notice of his having committed an act of bankruptcy, and before the issuing of the fiat in bankruptcy, was valid as against the other creditors, although the execution might not have been completed by sale of the goods (*g*). But under the Bankrupt Law Consolidation Act, 1849, sale as well as seizure is necessary in every case (*h*). And the Bankruptcy Act, 1861, goes still further, and provides (*i*) that, if any execution shall be levied by seizure and sale of any of the goods and chattels of any trader debtor, upon any judgment recovered in any action personal for the recovery of any debt or money demand exceeding fifty pounds, every such debtor shall be deemed to have committed an act of bankruptcy from the date of the seizure of such goods and chattels: provided always, that, unless in the meantime a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy against the debtor be presented, the sheriff or other officer making the levy shall proceed with the execution, and shall at the end of seven days after the sale pay over the proceeds, or so much as ought to be paid, to the execution creditor, who shall be entitled thereto notwithstanding such act of bankruptcy, unless the debtor be adjudged a bankrupt within fourteen days from the day of the sale, in which case the money so received by the creditor shall be paid by him to the assignee under the bankruptcy; but the sheriff or other officer shall not incur any liability by reason of anything done by him as aforesaid: provided also, that, in case of bankruptcy, the costs and expenses of such action and execution

(*f*) Stat. 1 Will. IV. c. 7, s. 7;  
see *Crossfield v. Stanley*, 4 Barn. &  
Adol. 87; S. C. 1 Nev. & Man.  
668; *Bell v. Bidgood*, 8 C. B. 763.  
(*g*) See stat. 2 & 3 Vict. c. 29.

(*h*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,  
s. 184; *Hutton v. Cowper*, 6 Ex.  
Rep. 159.

(*i*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s.  
73.

shall be retained and paid out of the proceeds of the sale, and the balance only, after such payment, be paid to the assignees.

Every judgment debt carries interest, at the rate of 4l. *per cent. per annum* from the time of entering up the judgment until the same shall be satisfied, and such interest may be levied under a writ of execution on such judgment (*j*). On the death of the debtor, his judgment debts must be paid in full by his executors or administrators out of his personal estate before any of his debts on bond or by simple contract (*k*); but it is now provided that, in order to secure this preference, the judgment must be registered or reregistered\* within five years before the death of the testator or intestate, in the same manner as is required in order to affect lands in the hands of purchasers or mortgagees (*l*). The decree of a court of equity is equivalent to the judgment of a court of law (*m*). And the privilege of priority of payment extends to the judgments of every court of record, whether superior or inferior; but the judgment of a foreign court is entitled to no precedence over a simple contract debt (*n*). The remedies of the creditor by judgment of any of the superior courts, against the real estate of his debtor, are mentioned in the author's treatise on the Principles of the Law of Real Property (*o*). The remedies against the choses in possession of the debtor have been referred to in a previous part of the present work (*p*). The remedies in respect of the choses in

A judgment debt carries interest.

Judgment debts entitled to preference in administration;

but must be registered.

Remedies of judgment creditors.

(*j*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 17.

(*k*) *Wentworth's Executors*, 265 *et seq.* 14th ed.; *Williams on Executors*, pt. iii. bk. 2, c. 2, s. 2; *Berrington v. Eouart* 3 Y. & Col. 384.

(*l*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, ss. 3, 4. See *Re Rigby*, M.R. 12 W.R. 32, *Principles of the Law of*

*Real Property*, p. 75 *et seq.* 6th ed.

(*m*) *Shafto v. Powe*, 3 Lev. 355.

(*n*) *Duplex v. De Proven*, 2 Vern. 540. See also *Smith v. Nicolls*, 5 Bing. N. C. 208.

(*o*) P. 63 *et seq.* 2nd ed.; 66, 3rd & 4th eds.; 71, 5th ed.; 75, 6th ed.

(*p*) *Ante*, p. 48.

Imprisonment  
by writ of *ca-  
pias ad satisfac-  
ciendum*.

action of the debtor will be hereafter mentioned. In addition to these remedies, such a judgment creditor may imprison the person of his debtor by means of the writ of *capias ad satisfaciendum* (*q*); but, should he do so, he will relinquish all right and title to the benefit of any charge or security, which he may have obtained by virtue of his judgment (*r*). If, however, the debt should not exceed 20*l.*, the debtor cannot be imprisoned (*s*) without a previous summons and examination before a commissioner of bankrupt or a judge of a court for the recovery of small debts, who will order the commitment of the debtor only in case of fraud or other ill behaviour (*t*); and the imprisonment will not then operate as any satisfaction of the debt (*u*).

Removal of  
judgments of  
inferior courts.

Judgments of the inferior courts may be removed into the superior courts by order of any judge of the latter courts; and immediately on such removal the judgment has the same force, charge and effect as a judgment of the superior court; but it cannot affect any lands, tenements or hereditaments, as to purchasers, mortgagees or creditors, unless registered in the same manner as judgments of the superior courts (*v*). A registry is now provided for judgments in the county courts for the sum of 10*l.* and upwards (*x*).

Registry of  
judgments in  
county courts.

Recognizances  
and statutes.

In addition to judgment debts, other debts of record are *recognizances* when duly enrolled (*y*), and statutes merchant, statutes staple and recognizances in the nature

(*q*) Bac. Abr. tit. Execution (C) 3.

(*r*) Bac. Abr. tit. Execution (D); stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 16.

(*s*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 96, s. 57.

(*t*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 127; 9 & 10 Vict. c. 95, s. 99.

(*u*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 127, s. 3; 9 & 10 Vict. c. 95, s. 103.

(*v*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 22; 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 7.

See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 74, 5th ed.; 78, 6th ed.

(*x*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 54, s. 18.

(*y*) *Glynn v. Thorpe*, 1 Barn. & Ald. 153.

of statutes staple. The three last are now quite obsolete. A recognizance is an obligation entered into before some court of record or magistrate duly authorized, with condition to do some particular act, as to appear at the assizes, to keep the peace, or to pay a debt (z). It is payable out of the personal estate of the debtor, in the event of his decease, next after judgment debts (a).

Next in importance to debts of record are *specialty debts*, or debts secured by *special contract* contained in a deed (b). These are of two kinds, debts by specialty in which the heirs of the debtors are bound, and debts by specialty in which the heirs are not bound. On the decease of the debtor, both these classes of specialty debts stand on a level so far as regards their payment out of the personal estate of the debtor. They rank next after debts of record, and take precedence of all debts by simple contract (c), with the exception of money owing for arrears of rent, to which the feudal principles of our law have given an importance equal to that of debts secured by deed (d). Debts by specialty in which the heirs are bound have, however, a precedence over those in which the heirs are not bound, in case the real estate of the debtor should be resorted to on his decease (e); unless he should have charged his real estates by his will with the payment of his debts, in which case all the creditors of every kind will be paid out of the produce of such real estates, without any preference (f). For the sake of the advantage which may thus be gained on the decease of the debtor, his heirs are usually bound

Specialty debts.

Arrears of rent.

Precedence of specialties binding the heir.

(z) 2 Bla. Com. 341.

(a) Williams on Executors, pt. iii, bk. 2, c. 2, s. 2.

(b) 2 Bla. Com. 465. See *ante*, p. 68.

(c) *Pinchon's case*, 9 Rep. 88 b.

(d) *Wentworth's Executors*, 284, 14th edit.; *Claugh v. French*, 2

Coll. 277.

(e) See *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 60, 2nd ed.; 63, 3rd & 4th eds.; 68, 5th ed.; 72, 6th ed. *Richardson v. Jenkins*, 1 Drew. 477, 483.

(f) 2 Jarm. Wills, 510, 496, 2nd ed.

**Covenant.** in every specialty debt. The deed creating the debt may be either a deed of *covenant* or a *bond*. A covenant runs thus: "And the said (*debtor*) doth hereby for himself, his *heirs*, executors and administrators, covenant with the said (*creditor*), his executors and administrators," to pay, &c. A bond is in the following form: "Know all men by these presents, that I (*debtor*), of (*such a place*), am held and firmly bound to (*creditor*), of (*such a place*), in the penal sum of 1000*l.* of lawful money of Great Britain, to be paid to the said (*creditor*), or to his certain attorney, executors, administrators or assigns, for which payment to be well and truly made I bind myself, my *heirs*, executors and administrators, and every of them, firmly by these presents. Sealed with my seal. Dated this 1st day of January, 1848." In both of the above cases it will be observed that the executors and administrators are bound as well as the heirs. This, however, is not absolutely necessary, and the covenant or bond would be equally effectual if the heirs only were named in it (*g*).

**Single bond.** A bond in the form above mentioned, without any addition to it, is called a single bond. Bonds, however, have usually a condition annexed to them, that, on the person bound (called the obligor) doing some specified act (as paying money when the bond is to secure the payment of money), the bond shall be void. The condition of an ordinary money-bond is as follows: "The condition of the above-written bond or obligation is such, that if the above-bounden (*debtor*), his heirs, executors or administrators, should pay unto the said (*creditor*), his executors, administrators or assigns, the full sum of 500*l.* (*usually half the amount named in the penalty*) of lawful money of Great Britain, with interest for the same after the rate of 5*l.* *per cent. per annum*, upon the — day of — now next ensuing, without

**Bond with condition.**

any deduction or abatement whatsoever, then the above-written bond or obligation shall be void, otherwise the same shall remain in full force." Bonds with conditions of this kind have been long in use. In former times, when the condition was forfeited the whole penalty was recoverable (*h*). Equity subsequently interfered, and prevented the creditor from enforcing more than the amount of the damage which he had actually sustained. The courts of law at length began to follow the example of the courts of equity; and according to a course of proceeding, of which there are many examples in the history of our law, the legislature more tardily adopted the rules which had already been acted on in the courts; and by a statute of the reign of Queen Anne it was provided, that, in case of a bond with a condition to be void upon payment of a lesser sum, at a day or place certain, the payment of the lesser sum with interest and costs shall be taken in full satisfaction of the bond, though such payment be not strictly in accordance with the condition (*i*). But if the arrears of interest should accumulate to such an amount as, together with the principal, to exceed the penalty of the bond, the creditor can claim no more than the penalty either at law (*h*) or in equity (*l*). If, however, there be special circumstances in the creditor's favour, as if he have a mortgage also for the principal and interest (*m*), or if the debtor has been delaying him by vexatious proceedings (*n*), equity will then aid him to the full extent of his demand (*o*).

Creditor can recover no more than the penalty.

Except in special circumstances.

(*h*) Litt. s. 340.

(*i*) Stat. 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, ss. 12, 13. See 3 Bur. 1373; 2 Bla. Com. 341; *Smith v. Bond*, 10 Bing. 125; S.C. 3 Moot & Scott, 528; *James v. Thomas*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 40.

(*k*) *Wild v. Clarkson*, 6 T. R. 303.

(*l*) *Clarke v. Seton*, 6 Ves. 411; *Hughes v. Wynne*, 1 My. & Keen, 20.

(*m*) *Clarke v. Lord Abingdon*, 17 Ves. 106.

(*n*) *Grant v. Grant*, 3 Sim. 430.

(*o*) 6 Ves. 416. By the Stamp Act, 13 & 14 Vict. c. 97, bonds and covenants for the payment of

Bonds for performance of agreements.

Bonds are frequently given, not only for securing the payment of money on a given day, but also with conditions to be void on the performance of many other acts agreed to be done, or on the payment of money by instalments. In such cases the law formerly was, that on the breach of any part of the condition, the whole penalty became due; and judgment and execution might be had thereon, subject only to the control of a court of equity on application to it for relief. But now in such cases the obligee (or person to whom the bond is made) must, in bringing his action, state or assign the breaches which have been made by the obligor (*p*); and although judgment is still recovered for the whole penalty, execution of such judgment is allowed to issue only for the damages in respect of the breaches actually committed; and the judgment remains as a further security for the damages to be sustained by any future breach (*q*).

Assignment of breaches.

Simple contract debts.

The last and most numerous, though least important,

any definite and certain sum of money are, with some exceptions, charged with an *ad valorem* duty of one-eighth per cent. or half-a-

crown per hundred pounds on the money secured, according to the following table contained in the act:—

|   | <i>s.</i> | <i>d.</i> |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Not exceeding £50 . . . . .   | 1         | 3         |
| Exceeding £50 and not exceeding £100  | 2         | 6         |
| "    100  | 150       | 3 9       |
| "    150  | 200       | 5 0       |
| "    200  | 250       | 6 3       |
| "    250  | 300       | 7 6       |
| And where the same shall exceed £300,<br>then for every £100, and also for any<br>fractional part of £100 . . . . . | 2         | 6         |

It may be remarked, that for sums not exceeding £150 the duty is less than on a bill or note, whilst the security is greater.

(*p*) See the judgment of Purke, B., in *Grey v. Friar*, 15 Q. B. 391, 910; *Wheelhouse v. Ladbroke*, 3

H. & N. 291.

(*q*) Stat. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 11, s. 8; *Hardy v. Bern*, 5 T. R. 636; *Willoughby v. Swinton*, 6 East, 550; 1 Wms. Saund. 57, n. (1); *Hurst v. Jennings*, 5 Bar. & Cress. 650; S. C. 8 Dow. & Ry. 424.

class of debts in the eye of the law are debts by simple contract, which are all debts not secured by the evidence of a court of record, or by deed or specialty. On the decease of the debtor, these debts are payable out of his personal estate, by his executor or administrator, subsequently to all debts of record or by specialty, except voluntary bonds, which are payable after all simple contract debts, but before any of the legacies (r). Debts secured by bills of exchange and promissory notes have no preference over the other simple contract debts of the deceased (s).

Voluntary  
bonds.

Bills and notes.

Thus it will be seen that there are now, according to the law of England, five principal kinds of debts, namely, crown debts, judgment debts, specialty debts in which the heirs are bound, specialty debts in which the heirs are not bound, and simple contract debts. Each of these classes has a law of its own, and remedies of varying degrees of efficacy. According to natural justice one would suppose that all creditors for valuable consideration should have an equal right to be paid; or if any difference were allowed, that those who could least afford to lose should be preferred to the others. Our law, however, takes precisely the opposite course, and, for reasons which certainly illustrate the history of England, gives to the crown, representing the public in the aggregate, who can best afford to lose, a decided preference over private creditors, whose loss may be their ruin. Again, a debt admitted without dispute gives the creditor far less advantage than a debt which has been contested and decreed to be paid by the judgment of a court of record. The proper function of a court of judicature would seem to be the settlement of disputes. In our law, however,

Defects in the  
law of debtor  
and creditor.

(r) *Lomas v. Wright*, 2 My. & Keen, 769; *Watson v. Parker*, 6 Beav. 283.

(s) *Yeoman v. Bradshaw*, 3 Sulk. 164.



the judgment of the court is permitted to be made use of not only to settle contested claims, but also as a better security for money admitted to be due. The reason of this perversion of the proper end of a judgment has been the superior advantages possessed by a creditor having a judgment in his favour. So long, however, as the court exercises its legitimate function of deciding on contested claims, there seems to be no reason why a debt established by the decision of the court should have any preference over one which has never been disputed. If this were the case, the use of judgments as mere securities, by collusion or agreement of the parties, would at once fall to the ground; and an end would be put to a very fruitful source of litigation and fraud. Practically there are but two reasons, why payment of a debt is withheld, namely, either because the debtor, though able to pay, doubts his liability, or because he is unable to pay, though he knows he is liable. In the first case an action at law decides the question; but the judgment given by the court in exercise of its proper function is scarcely ever followed by the taking out of execution. The debt being established, the debtor pays it, and the judgment is immediately satisfied. The creditor has the advantage of the decision of the court, but he has no occasion for any of those extraordinary remedies to which his position as a judgment creditor entitles him. If, however, the debtor is unable to pay, judgment is obtained merely for the sake of its fruit. The creditor endeavours, by suing out an execution, to obtain an advantage over other creditors, who may not have put themselves and the debtor to the same trouble and expense. But inability to pay one debt is presumptive evidence of inability to pay others; and when a man is unable to pay all his creditors in full, it is time that a distribution should be made of his property amongst his creditors rateably. The extraordinary privileges conferred on a judgment creditor seem, therefore, in most cases, practically to end in an undue pre-

ference of a pressing creditor over others who have as good a right to be paid. With respect to the three last classes of debts, namely, debts by specialty in which the heirs are bound, those in which the heirs are not bound, and simple contract debts, the distinctions between them serve principally to mark the steps of the struggle by which the rights of creditors have at length been obtained. The trophies of a victory so hardly won can scarcely be expected to present a very orderly appearance. The rights of these creditors accordingly vary with the accident of the death of the debtor, with the proportion which his real estate may bear to his personalty, and with the circumstance of his having or not having charged his real estate by his will with the payment of his debts; although, as we shall see, he can bring them all to a level by becoming a bankrupt if he please. Surely it is time that the law of debtor and creditor were placed upon some more simple and reasonable footing.

Since these remarks were written, an approach has been made towards the practical application of the principles above insisted on, by the Bankruptcy Act, 1861 (*t*), which provides, as we have seen, that the seizure and sale of the goods of a trader debtor, on an execution for a sum exceeding fifty pounds, shall be an act of bankruptcy. The author ventures to express his gratification at this improvement in the law, and his hope that still further progress may yet be made in the same direction.

The next subject which claims our attention is that of <sup>Interest on</sup> interest upon debts. The absurd prejudice which <sup>debts.</sup> anciently caused interest, under the name of usury, to be considered unlawful, retained some hold upon our law long after the

(*t*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 73, *ante*, p. 98.

taking of interest was rendered lawful by act of parliament (u). In ordinary cases a debtor was allowed to withhold payment of his debt, without being obliged to give to his creditor the poor recompense of interest on the money he was making use of for his own benefit. For until recently it was a general rule of law, that interest was not payable on any debts, whether by specialty or simple contract, unless expressly agreed on, or unless a promise could be implied from the usage of trade or other circumstances, or unless the debt were secured by a bill of exchange or promissory note, which, being mercantile securities, always carried interest (v). But in equity interest was more frequently allowed (w). And now, by an act of King William the Fourth (x), interest is recoverable on all debts payable by virtue of any written instrument, at a certain time, from the time when such debts were payable, or if payable otherwise, then from the time when demand of payment shall have been made in writing, so as such demand give notice to the debtor that interest will be claimed from the date of such demand until the time of payment.

#### Sureties.

The payment of a debt is sometimes secured by a *surety*, who makes himself liable, together with the principal debtor, for the payment. If the surety should pay the debt, he will become the creditor of the principal debtor for the amount; but although the debt paid should have been secured to the original creditor by the bond under seal of the debtor and his surety, the surety, having paid the debt, would until recently have become

(u) Stat. 37 Hen. VIII. c. 9.  
See *ante*, p. 5.

(v) *Higgins v. Sargent*, 2 Barn. & Cress. 348; S. C. 3 Dow. & Ry. 618; *Foster v. Weston*, 6 Bing. 709; *Page v. Newman*, 9 Barn. & Cress. 378.

(w) See *Loundes v. Collins*, 17 Ves. 27; 2 Fonb. Eq. 429; C. P. Cooper, 246 *et seq.*

(x) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, ss. 28, 29; *Hyde v. Price*, 8 Sim. 578.

the simple contract creditor only of the principal debtor; unless he should have taken the precaution to procure from such debtor a counter-bond for his own indemnity (y). The surety, however, would have been entitled to the benefit of all collateral securities which the creditor, whom he had repaid, held for the debt; but he was not to be entitled to the original bond executed by the debtor, because that was at an end by the very fact of the payment (z). In the words of Lord Brougham (a), the court admitted the surety's right, as against the principal debtor, to stand in the shoes of the creditor, but said there were no shoes for him to stand in. But by a recent enactment every surety who pays a debt is now entitled to have assigned to him every judgment, specialty or other security which shall be held by the creditor in respect of such debt, whether such judgment, specialty or other security shall or shall not be deemed at law to have been satisfied by the payment of the debt; and such person shall be entitled to stand in the place of the creditor and to use all the remedies, and if need be and upon a proper indemnity the name, of the creditor in any action to obtain from the principal debtor indemnification for his loss; and the payment made by the surety shall not be pleadable in bar of any action or other proceeding by him (b). If there should have been more than one surety, any one surety, paying the whole debt, is entitled, according to the general principles of justice, to contribution from his co-sureties in equal shares, or if they should have been sureties to unequal amounts, then in proportion to the respective amounts to which they have made themselves liable (c). And the

New enactment.

Co-sureties.

(y) *Copis v. Middleton*, Turn. & Russ. 224.

(x) Turn. and Russ. 231; *Dowbiggen v. Bourne*, 2 You. & Coll. 462; *Jones v. Davids*, 4 Russ. 277; *Caulfield v. Maguire*, 2 Jones & Lat. 164, 168.

(a) *Hodgson v. Shaw*, 3 My. & Keen, 183, 194.

(b) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 5; *Lockhart v. Reilley*, 1 De Gex & Jones, 464.

(c) *Deering v. Earl of Winchelsea*, 2 Bos. & Pul. 270, 272, 273;

Discharge of  
surety.

remedies given by the act above mentioned are extended to co-sureties; provided that no co-surety shall be entitled to recover from any other co-surety, by the means aforesaid, more than the just proportion to which, as between those parties themselves, such last-mentioned person shall be justly liable (*d*). In equity, if any surety has become insolvent, the others must contribute rateably to the payment of the whole debt (*e*). But if the surety has paid no more than his own proportion of the debt he cannot obtain contribution from any of the others (*f*); nor will contribution be allowed when the suretyship of one person is a distinct transaction from that of the others (*g*). A surety, however, may be discharged from his liability by the conduct of the creditor. As surety he has made himself liable only for the payment of a particular debt at a given time, or under certain given circumstances. If therefore the creditor, by any subsequent arrangement with the principal debtor, preclude himself from demanding payment of his debt at the time or under the circumstances originally agreed on, the surety will be at once discharged from all liability (*h*). Thus if the creditor bind himself to give further time for payment to the principal debtor (*i*), or compound with him, without expressly reserving his remedy against the surety (*j*), the surety will be discharged. But the ac-

*Brown v. Lee*, 6 Barn. & Cress. 689; S. C. 9 D. & C. 701.

(*d*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 5.

(*e*) *Peter v. Rich*, 1 Cha. Rep. 34; *Hitchman v. Stewart*, 3 Drewry, 271.

(*f*) *Ex parte Gifford*, 6 Ves. 807; *Davies v. Humphreys*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 153, 168, 169.

(*g*) *Coope v. Twyman*, T. & Russ. 426; *Craythorne v. Swinburne*, 14 Ves. 160; *Pendlebury v. Walker*, 4 You. & Coll. 424.

(*h*) *Calvert v. London Dock Company*, 2 Keen, 638; *Heath v. Key*, 1 Y. & Jerv. 434; *Nicholson v. Revill*, 4 Ad. & Ell. 675, 683; *Blake v. White*, 1 You. & Coll. 420; *Bowser v. Cox*, 4 Beav. 879; 6 Beav. 110; and see *Squire v. Whitton*, 1 H. of L. Cases, 333.

(*i*) *Samuel v. Howarth*, 3 Meriv. 272; *Eyre v. Bastrop*, 3 Madd. 221; *Moss v. Hall*, 5 Ex. Rep. 46; *Davies v. Stainbank*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 679.

(*j*) *Ex parte Gifford*, 6 Ves. 807;

ceptance by the creditor from the principal debtor of a new and independent security for the debt will not discharge the surety (*k*). Neither will the surety be discharged by the mere neglect of the creditor to enforce payment of the debt from the principal debtor at the time of its becoming due (*l*); nor by the creditor's express agreement to give time to the principal debtor, if such agreement fail in any of the requisites of a binding contract (*m*).

We now approach the subject of the alienation of debts, to which some reference has already been made. Alienation of debts.

We have seen that a debt was anciently considered as a mere right to bring an action against the debtor, and as such was incapable of being transferred (*n*). In process of time, however, an assignment of a debt was permitted to take place by means of an authority from the creditor to his assignee to sue the debtor in the creditor's name.

This authority is usually called a *power of attorney*, which need not be by deed, but may be by writing unsealed (*o*), or even by parol (*p*); and when a debt is a *legal* debt, recoverable only in a court of law, it cannot be effectually assigned without such a power. The assignment of debts by means of powers of attorney is now recognized and protected by the courts of law. Thus in a case where the original creditor became bankrupt after he had assigned his debt, it was held that an action against the debtor might still be properly brought in the name of Power of attorney.

*Ex parte Carstairs*, Buck, 560;  
*Maltby v. Carstairs*, 7 Bar. &  
Cress. 737; S. C. 1 Man. & Ry.  
549; *Thompson v. Lack*, 3 C. B.  
540; *Owen v. Homan*, 4 House of  
Lords Cases, 907; *Close v. Close*,  
4 De Gex, M. & G. 176; *Webb v.*  
*Hewitt*, 3 Kay & John. 438.

(*k*) *Bell v. Banks*, 3 Man. & Gr.  
258.

(*l*) *Eyre v. Everett*, 2 Russ. 381;  
*Peel v. Tatlock*, 1 B. & P. 419.

(*m*) *Philpot v. Briant*, 4 Bing.  
717; *Tucker v. Laing*, 2 Kay &  
John. 745.

(*n*) *Ante*, p. 4.

(*o*) *Howell v. M'Ivers*, 4 T. R.  
690.

(*p*) *Heath v. Hall*, 4 Taunt.  
326.

such original creditor, by virtue of the power of attorney which he had given to his assignee; although, if no assignment had been made, the assignees of the creditor under the bankruptcy would have been the proper parties to sue (*q*). So if a power of attorney be given on an assignment of a debt for a valuable consideration, it is held to be irrevocable by the assignor (*r*). When a debt or demand is *equitable* only, that is of a nature to be recoverable only in the Court of Chancery, it may be assigned without a power of attorney; for equity will allow the assignee to sue in his own name; and it is to be hoped that the privilege may one day be extended by parliament to the assignee of a legal debt. When a debt is assigned, the title of the assignee is not complete until he has given to the debtor notice of the assignment (*s*); for the debtor, if he has had no notice of the assignment, may lawfully pay his debt to the original creditor, and will be effectually discharged by his receipt.

Notice to the  
debtor.

**Bills and notes.** Bills of exchange and promissory notes are, as we have already seen (*t*), exceptions to the rule which requires a power of attorney to enable the assignee to sue the debtor for the debt assigned. The custom of merchants was in ancient times sufficiently powerful to countervail in this respect the strictness of the common law, and the holder of a bill of exchange was able to sue upon it in his own name. By a statute of Anne (*u*), promissory notes were made assignable or indorsable over in the same manner as inland bills of exchange might be according to the custom of merchants.

(*q*) *Winch v. Keeley*, 1 T. R. 619; *Parnham v. Hurst*, 8 Mcc. & W. 743. See *De Pothonier v. De Mattos*, 1 E. B. & E. 461.

(*r*) *Walsh v. Whitcomb*, 2 Esp. 565.

(*v*) See *post*, the chapter on Title.

(*t*) *Ante*, p. 4.

(*u*) Stat. 3 & 4 Anne, c. 9, made perpetual by stat. 7 Anne, c. 25, 3.

Debts, being formerly considered as mere rights of action, could not be taken in execution on a judgment obtained against the creditor. But when they are secured by some cheque, bill, note, bond, specialty or other security (*x*), the act for extending the remedies of creditors against the property of debtors (*y*) provides that under the writ of *fiery facias* (*z*) the sheriff may seize not only money and bank notes, but also the securities above mentioned, and may sue upon them in his own name on the arrival of the time of payment; but the sheriff is not bound to sue unless indemnified in the manner prescribed by the acts from the costs of the action. And the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now enables the court or a judge to order the examination of any judgment debtor as to any and what debts are owing to him (*a*); and a judge may, on the application of the judgment creditor, either before or after such examination, order that all debts owing from any third person (in the act called the garnishee) to the judgment debtor shall be attached to answer the judgment debt (*b*). And payment made by the garnishee, or execution levied upon him, under the provisions of the act, for the amount of his debt, is a valid discharge to him as against the judgment debtor to the amount paid or levied, although such proceedings may be set aside, or the judgment reversed (*c*). And the Common Law Procedure Act, 1860, further provides that if it be suggested by the garnishee that the debt sought to be attached belongs to some third person who has a lien or charge upon it, the judge may order such third person to appear before him, and may order execution to issue to levy the amount

Involuntary  
alienation of  
debts.

Common Law  
Procedure Act,  
1854.

Common Law  
Procedure Act,  
1860.

(*x*) *Harrison v. Paynter*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 387; *Wood v. Wood*, 4 Q. B. 397.

(*y*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 12.

(*z*) See *ante*, p. 48.

(*a*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 60.

(*b*) *Ibid.* s. 61.

(*c*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 65. See *Holmes v. Tutton*, 5 E. & B. 65.



due from such garnishee, or the judgment creditor to proceed against the garnishee; and he may bar the claim of such third person, or make such other order as he shall think just (*d*).

### Bankruptcy.

In the event of bankruptcy, the assignees of the bankrupt are empowered to sue for debts owing to him in their own names for the benefit of his creditors (*e*).

### Payment of debts.

Payment of smaller sum no satisfaction of larger.

We have now to consider the payment of debts. And, in the first place, the payment of a smaller sum is no satisfaction of a larger one, unless there be some consideration for the relinquishment of the residue (*f*), such as the payment at an earlier time than the whole is due (*g*), or the concurrence of some (*h*) or all of the other creditors of the debtor in accepting a composition (*i*). But it seems that the acceptance of a *negotiable security* for a small amount may be a good satisfaction for a larger debt (*j*); and the payment of a small sum may be a good satisfaction for an unliquidated demand for large pecuniary damages, on account of the uncertainty of such a claim (*k*). When a less sum is paid to the creditor than the whole amount of his demands, it is competent to the debtor to make the payment in satisfaction of any demand he may please, and the creditor must appropriate the payment accord-

### Appropriation of payments.

(*d*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 126, ss. 28—31.

(*e*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 141, repealing stats. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 63, and 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 56, s. 25. And see stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, s. 142, as to the bankruptcy of a plaintiff in an action at law.

(*f*) *Cumber v. Wane*, 1 Strange, 425; S. C. 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 146; *Fitch v. Sutton*, 5

East, 230.

(*g*) Co. Litt. 212 b.

(*h*) *Norman v. Thompson*, 4 Ex. Rep. 755.

(*i*) *Reay v. Richardson*, 2 Cro. Mee. & Rosc. 422; *Pfleger v. Browne*, 28 Beav. 391.

(*j*) *Sibree v. Tripp*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 23.

(*k*) *Wilkinson v. Byers*, 1 Ad. & Ell. 106.

ingly (l); but if the payment be made generally, without any express appropriation, the creditor may elect, at the time of payment (m), or within a reasonable time after (n), to appropriate the money to whichever demand he may please. And if no election as to the appropriation of the payment should be made on either side, the law will, in ordinary cases of current accounts, presume that the first item on the debit side is discharged or reduced by the first payment entered on the credit side, and so on in the order of time (o). When the debt carries interest, the payment is considered to be applied in the first place in discharge of the interest then due, and the surplus, if any, in discharge *pro tanto* of the principal. For no creditor would apply any payment to the discharge of part of the principal, which carries interest, instead of to the discharge of interest for which, when due, no further interest is payable (p).

When a person becomes so embarrassed as to be unable to pay all his debts in full, he usually endeavours to enter into a composition with his creditors, prevailing on them to accept so much in the pound, and to allow a given time for payment. In this case a *letter of licence* is generally given by the creditors, by which they covenant not to take any proceedings for their debts in the meantime; and this licence is frequently embodied in a *deed of inspectorship*, by which certain inspectors are appointed to watch the winding up of the debtor's affairs on behalf of the creditors. The payment of the composition is sometimes guaranteed by some friends of the

Composition  
with creditors.

- (l) *Shaw v. Picton*, 4 Barn. & Cress. 65.  
 Cress. 715; *Nash v. Hodgson*, Ld. (o) 1 Meriv. 608; *Williams v. C. & Lds. Justices*, 1 Jur. N. S. Rawlinson, 10 J. B. Moore, 362;  
 946; 6 De Gex, M. & G. 474. Merriman v. Ward, 1 John. & H.  
 (m) *Devaynes v. Noble*, 1 Mer. 371.  
 604. (p) *Bower v. Marris*, 1 Cr. &  
 (n) *Simson v. Ingham*, 2 Barn. Phi. 351, 355.

debtor as his sureties, and when payment is made, a release of all demands is given by the creditors. If, however, the composition should not be punctually paid, the creditors will no longer be restrained from proceeding to enforce the full payment of their debts (*g*). Such creditors as hold security for their debts should openly stipulate that their securities are not to be affected; and such a stipulation will be sufficient to preserve them (*r*). But any secret agreement between the debtor and a creditor, by which he is to have any advantage over the others, in order to induce him to agree to the composition, is evidently a fraud on the other creditors, and as such is absolutely void (*s*), and prevents the creditor who is party to it from suing for his share in the composition (*t*).

To be registered in the Court of Bankruptcy.

The Bankruptcy Act, 1861, now provides (*u*), that every deed, instrument or agreement whatsoever, by which a debtor, not being a bankrupt, conveys, or covenants or agrees to convey his estate and effects, or the principal part thereof, for the benefit of his creditors, or makes any arrangement or agreement with his creditors, or any person on their behalf, for the distribution, inspection, conduct, management or winding-up of his affairs or estate, or the release or discharge of such debtor from his debts or liabilities, shall, within twenty-

(*g*) *Cranley v. Hillary*, 2 Mau. & Selw. 120.

(*r*) *Nichols v. Morris*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 41; *Ex parte Glendinning*, Buck, 517; *Lee v. Lockhart*, 3 Mylne & Craig, 302; *Cullingworth v. Loyd*, 3 Beav. 385, and the cases collected, p. 395; *Bush v. Shipman*, 14 Sim. 239.

(*s*) *Leicester v. Rose*, 4 East, 372; *Knight v. Hunt*, 5 Bing. 432; *Pendlebury v. Walker*, 4 You. & Coll. 424; *Alsager v. Spalding*, 4

N. C. 407; *Higgins v. Pitt*, 4 Ex. Rep. 312; *Pfeffer v. Browne*, 28 Beav. 391; *Mare v. Warner*, 3 Giff. 100; *Mare v. Earle*, 3 Giff. 108.

(*t*) *Howden v. Haigh*, 11 Adol. & Ell. 1033; *Ex parte Oliver*, 4 De Gex & Smale, 354. See *Atkinson v. Denby*, 7 H. & N. 934.

(*u*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 194; *Hodgson v. Wightman*, 1 H. & Colt. 810. See *Ex parte Morgan*, L. C., 9 Jur. N. S. 559, *qu.* ?

eight days from and after the execution thereof by such debtor, or within such further time as the Court in London shall allow, be registered in the Court of Bankruptcy; and in default thereof shall not be received in evidence. And every such deed, on being so registered as aforesaid, shall have a memorandum thereof written on the face of such deed, stating the day and the hour of the day at which the same was brought into the office of the Chief Registrar for registration (v).

In some cases an assignment of the debtor's estate and effects is made to trustees for sale and conversion into money, to be divided rateably amongst the creditors. As however this is the process adopted by the law in cases of bankruptcy, where it is carried on under judicial sanction, the law considers that such an assignment of the whole of the estate of the debtor is an act of bankruptcy, and as such void, if there be any creditor or creditors who have not concurred in it of sufficient amount to sue out a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy (w). An exception to this rule is made, if a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy do not issue within three calendar months from the execution of such a deed by any trader, provided the deed be executed by every trustee within fifteen days after the execution thereof by the trader, and that the execution by such trader and by every such trustee be attested by an attorney or solicitor; and provided that notice be given within one month after the execution thereof by such trader in the London Gazette and two London daily newspapers, if he reside in London or within forty miles of it; or in the London Gazette, one London daily newspaper, and one provincial newspaper published near to

Assignment to trustees for creditors void as an act of bankruptcy.

Exception.

(v) Sect. 196.

(w) *Tappenden v. Burgess*, 4 East, 230; *Dutton v. Morrison*, 17 Ves. 193, 199; *Powell v. Lloyd*, 2

You. & Jerv. 372; *Ex parte Philpott*, Court of Review, 10 Jur. 717. See *post*, the chapter on Bankruptcy of traders.

such trader's residence, if he do not, reside within forty miles of London; and such notice must contain the date and execution of the deed, and the name and place of abode respectively of every such trustee and of such attorney or solicitor (*x*).

Deed to be registered.

Stamp duty.

But every such deed must now be registered, as we have seen, in the Court of Bankruptcy (*y*). And no deed or instrument, whatever required to be so registered shall be registered, unless, in addition to the ordinary stamp duty, it also be impressed with or have affixed to it a stamp denoting a duty computed at the rate of five shillings upon every hundred pounds, or fraction of a hundred pounds, of the sworn or certified value of the estate or effects comprised in or to be collected or distributed under such deed or instrument; provided, that the maximum of *ad valorem* duty payable in respect of any such deed or instrument shall be two hundred pounds (*z*).

Arrangements by deed.

The Bankrupt Law Consolidation Act, 1849, contained provisions by which deeds of arrangement between a trader and his creditors, signed by six-sevenths in number and value of those creditors, whose debts amounted to ten pounds and upwards, were binding on all the creditors (*a*). These provisions have now been repealed by the Bankruptcy Act, 1861 (*b*), which sub-

(*x*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 68, repealing stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 4.

(*y*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 194, *ante*, p. 116.

(*z*) Sect. 195. It was probably the intention of the framers of the act, that the above-mentioned *ad valorem* duty should apply only to the arrangements provided for by the 192nd section of the act, to be

presently mentioned. The 194th section appears to have been thrust in amongst other provisions in such a way as to cause great confusion. But the act ought to be read as it stands, if sense can possibly be made of it.

(*a*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 224.

(*b*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 192.

stitutes for them the following enactments, which apply, it will be seen, to all debtors, whether traders or not. Every deed or instrument made or entered into between a debtor and his creditors, or any of them, or a trustee on their behalf, relating to the debts or liabilities of the debtor, and his release therefrom, or the distribution, inspection, management and winding-up of his estate, or any of such matters, shall be as valid and effectual and binding on all the creditors of such debtor, as if they were parties to, and had duly executed the same; provided the following conditions be observed; that is to say,—

1. A majority in number, representing three-fourths in value of the creditors of such debtor, whose debts shall respectively amount to ten pounds and upwards, shall, before or after the execution thereof by the debtor, in writing assent to or approve of such deed or instrument.

2. If a trustee or trustees be appointed by such deed or instrument, such trustee or trustees shall execute the same.

3. The execution of such deed or instrument by the debtor shall be attested by an attorney or solicitor.

4. Within twenty-eight days from the execution of such deed or instrument by the debtor, the same shall be produced and left (having been first duly stamped) at the office of the Chief Registrar, for the purpose of being registered.

5. Together with such deed or instrument there shall be delivered to the Chief Registrar an affidavit by the debtor, or some person able to depose thereto, or a certificate by the trustee or trustees, that a majority in number, representing three-fourths in value, of the creditors of the debtor whose debts amount to ten pounds or upwards, have in writing assented to or approved of such deed or instrument; and also stating

the amount in value of the property and credits of the debtor comprised in such deed.

6. Such deed or instrument shall, before registration, bear such ordinary and *ad valorem* stamp duties as are by the act provided (c).

7. Immediately on the execution thereof by the debtor, possession of all the property comprised therein, of which the debtor can give or order possession, shall be given to the trustees (d).

Particulars of deed to be entered by chief registrar.

The date, names and descriptions of the parties to every such deed or instrument, not including the creditors, together with a short statement of the nature and effect thereof, shall be entered by the Chief Registrar in a book, to be kept exclusively for the purposes of such registration. Such entry shall be made within forty-eight hours after the deed shall have been left with the registrar as aforesaid; and a copy of such entry shall be published in the London Gazette within four days after the making of such entry (e).

After registration the Court of Bankruptcy has jurisdiction.

After registration the debtor and creditors and trustees, parties to such deed, or who have assented thereto, or are bound thereby, become subject to the jurisdiction of the Court of Bankruptcy, in the same manner as if the debtor had been adjudged a bankrupt; and, except where otherwise expressly provided by the deed, all questions arising under it, are to be determined according to the law and practice in bankruptcy (f). After notice of the filing and registration of such deed has been given as aforesaid, no execution or process against the debtor's property or person in respect of any debt, other than such process by writ or warrant as may be had against a debtor about to depart out of England, shall be available to any creditor or

Debtor protected after notice of registration.

(c) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 195. See *ante*, p. 118.

(d) Sect. 192.

(e) Sect. 193.

(f) Sect. 197.

claimant without leave of the Court; and a certificate of the filing and registration of such deed, under the hand of the Chief Registrar and the seal of the Court, shall be available to the debtor for all purposes, as a protection in bankruptcy (g). In case any petition shall be presented for an adjudication in bankruptcy against a debtor, after his execution of any such deed and pending the time allowed for its registration, all proceedings under such petition may be stayed, if the Court shall think fit; and in case such deed shall be duly registered, the petition shall be dismissed. If the debtor cannot obtain the assent of a majority in number representing three-fourths in value of his creditors by reason of his being unable to ascertain by whom bills of exchange, promissory notes, or other negotiable securities, accepted, drawn, made, or endorsed by him are holden; or by reason of the absence of creditors in a foreign country, or other similar circumstances, it will be sufficient if he obtain the consent of a majority in number representing three-fourths in value of all his other creditors to such deed; provided that notice shall have been inserted by or on behalf of the debtor, in one or more newspapers published in the county or place at which he shall have carried on business, immediately prior to the date of such deed, requiring his creditors to signify their assent to, or dissent from such deed, by notice in writing addressed to the trustee or trustees thereof, within fourteen days from the insertion of such notice, and that the affidavit or certificate of the trustee or trustees shall state the circumstances of the case, and the same shall be allowed by the Court; and provided the deed be in a short form contained in a schedule to the act, which shall vest all the estate and effects of the debtor in the trustees of such deed; and provided that

Provision in case debtor cannot obtain assent of requisite majority of creditors.

(g) Sect. 198. But if the deed avail.\* *Ilderton v. Jewell*, 14 C. B. be held void, the certificate is of no N. S. 665.



all such other conditions, as are by the act required, be duly complied with (*h*).

Unfortunately the meaning of these provisions is by no means so clear as could be wished, and their exact purport will scarcely be settled without much litigation. It seems that all the creditors of the debtor, and not merely those who execute the deed, ought to be equally the objects of its provisions (*i*); and the deed must not contain any unreasonable covenant on the part of the creditors (*k*). In estimating the requisite majority, secured as well as unsecured creditors must be taken into account (*l*). But it would seem to be the better opinion that the deed need not provide for the distribution of the whole of the debtor's estate amongst his creditors (*m*), as was required by the corresponding section of the Act of 1849 (*n*).

Act to facilitate  
arrangements  
between  
debtors and  
creditors.

An act has also been passed for facilitating arrangements between debtors and creditors (*o*), which applies only to such debtors as are not traders within the bankrupt laws. Under this act any such debtor, with the concurrence of one-third in number and value of his creditors, may, with the sanction of a commissioner of the Court of Bankruptcy, and if his debts have not

(*h*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 200.

(*i*) *Walter v. Adcock*, 7 H. & N. 541; *Ex parte Godden*, L. J., 32 L. J. Bankruptcy, 37; *Dewhurst v. Kershaw*, 1 Hurl. & Colt. 726; *Ilderton v. Castrique*, 14 C. B., N. S. 99; *Ex parte Cockburn*, *Re Smith*, L. C. 12 W. R. 184.

(*k*) *Woods v. Foote*, 1 H. & Colt. 841; *Inglebach v. Nichols*, 14 C. B., N. S. 85.

(*l*) *King v. Rendall*, 14 C. B., N. S. 721.

(*m*) *Re Rawlings*, L. J., 9 Jur.

N. S. 316; *Ex parte Morgan*, L. C., 9 Jur. N. S. 559. But see *Walter v. Adcock*, 7 H. & N. 541.

(*n*) *Tetley v. Taylor*, 1 E. & B. 521; *Drew v. Collins*, 6 Ex. Rep. 670; *March v. Warwick*, 1 H. & N. 158; *Macnaught v. Russell*, 1 H. & N. 611; *Irving v. Gray*, 3 H. & N. 34; *Bloomer v. Darkes*, 2 C. B., N. S. 165; *Cruger v. Dunlop*, 7 H. & N. 525.

(*o*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 70. See *Robins v. Hobbs*, 9 Hare, 122; *Chilcote v. Kemp*, 3 Ex. Rep. 514.

been improperly incurred, procure two meetings of his creditors to be called, to consider any proposal for the payment or compromise of his debts. And if the major part of the creditors in number and value, or nine-tenths in value, or nine-tenths in number whose debts exceed twenty pounds, at the first meeting, and three-fifths in number and value, or nine-tenths in value, or nine-tenths in number whose debts exceed twenty pounds, at the second meeting, agree to the composition, it will be binding as against all the other creditors who had notice of the meetings, provided it be confirmed by the commissioner, and provided one full third in number and value of all the creditors were present at the second meeting, either in person or by an authorized agent.

This act has recently been explained to extend to debtors in custody, who may be discharged from custody whenever they, if not in custody, would have been entitled, by virtue of the act, to obtain protection from arrest<sup>(p)</sup>.

(p) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 147.

## CHAPTER IV.

## OF BANKRUPTCY OF TRADERS.

UNDER some circumstances a debtor is discharged by law from his debt without any actual payment, or without payment of more than a part of it. This occurs in the case of bankruptcy.

The whole of the law of bankruptcy was until recently governed by the act to amend and consolidate the laws relating to bankrupts (*a*) which came into operation on the 11th of October, 1849, and by which all the previous acts were repealed. Of these the most important was the statute of 6 Geo. 4, c. 16, "An Act to amend the Laws relating to Bankrupts," which had been amended and altered by various others (*b*), the provisions of which, with some alterations, were consolidated in the act of 1849. But extensive alterations have now been made by the Bankruptcy Act, 1861 (*c*), under which persons not in trade have for the first time become liable to be made bankrupts. But as there is still a marked distinction between the law of bankruptcy as applied to traders and non-traders, the present chapter will be entirely devoted to the bankruptcy of traders. Traders within the meaning of the laws relating to bankrupts are—all alum makers, apothecaries, auctioneers, bankers, bleachers, brokers, brickmakers, builders, calenderers, carpenters, curriers, cattle or

Who may be a bankrupt.

(*a*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106.

(*b*) 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 56; 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 47; 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110; 2 Vict. c. 11; 2 & 3 Vict. c. 29; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122; 7 & 8

Vict. c. 96; 8 & 9 Vict. c. 48; 10 & 11 Vict. c. 102; 11 & 12 Vict. c. 86.

(*c*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134.

sheep salesmen, coach proprietors, cow keepers, dyers, fullers, keepers of inns, taverns, hotels or coffee houses, lime burners, livery stable keepers, market gardeners, millers, packers, printers, shipowners, shipwrights, victuallers, warehousemen, wharfingers, scriveners receiving other men's monies or estates into their trust or custody, persons insuring against perils of the sea, and all persons using the trade of merchandize by way of bargaining, exchange, bartering, commission, consignment, or otherwise in gross or by retail; and all persons who either for themselves, or as agents or factors for others, seek their living by buying or selling, or by buying and letting for hire, or by the workmanship of goods or commodities. But no farmer, grazier, common labourer, or workman for hire, receiver-general of the taxes, or member of or subscriber to any incorporated commercial or trading companies established by charter or act of parliament, shall be deemed as such a trader liable to become bankrupt (*d*). An attorney or solicitor, as such, is not a trader within the bankrupt law; but if he is in the habit of receiving his clients' money into his own hands and investing it for them, and charging a compensation for so doing, in addition to his charges for other professional business, he will be liable to become bankrupt as a scrivener receiving other men's monies into his trust (*e*). An alien or denizen is within the bankrupt law (*f*); and so is a married woman carrying on trade for her separate use by the custom of London (*g*), or whilst her husband is undergoing sentence of transportation (*h*). But an infant under the age of twenty-one years cannot

Attorney or  
solicitor not  
liable as such.

Alien or deni-  
zen.

Married  
woman.

Infant.

- (*d*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 66. De Gex, M. & G. 246.  
 (*e*) *Malkin v. Adams*, 2 Rose, 28; *Ex parte Bath*, Mont. 82, 84, where the cases are collected. See also *Wilkinson v. Candlish*, 5 Exch. Rep. 91, 97; *Ex parte Dufaur*, 2  
 (*f*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 277.  
 (*g*) *Ex parte Carrington*, 1 Atk. 206.  
 (*h*) *Ex parte Franks*, 7 Bing. 762; 1 M. & Scott, 1.

be a bankrupt, because by the law of England he cannot be made liable on contracts entered into by him in the course of trade (i).

Act of bankruptcy.

A person within the bankrupt laws becomes bankrupt by committing an *act of bankruptcy*. The following acts, if done with intent to defeat or delay the creditors of a trader, are acts of bankruptcy, namely, if any such trader shall depart this realm, or being out of this realm shall remain abroad, or depart from his dwelling-house, or otherwise absent himself, or begin to keep his house, or suffer himself to be arrested or taken in execution for any debt not due, or yield himself to prison, or suffer himself to be outlawed, or procure himself to be arrested or taken in execution, or his goods, monies or chattels to be attached, sequestered or taken in execution, or make or cause to be made, either within this realm or elsewhere, any fraudulent grant or conveyance of any of his lands, tenements, goods or chattels, or make or cause to be made any fraudulent surrender of any of his copyhold lands or tenements, or make or cause to be made any fraudulent gift, delivery or transfer of any of his goods or chattels (k). It is also an act of bankruptcy for a trader to lie in prison for debt for fourteen days, or, having been committed or detained for debt, to escape out of prison or custody (l). But it is now provided that no debtor shall be adjudged bankrupt on the ground of having lain in prison as aforesaid, unless, having been summoned, he shall not offer such security for the debt in respect of which he is imprisoned or detained as the commissioner or registrar, whose duty

Lying in prison.

Escape.

(i) *Belton v. Hodges*, 9 Bing. 365, 370.

(k) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 67; *Ex parte Bland*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 757; *Johnson v. Fesen-*

*meyer*, 25 Beav. 88; 3 De Gex & Jones, 13; *Pennell v. Reynolds*, 11 C. B., N. S. 709.

(l) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 71.

it would otherwise be to adjudicate, shall deem reasonably sufficient (*m*).

Most of the above acts of bankruptcy have been such ever since a bankrupt was first defined by the statute of Elizabeth "touching orders for bankrupts" (*n*). Bankruptcy was then considered as a crime, and the bankrupt was called "an offender" (*o*). But in modern times bankruptcy has been looked upon as the proper remedy for a trader in embarrassed circumstances. He gives up all his property to his creditors, to be divided rateably amongst them; and, if his behaviour has been free from serious blame, he obtains a discharge from past liabilities, together with a small allowance to enable him to begin the world again (*p*). An act of bankruptcy may accordingly now be committed by merely filing, in the office of the chief registrar, or with the registrar of a district Court of Bankruptcy, or of a County Court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy, a formal declaration signed by the debtor, and attested by a registrar of the Court, or by an attorney or solicitor, that he is unable to meet his engagements, provided a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy be filed within two calendar months (*q*). And a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy, under which the debtor is now declared bankrupt (*r*), may be filed by any debtor against himself; and the filing of such petition is now an act of bankruptcy, without any previous declaration of insolvency by such debtor (*s*). But every debtor petitioning against himself must file in Court a full, true and accurate statement, verified by the oath of the petitioner, of his debts and

Declaration of  
insolvency.

Debtor may  
petition against  
himself.

(*m*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 71.

(*n*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 7.

(*o*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 7, s. 10; 2  
Black. Com. 471.

(*p*) *Post*, p. 143.

(*q*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 72.

(*r*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,  
s. 89.

(*s*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 86.

liabilities of every kind, and of the names and residences of his creditors, and of the causes of his inability to meet his engagements, within three days after filing his petition (*t*). So an act of bankruptcy may now be lawfully concerted or agreed upon between the bankrupt and any creditor or other person (*u*), which was not the case at the time when bankruptcy was considered an offence (*x*).

Seizure and  
sale of goods  
under an exe-  
cution.

Insolvency in  
the colonies.

Judgment  
debtor sum-  
mons.

We have already seen that the seizure and sale of the goods of a trader under an execution upon any judgment in a personal action for the recovery of any debt or money demand exceeding fifty pounds is an act of bankruptcy (*y*). The filing of a petition by or against a debtor in any Court having jurisdiction for the relief of insolvent debtors in insolvency or bankruptcy in any of Her Majesty's dominions, colonies, or dependencies, and the adjudication of an act of insolvency or bankruptcy on such petition, is also evidence of an act of bankruptcy (*z*). An act of bankruptcy may also be committed by non-payment after what is called a judgment debtor summons. Every judgment creditor who is entitled to sue out a writ of *capias ad satisfaciendum* (*a*) against the debtor in respect of any debt amounting to 50*l.*, exclusive of costs, may at the end of one week from the signing of judgment sue out against any trader, whether he be in custody or not, a summons called a judgment debtor summons, requiring him to appear, and to be examined respecting his ability to pay the debt (*b*). In like manner, where any decree or order of a Court of Equity, or order in bankruptcy,

(*t*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 93. Gen. Order in Bankruptcy,  
Oct. 1861, schedule 5.

(*u*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,  
s. 115.

(*x*) *Ex parte Gouthwaite*, 1 Rose,  
87; *Ex parte Brookes*, Buck. 257.

(*y*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 73, *ante*, p. 98.

(*z*) Sect. 75.

(*a*) See *ante*, p. 166.

(*b*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 76.

insolvency, or lunacy, directing the payment of money, is disobeyed by the debtor, after having been duly served on him, and the person entitled to the money, or interested in enforcing payment of it, has obtained a peremptory order fixing a day for payment, and the debtor, being a trader, shall not within seven days after service on him of the peremptory order, or within seven days after the day fixed by the peremptory order for payment (which shall last happen), pay the money, or secure, or tender, or compound for it, to the satisfaction of the creditor, the creditor may at the end of those seven days sue out against the debtor a judgment debtor summons (c). This summons must be served on the debtor personally, if he be in England, unless the court issuing the same should direct that service in some other manner should be good service (d). Upon the appearance of the debtor, he may be examined on oath by or on behalf of the creditor and by the court respecting his ability to satisfy the debt, and for the discovery of property applicable in that behalf; and he must produce on oath or otherwise his books and papers relating to his property as the court shall think fit (e). And if after service of such summons the debtor shall not pay the debt and costs, or secure, or compound for the same to the satisfaction of the creditor, the court may on the appearance of the debtor, or if he shall not appear, having no lawful impediment allowed by the court, adjudge him bankrupt; and where the debtor has not appeared, notice of such adjudication is to be served upon him in the same manner as is provided with respect to service of the summons (f). The debtor is then allowed seven days from such notice, or such further time as the court shall think fit, for appearing to show cause against the adjudication; and if he ap-

To be served personally.

Debtor to be examined.

(c) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 77.

(d) Sect. 79.

(e) Sect. 82.

(f) Sect. 83.



pear within the time allowed, and show sufficient cause, the adjudication may be annulled; otherwise at the end of the time allowed, or on the judgment of the court against the sufficiency of the cause shown, the adjudication will become absolute, and notice thereof is to be forthwith given in the London Gazette; and the adjudication will relate back to the service of the summons or the insertion of the first notice in the London Gazette, as the case may be (*g*).

Filing affidavit  
of debt.

The act of 1849 contains a further provision, that on a proper affidavit of debt being made by any creditor, stating, amongst other things, the delivery to the trader personally, or to some adult inmate at his usual or last known place of abode or business, of written particulars of his demand, with notice requiring immediate payment, such trader may be summoned to appear before the bankrupt court either to admit the demand, or to swear that he verily believes that he has a good defence to such demand or to some part of it. And in such case the court is empowered to require the trader to enter into a bond with two sureties to pay such sum as shall be recovered, together with such costs as shall be given in any action which shall have been or shall be brought for the recovery of such demand or any part thereof (*h*). And if he admits the demand, and does not satisfy the creditor within seven days next after the filing of such admission, he commits an act of bankruptcy on the eighth day after the filing of such admission, provided a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy be filed against him within two calendar months from the filing of the creditor's affidavit (*i*). After such a summons, an admission of debt may be made with the same effect, without the trader's appear-

Admission of  
debt and non-  
payment.

(*g*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, ss. 78, 79; see *Ex parte Wood*, 4 s. 84. De Gex, M. & G. 875.

(*h*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, (i) Sect. 81.

ing in court, provided it be made in the prescribed form, and there be present some attorney of one of her Majesty's superior courts of law on behalf of such trader, expressly named by him and attending at his request, to inform him of the effect of such admission before the same is signed by him, and provided also that such attorney do subscribe his name thereto as a witness to the due execution thereof, and in such attestation declare himself to be attorney for the said trader, and state therein that he subscribes as such attorney (*k*). If the trader do not appear when summoned, or if on appearing he refuse to sign the admission of debt (*l*), or admit only part, without swearing to his belief that he has a good defence to the debt or to the part not admitted, and if required by the court enter into such a bond as is mentioned above, then he commits an act of bankruptcy on the eighth day after service of the summons, unless within seven days from such service, or within such enlarged time as may be granted to him, he satisfies the creditor, or enters into a bond with two sureties, to be approved by the court, to pay such sum and costs as shall be recovered in any action for the debt; but the petition for adjudication of bankruptcy must be filed within two calendar months from the filing of the creditor's affidavit (*m*). No person is now liable to become bankrupt by reason of any act of bankruptcy committed more than twelve calendar months prior to the filing of any petition for adjudication of bankruptcy against him (*n*).

When an act of bankruptcy has been committed by a trader, any creditor or creditors may petition the Court of Bankruptcy for an adjudication of bankruptcy against him, provided the amount of their debts be as follows:—the debt of any single creditor, or of two or more being

(*k*) Stat. 22 & 13 Vict. c. 106, v. *Dodd*, 8 Ex. Rep. 578; S. C. 17 s. 84. Jur. 261. •

(*l*) Sect. 83.

(*n*) Sect. 88.

(*m*) Sects. 80, 82; see *Oldfield*

partners, 50*l.* or upwards; the debt of two creditors, 70*l.* or upwards; and the debt of three or more creditors, 100*l.* or upwards: and every person who has given credit to any trader upon valuable consideration for any sum payable at a certain time, which time shall not have arrived when such trader committed an act of bankruptcy, may petition or join in petitioning, whether he shall have any security for such sum or not (*o*). The debt, however, must be a legal debt, and one for which the creditor might sue at law in his own name (*p*). The truth of the petition is sworn to by the petitioning creditor; and immediately after it is filed, in the case of a debtor petitioning against himself, and after adjudication, in the case of a petition filed against a debtor who shall be adjudged bankrupt, the bankrupt personally, and all his estate and effects of what nature or kind soever become subject to the law of bankruptcy (*q*). If the petitioning creditor shall not proceed and obtain adjudication within three days after his petition shall have been filed, or within such extended time as shall be allowed by the court, the court may at any time, on the expiration of such three days, or of such extended time, as the case may be, upon the petition of any other creditor to the amount required to constitute a petitioning creditor, proceed to adjudicate on such last-mentioned petition. And if a debtor, petitioning against himself, does not obtain adjudication within twenty-four hours after filing such petition, the court may proceed to adjudge the debtor a bankrupt on the petition of any competent creditor (*r*). In the computation of debts for the purposes of any such petition, there shall be reckoned as debts sums due to creditors holding mortgages or other available securities or liens, after deduct-

What are  
reckoned  
debts.

(*o*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 89.      (*q*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 87.

(*p*) *Medlicot's case*, 2 Str. 899;      (*r*) Sect. 96.

*Ex parte Sutton*, 11 Ves. 163.

ing the value of the property comprised in such mortgages, securities, or liens, and such interest and costs as shall be due in respect of any of the debts; but there shall not be reckoned the amount of the debts in respect of which the petitioner has already taken the benefit of insolvency, protection, or bankruptcy, or debts barred by any statute of limitations (*s*). The words taking the benefit of insolvency, &c., appear in this place to be used for taking dividends under any such insolvency, &c. If the trader shall, after the filing of a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy against him, pay to the petitioning creditor any money, or give him any satisfaction or security for his debt, or any part thereof, whereby he may receive more in the pound in respect of his debt than the other creditors, such trader thereby commits an act of bankruptcy; and if adjudication of bankruptcy shall have been made under such petition, the court may either declare such adjudication to be valid, and direct the same to be proceeded in, or may order it to be annulled, and a new petition for adjudication may be filed, which may be supported by proof either of such last-mentioned or of any other act of bankruptcy (*t*).

Compounding with petitioning creditor an act of bankruptcy.

Formerly a commission of bankruptcy under the great seal issued in every case, whereby certain persons were appointed commissioners for the purpose of directing that particular bankruptcy (*u*). Subsequently a Court of Bankruptcy was erected in London, and certain fixed commissioners appointed, by any one of whom the duties of a commissioner were to be performed in all cases of bankruptcies in London (*x*). The creditor presented a formal petition to the Lord Chancellor, whereupon a fiat in bankruptcy issued, whereby the

Commission of bankruptcy.

Fiat in bankruptcy.

(*s*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 17.

(*u*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 7, s. 2; 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 12.

(*t*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 71.

(*x*) Stat. 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 56.

creditor was authorized to prosecute his complaint against the trader in the Court of Bankruptcy, or before one of the commissioners of that court (*y*). And more recently fixed commissioners were appointed throughout the country, each of whom had a separate district, and formed a court of record (*z*). But by the Bankruptcy Act, 1861, jurisdiction in bankruptcy is now vested in the judges of the County Courts, except those of the metropolis (*a*). And provision has been made for the reduction of the number of the London commissioners to three (*b*). And her Majesty is empowered, upon any vacancy in the office of country commissioner, to transfer, by order in Council, the jurisdiction of such commissioner to any of the judges of the County Courts within the district (*c*).

The adjudication.

The fiat was abolished by the act of 1849; and the debt, the trading, and the act of bankruptcy having been proved, the trader is adjudged a bankrupt by the court to which the petition is presented (*d*); and a duplicate of the adjudication is then served on the bankrupt, who is allowed seven days, or such further time as the court shall think fit, to show cause against the adjudication; and if, at the expiration of that time, he can show no such cause, or if the court adjudge the cause shown to be insufficient, notice of the adjudication is forthwith advertised in the London Gazette. But notice of the adjudication may be advertised immediately, with the consent of the bankrupt testified in writing under his hand before the court (*e*).

(*y*) Stat. 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 56, s. 12.

(*z*) Stats. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 59 *et seq.*; 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, ss. 6—11.

(*a*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 3.

(*b*) Sect. 2.

(*c*) Sect. 4.

(*d*) Stats. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 101; 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, ss. 83, 84.

(*e*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 104.

If the bankrupt do not commence proceedings to dispute the petition for adjudication, and prosecute the same with diligence and effect within two calendar months after the advertisement (if he were within the United Kingdom at the date of the adjudication), or within three calendar months (if in any other part of Europe), or within a twelvemonth (if elsewhere); the Gazette containing the advertisement is conclusive evidence in all cases as against such bankrupt, and in all actions by his assignees for his debts, that he became a bankrupt previously to the date and filing of the petition for adjudication, and that the petition was filed on the day stated in the Gazette (*f*).

Gazette is evidence of bankruptcy.

The official assignees are officers of the Bankruptcy Court, one of whom is appointed by the court to act for every bankruptcy. His duty formerly was to receive all the personal estate and effects, and the rents and profits of the real estate, and the proceeds of the sale of the estate and effects, real and personal, of the bankrupt; and after the appointment of the creditors' assignees, he continued to be an assignee jointly with them. But it is now provided, that at the appointment of the creditors' assignee, all the estate, both real and personal, of the bankrupt shall be devested out of the official assignee and vested in the creditors' assignee (*g*). And the official assignee is forthwith to render to the creditors' assignee a full and particular account or balance sheet of the bankrupt's estate, and of all receipts, payments, and other transactions of such official assignee; and also a list of all the creditors of the bankrupt who have proved their debts against the estate (*h*). The management of the estate is then vested in the creditors' assignee; except as to debts due to the estate,

Official assignee.

(*f*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 117.  
 s. 233; 17 & 18 Vict. c. 119, s. 24. (*h*) Sect. 118.  
 (*g*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,

not exceeding £10, as to which the official assignee is to be deemed the sole assignee of the estate, notwithstanding the appointment of a creditors' assignee (*i*).

**Proof of debts.** As soon as conveniently may be after adjudication shall have become absolute, the court appoints a meeting of the creditors, of which ten days' notice is to be given in the London Gazette, and which meeting is held at such time and place as the court appoints; and at such meeting, a registrar or such other person as the court appoints for that purpose presides, and receives the proofs of the debts of the creditors (*k*). But proof of debts may also be made after adjudication, by a declaration thereof in due form signed by the creditor, and delivered or sent through the General Post to the official or creditors' assignee, as the case may be (*l*). Proof may also be made by deposition in court, or in chambers, or before a registrar at any meeting of creditors elsewhere than in court, and in some cases by the affidavit of a clerk or other person in the creditors' employment (*m*).

As the bankrupt is discharged from such claims only as have been or might have been proved under the bankruptcy, provision has been made for the proof of as many demands as possible. Thus a security, payable at a future time, may be proved with a rebate of interest at the rate of five per cent., to be computed from the declaration of a dividend to the time at which the debt secured would have become payable according to the terms upon which it was contracted (*n*). Provision is also made for the set-off of mutual credits or debts between the bankrupt and any creditor, so that the balance only shall be claimed or

(*i*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 128.

(*k*) Sect. 109.

(*l*) Sect. 144.

(*m*) Sect. 146.

(*n*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s.  
172.

paid on either side (*o*); also for the proof of any debt by any surety by the bankrupt who may have paid it, although after the filing of the petition for adjudication of bankruptcy, but not so as to disturb former dividends (*p*). Persons insured may also prove after the happening of the loss, and may receive dividends with the other creditors, as if the loss had happened before the filing of the petition (*q*). Annuity creditors may also prove for the value of their annuities, to be ascertained by the court, regard being had to the original price (*r*); and if there should be any collateral surety for the annuity, he will be discharged from all claims in respect of the annuity on payment of the amount so proved (*s*). Debts payable upon contingencies which shall not have happened before the issuing of the fiat may be valued by the court on the application of the creditor, and the amount so ascertained may be proved as the debt (*t*). It is also provided, that if the bankrupt shall have contracted, before the filing of the petition, a liability to pay money upon a contingency which shall not have happened, and the demand in respect thereof shall not have been ascertained before the filing of such petition, then, if the liability be not otherwise proveable, the person with whom such liability has been contracted shall be admitted to claim for such sum as the court shall think fit; and after the contingency shall have happened, and the demand in respect of such liability shall have been ascertained, he shall be admitted to prove such demand, and receive dividends with the other creditors, and, so far as practicable, as if the contingency had happened and the demand had been ascertained before the filing of such petition, but not disturbing former dividends; provided such person

Contingent  
liabilities.

(*o*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,  
s. 171.

(*p*) Sect. 173.

(*q*) Sect. 174.

(*r*) Sect. 175.

(*s*) Sect. 176.

(*t*) Sect. 177.



Interest.  
Costs.

Apportion-  
ment of rent,  
&c.

Debt payable  
by instalments.

Unliquidated  
damages.

had not, at the time such liability was contracted, notice of any act of bankruptcy by such bankrupt committed; provided also, that where any such claim shall not have, either in whole or in part, been converted into a proof within six calendar months after the filing of the petition, it may, upon the application of the assignees at any time afterwards, and if the court shall think fit, be expunged either in whole or in part, from the proceedings (*u*). Interest on overdue bills and notes may also be proved (*x*); also the costs, though untaxed, of obtaining any judgment, decree or order, which may have been made for any debt or demand, in respect of which the plaintiff or petitioner shall prove under the bankruptcy (*y*). And it is now further provided, that a person entitled to enforce against the bankrupt payment of any money or costs by process of contempt issuing out of any court, may come in as a creditor under the bankruptcy for the amount payable under the process, subject to the amount being ascertained by taxation or otherwise (*z*). And in all cases, in which the bankrupt is liable to pay any rent or other payment falling due at fixed periods, the person entitled thereto may prove for a proportionate part thereof, up to the day of the adjudication of bankruptcy, in such manner as if the said rent or payment grew due from day to day (*a*). If the bankrupt shall have contracted any debt payable by instalments, the creditor may prove for the amount of such instalments remaining unpaid at the time of the petition (*b*). And if the bankrupt is liable, by reason of any contract or promise, to a demand, in the nature of damages, which have not been and cannot be otherwise

(*u*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 178. See *Parker v. Ince*, 4 H. & N. 53; *Ex parte Barwis*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 762; *Boyd v. Robins*, 5 C. B., N. S. 597; *White v. Corbett*, 1 E. & E. 692.

(*x*) 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 180.

(*y*) Sect. 181.

(*z*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict., c. 134, s. 149.

(*a*) Sect. 150.

(*b*) Sect. 151.

liquidated or ascertained, the court may direct such damages to be assessed by a jury, and the amount so assessed shall be proveable as a debt due at the time of the bankruptcy. But if all parties agree, the court itself may assess the damages (c). If the bankrupt shall be liable by reason of any contract or promise to pay premiums upon any policy of insurance, or any other sums of money, whether yearly or otherwise, or to repay to or indemnify any person against such payments, the person entitled to the benefit of such contract or promise may, if he think fit, apply to the court to set a value upon his interest under such contract or promise, and the court must then ascertain the value thereof, and admit such person to prove the amount so ascertained, and to receive dividends thereon (d). But the court may at any time expunge or reduce a proof of debt, on such application and such evidence as it shall think sufficient (e). If any creditor should hold security upon any part of the property of the bankrupt by way of mortgage or lien, such creditor will not be allowed to prove for the amount of his debt without giving up his security for the benefit of the other creditors (f). But if he be the sole incumbrancer on the property (g), he may obtain an order for its sale; and in case the money arising from the sale should be insufficient to pay him his due, he will be admitted a creditor under the bankruptcy for the deficiency, and receive dividends rateably with the rest of the creditors, but so as not to disturb any dividends already made (h). Any mortgagee with the leave of the court first ob-

Premiums on policies of insurance.

Court may expunge or reduce proof.

Creditor holding security.

(c) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 153.

(d) Sect. 154.

(e) Sect. 155.

(f) *Ex parte Downes*, 18 Ves. 290.

(g) *Ex parte Jackson*, 5 Ves. 357; *Ex parte Topham*, 1 Madd. 38.

(h) Rules 55—57 of Orders of 19th Oct., 1852, superseding

Lord Loughborough's Order of 8th March, 1794.

tained, may now bid at any sale of the mortgaged property (i).

**Creditors'  
assignees.**

At the first meeting of creditors or any adjournment thereof, the majority in value of the creditors who have proved debts may choose an assignee or assignees of the bankrupt's estate, to be called the creditors' assignee, subject to the power of the court to reject any person chosen who shall appear to such court to be unfit (k). Upon their appointment, all the estate both real and personal of the bankrupt is, as has been before mentioned (l), divested out of the official assignee and vested in them (m); and as often as any assignee dies or is lawfully removed, and a new assignee is duly appointed, all such real and personal estate as was then vested in the deceased or removed assignee vests by virtue of such appointment in the new assignee, either alone or jointly with the existing assignees, as the case may require (n). Formerly a deed of bargain and sale was executed by the major part of the commissioners acting in the bankruptcy to the assignees, whereby all the real and personal estate of the bankrupt, except copyholds, was conveyed and assigned to the assignees (o). But at length, in the year 1831, when the Court of Bankruptcy was established in London, it was discovered that the mere appointment of the assignees might operate as effectually as a deed of conveyance to vest the bankrupt's estate in them.

As the bankruptcy of a person consists in his committing an act of bankruptcy, and not in his being adjudged bankrupt, his assignees, when appointed, become

- |                                 |                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (i) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, | s. 117.                         |
| s. 132.                         | (n) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, |
| (k) Sect. 116.                  | ss. 141, 142.                   |
| (l) <i>Ante</i> , p. 135.       | (o) Stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, ss. |
| (m) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, | 63, 64.                         |

entitled to all the real and personal estate of which he was possessed at the hour when he committed the act (*p*), though the legal estate in the bankrupt's lands remains vested in him until conveyed to the assignees by their appointment (*q*). The title of the assignees, it is said, relates back to the act of bankruptcy. The consequences of this rule were formerly very serious, as many *bonâ fide* transactions were overturned in consequence of an act of bankruptcy having been committed by one of the parties without the knowledge of the other. But after several partial remedies (*r*), it is now enacted that all payments really and *bonâ fide* made by any bankrupt, or by any person on his behalf, before the filing of a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy, and all payments really and *bonâ fide* made to any bankrupt before the filing of such petition, and all conveyances by any bankrupt *bonâ fide* made and executed before the filing of such petition, and all contracts, dealings and transactions (*s*) by and with any bankrupt really and *bonâ fide* made and entered into before the filing of such petition, and all executions and attachments against the lands and tenements of any bankrupt *bonâ fide* executed by seizure, and all executions and attachments against the goods and chattels of any bankrupt *bonâ fide* executed and levied by seizure and sale before the filing of such petition, shall be deemed to be valid, notwithstanding any prior act of bankruptcy by such bankrupt committed; provided the person so dealing with or paying to or being paid by such bankrupt, or at whose suit or on whose account such execution or attachment shall have

The title of the assignees relates back to the act of bankruptcy.

New enactment.

(*p*) *Thomas v. Desanges*, 2 Bar. & Ald. 586; *Rouch v. Great Western Railway Company*, 1 Q. B. 51.

(*q*) *Doe d. Esdaile v. Mitchell*, 2 Mau. & Selw. 446.

(*r*) Stat. 46 Geo. III. c. 135, s. 1; 49 Geo. III. c. 121, s. 2; 56

Geo. III. c. 137, s. 1; 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, ss. 81, 82, 84; 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, s. 12; 2 & 3 Vict. c. 29.

(*s*) See *Graham v. Furber*, 14 C. B. 134; S. C. 18 Jur. 61; *Brewin v. Short*, Q. B., 1 Jur. N. S. 798; 5 E. & B. 227.

**Fraudulent preference.**

issued, had not at the time of such payment, conveyance, contract, dealing or transaction, or at the time of executing or levying such execution or attachment, or at the time of making any sale thereunder, notice of any prior act of bankruptcy by him committed (t). The effect of this enactment is to substitute the filing of the petition for adjudication for the act of bankruptcy, so far as respects all persons dealing and acting *bonâ fide* and without notice of the act of bankruptcy. But it is provided that this enactment shall not give validity to any payment, or to any delivery or transfer of any goods or chattels made by any bankrupt, being a fraudulent preference of any creditor of such bankrupt, or to any conveyance or equitable mortgage made or given by any bankrupt by way of fraudulent preference of any creditor, or to any execution founded on a judgment on a warrant of attorney, or cognovit actionem, or judge's order obtained by consent given by any bankrupt by way of fraudulent preference (u). A purchase, however, from any bankrupt, *bonâ fide* and for valuable consideration, even if made with notice of an act of bankruptcy, shall not be impeached by reason thereof, unless a petition for adjudication shall have been filed within twelve calendar months after such act of bankruptcy (x).

**Power to mortgage or pledge bankrupt's property.**

The estate and effects of the bankrupt, being thus vested in his assignees, are sold, got in and converted into money by them for the benefit of the creditors. But it is now provided that, if it shall appear at any meeting of the creditors summoned by the assignees by notice, stating the object of the meeting, and at which three-fourths in value of the creditors shall be present or represented, that the debts of any bankrupt can be discharged by means of money raised by way of mort-

(t) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,  
s. 133.

(u) Sect. 133.

(x) Sect. 134.

gage or pledge of any of his property, and such meeting shall pass a resolution accordingly, the assignees may, when thereunto authorized by order of the court, execute such mortgage or pledge with or without powers of sale, and other powers, and in such manner in all respects as shall be specified in such order (y). And it is further provided that, at any time after the expiration of twelve months from adjudication, or at any earlier period with the approbation of the court, the assignees may sell by auction or tender, or with the sanction of the court by private contract, all or any of the book-debts due or growing due to the bankrupt, and the books relating thereto, and the goodwill of his trade or business, and assign the same to the purchaser; and such purchaser shall by virtue of the assignment have power to sue in his own name for the debts assigned to him as effectually, and with the same privileges concerning proof of the requisites of bankruptcy and other matters as the assignee himself (z).

Power to sell debts, goodwill, &c.

At the expiration of four months from the date of the adjudication of bankruptcy, or as much earlier as the court shall appoint, a meeting of the creditors is held before the registrar, at which the creditors' assignee submits a statement as to the bankrupt's property, which statement it is the duty of the official assignee to examine, and a dividend is then resolved on; and at the same time the majority in value of the creditors present determine whether any and what allowance shall be made to the bankrupt out of his estate, if he has obtained or shall obtain his discharge (a). In the payment of dividends no preference is given on account of the nature of the debt, whether judgment

Dividend.

Allowance.

All debts paid rateably. --

(y) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, N. S. 566.  
s. 133.

(a) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,

(z) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 174.

137; *Shipley v. Marshall*, 14 C. B.,

debt, bond debt, specialty or simple contract. In this respect the Court of Chancery, to which the jurisdiction in bankruptcy anciently belonged, and which now exercises an appellate jurisdiction (*b*), followed its rule that equality is equity. And if any trader, in contemplation of bankruptcy (*c*), should voluntarily, and without pressure (*d*), pay or secure any one of his creditors, with a view of giving him a preference over the others, such payment or security will be void as against the assignees (*e*). The court, however, may enforce payment of the entire debt of a bankrupt crown debtor, notwithstanding the bankrupt laws (*f*). And a judgment debt, if entered up one year at least before the bankruptcy, is, by the statute for extending the remedies of creditors, a charge in equity on all the bankrupt's real estate (*g*). The landlord of a bankrupt may also, notwithstanding an act of bankruptcy, distrain for his rent, not exceeding one year's rent accrued prior to the day of the filing of the petition for adjudication (*h*). The wages or salary of a clerk or servant of the bankrupt, for any time not exceeding three calendar months and not exceeding 30*l.* (*i*), and also the wages of any labourer or workman, not exceeding 40*s.*, may be ordered by the court to be paid in full (*k*). In case the proceeds of the bankrupt's estate should be more than sufficient to pay 20*s.* in the pound, interest at four *per cent.*, or at such other rate as may have been reserved or may be payable by law, is given to the creditors from the date of the petition; and the surplus, after this, is paid over to the bankrupt (*l*).

Voluntary preference.

Crown debts.

Judgment.

Rent.

Wages.

Interest.

(*b*) Stats. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 12; 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 66.

(*c*) *Wheelwright v. Jackson*, 5 Taunt. 109.

(*d*) *Crosby v. Crouch*, 11 East, 256.

(*e*) *Rust v. Cooper*, Cowp. 629.

(*f*) *Anon.* 1 Atk. 262.

(*g*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 13; *Ex parte Boyle*, 3 De Gex, M. & G. 515; S. C. 17 Jur. 979.

(*h*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 129.

(*i*) Sect. 168.

(*k*) Sect. 169.

(*l*) Sect. 197.

In case at the first, or any other meeting of creditors, any proposal shall be made by or in behalf of the bankrupt which the major part in value of the creditors then present think fit to accept, or if such majority shall on any ground resolve that no further proceedings be taken in bankruptcy, the meeting shall be adjourned fourteen days, in order that notice of such resolution may be given to every creditor; and if at such adjourned meeting a majority in number representing three-fourths in value of the creditors present, shall so resolve, the proceedings in bankruptcy shall be suspended, and the bankrupt's estate shall be wound up and administered in such manner as such majority shall direct; and the bankrupt having made a full discovery of his estate shall be entitled to apply for an order of discharge (*m*). And at the first meeting or at any other meeting of creditors to be called for the purpose, and of which ten days' notice shall have been given in the London Gazette, three-fourths in number and value of the creditors present or represented at such meeting may resolve that the estate ought to be wound up under a deed of arrangement, composition, or otherwise (*n*). And if the court, on due hearing, shall find that such resolution was duly carried, and that its terms are reasonable and calculated to benefit the general body of the creditors under the estate, it shall confirm the same and make order accordingly, and in such order shall give such directions as to the interim management of the estate as it shall deem expedient (*o*). A deed of arrangement may then be signed by or on behalf of three-fourths in number and value of all the creditors of the bankrupt; and if the court shall be satisfied that the deed has been duly entered into and executed, and that its terms are reasonable and calculated to benefit

Power to  
suspend pro-  
ceedings.

Power to wind  
up estate by  
deed.

(*m*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134,  
s. 110.

(*n*) Sect. 185.

(*o*) Sect. 186.



the general body of the creditors, it may, by order, make a declaration of the complete execution of the deed, and may direct the same to be registered with the Chief Registrar, and may also, if it thinks fit, annul the bankruptcy; and such deed shall thereafter be as binding in all respects on any creditor who has not executed the deed, as if he had executed it; provided such deed be registered with the Chief Registrar in the manner directed by the order (p).

If the bankrupt had duly surrendered and conformed to the bankrupt law, he was formerly entitled to a certificate of conformity, by which he was discharged from all debts due by him when he became bankrupt, and from all claims and demands made proveable under the bankruptcy (q). Formerly the certificate was required to be signed by a given proportion of the creditors (r); but, by the Act of 1849, the court was constituted the sole judge of any objections which might be made by any creditors against allowing the certificate; and the court might either allow the same or refuse or suspend the allowance thereof, or annex such conditions thereto as the justice of the case might require (s). The certificates were by this act divided into three classes. If the bankruptcy had arisen from unavoidable losses and misfortunes, the bankrupt was entitled to a certificate of the first class. If the bankruptcy had not *wholly* arisen from unavoidable losses and misfortunes, he was entitled to a certificate of the second class. And if the bankruptcy had not arisen from unavoidable losses or misfortunes, he was only entitled to a certificate of the third class (t). But all classification of certificates is now abolished (u); and

The certificate.

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| (p) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 187. | 198.                               |
| (q) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106.         | (t) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,    |
| ss. 199, 200.                           | sched. Z.                          |
| (r) Stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 122.     | (u) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. |
| (s) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s.      | 157.                               |

the bankrupt, if he has properly conducted himself, is entitled to an order of discharge, which will discharge him from all debts, claims or demands, provable under his bankruptcy (*x*). All contracts or securities to induce any creditor to forbear opposition to the order of discharge, or to forbear to petition for a rehearing of or to appeal against the same, are void; but no such security, if a negotiable security, shall be void as against a *bonâ fide* holder thereof for value without notice of the consideration for which it was given (*y*). Order of discharge.

Until the bankrupt obtains his discharge all the real and personal property which may descend, revert, or be devised or bequeathed or come to him, becomes vested in his assignees (*z*). But an uncertificated bankrupt might maintain an action for his personal labour performed after the bankruptcy (*a*), and he might also sue in respect of contracts made with himself, and also in respect of any after-acquired property, if the assignees or creditors did not interfere (*b*). The court, however, is now empowered in certain cases of misconduct, either to refuse or suspend the order of discharge, or to grant the same subject to any conditions touching any salary, pay, emoluments, profits, wages, earnings or income, which may afterwards become due to the bankrupt, and touching his after-acquired property (*c*). Rights of uncertificated bankrupt.

All the proceedings in bankruptcy are entered of record in the Court of Bankruptcy (*d*); and every proceeding or order in bankruptcy appearing to be sealed Entry of proceedings on record.  
Evidence.

(*x*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 161. 391; *Drayton v. Dale*, 2 Barn. &

(*y*) Sect. 166. Cress. 293; *Crofton v. Poole*, 1

(*z*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, Barn. & Adol. 568.

ss. 141, 142. (*c*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s.

(*a*) *Silk v. Osborn*, 1 Esp. R. 159.

140. (*d*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106,

(*b*) *Webb v. Fox*, 7 T. Rep. s. 6.

with the seal of any court having jurisdiction in bankruptcy, or any writing purporting to be a copy of any such document, and purporting to be so sealed, is at all times, and on behalf of all persons, to be admitted into all courts whatever as evidence of such documents respectively, and of such proceedings and orders having respectively taken place or been made, and to be deemed respectively records of such court, without any further proof thereof(*e*). And all courts, judges, justices and other officers are bound to take judicial notice of the signature of any commissioner or registrar of the courts and of the seal of the courts, subscribed or attached to any judicial or official proceeding or document, to be made or signed under the provisions of the bankrupt acts(*f*).

(*e*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 203.      (*f*) Sect. 204.

## CHAPTER V.

## OF BANKRUPTCY OF NON-TRADERS.

BEFORE the Bankruptcy Act, 1861, a person not in trade could not be made a bankrupt. He might, however, have become insolvent. Insolvency, strictly speaking, means a general inability to meet pecuniary engagements (*a*). But the term was very commonly and conveniently applied to the means of getting rid of such engagements afforded 'by certain acts of parliament passed for the relief of insolvent debtors.

The principal act for the relief of insolvent debtors in England was the statute 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, the former sections of which are, however, occupied in abolishing arrest on mesne process in civil actions, and in extending the remedies of judgment creditors against the property of their debtors. So far as the act related to insolvent debtors, it was for the most part, a reprint, with some important additions, of a previous statute for the same purpose (*b*), by which the laws then existing on the subject were amended and consolidated. The relief afforded to the debtor was his discharge from prison; and the act accordingly only applied to persons in actual custody within the walls of a prison in England. Any such person in custody upon any process whatsoever, for or by reason of any debt, damages, costs, sum or sums of money, or in consequence of contempt of any

Stat. 1 & 2  
Vict. c. 110.

Discharge from  
prison.

(*a*) *Biddlecombe v. Bond*, 4 Adol. & Ell. 332.

tinued and amended by stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 38.

(*b*) Stat. 7 Geo. IV. c. 57, con-

court whatsoever for non-payment of money or costs, taxed or untaxed, might at any time within the space of fourteen days next after the commencement of his actual custody, or afterwards by permission of the court, apply by petition to the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors for his discharge from such custody, according to the provisions of the act (c). In the country the petition was referred for hearing to the County Court of the district within which the insolvent was in custody (d). The insolvent himself was formerly the only person who could put the machinery of the act in motion; but afterwards the creditor at whose suit the prisoner was committed to prison or charged in execution might, if not satisfied within twenty-one days next after such prisoner should have been so committed or charged in execution, himself petition the court for his share of the relief (e), which consisted in the real and personal estate and effects of the prisoner being vested in the provisional assignee of the court for the benefit of his creditors.

**Vesting order.**

On the filing of the petition either of the debtor or of the creditor, a vesting order, as it was termed, was made by the court. By this order all the real and personal estate and effects of the prisoner, both within this realm and abroad (except his wearing apparel, bedding and other such necessaries of himself and his family, and his working tools and implements, not exceeding in the whole the value of twenty pounds), and all the future estate to which he might become entitled until his final discharge, were vested in the provisional assignee for the time being of the estates and effects of insolvent

(c) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 35.

(d) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 102, s. 10.

(e) Sect. 36. In this case, how-

ever, the Insolvent Court had no adequate means of compelling the prisoner to file a schedule of his property; *Hollis v. Bryant*, 12 Sim. 492, 501.

debtors in England (*f*). The court might subsequently have appointed any proper person or persons to be assignees of such estate and effects, in whom the same accordingly vested on the acceptance of the appointment being signified by him or them to the court (*g*).

Assignees.

The estate and effects of the prisoner were then sold and converted into money by the assignees in the manner directed by the act (*h*). And the court had power to order that any property of the prisoner might be mortgaged, instead of being sold, if it should appear to the court that his debts could be discharged by such means (*i*). If the insolvent were a beneficed clergyman, the assignees might have obtained a sequestration of the profits of the benefice for the payment of his debts (*k*). And if the insolvent were or had been an officer under government, or in the service of the East India Company, a portion of his pay, half-pay, salary, emoluments or pension might, with the written consent of the chief officer of the department to which he belonged or had belonged, be ordered to be paid to the assignees (*l*). The produce of the insolvent's estate was then divided by the assignees rateably amongst the creditors (*m*). And if any prisoner should before or after his imprisonment, being in insolvent circumstances, have voluntarily conveyed, charged or made over any of his estate to or in trust for any creditor or creditors, every such transaction was declared to be fraudulent and void as against the assignees, if made within three months before the commencement of the party's imprisonment,

Beneficed clergyman.

Officer.

Voluntary preference.

(*f*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 37; *Ford v. Dabbs*, 5 Man. & Gr. 309.

(*g*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 45.

(*h*) Sect. 47. See *Wright v. Maunders*, 4 Beav. 512.

(*i*) Sect. 48.

(*k*) Sect. 55. See stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 67.

(*l*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 56.

(*m*) Sect. 62.

or with the view or intention on his part of petitioning the court for his discharge under the act (*n*).

**The schedule.**

Within fourteen days next after the making of the vesting order, or within such further time as the court thought reasonable, a schedule was required to be delivered into the court, signed by the prisoner, containing a full description of his name, trade or profession, place of abode, debts and property of every description (*o*). Immediately after the filing of this schedule, a time and place were appointed by the court for the prisoner to be brought up to be dealt with according to the act (*p*), of which due notice was given to the creditors (*q*). His schedule was then examined into on oath by the court; and any creditor might oppose his discharge, and for that purpose might put such questions to the prisoner and examine such witnesses as the court thought fit (*r*). After such examination the court was then empowered, upon the prisoner swearing to the truth of his schedule, and executing the warrant of attorney to be mentioned afterwards, to adjudge that such prisoner should be discharged from custody, and entitled to the benefit of the act as to the several debts and sums of money mentioned in the schedule, due or claimed to be due, at the time of making the vesting order, from the prisoner to the persons named in his schedule, or for which such persons should have given him credit before the time of making such vesting order, and which were not then payable, and as to the claims of all other persons, not known to the prisoner at the time of the adjudication, who might have been indorsees or holders of any negotiable security set forth in the schedule (*s*). The discharge might have

**Discharge  
from custody.**

(*n*) 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 59.

See *Harris v. Lloyd*, 6 Beav. 426;

*Jackson v. Thompson*, 2 Q. B. 887;

3 Man. & Gr. 621.

(*o*) Sect. 69.

(*p*) Sect. 70.

(*q*) Sect. 71.

(*r*) Sect. 72.

(*s*) Sect. 75. *Leonard v. Baker*,  
15 Mee. & Wels. 202.

been, in the discretion of the court, either immediate, or might have been postponed for six months (*t*), and in certain cases of flagrant misconduct it might have been postponed for any period not exceeding three years (*u*).

The insolvent being thus discharged was free from any future imprisonment, and his property was also free from execution, at the suit of his creditors, for the debts mentioned in the schedule (*x*). And the costs of actions and suits (*y*), and the claims of annuity creditors (*z*), might have been comprised in such discharge. The discharge, however, was not like that of bankruptcy, final and complete; for before any adjudication was made, the prisoner was required to execute a warrant of attorney, authorizing the entering up of a judgment against him in one of the superior courts at Westminster, in the name of the assignee or assignees, for the amount of the prisoner's unsatisfied debts as stated in the schedule. And if at any time it should have appeared to the satisfaction of the court that the prisoner was of ability to pay such debts, or any part thereof, or that he was dead leaving assets for that purpose, the court might have permitted execution to be taken out upon the judgment for such sum as it might have ordered, such sum to be distributed rateably among the creditors (*a*).

Effect of discharge.

Warrant of attorney executed by prisoner.

Under certain circumstances, an insolvent might, by other acts of parliament, have obtained as complete a discharge from his debts as if he had become bank-

Insolvency under stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 116.

(*t*) Sect. 76.

(*u*) Sects. 77, 78.

(*x*) Sects. 90, 91.

(*y*) Sect. 79.

(*z*) Sect. 80. See *Bennett v.*

*Burton*, 12 Ad. & Ell. 657.

(*a*) Sect. 87. See also sects. 88 and 89. See *Hawkes v. Halliwell*, 2 Sma. & Giff. 498.



rupt (b). The acts, however, only applied to such persons as had become indebted without any fraud, or gross or culpable negligence. Accordingly, no person was allowed to take the benefit of such acts if his debts had been contracted by any manner of fraud or breach of trust, or any prosecution whereby he had been convicted of any offence, or without having, at the time of becoming indebted, a reasonable or probable expectation of being able to pay the debts; or if such debts were contracted by reason of any judgment in any proceeding for breach of the revenue laws; or in any action for breach of promise of marriage, seduction, criminal conversation, libel, slander, assault, battery, malicious arrest, malicious suing out of a fiat in bankruptcy, or malicious trespass (c). With these exceptions, any person indebted, not being a trader within the bankrupt laws, or being such trader, but owing debts amounting in the whole to less than 300*l.*, might, whether he should have already been in prison or not (d), have applied for the protection of his person from process, on making a full disclosure and surrender of all his estate and effects for the payment of his debts. The application was made to the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors (e). But if the petitioner should not have resided for the last six calendar months within twenty miles of London, but should have resided for that time within the district of a County Court, application must then have been made to such County Court (f). The whole estate and effects of the insolvent were then vested in the provisional assignee of the Insolvent Court, or in the clerk of the County Court, as the case might be, for the benefit

(b) Stats. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 116; 7  
& 8 Vict. c. 96; 10 & 11 Vict. c.  
102.

(c) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 116, s.  
4; 7 & 8 Vict. c. 96, s. 24.

(d) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 96, s. 6;  
10 & 11 Vict. c. 102, s. 7.

(e) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 102,

ss. 6, 8.

(f) *Ibid.* s. 6.

of all the creditors rateably (*g*). But the wearing apparel, &c., of the petitioner and his family, not exceeding the value of 20*l.*, might have been excepted, as in the other Insolvent Act, provided such excepted articles, and the values thereof, were fully and truly described (*h*). With the exception of the warrant of attorney given by the prisoner under the other Insolvent Act, the provisions of these acts were generally similar to those of that act. The filing of every petition under these acts was required to be registered in the registry for judgments of the County Courts (*i*).

In the reign of Geo. III. an act was passed for the discharge of debtors in execution upon any judgment for any debt or damages not exceeding 20*l.*, exclusive of costs (*k*). But, as it is now provided that no person shall be taken or charged in execution upon any judgment in any action for the recovery of any debt, wherein the sum recovered shall not exceed 20*l.*, exclusive of costs (*l*), this act may now be considered as almost obsolete.

Stat. 48 Geo. 3,  
c. 123.

Since the Bankruptcy Act, 1861 (*m*), a complete change has been made in the law with respect to the insolvency of persons not in trade. That act repeals all the above-mentioned acts for the relief of insolvent debtors, and abolishes the court for their relief (*n*). All persons whether traders or not are now subject to the bankrupt law (*o*); but no person is to be adjudged a bankrupt, except in respect of some one of the acts of

The Bank-  
ruptcy Act,  
1861.

(*g*) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 116, s. 439.  
7; 10 & 11 Vict. c. 102, s. 5.

(*h*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 96, s. 9.

(*i*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 16, s.

2. See *ante*, p. 100.

(*k*) Stat. 48 Geo. III. c. 123.

See *Tolson v. Dykes*, 1 Phillips,

(*l*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 96, s.

57.

(*m*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134.

(*n*) Sects. 19—27.

(*o*) Sect. 69.

bankruptcy described in the act as applicable to a non-trader (*p*).

Acts of bankruptcy.

The following acts committed by non-traders are acts of bankruptcy. If any person, not being a trader, shall, with intent to defeat or delay his creditors, depart this realm, or being out of this realm shall with such intent remain abroad, or shall with such intent make any fraudulent conveyance, gift, delivery or transfer of his real or personal estate, or any part thereof respectively, such person shall be deemed to have thereby committed an act of bankruptcy: Provided always, that before any adjudication in bankruptcy shall be made against the debtor, under this section, the following rules shall be observed:—

1. A copy of the petition for adjudication shall be served personally on the debtor, either within the jurisdiction, or in such place or country, or within such limits abroad, as the court shall, upon application for that purpose, direct:
2. Such copy petition shall have endorsed thereon a memorandum, in a form settled by a general order, specifying the time within which the debtor is to appear on such petition; and such time shall, when the service is to be made abroad, be the time which the court shall think reasonable, having regard to the place or country where the service is to be made:
3. In no case shall the time for appearance be less than thirty days after service:
4. If such personal service has not been effected, the court must be satisfied that every reasonable effort was made to effect the same, and that the

attempts to serve such petition came to the knowledge of the debtor and were defeated by his conduct :

5. If at the expiration of the time limited for appearance the court shall, on the hearing of such petition, be satisfied that an act of bankruptcy has been committed within the meaning of this section, it may adjudge such debtor to be a bankrupt.

It is also an act of bankruptcy for a non-trader to lie in prison for debt for two calendar months, fourteen days only being sufficient for a trader (*q*). Escape out of custody for debt is also an act of bankruptcy (*r*). A declaration of insolvency is also an act of bankruptcy whether the insolvent be a trader or not (*s*). And so is his insolvency or bankruptcy in any of the colonies (*t*). A non-trader may also commit an act of bankruptcy by non-payment of a judgment debtor summons; but one calendar month must elapse from the signing of judgment, instead of a week only as in the case of a trader (*u*). And in the case of any order of equity, bankruptcy, insolvency or lunacy, for payment of money, two calendar months are allowed to a non-trader after service on him of the peremptory order, instead of seven days as in the case of a trader (*x*).

Lying in prison.

Escape.

Declaration of insolvency.

Insolvency in the colonies.  
Judgment debtor summons.

"The Bankruptcy Act, 1861," contains provisions for the discharge from prison of pauper and lunatic prisoners for debt. These provisions apply both to traders and non-traders (*y*). This act also contains provisions for the payment of a portion of the pay, half-pay, salary,

Pauper and lunatic prisoners.

Pay, half-pay, &c.

(*q*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 1; *ante*, p. 126.

(*r*) *Ante*, p. 126.

(*s*) Sect. 72.

(*t*) Sect. 75, *ante*, p. 128.

(*u*) Sect. 76; *ante*, p. 128.

(*x*) Sect. 77; *ante*, p. 129.

(*y*) Sects. 98—107.

Sequestration. emolument or pension of any bankrupt to his assignees if sanctioned by the chief officer of the department to which he may belong or may have belonged (z); also for the sequestration of the profits of the benefice of any bankrupt who is a beneficed clergyman (a).

After the adjudication of bankruptcy has taken place, the proceedings are now the same, whether the bankrupt may have been a trader or not.

(s) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 134.

(a) Sect. 135.

## CHAPTER VI.

## OF INSURANCE.

HAVING now considered, though very briefly, the subject of debts generally, there remain certain debts, payable on contingencies, which deserve a separate notice, namely, debts arising under contracts to insure, effected by policies of insurance. A policy of insurance, or assurance, is the name given to an instrument by which a contract to insure is entered into; and a contract to insure is a contract either to indemnify against a loss which may arise on the happening of some event, or to pay, on the happening of some event, a sum of money to the person insured. The most usual kinds of insurance are, insurance of *lives*, insurance against loss by *fire*, and insurance of *ships* and their cargoes against the perils of the seas.

Policy of insurance.

And first, as to life insurance. The advantages of life insurance are now so well known, that there is no occasion to dilate upon them. By payment of a small annual premium during the life insured, a sum of money may be secured at his decease, applicable to the payment of his debts, for a provision for his family, or any other purposes. But as the insurance of lives and other events, in which the person insured has no interest, is often nothing more than a mischievous kind of gaming, it is enacted, by an act of the 14th of George III., that no insurance shall be made on the life of any person, or on any other event whatsoever, wherein the person for whose use and benefit, or on whose account such policy shall be made, shall have no interest, or by way of gaming or wagering; and that

Life insurance.

Insurances on lives in which the insured has no interest void.

every such assurance shall be null or void, to all intents and purposes whatsoever (a); and that it shall not be lawful to make any policy on the life of any person, or other event, without inserting in the policy the person's name interested therein, or for whose use or benefit, or on whose account such policy is made (b); and that in all cases where the insured hath an interest in such life or event, no greater sum shall be recovered or received from the insurer than the amount or value of the interest of the insured in such life or other event (c). But this act does not extend to insurances *bonâ fide* made on ships, goods or merchandizes (d), with respect to which provisions have been made by another act of parliament (e). Every person is considered to have a sufficient interest in the duration of his own life to sustain his own insurance of it; but if he should afterwards put an end to his life, or die by the sentence of the law, the insurance will be void in the hands of his executors; and no provision to the contrary contained in the policy of insurance will be of any avail (f). The assignee of a person who has insured his own life is not required by the above-mentioned statute to have any interest in the life of such person, for the statute makes no mention of the assignment of policies (g). A creditor has an insurable interest in the life of his debtor to the extent of his debt; but if the debt should be discharged from any other source, it was formerly held that the policy would thenceforth be void for want of interest (h). This strict law was not however usually taken advantage of by

A person may insure his own life.

A creditor has an insurable interest in the life of his debtor.

(a) Stat. 14 Geo. III. c. 48, s. 1. *Shilling v. Accidental Death Insurance Company*, 2 H. & N. 42.

(b) Sect. 2. *Hodson v. Observer Life Assurance Society*, 8 E. & B. 40.

(c) Sect. 3.

(d) Sect. 4.

(e) Stat. 19 Geo. II. c. 37.

(f) *Amicable Assurance Society v. Bolland*, 4 Bligh, N.S. 194, reversing *Bolland v. Disney*, 8 Russ. 351; see *Clift v. Schwabe*, 3 C. B. 437.

(g) *Ashley v. Ashley*, 3 Sim. 149.

(h) *Godsall v. Boldero*, 9 East, 72; 8 C. 2 Smith's Leading Cases, 157.

the assurance offices, who generally paid the sums insured without any inquiry as to the extent of the interest of the party insured in the life on which the insurance had been effected (*i*). And by recent decisions (*k*), the doctrine that a contract for life assurance is a contract for indemnity only has been overruled; so that if the person insuring has an insurable interest at the time of effecting the policy, the subsequent loss of such interest will not render the policy void. An interest as Trustee. trustee is sufficient to support a life insurance (*l*). But Father and son. a father has not such an interest in the life of his son as to warrant an insurance of it for his own benefit (*m*). By recent statutes (*n*), policies of life insurance are subject to stamp duties according to the table in the note (*o*).

Insurance against fire is a contract to indemnify Fire insurance. against loss by fire, and is usually renewed from year to year on payment of a premium. The person who effects such an insurance must have an interest in the property insured, and he cannot recover beyond the extent of his

(*i*) *Lloyd & Goold*, Cas. Temp. Sugden, 291.

(*k*) *Dalby v. India & London Life Assurance Company*, 15 C. B. 365; S. C. 18 Jur. 1024; *Law v. London Indisputable Life Policy Company*, 1 Kay & John. 223.

(*l*) *Tidswell v. Angerstein*, Peake,

N. P. Cases, 151; *Collett v. Morrison*, 9 Hare, 162, 176.

(*m*) *Halford v. Kymer*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 724.

(*n*) Stats. 16 & 17 Vict. cc. 59, 63, ss. 10, 11; 23 & 24 Vict. c. 111, s. 10.

|  | s. | d. |
|--|----|----|
| ( <i>o</i> ) Where the sum insured does not exceed £25 . . .                                       | 0  | 3  |
| Exceeding £25, and not exceeding £500, then for every £50 and any fractional part of £50 . . .     | 0  | 6  |
| Exceeding £500 and not exceeding £1,000, then for every £100 and any fractional part of £100 . . . | 1  | 0  |
| Exceeding £1,000, then for every £1,000 and any fractional part of £1,000 . . .                    | 10 | 0  |

The stamp on policies for accidental death or personal injury is regulated by Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 111.



New enact-  
ment.  
Relief against  
forfeiture.

Lessor to have  
benefit of infor-  
mal insurance.

interest; neither can he assign his policy without the consent of the insurers (*p*). When the building insured is situate within the limits of the Metropolitan Building Acts, any person interested may procure the insurance money, in case of fire, to be laid out in repairs or rebuilding (*q*). A covenant to insure any building within such limits is therefore tantamount to a covenant to repair to the extent of such insurance, and if entered into by a lessee in his lease, will *run with the land* so as to be binding on the assignee of the lease (*r*). And it seems that, according to the true construction of the act of Geo. III. relating to this subject, the law is the same even if the building be situate beyond the above-mentioned limits (*s*). A recent enactment empowers a Court of Equity to relieve against a forfeiture for breach of a covenant or condition to insure against fire, when no loss or damage by fire has happened, and the breach has, in the opinion of the court, been committed through accident or mistake, or otherwise without fraud or gross negligence, and there is an insurance on foot at the time of the application to the court in conformity with the covenant to insure (*t*). But the same person is not to be relieved more than once, or where a forfeiture has been already waived out of court (*u*). It is also provided that the person entitled to the benefit of a covenant on the part of the lessee or mortgagee to insure against fire shall, on loss or damage by fire happening, have the same advantage from any then subsisting insurance of the premises, effected by the lessee or

(*p*) *Lynch v. Dalsell*, 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 431; *Saddlers' Company v. Badcock*, 4 Atk. 554.

(*q*) Stat. 14 Geo. III. c. 78, s. 83. This section is not repealed by stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 122, s. 109.

(*r*) *Vernon v. Smith*, 5 Barn. & Ald. 1; see Principles of the Law

of Real Property, 316, 2nd ed.; 326, 3rd ed.; 331, 4th ed.; 342, 5th ed.; 359, 6th ed.

(*s*) See 4 Jur. N. S. pt. 2, p. 132; *Simpson v. Scottish Union, &c.*, V. C. W., 11 W. R. 459.—

(*t*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 4; *Page v. Bennett*, 2 Giff. 117.

(*u*) Sect. 6.

mortgagee, or by any person claiming under him, but not effected in conformity with the covenant, as he would have from an insurance effected in conformity with the covenant (x). There is a further enactment, which will be very beneficial to the purchasers of leasehold property, namely, that where, on a *bonâ fide* purchase of such property, the purchaser is furnished with a receipt for the last payment of rent accrued due before the completion of the purchase, and an insurance is subsisting in conformity with the lessee's covenant to insure, the purchaser shall not be liable for any breach of such covenant, committed at any time before the completion of the purchase, of which he had not notice before such completion (y).

Protection of  
purchasers.

The insurance of ships and their cargoes from the perils of the seas is a matter belonging rather to mercantile law than to the department of conveyancing. In this kind of insurance, as well as in the others, an interest in the property insured must generally belong to the party effecting the insurance, if the ship be a British vessel, or the goods be laden on board any such vessel (z). Full information on this subject will be found in Park on Insurance, Arnould on Marine Insurance, Abbott on Shipping, and in the chapter on maritime insurance in the late J. W. Smith's admirable Compendium of Mercantile Law. Connected with

Insurance of  
ships.

maritime insurance are *bottomry* and *respondentia*. Bottomry is an agreement by which a vessel is hypothecated or pledged by the owner for the payment, in the event of her voyage terminating successfully, of money advanced to him for the use of the vessel, together with interest, which interest, in consideration of the risk incurred, is generally far beyond five per cent., formerly the legal rate. Respondentia is a somewhat similar

Bottomry.

Respondentia.

(x) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35,  
s. 7.

(y) Sect. 8.

(z) Stat. 19 Geo. II. c. 37, s. 1.

contract with respect to the cargo, except that the borrower only is responsible in the event of the safe termination of the voyage, the lender having no lien on the goods (a). These contracts appear to be of little importance since the repeal of the usury law.\*

(a) 2 Black. Com. 457.

## CHAPTER VII.

## OF ARBITRATION.

INSTEAD of the ultimate remedy of an action at law or suit in equity, recourse is sometimes had for the settlement of disputes to the more amicable expedient of arbitration. And in some transactions, especially in articles of co-partnership between traders, it is usual to stipulate that, if any dispute shall arise, it shall be referred to the determination of two indifferent persons as arbitrators, or of their umpire, who is usually and very properly required to be chosen by the arbitrators before they proceed to take the subject in question into consideration (a). And it is agreed that the award in writing of the arbitrators, or of their umpire in case of their disagreement, shall be binding and conclusive on all parties.

Agreements to refer to arbitration.

As the courts of law and equity have full jurisdiction on all questions arising out of agreements of any kind, it follows that they retain a jurisdiction over matters which the parties themselves have agreed should be referred to arbitration (b). Notwithstanding, therefore, an agreement to refer disputes to arbitration, either party may bring the matter into court (c). But the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now provides, that, whenever the parties to any deed or instrument in writing to be thereafter executed shall agree to refer their differences to arbitration, and one of such parties

Jurisdiction of the courts over matters agreed to be referred to arbitration.

New enactment.

(a) See *Bates v. Cooke*, 9 Barn. & Cress. 407, 408.

(b) *Wellington v. Mackintosh*, 2 Atk. 569.

(c) *Waters v. Taylor*, 15 Ves. 10, 18; *Mexborough v. Bower*, 7 Beav. 127, 132; *Horton v. Sayers*, 4 H. & N. 643.

shall nevertheless commence any action at law or suit in equity against the others in respect of the matters so agreed to be referred, the Court may stay the proceedings on such terms as it may think fit, on being satisfied that no sufficient reason exists why such matters cannot be or ought not to be referred to arbitration, and that the defendant was at the time of the bringing of such action or suit and still is ready and willing to concur in all acts necessary for causing such matters to be decided by arbitration (*d*). And a contract may be so worded as to amount to merely an agreement to pay so much as an arbitrator may award, in which case there can be no right to sue until the award has been made (*e*).

Reference by  
rule of court.

The reference of disputes to arbitration appears to have been early adopted by the courts of law, with the consent of the parties to an action, in cases where the matter in dispute could be more conveniently settled in this mode. A verdict was taken for the plaintiff by consent, subject to the award of an arbitrator agreed upon by the parties, and the reference was made a rule of court. This plan is still continually adopted. The arbitrators and the parties to the reference by this means become subject to the jurisdiction of the court, which has power to set aside any award which may appear to have been given unjustly or through mistake of the law; or if the award be valid, its performance may be enforced under the penalty of imprisonment for contempt of Court. And by the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, the court has power, upon the application of either party, to order any matter in dispute, which consists wholly or in part of matters of mere account, to be referred to arbitration, upon such terms as to costs and

(*d*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 11; *Hirsch v. Im Thurn*, 4 C. B., N. S., 569. See *Mason v. Haddan*, 6 C. B. N. S. 526.

(*e*) *Scott v. Avery*, 5 House of Lords Cases, 811. *Scott v. Corporation of Liverpool*, 3 De Gex & Jones, 334.

otherwise as the court may think reasonable (*f*). In order to extend the benefit of this mode of submission to arbitration to all cases of controversies between merchants and traders or others concerning matters of account or trade or other matters, an act of parliament was passed in the reign of William the Third, intituled "An Act for determining Differences by Arbitration" (*g*). This act empowers all merchants and traders and others desiring to end by arbitration any controversy, for which there is no other remedy but by personal action or suit in equity, to agree that their submission of their suit to the award or umpirage of any person or persons shall be made a rule of any of her majesty's courts of record which the parties shall choose. And it provides, that, in case of disobedience to the arbitration or umpirage to be made pursuant to such submission, the party neglecting or refusing to perform and execute the same, or any part thereof, shall be subject to all the penalties of contemning a rule of court when he is a suitor or defendant in such court. And the process to be issued accordingly shall not be stopped or delayed in its execution by any order, rule, command or process of any other court, either of law or equity, unless it shall be made to appear on oath to such court that the arbitrators or umpire misbehave themselves, and that such award, arbitration or umpirage was procured by corruption or other undue means. It is also further provided (*h*), that any arbitration or umpirage procured by corruption or undue means shall be judged void, and be set aside by any court of law or equity, so as complaint of such corruption or undue practice be made in the court where the rule is made for submission to such arbitration or umpirage before the last day of the next term after such arbitration or umpirage is made and

Act for determining differences by arbitration.

(*f*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, ss. 3, 6, 7.

(*g*) Stat. 9 & 10 Will. III. c. 15.

(*h*) Sect. 2.

Every submission to arbitration may now be made a rule of court.

published to the parties. The Court of Chancery is a court of record within the meaning of this act (i). And it is now provided, that every agreement or submission to arbitration by consent may be made a rule of any one of the superior courts of law or equity at Westminster, on the application of any party thereto, unless such agreement or submission contain words purporting that the parties intend that it should not be made a rule of court; but where it is provided that it shall be made a rule of one of such courts in particular, it may be made a rule of that court only (j).

Revocation of submission.

Previously to a recent statute either party might have revoked his submission, and thus determined the authority of the arbitrators; and this may still be done, if the submission relate to criminal matters, which are not within the statute (k). But it is now enacted (l), that the power and authority of any arbitrator or umpire, appointed by or in pursuance of any rule of court or judge's order or order of nisi prius in any action, or by or in pursuance of any submission to reference containing an agreement that such submission shall be made a rule of any of her majesty's courts of record, shall not be revocable by any party to such reference without the leave of the court by which such rule or order shall be made, or which shall be mentioned in such submission, or by leave of a judge (m). And the arbitrator or umpire is empowered and required to proceed with the reference notwithstanding any such revocation, and to make such award although the person making such revocation shall not afterwards attend the reference. The court, or any

(i) *Heming v. Swinnerton*, 2 Phil. S. C. 1 Nev. & P. 74.

79. (l) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42,

(j) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 89.

s. 17. (m) See *Scott v. Van Sandau*, 1

(k) 2 Wms. Saund. 133 c, n. (d); Q. B. 102.

*Rex v. Bardell*, 5 Ad. & Ell. 619;

judge, is also empowered under any such reference, by rule or order, to command the attendance and examination of witnesses, or the production of any document (*n*). And by the act to amend the law of evidence it is now provided, that every arbitrator or other person, having by law or by consent of parties authority to hear, receive and examine evidence, may administer an oath to all such witnesses as are legally called before them respectively (*o*).

The Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now provides, that if reference is authorized to be made to a single arbitrator, and all the parties do not after differences have arisen concur in the appointment of an arbitrator; or if any appointed arbitrator refuse or become incapable to act, or die, and the terms of the document authorizing the reference do not show that it was intended that such vacancy should *not* be supplied, and the parties do not concur in appointing a new one; then any party may serve the remaining parties with a written notice to appoint an arbitrator; and if within seven clear days after such notice shall have been served no arbitrator be appointed, it shall be lawful for any judge of any of the superior courts of law or equity at Westminster, upon summons to be taken out by the party having served such notice, to appoint an arbitrator, who shall have the same power to act in the reference and to make an award as if he had been appointed by consent of all parties (*p*).

On failure of parties judge may appoint an arbitrator.

The authority of arbitrators is liable to be determined not only by a revocation of the submission, but also by the death of either of the parties previously to the

Death of either party.

(*n*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 16.

s. 40.

(*p*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,

(*o*) Stat. 14 & 15 Vict. c. 99, s. 12.



making of the award (*q*). In order to obviate this inconvenience, it is now usual to insert in the order or rule of court, by which reference is made to arbitration, a provision that the death of either of the parties shall not operate as a revocation of the authority of the arbitrators, but that the award shall be delivered to the executors or administrators of the parties, or either of them, in case of their or his decease (*r*). And the same stipulation may be effectually made in a submission to arbitration by private agreement (*s*). The bankruptcy of either party is not a determination of a submission to arbitration (*t*).

Bankruptcy.

Death, &c., of one of two arbitrators.

When the reference is made to two arbitrators, one appointed by each party, it is now provided (*u*), that either party may, in case of the death, refusal to act or incapacity of any arbitrator appointed by him, substitute a new arbitrator, unless the document authorizing the reference show that it was intended that a vacancy should not be supplied. And if on such a reference one party fail to appoint an arbitrator, either originally or by way of substitution as aforesaid, for seven clear days after the other party shall have appointed an arbitrator, and shall have served the party so failing to appoint with notice in writing to make the appointment, the party who has appointed an arbitrator may appoint such arbitrator to act as sole arbitrator in the reference; and an award made by him shall be binding on both parties as if the appointment had been by consent; provided, however, that the court or a judge may revoke such appointment on such terms as shall seem just.

If one party fail to appoint, the other party may appoint his arbitrator to act solely.

(*q*) *Cooper v. Johnson*, 2 Barn. & Ald. 394; *Brooke v. Mitchell*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 473.

(*r*) *Tyler v. Jones*, 3 Barn. & Cress. 144; *Prior v. Hembrow*, 8 Mee. & Wels. 873; 2 Wms. Saund. 133 d, n. (*d*).

(*s*) *Macdougall v. Robertson*, 2 You. & Jerv. 11; S. C. 4 Bing. 435; 1 Moo. & P. 147.

(*t*) *Hensworth v. Bryan*, 1 C. B. 131.

(*u*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 13.

When no time is limited for the making of the award, it must be made within a reasonable time (*x*); but if a given time be limited, the award must be made within that time, unless the time for making it be enlarged (*y*). And if the award is required to be made and ready to be delivered to the parties by a certain day, it will be considered as ready to be delivered if it be made (*z*), unless the arbitrators should fail to deliver it to either of the parties on request made for that purpose on the last day (*a*). The submission to arbitration frequently contains a power for the arbitrators or umpire to enlarge the time for making the award; and in this case the time may be enlarged from time to time (*b*) by such arbitrators or umpire (*c*), provided the enlargement be made on or before the expiration of the time originally limited for making the award (*d*). And if the submission be made a rule of court, then, whether the arbitrators or umpire have power to enlarge the time or not (*e*), the court, or a judge thereof, has power to enlarge the time (*f*). And should no enlargement be formally made, yet the parties may, by continuing their attendance on the reference, or by recognizing the proceedings under it, virtually empower the arbitrators or umpire to make a valid award subsequently to the time originally limited (*g*). And the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now provides, that the arbitrator acting under any such document or compulsory order of reference, as mentioned in the act, shall make his award under his hand, and (un-

Time for making the award.

Enlargement of time.

(*x*) *Macdougall v. Robertson*, ubi supra.

(*y*) 1 Wms. Saund. 327 a, n. (3).

(*z*) *Bradsey v. Clyston*, Cro. Car. 541.

(*a*) *Brooke v. Mitchell*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 473.

(*b*) *Payne v. Deakle*, 1 Taunt. 509; *Barrett v. Parry*, 4 Taunt. 658.

(*c*) See *Dimadale v. Robertson*, 2 Jones & Lat. 58.

(*d*) See *Reid v. Fryatt*, 1 M. & Sel. 1; *Mason v. Wallis*, 10 B. & Cress. 107.

(*e*) *Parbery v. Newnham*, 7 Mee. & Wells. 378; *Leslie v. Richardson*, 6 C. B. 378.

(*f*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 39.

(*g*) *Rex v. Hill*, 7 Price, 636.

less such document or order respectively shall contain a different limit of time) within three months after he shall have been appointed and shall have entered on the reference, or shall have been called upon to act by a notice in writing from any party; but the parties may, by consent in writing, enlarge the term for making the award. And the superior Court of which such submission, document or order is or may be made a rule or order, or any judge thereof, may for good cause truly stated in the rule or order for enlargement from time to time enlarge the term for making the award; and if no period be stated for the enlargement in such consent or order for enlargement, it shall be deemed to be an enlargement for one month (*h*). The word "month" in an act of parliament now means a calendar month (*i*).

Attendance of  
the parties.

In proceeding in the business of the arbitration, the arbitrators are bound to require the attendance of the parties, for which purpose notice of the meetings of the arbitrators should be given to them (*j*). But if either party neglect to attend either in person or by attorney, after due notice, the arbitrators may proceed without him (*k*). In taking the evidence the arbitrators are at liberty to proceed in any way they please, if the parties have due notice of their proceedings, and do not object before the award is made (*l*). But each must use his own judgment (*m*); and in order to obviate any objection, they ought to proceed in the admission of evidence according to the ordinary rules of law (*n*). The award should be signed by the arbitrators in each other's presence (*o*), and when made it must be both certain

Mode of pro-  
ceeding.

(*h*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,  
s. 15.

(*i*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 21, s. 4.

(*j*) *Anon.* 1 Salk. 71.

(*k*) *Harcourt v. Ramsbottom*, 1  
Jac. & Walk. 512; *Scott v. Van*  
*Sandau*, 6 Q. B. 237.

(*l*) *Ridout v. Pye*, 1 Bos. & P. 91.

(*m*) *Whitmore v. Smith*, 5 H. &  
N. 824.

(*n*) *Attorney-General v. Davison*,  
M'Clel. & Y. 160.

(*o*) *Stalworth v. Inns*, 13 Mee.  
& Wels. 466; *Wade v. Dowling*,

and final. Thus if the award be that one party enter into a bond with the other for his quiet enjoyment of certain lands, this award is void for uncertainty; for it does not appear in what sum the bond should be (*p*). With regard to certainty, however, the rule of law is *id certum est quod certum reddi potest*, and therefore an award that one of the parties should pay the costs of an action is good without fixing the amount of the costs, for that may be ascertained by the taxing officer (*q*). On the question of finality many cases have arisen. If the arbitrators be *empowered* to decide all matters in difference between the parties, the award will not necessarily be wanting in finality for not deciding on all such matters, unless it appear to have been *required* that all such matters should be determined by the award (*r*). If the award reserve to the arbitrators (*s*), or give to any other person (*t*), or to one of the parties (*u*), any further authority or discretion in the matter, it will be bad for want of finality. And if the award be that any stranger to the reference should do an act, or that money should be paid to, or any other act done in favour of, a stranger, unless for the benefit of one of the parties (*x*), such award will be void (*y*). An award, however, may be partly good and partly bad, provided the bad part is independent of and can be separated from that which is good (*z*). But if, by reason of the invalidity of part of the award, one of the parties cannot have the advantage

Award must be certain and final.

Q. B. 18 Jur. 728; 2 E. & B. 44; *Eads v. Williams*, 4 De Gex, M. & G. 674, 688.

(*p*) *Samon's case*, 5 Rep. 77 b.

(*q*) *Cargay v. Aitcheson*, 2 B. & Cress. 170; S. C. 3 Dowl. & Ry. 433; 2 Wms. Saund. 293 b, n. (*a*).

(*r*) *Wrightson v. Bywater*, 3 Mee. & Wels. 199; 1 Wms. Saund. 32 a, n. (*a*).

(*s*) *Manser v. Heaver*, 3 Bar. & Adol. 295.

(*t*) *Tomlin v. Mayor of Fordwich*, 5 Ad. & Ell. 147.

(*u*) *Glover v. Barrie*, 1 Salk. 71.

(*x*) *Wood v. Adcock*, 7 Ex. Rep. 468.

(*y*) *Cooke v. Whorwood*, 2 Saund. 337; *Adam v. Statham*, 2 Lev. 235; *Fisher v. Pimbley*, 11 East, 188.

(*z*) *Fox v. Smith*, 2 Wils. 267; *Aitcheson v. Cargay*, 2 Bing. 199.

Arbitrator may state special case for the opinion of the court.

intended for him as a recompense for that which he is to do, according to that part of the award which would otherwise be valid, the whole will be void (a). If it should appear on the face of the award that the arbitrators, intending to decide a point of law, have fallen into an obvious mistake of the law, the award will be invalid (b). But where subjects involving questions both of law and fact are referred to arbitration, the arbitrators may make an award according to what they believe to be the justice of the case, irrespective of the law on any particular point (c). And it is now provided, that it shall be lawful for the arbitrator, upon any compulsory reference under the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, or upon any reference by consent of parties, where the submission is or may be made a rule or order of any of the superior courts of law or equity at Westminster, if he shall think fit, and if it is not provided to the contrary, to state his award as to the whole or any part thereof in the form of a special case for the opinion of the court; and when an action is referred, judgment, if so ordered, may be entered according to the opinion of the court (d).

Setting aside the award.

When the submission to arbitration is not made the rule of any other court (e), the Court of Chancery, according to the ordinary principles of equity, has power to set aside the award for corruption or other misconduct on the part of the arbitrators, or if they should be mistaken in a plain point of law or fact (f). If the submission be made a rule of court under the above-mentioned statute of Will. III. (g), the court of which it is

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (a) 2 Wms. Saund. 293 b, n.  | 189.  |
| (1).   | (d) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 5.   |
| (b) <i>Ridout v. Pain</i> , 3 Atk. 494; <i>Richardson v. Nourse</i> , 3 Barn. & Ald. 237.                                    | (e) <i>Nichols v. Roe</i> , 3 Myl. & Keen, 431.                               |
| (c) <i>Re Badger</i> , 2 Barn. & Ald. 691; <i>Young v. Walker</i> , 9 Ves. 364; <i>Hodgkinson v. Fernie</i> , 3 C. B., N. S. | (f) <i>Ridout v. Pain</i> , 3 Atk. 494.<br>(g) Stat. 9 & 10 Will. III. c. 15. |

made a rule has power to set aside the award, not only on the grounds of corruption or undue practice mentioned in the act, but also for mistakes in point of law (*h*); and no other court has a right to entertain any application for this purpose (*i*). The application to set aside the award must, however, be made within the time limited by the act (*h*). But although the time limited by that statute may have expired, yet, if there be any defect apparent on the face of the award, the court will not assist in carrying it into effect by granting an attachment for its nonperformance (*l*). If the submission to arbitration be made by rule or order of the court in any cause independently of the statute, the court still retains its ancient jurisdiction of setting aside the award on account either of the misconduct of the arbitrators, or of their mistake in point of law (*m*). In analogy, however, to the practice under the statute of Will. III., the court in ordinary cases requires application for setting aside the award to be made within the time limited by that statute (*n*); but upon sufficient grounds it will grant such an application, though made after the expiration of that time (*o*). All applications, however, to set aside any award made on a compulsory reference under the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, must be made within the first seven days of the term next following the publication of the award to the parties, whether made in vacation or term; and if no such application is made, or if no rule is granted thereon,

(*h*) *Zachary v. Shepherd*, 2 T. Rep. 781; *Lowndes v. Lowndes*, 1 East, 276, overruling *Anderson v. Cozeter*, 1 Str. 301; see 1 Wms. Saund. 327 d, n. (*g*).

(*i*) Stat. 3 & 10 Will. III. c. 15, s. 2; *Nichols v. Roe*, 3 Myl. & Keen, 431.

(*k*) *Lowndes v. Lowndes*, 1 East, 276; *ante*, p. 167.

(*l*) *Pedley v. Goddard*, 7 T. Rep. 73.

(*m*) *Lucas v. Wilson*, 2 Burr. 701.

(*n*) *Macarthur v. Campbell*, 5 Barn. & Adol. 518.

(*o*) *Rawsthorn v. Arnold*, 6 Barn. & Cress. 629; S. C. 9 Dow. & Ry. 556.

or if any rule granted thereon is afterwards discharged, the award is final (*p*). The court or a judge has also power to remit the matters referred to arbitration, or any of them, to the reconsideration of the arbitrator, upon such terms as to costs and otherwise as to such court or judge may seem proper (*q*).

Two arbitrators may appoint an umpire.

On failure of parties judge may appoint an umpire.

It is usual to provide for the appointment of an umpire in case the parties should disagree. But the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, now provides (*r*), that when the reference is to two arbitrators, and the terms of the document authorizing it do not show that it was intended that there should *not* be an umpire, or provide otherwise for the appointment of an umpire, the two arbitrators may appoint an umpire at any time within the period during which they have power to make an award, unless they be called upon to make the appointment sooner, by notice under the following provision. And if, where the parties or two arbitrators are at liberty to appoint an umpire or third arbitrator, such parties or arbitrators do not appoint an umpire or third arbitrator, or if any appointed umpire or third arbitrator refuse to act, or become incapable of acting, or die, and the terms of the document authorizing the reference do not show that it was intended that such a vacancy should *not* be supplied, and the parties or arbitrators respectively do not appoint a new one, then any party may serve the remaining parties or the arbitrators, as the case may be, with a written notice to appoint an umpire or third arbitrator; and, if within seven clear days after such notice shall have been served no umpire or third arbitrator be appointed, it shall be lawful for any judge of any of the superior courts of law or equity at Westminster, upon summons

(*p*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,  
s. 9.

(*r*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125,  
s. 14.

(*q*) *Ibid.* s. 8.

to be taken out by the party having served such notice, to appoint an umpire or third arbitrator, who shall have the same power to act in the reference and make an award as if he had been appointed by consent of all parties (s).

If an umpire be appointed, his authority to make an award commences from the time of the disagreement of the arbitrators (t), unless some other period be expressly fixed; and if, after the disagreement of the arbitrators, he make an award before the expiration of the time given to the arbitrators to make their award, such award will nevertheless be valid (u). And it is now provided that if the arbitrators shall have allowed their time, or their extended time, to expire without making an award, or shall have delivered to any party, or to the umpire, a notice in writing stating that they cannot agree, the umpire may enter on the reference in lieu of the arbitrators (x). The umpire must be chosen by the arbitrators in the exercise of their judgment and at the same time (y), and must not be determined by lot (z), unless all the parties to the reference consent to his appointment by such means (a). In order to enable him to form a proper decision, he ought to hear the whole evidence over again (b), unless the parties should be satisfied with his deciding on the statement of the arbitrators (c). And the whole matter

(s) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 12; see *Re Lord*, 1 K. & Johnson, 90; *Collins v. Collins*, 26 Beav. 306.

(t) *Smailes v. Wright*, 3 Mau. & Sel. 559; *Sprigens v. Nash*, 5 Mau. & Sel. 193.

(u) *Sprigens v. Nash*, ubi sup.

(x) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 15.

(y) *Re Lord*, Q. B. 1 Jur. N. S. 893; 5 E. & B. 404.

(z) *In Re Cassell*, 9 Barn. & Cress. 624; *Ford v. Jones*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 248. *European, &c., Shipping Company v. Crosskey*, 8 C. B., N. S., 397.

(a) *Re Jamieson*, 4 Adol. & Ell. 945.

(b) *Re Salkeld*, 12 Ad. & Ell. 767; *Re Hawley*, 2 De Gex & Smale, 33.

(c) *Hall v. Lawrence*, 4 T. Rep. 589.



in difference must be submitted to his decision, and not some particular points only on which the arbitrators may disagree (*d*).

**Award for payment of money creates a debt.**

An award for the payment of money creates a debt from one party to the other, for which an action may be brought in any court of law (*e*), and which will be sufficient to support a petition for adjudication of bankruptcy (*f*). But when the award is made a rule of court, its performance may, as we have seen (*g*), be enforced by attachment. And where the reference is made by order of the Court of Chancery (*h*), or where the award requires any act to be done which cannot be enforced by an action at law (*i*), equity will decree a specific performance. And it is now provided that, when any award directs possession of any lands or tenements to be delivered to any party, the court, of which the document authorizing the reference is or is made a rule or order, may order any party to the reference who shall be in possession of such lands or tenements, or any person in possession of the same, claiming under or put in possession by him since the making of the document authorizing the reference, to deliver possession of the same to the party entitled thereto pursuant to the award; and such rule or order to deliver possession shall have the effect of a judgment in ejectment against every such party or person named in it, and execution may issue, and possession shall be delivered by the sheriff as on a judgment in ejectment (*k*).

(*d*) *Tollit v. Saunders*, 9 Price, 612.

(*e*) 2 Wms. Saund. 62 a, n. (5).

(*f*) *Ex parte Lingard*, 1 Atk. 241.

(*g*) *Ante*, p. 166.

(*h*) *Marquis of Ormond v. Kyn-*

*nerley*, 2 Sim. & Stu. 15; *Wood v. Taunton*, 11 Beav. 449.

(*i*) *Hall v. Hardy*, 3 P. Wms. 190.

(*k*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 16.

The award of arbitrators or of an umpire, though indented and under hand and seal, is not a deed unless delivered as such (1). It is now subject to stamp duty according to the table in the note (m). Award under seal not a deed.  
Stamp.

(1) *Brown v. Vawser*, 4 East, 584.

|  | £ | s. | d. |
|--|---|----|----|
| (m) Where the amount or value of the matter in dispute shall not exceed 50 <i>l.</i> .. .. .         | 0 | 2  | 6  |
| And where it shall exceed 50 <i>l.</i> and not exceed 100 <i>l.</i> .. .. .                          | 0 | 5  | 0  |
| And where it shall exceed 100 <i>l.</i> and not exceed 200 <i>l.</i> .. .. .                         | 0 | 10 | 0  |
| And where it shall exceed 200 <i>l.</i> and not exceed 500 <i>l.</i> .. .. .                         | 0 | 15 | 0  |
| And where it shall exceed 500 <i>l.</i> and not exceed 750 <i>l.</i> .. .. .                         | 1 | 0  | 0  |
| And where it shall exceed 750 <i>l.</i> and not exceed 1,000 <i>l.</i> .. .. .                       | 1 | 5  | 0  |
| And where it shall exceed 1,000 <i>l.</i> and also in all other cases not above provided for .. .. . | 1 | 15 | 0  |

## PART III.

### OF INCORPOREAL PERSONAL PROPERTY.

#### CHAPTER I.

##### OF PERSONAL ANNUITIES, STOCKS AND SHARES.

IN addition to goods and chattels in possession, which have always been personal property, and to debts which have long since been considered so, there exist in modern times several species of incorporeal personal property, to which we now propose to direct our attention. These species of property are certainly not *choses in possession*, neither yet are they like debts strictly *choses in action*, though often classed as such. In analogy, therefore, to the well-known division of real estate into corporeal and incorporeal, we have ventured to place these kinds of property together into a class to be denominated *incorporeal personal property*. A debt no doubt is also incorporeal, but it is still well characterized by its ancient name of a *chose in action*.

Personal annuity.

The first kind of incorporeal personal property which we shall mention is a *personal annuity*. This kind of property is not indeed of so modern an origin as some of those which we shall hereafter mention. It consists of an annual payment, not charged on real estate; but it may nevertheless be limited to the heirs, or the heirs of the body of the grantee. In former times it was doubted whether an annuity was not a mere *chose in action*, and therefore incapable of assignment (a); but

(a) Co. Litt. 144 b. n. (1).

this objection has long been overruled. When limited to the heirs of the grantee it will, on his intestacy, descend, like real estate, to his heir; but it is still personal property (*b*), and will pass by his will under a bequest of all his personal estate (*c*). When given to the grantee and the heirs of his body, the grantee does not acquire an estate tail; for this kind of inheritance is not a *tenement* within the meaning of the statute *De Donis Conditionalibus* (*d*). The grantee has merely a fee simple *conditional* on his having issue, such as a grantee of lands would have had under a similar grant prior to the statute *De Donis* (*e*), or as a copyholder would now take in manors where there is no custom to entail (*f*). When the grantee has issue, he may therefore alien the annuity in fee simple by a mere assignment; but should he die without issue, the annuity will fail. A personal annuity given to a man *for ever* will devolve on the executor, and not on the heir of the grantee (*g*).

The next kind of incorporeal personal property to be considered is stock in the public funds, or bank annuities.. Previously to the Revolution in 1688 there was no funded debt properly so called; although King Charles I. and King Charles II. both found occasion to raise money by the grant of annuities in fee simple chargeable on particular branches of the revenue. These annuities, not being payable out of real estate, appear to have been the first instances of personal annuities limited to the grantees and their heirs, and they gave

Stock or bank annuities did not exist before the Revolution.

(*b*) *Earl of Stafford v. Buckley*, 3 Ves. sen. 171; *Radburn v. Jervis*, 3 Beav. 450, 461.

(*c*) *Aubin v. Duly*, 4 Barn. & Ald. 59.

(*d*) *Turner v. Turner*, 2 Amb. 776, 782; *Earl of Stafford v. Buckley*, ubi sup.

(*e*) See Principles of the Law of

Real Property, 30, 36, 2nd ed.; 32, 38, 3rd & 4th eds.; 35, 41, 5th & 6th eds.

(*f*) *Ibid.* 286, 2nd ed.; 295, 3rd ed.; 299, 4th ed.; 310, 5th ed.; 327, 6th ed.

(*g*) *Taylor v. Martindale*, 12 Sim. 158.

The funds are  
redeemable  
annuities.

occasion to those law suits by which the legal nature and incidents of personal annuities have been determined; although some mention of such annuities is certainly to be found in the old books (*h*). Soon after the Revolution, however, a portion of the public debt was funded, or transferred into perpetual annuities, payable, by way of interest, on the capital advanced, which capital was to be repaid by the government in the manner agreed on. And from that time to the present, the funded debt of the country has, by several acts of parliament, been greatly increased. Stock in the funds, therefore, is merely a right to receive certain annuities, by half-yearly dividends, as they become due (*i*), subject to the right of government to redeem such annuities on payment of a stipulated sum, which sum is the nominal value of the stock. Thus, 100*l.* £3 per cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities is a right to receive 3*l.* per annum for ever, subject to the right of government to redeem this annuity on payment of 100*l.* sterling. The actual value of 100*l.* £3 per Cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities (or *Consols* as they are shortly termed) of course depends on the state of the stock market, being generally lower, though it has lately been higher, than the nominal price, which is called *par*.

Consols formerly the investment of the Court of Chancery.

The public funds are composed of several separate stocks, of which, however, by far the largest and most important are the consols. In this fund alone the Court of Chancery formerly invested all the money committed to its care belonging to the suitors in that court; and, as it is a rule of equity, that whatever the Court would certainly order to be done may be done without applying to the court, every trustee and executor was justified

(*h*) Co. Litt. 144 b; Fitz. N. B. 174, 177; *Rawlings v. Jennings*, 13 Ves. 38, 45.

(*i*) *Wildman v. Wildman*, 7 Ves.

in investing in consols any money which he might have held in trust, without any express direction for that purpose (*k*). But should he have invested trust money upon any other security, without express authority so to do, he would have been answerable to his cestui que trust for the amount of the money so invested, should the security have failed; and it seems also, that the cestui que trust had an option either to claim the money, or to have so much stock as the money improperly invested would have purchased at the time when the improper investment was made (*l*). But when the trustee was authorized by the terms of his trust to invest either in the funds or on real securities, it was decided, after much conflict of opinion, that the cestui que trust had no option to charge the defaulting trustee with any larger sum than the amount of the money lost, with interest at four per cent. For had the trustee chosen, as he might, to invest on real security, the cestui que trust would have gained nothing by the subsequent rise in the funds (*m*). Recent enactments have however now largely extended the investments in which trust funds may be placed (*n*).

Liability of trustee not investing in consols.

The legal nature and incidents of stock in the public funds have been fixed by the various acts of parliament by which these funds have been created. These statutes are far too numerous to be here mentioned; but their provisions are generally similar. By one of the earliest of these statutes (*o*), it is provided, that all persons who

Stock is personal estate.

(*k*) *Howe v. Lord Dartmouth*, 7 Ves. 130; *Holland v. Hughes*, 16 Ves. 114; *Tebbs v. Carpenter*, 1 Mad. 306; *Norbury v. Norbury*, 4 Mad. 191.

(*l*) *Forrest v. Elwes*, 4 Ves. 497; *Pride v. Fooks*, 2 Beav. 430; *Robinson v. Robinson*, Lords Justices, 1 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 247.

(*m*) *Robinson v. Robinson*, ubi sup. overruling *Watts v. Girdlestone*, 6 Beav. 188, *Ames v. Parkinson*, 7 Beav. 379, and *Ouseley v. Anstruther*, 10 Beav. 456.

(*n*) See *post*, the chapter on "SETTLEMENTS."

(*o*) Stat. 1 Geo. I. st. 2, c. 19, s. 9.

shall be entitled to any of the annuities thereby created, and all persons lawfully claiming under them, shall be possessed thereof *as of a personal estate, and the same shall not be descendible to the heir*. And the same rule holds with respect to all the public funds which now exist.

**Transfer of  
stock.**

The transfer of stock in the public funds is effected only by the signature of the books at the Bank of England in the manner prescribed by act of parliament; and this transfer may be effected either in person or by attorney duly appointed for the purpose by writing, under hand and seal, attested by two or more credible witnesses (*p*). The legal title to stock belongs to the person in whose name it is standing in the bank books; and the bank refuses to recognize trusts, or to keep more than one account for the same person; neither will it allow of the transfer of any stock into the names of more than four persons. Formerly the right to stock always carried the right to the current half-year's dividend, and the transfer books were closed for some days prior to the days of payment of the dividends. But a day for closing the books is now fixed in the month preceding that in which the dividends are payable; and, the person whose name then appears inscribed in the books as proprietor is, as between him and the transferee, entitled to the current half-year's dividend; and after that day the person to whom any transfer is made is not entitled to the current dividend (*q*). When stock is standing in the name of a trustee, the beneficial owner may transfer his equitable interest in any manner he pleases. As the claim of the beneficial owner is equitable only, there will be no occasion to give to the transferee a power of attorney to sue in the name of the transferor (*r*); and

**Dividends.**

**Stock in the  
name of a trustee.**

(*p*) Stat. 1 Geo. I. st. 2, c. 19,  
s. 11, and subsequent acts.

(*q*) Stat. 24 Vict. c. 3, s. 7.

(*r*) See *ante*, p. 6.

the transferee, on giving notice of the transfer to the trustee, will be entitled to a legal transfer of the stock into his own name in the books at the Bank. A recent act of parliament contains provisions for the conversion of stock transferable only at the Bank, into stock certificates payable to bearer, and transferable accordingly from hand to hand (s).

Stock certificates.

As the constant fluctuations of the value of the funds were long since found to present a great temptation to gambling on the chance of their rise or fall, an act was passed in the reign of Geo. II. (t) for the purpose of suppressing such transactions. This act was introduced into parliament by Sir John Barnard, whose name it bears, and it was intitled "An Act to prevent the infamous Practice of Stockjobbing." It contained several provisions directed against the practice of fictitious sales of stock for a future time, where the seller had not the stock he sold, neither intended to procure it, and the buyer had no intention to purchase the amount he contracted for; but the only object of the parties was that, should the stock rise, the vendor should pay the buyer the difference occasioned by the increase in price, and, should it fall, the buyer should pay the vendor the difference occasioned by the decrease (u). But this act, having been found to interfere with legitimate transactions, has lately been repealed (x).

Sir John Barnard's Act.

(s) Stat. 26 Vict. c. 28

(t) Stat. 7 Geo. II. c. 8.

(u) See *Child v. Morley*, 8 T. Rep. 610, *Hickscher v. Gregory*, 4 East, 607, 614. The buyer who is interested in the rise of the funds is called in the language of the Stock Exchange, a *bull*, the seller is a *bear*, but either party, if unable to pay his differences, becomes a *lame duck*. A stockjobber, pro-

perly so called, is a person who supplies the public, through the medium of the brokers, with money or stock to the exact amount they may require, making a profit only of 1 8th per cent. on each transaction, a course of business altogether different from the "infamous" practices usually called stockjobbing by the public.

(x) Stat. 23 Vict. c. 28.



Contract for sale of stock not within the Statute of Frauds.

Stamp duty on contract notes.

Infants.

Lunatics and idiots.

It seems that stock is not *goods, wares or merchandize* within the 17th section of the Statute of Frauds (*y*), so that it does not require a written memorandum for a contract for its sale, if the value exceeds ten pounds and the buyer does not accept and receive any part, nor give something in earnest to bind the bargain or in part payment (*z*). Contract notes for the sale or purchase of Government or other public stocks or shares, to the amount or value of five pounds or upwards, are now liable to a stamp duty of one penny (*a*).

By a modern act of parliament, the Court of Chancery is empowered to order the dividends of stock belonging to infants to be applied for their maintenance (*b*). By another act the Lord Chancellor is empowered to appoint a person to transfer stock and receive and pay over dividends standing in the name of or vested in any lunatic, idiot or person of unsound mind beneficially entitled thereto, or standing in the name of or vested in the committee of a lunatic who may have died intestate, or himself become lunatic, or may be out of the jurisdiction of or not amenable to the process of the Court of Chancery, or if it be uncertain whether such committee be living or dead, or if he should neglect or refuse to transfer such stock and to receive and pay over the dividends thereof (*c*). And the Lord Chancellor is also empowered to appoint a person to transfer stock standing in the name of or vested in any lunatic residing out of England; and also to receive and pay over the dividends thereof to the curator of such lunatic or otherwise as the Lord Chancellor shall think fit (*d*). By

(*y*) Stat 29 Car. 11. c. 3. See *v. Barber*, 16 M. & W. 66.  
ante, p. 38. (a) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 111.

(*z*) See *Nunes v. Scipio*, 1 Com. 356; *Pickering v. Appleby*, 1 Com. 354; 2 P. Wms. 308; *Paule v. Gunn*, 4 Bing N. C., 445; *Humble v. Mitchell*, 11 A. & E. 205; *Knight* (b) Stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 65, s. 32.

(c) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 70, s. 140.

(d) Sect. 141.

another recent act it is provided, that, when stock shall be standing in the name of any infant or person of unsound mind jointly with any person not under any legal disability, such person may alone give a power of attorney to receive the dividends(*e*). And generally the land or stock of any lunatic, in possession, reversion or expectancy, may be sold or mortgaged for the payment of his debts, or for his maintenance and otherwise for his benefit(*f*).

When any person has an interest in stock standing in the name of another he is enabled to restrain the transfer of such stock, or, as it is said, to *put a stop upon it*, by means of a writ of *distringas*, to be served upon the Bank of England. This writ appears to be in strictness a proceeding in a suit supposed to have been commenced by the party obtaining it against the Bank and the legal owner of the stock; but in practice a suit is not commenced, unless the right to stop the stock be disputed(*g*). This writ formerly issued only out of the equity side of the Court of Exchequer; but when the equitable jurisdiction of that court was transferred to the Court of Chancery, it was provided that a writ of *distringas*, in a prescribed form, should issue out of the latter court, the force and effect of which, and the practice relating to the same, should be such as was previously in force in the Court of Exchequer(*h*). The writ commands the sheriff to *distrain* the Bank by their lands and chattels, so that they appear in court to answer a bill of complaint lately exhibited against them and other defendants by the person obtaining the writ. The object of the writ is stated in a notice, which is served along with it, to be for the purpose of restraining any transfer of the stock

(*e*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 97, s. 3.

(*f*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 70,

s. 116; 25 & 26 Vict. c. 86, ss.

12—14.

(*g*) See Wilkinson on the Funds, 235—252.

(*h*) Stat. 5 Vict. c. 5, s. 5.

in question until the order of the court be obtained. An appearance is accordingly entered by the Bank, and the transfer of the stock is thus restrained. When the *distingas* is required to be removed, an order of the court may be readily obtained for the dismissal of the supposed suit. It is surprising that a course by which a cestui que trust of stock may be so effectually protected from any fraudulent transfer by his trustee should not be more frequently adopted.

Stock may be charged with judgment debts.

Stock, being a kind of *chose in action*, could not formerly have been sold under a *fieri facias* issued in execution of a judgment against the owner (*i*). And, in fact, in the acts by which stocks were created, it was declared that they should not be taken in execution (*k*). But by the act for extending the remedies of creditors against the property of debtors (*l*), it is provided that any judge of one of the superior courts of common law (*m*), on the application of any judgment creditor, may order that any government stock of the debtor standing in his own name, or in the name of any person in trust for him, shall stand charged with the payment of the judgment debt and interest; and such order shall entitle the judgment creditor to all such remedies as he would have been entitled to if such charge had been made in his favour by the debtor; but no proceedings are to be taken to have the benefit of such charge until after the expiration of six calendar months from the date of such order (*n*). And by a subsequent act of parliament (*o*), this provision is declared to extend to

(i) *Dundas v. Dutens*, 1 Ves. jun. 198.

(k) *Bank of England v. Lunn*, 15 Ves. 577.

(l) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14.

(m) *Miles v. Presland*, 4 Myl. & Cr. 431.

(n) See *Watts v. Jefferys*, 3 Mac.

& Gord. 372; *Watts v. Porter*, Q. B. 1 Jur. N. S. 133; 3 E. & B. 743: *contra*, *Beavan v. Earl of Oxford*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 524, 525, 532; *Scott v. Lord Hastings*, 4 Kay & J. 633, 638.

(o) Stat. 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 1. See *Hulkes v. Day*, 10 Sim. 41.

the interest of any judgment debtor, whether in possession, remainder or reversion, and whether vested or contingent, as well in such stock as in the dividends or annual produce thereof, and also to stock in which the debtor may be interested standing in the name of the accountant general of the Court of Chancery (*p*). And in order to prevent any judgment debtor from disposing of the stock authorized to be charged, an order may be procured by the creditor, in the first instance *ex parte*, restraining the Bank of England from permitting a transfer of the stock until the order shall either be made absolute (that is confirmed and continued) or discharged; and no disposition of the judgment debtor in the meantime is to be valid or effectual as against the creditor. And the order will be made absolute if the debtor do not, within a time mentioned in the order, show cause to the contrary (*q*). When the debtor is entitled to the dividends of stock standing in the names of trustees, the order obtained by the creditor charging such dividends will be binding on the trustees; but the Bank must still pay the dividends to the trustees as legal owners (*r*).

The history of the law respecting the transmission of stock by will affords a curious instance of the enactments of the legislature having been virtually overruled by the decisions of the Court of Chancery. The acts by which the funds were created provided, that any person possessed of stock might devise the same by will in writing *attested by two or more credible witnesses*; but that such devisee should receive no payment till so much of the will as related to the stock had been entered in the office at the Bank; and in default of such

Transmission  
of stock by  
will.

(*p*) See *Warburton v. Hill*, 1 Kay, 470.

(*q*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 15.

(*r*) *Churchill v. Bank of England*, 11 Me. & Wels. 323; *Bristrad v. Wilkins*, 3 Hare, 235, and see *Taylor v. Turnbull*, 4 H. & N. 495.

devise the stock should go to the executors or administrators (*s*). The Court of Chancery however held, that as stock had been declared by parliament to be personal estate, it must, like all other personal estate, devolve, in the first instance, on the executor for payment of debts, even though it should have been specially bequeathed (*t*); and that the executor, having it in his hands by virtue of his office of executor, was bound after payment of debts to dispose of it according to the will of his testator, even although such will were unattested (*u*). For, previously to the act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills (*x*), a will of personal estate required no attestation. In effect, therefore, a person was enabled to bequeath his stock by a will unattested. All wills, however, are now required to be attested by two witnesses. And by a recent act of parliament the provisions of the old acts, which had virtually been disregarded, have been formally repealed; and it is declared that the stock of a deceased person may be transferred by his executors or administrators; notwithstanding any specific bequest or disposition thereof contained in the will; but the Bank are not to be required to allow of such transfer, or of the receipt of any dividend on the stock, until the probate of the will or the letters of administration shall have been first left at the Bank for registration. And the Bank may require all the executors who shall have proved the will to concur in the transfer (*y*). And the registry of specific bequests of stock is no longer required, but merely the registry of the names of the deceased party, and of his executors and administrators (*z*).

(*s*) Stat. 1 Geo. I. stat. 2, c. 19, s. 12, and subsequent acts. 440; *Franklin v. Bank of England*, 575, 589.

(*t*) *Bank of England v. Moffatt*, 3 Bro. C. C. 260; *Bank of England v. Parsons*, 5 Ves. 665; *Bank of England v. Lunn*, 15 Ves. 569. (*x*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26.

(*y*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 97, s. 1. (*z*) Sect. 2.

(*u*) *Ripley v. Waterworth*, 7 Ves.

The next kind of incorporeal personal property which we shall mention are shares in joint stock companies. Joint stock companies were formerly of two kinds, those which were incorporate, or made into *corporations*, and those which were not so.

Corporations are legal personages, always known by the same name, and preserving their identity through a perpetual succession of natural persons. They are either corporations *sole*, composed only of one person, such as a bishop, a parson, or the chamberlain of London; or corporations *aggregate*, composed of many persons acting on all solemn occasions by the medium of their *common seal* (*a*); and it is of such corporations that we are now about to speak. Such corporations may be created either by charter conferred by the queen's letters-patent, or by act of parliament. And, till a few years ago, all joint stock companies which had not obtained this expensive sanction were in fact private partnerships on an extended scale. In the present reign however, as we shall hereafter see, provision has been made for the incorporation of all public joint stock companies (*b*); but such companies as are incorporated by letters-patent or special act of parliament still enjoy peculiar privileges. These companies therefore first require notice.

Shares.

Corporations sole and aggregate.

The nature and incidents of shares in the joint stock of companies incorporated by letters-patent or act of parliament have generally been determined by their respective charters or acts of incorporation. And in the great majority of cases, and in all the modern charters

Companies incorporated by charter or act.

(a) See Bac. Abr., tit. Corporations; 1 Black. Com. ch. 18.

(b) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110; partly repealed by stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 14, s. 23; 7 & 8 Vict. c.

113, partly repealed by stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 49; all now repealed by the Companies' Act, 1862, stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

The Clauses  
Consolidation  
Acts.

and acts of incorporation, the shares are declared to be personal estate, and transmissible as such. In a few of the older companies, of which the New River Company is an instance (c), the shares are real estate in the nature of incorporeal hereditaments. For the future, however, all the provisions contained in special acts for the incorporation of joint-stock companies will, as far as possible, be the same. For an act of parliament has been passed "for consolidating in one act certain provisions usually inserted in acts with respect to the constitution of companies incorporated for carrying on undertakings of a public nature" (d). Other acts have also been passed for consolidating certain provisions usually inserted in acts authorizing the taking of lands for undertakings of a public nature (e); in acts authorizing the making of railways (f); in acts for constructing or regulating markets and fairs (g); in acts authorizing the making of gasworks for supplying towns with gas (h); or of waterworks for supplying towns with water (i); in acts for the making and improving of harbours, docks and piers (h); in acts for paving, draining, cleansing, lighting and improving towns (l); and in acts authorizing the making of cemeteries (m). In each of these acts enactments are made with respect to various matters usually contained in acts of incorporation for the above purposes; and it is provided that the clauses and provisions of these general acts, save so far as they shall be expressly varied or excepted by any special act, shall apply to every undertaking which shall thereafter

(c) *Drybutter v. Bartholomew*, 2 P. Wms. 127. tended by stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 92.  
(g) 10 & 11 Vict. c. 14.

(d) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16; extended by stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 118. (h) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 15.  
(i) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 17; extended by stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 93.

(e) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 18; extended by stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 106. (k) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 27.  
(l) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 34.

(f) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 20; extended by (m) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 65.

be authorized by act of parliament for any of the purposes above referred to. A uniformity is thus given to the constitution of such companies, and the length of the acts of parliament required to establish them has been greatly diminished. A short title, for the convenience of reference, is given to each act. The act first mentioned is called "The Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845" (*n*), and all the others have similar titles.

The Companies Clauses Consolidation Act contains provisions with respect to the distribution of the capital of the company into shares, which are to be personal estate, and transmissible as such (*o*); with respect to the transfer of shares, which must be by deed duly stamped, in which the consideration shall be truly stated (*p*), and which cannot take place until the transferor shall have paid all calls for the time being due on every share held by him (*q*); with respect to the transmission of shares by will, intestacy, marriage of a female, &c. (*r*); with respect to the payment of calls (*s*), which may be made payable by instalments (*t*), and the forfeiture of shares for nonpayment of calls (*u*); with respect to the remedies of creditors of the company against the shareholders (*x*), which are confined to the extent of their shares in the capital of the company not then paid up, and may be exercised only in case there cannot be found sufficient property or effects of the company whereon to levy execution (*y*); with respect to the

Companies  
Clauses Con-  
solidation Act,  
1845.

(*n*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16, s. 4.

(*o*) Sect. 7.

(*p*) Sect. 14.

(*q*) Sect. 16; *Hall v. Norfolk Estuary Company*, Q. B. 16 Jur. 149; *Regina v. Londonderry and Coleraine Railway Company*, 13 Q. B. 998.

(*r*) Sects. 18, 19.

W.P.P.

(*s*) Sects. 21—28. See *Wolverhampton New Waterworks Company v. Hawkesford*, 6 C. B., N. S. 336.

(*t*) *Ambergate, &c. Railway Company v. Norcliffe*, 6 Ex. Rep. 629.

(*u*) Sects. 29—35.

(*x*) Sect. 36.

(*y*) *D'Vereux v. Kilkenny, &c. Railway Company*, 5 Ex. Rep. 834;

O



borrowing of money by the company (*z*), the conversion of the borrowed money into capital (*a*), the consolidation of the shares into stock (*b*), general meetings (*c*), the appointment and rotation of directors (*d*), the powers (*e*), proceedings and liabilities of the directors (*f*), the appointment and duties of auditors (*g*), the accountability of the officers of the company (*h*), the keeping of accounts (*i*), the making of dividends (*k*), and of bye laws (*l*), the settlement of disputes by arbitration (*m*), the giving of notices (*n*), the recovery of damages and penalties (*o*), and appeals with respect to such damages or penalties to the quarter sessions (*p*); and lastly, with respect to affording access to the special act by all parties interested (*q*). The provisions of the other acts are not of a nature to require enumeration. By a recent act of parliament provision has been made for the exoneration from stamp duty of transfers of bonds and mortgages given by public companies for money which by their acts of parliament they may be authorized to borrow, on the original bond or mortgage being stamped in the first instance with three times the amount of the *ad valorem* duty over and above such duty (*r*). ..

Inconvenience  
of unincorporated  
joint stock com-  
panies.

Joint stock companies which had not obtained letters-patent or special acts of incorporation were formerly subjected to very great inconvenience whenever they had occasion to take legal proceedings against any per-

*Hitchins v. Kilkenny, &c. Railway Company*, 10 C. B. 160; *Nixon v. Brownlow*, 3 H. & N. 686.

(*z*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16, s. 38—55.

(*a*) Sects. 56—60.

(*b*) Sects. 61—64.

(*c*) Sects. 66—80.

(*d*) Sects. 81—89.

(*e*) Sects. 90, 91.

(*f*) Sects. 92—100.

(*g*) Sects. 101—108.

(*h*) Sects. 109—114.

(*i*) Sects. 115—119.

(*k*) Sects. 120—123.

(*l*) Sects. 124—127.

(*m*) Sects. 128—134.

(*n*) Sects. 135—139.

(*o*) Sects. 142—153.

(*p*) Sects. 159, 160.

(*q*) Sects. 161, 162.

(*r*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 59, s. 14.

son who happened to be a shareholder. And every shareholder in such companies was subject to the like inconvenience whenever he had occasion to proceed against the company. For such a company, however extensive, was in law merely a partnership; and a partner who owes money to the partnership of which he is a member, evidently owes a portion of it to himself, according to his interest in the joint stock; and in like manner a partner who is a creditor claims part of his demand against himself. In each case, therefore, an account must be settled before the exact debt or credit of the partner can be ascertained (s). In order to obviate the difficulties which thus arose, many joint stock companies obtained special acts of parliament, enabling them to sue and be sued in the name of some officer. And an act of parliament (t) was passed empowering the crown to grant, by letters-patent, charters to companies for any trading or other purposes whatsoever, which, without incorporating such companies, would empower them to sue and be sued in the name of some officer appointed and registered for the purpose. This act is still in force, and it contains a valuable provision, empowering the crown to limit, by the letters-patent, the liability of the individual members of the company for its engagements to a given extent per share (u). Banking companies, whose shareholders are generally their customers, were peculiarly subject to the inconvenience above referred to in suing and being sued. Accordingly, by modern statutes (x), all such banking companies as consisted of more than six members were allowed to appoint some public officer who must sue and be sued

Letters-patent.

Banking companies.

(s) See *Richardson v. Bank of England*, 4 My. & Cr. 165.

(t) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 73, repealing a former statute for a similar purpose, 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 94.

(u) Sect. 4.

(x) Stat. 7 Geo. IV. c. 46, s. 9 *et seq.*; 1 & 2 Vict. c. 96; extended, 3 & 4 Vict. c. 111; made perpetual, 5 & 6 Vict. c. 85.

on behalf of the company (*y*). More recently, however, two acts of parliament were passed, the one incorporating public joint stock companies, the other for providing for the incorporation of joint stock banks. Each of these acts requires some notice.

**Joint Stock  
Companies  
Registration  
Act.**

The first act was intituled "An Act for the Registration, Incorporation and Regulation of Joint Stock Companies" (*z*). This act applied to every joint stock company established for any commercial purpose, or for any purpose of profit (*a*), or for the purpose of insurance (except banking companies, schools and scientific and literary institutions, and friendly, loan and benefit building societies duly certified and enrolled under the statutes in force respecting such societies (*b*)); and the term "joint stock company" comprehended every partnership whereof the capital was divided or agreed to be divided into shares, and so as to be transferable without the express consent of all the copartners; and also every insurance company, whether of lives, ships, or against fire or storm; and every company for granting or purchasing annuities on lives; and every friendly society insuring to an amount not exceeding 200*l.* upon one life or for any one person; and also every partnership which at its formation, or by subsequent admission (except any admission consequent on devolution or other act of law), should consist of more than twenty-five members. But the act did not apply to companies incorporated by statute or charter, nor to companies authorized to sue and be sued in the name of some officer or person (*c*). This act, however, has since been repealed (*d*). It provided for

Now repealed.

(*y*) *Chapman v. Milvain*, 5 Ex. Rep. 61; *Steward v. Greaves*, 10 Mee. & Wels. 711.

(*z*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, amended by stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 78.

(*a*) See *The Queen v. Whitmarsh*,

15 Q. B. 600; *Bear v. Bromley*, 21 L. Jour. Q. B. 354; 18 Q. B. 271.

(*b*) See *post*, pp. 209—212.

(*c*) Sect. 2.

(*d*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

the establishment of a registry office, in which the name and business of every projected company, together with the names, occupations and places of business and residence of the promoters of the company, were required to be registered before they could proceed to make public, whether by way of prospectus, handbill or advertisement, any intention or proposal to form the company (*e*). Further particulars were also to be registered as they should be decided on from time to time (*f*). This registration, however, only enabled the company to act provisionally, and it was therefore termed *provisional registration*. And before the company could act otherwise than provisionally, it was required to obtain a certificate of *complete registration*. This certificate could only be obtained on production of a deed of settlement of the company, according to the form set forth in the act, signed by at least one-fourth in number of the persons who at the date of the deed had become subscribers, and who should hold at least one-fourth of the maximum number of shares in the capital of the company (*g*). This deed was required to be certified by two directors of the company in a given form; and along with it was to be produced a complete abstract or index of the deed, together with a copy of it for registration. Provision was also made for the registration, half-yearly or oftener, of all transfers of shares, and of changes in the names of the shareholders (*h*), and for an annual return of the name and business of every company (*i*). On complete registration being certified the company became *incorporated* (*k*) as from the date of the certificate, by the name of the company as set forth in the deed of settle-

Registry office.

Provisional registration.

Complete registration.

Incorporation.

(*e*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, s. 4.

5, 6.

See also stat. 10 &amp; 11 Vict. c. 78,

(*g*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, s. 7.s. 7; *Abbott v. Rogers*, C. P. 1 Jur.(*h*) Sects. 11—13.

N. S. 804; 16 C. B. 277.

(*i*) Sect. 14.(*f*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. s. 110,(*k*) *Bowen Iron Company v.*

s. 4; 10 &amp; 11 Vict. c. 78, ss. 4,

*Barnett*, 8 C. B. 406.

Existing companies.

Transfer of shares.

Liability of shareholders.

Banking companies.

Repeal of act.

ment, with power to have a common seal, but on which was to be inscribed the name of the company, and with other powers necessary to the conduct of their affairs (*l*), including a power to hold lands on obtaining a license for that purpose from the Board of Trade (*m*). Provision was also made for the registry of joint stock companies then existing, and for the alteration of their deeds of settlement in order to comply with the provisions of the act (*n*). The transfer of shares was required to be effected by deed in a given form, to be duly stamped, and in which the full amount of the pecuniary consideration for the sale was to be truly expressed (*o*). But no sale or mortgage of any share was valid until the company had obtained a certificate of complete registration and the subscriber had been duly registered as a shareholder in the Registry Office (*p*); and no transfer could be made if the transferor should not then have paid up the full amount due to the company on every share held by him, unless there were a provision to the contrary in the deed of settlement (*q*). Shareholders in these companies were liable to the creditors of the company, if such creditors had used due diligence to obtain satisfaction by execution against the property of the company; but after the expiration of three years next after any person should have ceased to be a shareholder, his liability ceased (*r*).

The act which provided for the incorporation of banking companies was intituled "An Act to regulate Joint Stock Banks in England" (*s*). This act has now been repealed (*t*). The incorporation effected under the

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| ( <i>l</i> ) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, s. 25.                          | ( <i>q</i> ) Sect. 54.  |
| ( <i>m</i> ) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 78, ss. 1, 2, 3.                   | ( <i>r</i> ) Sects. 66—68. <i>Greenwood's case</i> , 3 De Gex, M. & G. 459, 478; S. C. 18 Jur. 387. |
| ( <i>n</i> ) Sects. 58, 59.  | ( <i>s</i> ) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 113.  |
| ( <i>o</i> ) Sect. 54.   | ( <i>t</i> ) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.   |
| ( <i>p</i> ) Sect. 26. <i>Ex parte Neilson</i> , 3 De Gex M. & G. 556. |   |

provisions of this act was by letters-patent, obtained, on petition, from the crown. The petition was referred to the Board of Trade, on whose report a charter was granted to the company (*u*) for a term not exceeding twenty years (*x*). Other provisions were also made for the registration of the company, the transfer of shares, the liability of shareholders, and other matters which it is now unnecessary to state.

The main object of the two statutes above referred to was evidently to give publicity to the names of the real promoters and shareholders of joint stock companies, so that the public might know with whom they were dealing, and that those who reaped the benefit of such undertakings might also bear their proper share of the risk. Another object was to recognize, as legal personages, bodies which before had a legal existence, but had no convenient means of acting or of being acted on. In the same spirit another act of parliament was passed in the same session, "for facilitating the winding-up the affairs of joint stock companies unable to meet their pecuniary engagements" (*y*). By this act all incorporated or privileged companies for any commercial or trading purposes, including banking companies (*z*), and also all joint stock companies within the definition contained in the act for their incorporation (*a*), were made liable to bankruptcy in the same manner as private individuals; but the bankruptcy of the company was not to be construed to be the bankruptcy of any member of the company in his individual capacity (*b*). This act, however, was almost entirely superseded by the "Joint Stock Companies Winding-up Act,

Objects of these acts.

Bankruptcy of joint stock companies.

Winding-up acts.

(*u*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 113, s. 3.

(*x*) Sect. 6.

(*y*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 111, amended by stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 78.

(*z*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 113, s. 48.

(*a*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, s.

2; *ante*, p. 196.

(*b*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 111, s. 2.

1848" (c), as amended by the "Joint Stock Companies Winding-up Amendment Act, 1849" (d), under which an official manager was appointed, and a list of contributories made out, on whom calls were made from time to time for payment of the debts and liabilities of the company. These acts again did not apply to companies registered under the "Joint Stock Companies Act, 1856" (e), by which act, as several times amended (f), joint stock companies were regulated, until the passing of the "Companies Act, 1862" (g). This act has repealed and consolidated all the former acts relating to joint stock companies.

• The Limited  
Liability Act,  
1855.

An act of parliament was passed in 1855 for limiting the liability of members of certain joint stock companies (h). Under this act any joint stock company to be formed under the act 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, other than an assurance company, with a capital to be divided into shares of a nominal value of not less than 10*l.* each, might obtain a certificate of complete registration with limited liability, upon complying with certain conditions. With reference to this act it was remarked in the third edition of the present work (i), that it seems that all that can now be expected of an Act of Parliament is to introduce a principle to be worked out by subsequent amendments; and that it was to be hoped that the principle of limited liability then introduced might by some future act be both more widely extended and more accurately applied. This was afterwards done by the Joint Stock Companies Acts, 1856 (k) and

(c) Stat. 11 & 12 Vict. c. 45.

(d) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 108.  
amended by stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c.  
78; and see as to Railways, stat.  
13 & 14 Vict. c. 83.

(e) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 47, s.  
108.

(f) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 14;  
20 & 21 Vict. c. 49; 21 & 22 Vict.  
c. 60; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 91.

(g) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89.

(h) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 133.

(i) Pp. 182, 183.

(k) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 47.

1857 (*l*), and the Joint Stock Banking Companies Act, 1857 (*m*), as amended by subsequent acts (*n*), all of which are now repealed and consolidated by the Companies Act, 1862 (*o*).

Under this act seven or more persons associated for any lawful purpose, may by subscribing their names to a memorandum of association, and otherwise complying with the requisitions of the act in respect of registration, form an incorporated company, with or without limited liability (*p*). But no banking company claiming to issue notes in the United Kingdom shall be entitled to limited liability in respect of such issue (*q*). Not more than ten persons may carry on the business of banking as partners, unless they are registered under this act, or are formed in pursuance of some other act of parliament or of letters patent; and no partnership consisting of more than twenty persons can now be formed for the purpose of carrying on any other business that has for its object the acquisition of gain by the partnership or by the individual members thereof, unless it be registered as a company under this act, or be formed in pursuance of some other act of parliament, or of letters patent, or be a company engaged in working mines within and subject to the jurisdiction of the Stannaries (*r*). The liability of the members of a company formed under this act may, according to the memorandum of association, be limited either to the amount, if any, unpaid on the shares respectively held by them, or to such amount as the members may respectively undertake by the memorandum of association to contribute to the assets of the company in the event of its

Companies  
Act, 1862.

Liability may  
be limited. •—

(*l*) Stat 20 & 21 Vict c 14.

(*o*) Stat 25 & 26 Vict c 89.

(*m*) Stat 20 & 21 Vict c 49

(*p*) Sect 6

(*n*) Stat 20 & 21 Vict c 80,

(*q*) Sect. 182

21 & 22 Vict. c. 60, 21 & 22 Vict.

(*r*) Sect. 4



Memorandum  
of association  
of company  
limited by  
shares.

being wound up (*s*). In the former case, the company is said to be limited by shares; and in the latter, to be limited by guarantee. The memorandum of association of a company limited by shares must contain the following things:—

1. The name of the company with the addition of the word “limited,” as the last word of such name.
2. The part of the United Kingdom in which the registered office of the company is proposed to be situate.
3. The objects for which the company is to be established.
4. A declaration, that the liability of the members is limited.
5. The amount of capital with which the company proposes to be registered, divided into shares, of a certain fixed amount; subject to the following regulations:—
  1. That no subscriber shall take less than one share.
  2. That each subscriber of the memorandum of association shall write opposite to his name the number of shares he takes (*t*).

Memorandum  
of association  
of company  
limited by  
guarantee.

When the company is limited by guarantee, its memorandum of association must contain the first three of the above-mentioned requisites; and, (4), a declaration, that each member undertakes to contribute to the assets of the company, in the event of the same being wound up during the time that he is a member, or within one year afterwards, for payment of the debts and liabilities of the company contracted before the time at which he ceases to be a member, and of the

costs, charges and expenses of winding up the company, and for the adjustment of the rights of the contributories amongst themselves, such amount as may be required, not exceeding a specified amount (u).

If no limit be placed on the liability of the members, the company is called an unlimited company, and its memorandum of association must contain only the following things:—

Memorandum of association of unlimited company.

1. The name of the company.
2. The part of the United Kingdom in which the registered office of the company is proposed to be situate.
3. The objects for which the company is to be established (x).

The memorandum of association must bear the same stamp as if it were a deed, and must be signed by each subscriber in the presence of and be attested by one witness at the least. When registered, it binds the company and the members thereof to the same extent as if each member had subscribed his name and affixed his seal thereto, and there were contained in the memorandum a covenant on the part of himself, his heirs, executors and administrators, to observe all the conditions of such memorandum, subject to the provisions of the act (y). No alteration can be made by any company in the conditions contained in its memorandum of association; except that a company limited by shares may increase its capital by the issue of new shares of such amount as it thinks expedient, or may consolidate and divide its capital into shares of larger amount than its existing shares, or convert its paid-up shares into

Effect of memorandum of association.

No alteration to be made.

Exception.

(u) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89, s. 9.

(x) Sect. 10.

(y) Sect. 11.

Name may  
be changed.

stock (*z*); and except that any company may, with the sanction of a special resolution of the company as after mentioned, and with the approval of the Board of Trade, change its name; but such change will not affect any of the rights or obligations of the company (*a*).

Articles of as-  
sociation.

The memorandum of association may in the case of a company limited by shares, and must in the case of a company limited by guarantee or unlimited, be accompanied, when registered, by articles of association signed by the subscribers to the memorandum of association, and prescribing such regulations for the company as the subscribers shall deem expedient. These articles must be expressed in separate paragraphs numbered arithmetically. The act contains a Table marked A, in the first schedule thereto, of provisions, all or any of which may be adopted in the articles of association (*b*). The regulations contained in this Table will, if not excluded or modified by the articles, be deemed, so far as they are applicable, to be the regulations of every company limited by shares (*c*). The articles of association must be printed and stamped as if they were contained in a deed, and must be signed and attested in the same manner as the memorandum of association; and when registered, they bind the company and the members thereof to the same extent (*d*). The memorandum and articles, if any, are to be registered by the registrar of joint-stock companies (*e*); and, thereupon, the company is incorporated, with power to hold lands; and a certificate of the incorporation of any company given by the registrar shall be conclusive evidence that all the requisitions of the act in respect of registration have been complied with (*f*). No company formed for the

Registration.

Company in-  
corporated.

(*z*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89,  
s. 12.

(*a*) Sect. 13.

(*b*) Sect. 14.

(*c*) Sect. 15.

(*d*) Sect. 16.

(*e*) Sect. 17.

(*f*) Sect. 18.

purpose of promoting art, science, religion, charity, or any other like object, not involving the acquisition of gain by the company, or by the individual members thereof, shall, without the sanction of the Board of Trade, hold more than two acres of land; but the Board of Trade may, by license under the hand of one of their principal or assistant secretaries, empower any such company to hold lands in such quantity and subject to such conditions as they think fit (*g*). All shares are to be personal estate (*h*). Every company is required to keep a register of its members (*i*); and every company having a capital divided into shares is required to make out an annual list of its members, with other particulars, and to forward a copy thereof to the registrar of joint-stock companies (*k*). No notice of any trust, expressed, implied or constructive, is to be entered on the register (*l*). And a certificate under the common seal of the company, specifying any shares or stock held by any member, is *prima facie* evidence of his title to the shares or stock therein specified (*m*). And the register of members is *prima facie* evidence of any matters by the act directed or authorized to be inserted therein (*n*).

Shares personal estate.

Register of members.

Certificate of shares or stock,

Register evidence.

Every company is bound by the act to have a registered office, to which all communications and notices may be addressed (*o*). And every limited company must keep its name painted or affixed on the outside of every office or place of business of the company, in a conspicuous position, in letters easily legible, and must have its name engraven in legible characters on its seal, and must have its name mentioned in legible characters in all notices, advertisements, bills, notes, indorsements, cheques, orders for money or goods on behalf of the

Registered office.

Name of limited company to be painted up, &amp;c.

(*g*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89,  
s. 21.

(*h*) Sect. 22.

(*i*) Sect. 25.

(*k*) Sect. 26.

(*l*) Sect. 30.

(*m*) Sect. 31.

(*n*) Sect. 37.

(*o*) Sect. 39.

Register of  
mortgages.

company, and in all bills of parcels, invoices, receipts and letters of credit of the company (*p*). And every limited company is also required to keep a register of all mortgages and charges specifically affecting property of the company (*q*). And every limited banking company, and every insurance company, and deposit, provident or benefit society under the act, is required before it commences business, and afterwards on the first Monday in February and the first Monday in August in every year, to make a statement of its capital, liabilities and assets in a given form, to be put up in a conspicuous place in the office of the company (*r*).

Power to alter  
regulations by  
special resolution.

Subject to the provisions of the act, and to the conditions contained in the memorandum of association, any company formed under the act may, in general meeting, from time to time, by passing a special resolution in manner after mentioned, alter all or any of the regulations of the company contained in the articles of association, or in the Table marked A, in the first schedule, where such Table is applicable to the company; or make new regulations to the exclusion of or, in addition to all or any of the regulations of the company; and any regulations so made by special resolution shall be deemed to be regulations of the company of the same validity as if they had been originally contained in the articles of association, and shall be subject in like manner to be altered or modified by any subsequent special resolution (*s*). A resolution passed by a company under the act is deemed to be special whenever a resolution has been passed by a majority of not less than three-fourths of such members of the company for the time being entitled, according to the regulations of the company, to vote as may be present, in person or

A special  
resolution.

(*p*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89,  
s. 41.

(*q*) Sect. 43.

(*r*) Sect. 44.

(*s*) Sect. 50.

by proxy (in cases where by the regulations of the company proxies are allowed), at any general meeting of which notice specifying the intention to propose such resolution has been duly given; and such resolution has been confirmed by a majority of such members for the time being entitled, according to the regulations of the company, to vote as may be present, in person or by proxy, at a subsequent general meeting, of which notice has been duly given, and held at an interval of not less than fourteen days, nor more than one month from the date of the meeting at which such resolution was first passed: At any such meeting, unless a poll is demanded by at least five members, a declaration of the chairman that the resolution has been carried shall be deemed conclusive evidence of the fact, without proof of the number or proportion of the votes recorded in favour or against the same. Notice of any such meeting shall be deemed to be duly given and the meeting to be duly held, whenever such notice is given and meeting held in manner prescribed by the regulations of the company. In computing the majority, when a poll is demanded, reference shall be had to the number of votes to which each member is entitled by the regulations of the company (*t*). A copy of every special resolution must be printed and registered (*u*), and must be annexed to or embodied in every copy of the articles of association that may be issued after the passing of such resolution (*x*).

Provision is made for the winding up of Joint Stock Companies either by the court (*y*) or voluntarily (*z*); and if voluntarily, the winding up may by the order of the court be subject to its supervision (*a*). The court to which this jurisdiction is given is the Court of Chan-

(*t*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89,  
s. 51.

(*u*) Sect. 53.

(*x*) Sect. 54.

(*y*) Sects. 79—128.

(*z*) Sects. 129—146.

(*a*) Sects. 147—152.

cery, except in the case of mines subject to the jurisdiction of the Stannaries; but where the Court of Chancery makes an order for winding up a company under the act, it may, if it think fit, direct all subsequent proceedings for winding up the same to be had in the Court of Bankruptcy having jurisdiction in the place in which the registered office of the company is situate (b). The winding up is effected by liquidators appointed for that purpose, and who if appointed by the court are styled official liquidators (c). All persons liable to contribute to the assets of a company under the act, in the event of its being wound up, are called contributories (d). The liability of contributories is regulated by the following rules (e):—

**Liquidators.**

**Contributories.**

1. No past member shall be liable to contribute to the assets of the company, if he has ceased to be a member for a period of one year or upwards prior to the commencement of the winding-up :
2. No past member shall be liable to contribute in respect of any debt or liability of the company contracted after the time at which he ceased to be a member :
3. No past member shall be liable to contribute to the assets of the company unless it appears to the court that the existing members are unable to satisfy the contributions required to be made by them in pursuance of the act :
4. In the case of a company limited by shares, no contribution shall be required from any member exceeding the amount, if any, unpaid on the shares in respect of which he is liable as a present or past member :
5. In the case of a company limited by guarantee, no

(b) Stat. 25 & 26 Vic. c. 89,      (d) Sect. 74.  
s. 81.      (e) Sect. 38.  
(c) Sects. 92—97, 133—144.

contribution shall be required from any member exceeding the amount of the undertaking entered into on his behalf by the memorandum of association :

6. Nothing in the act contained shall invalidate any provision contained in any policy of insurance or other contract, whereby the liability of individual members upon any such policy or contract is restricted, or whereby the funds of the company are alone made liable in respect of such policy or contract :
7. No sum due to any member of a company, in his character of a member, by way of dividends, profits or otherwise, shall be deemed to be a debt of the company payable to such member in a case of competition between himself and any other creditor not being a member of the company ; but any such sum may be taken into account for the purposes of the final adjustment of the rights of the contributories amongst themselves.

Shares in joint stock companies are not *goods, wares* or *merchandise* within the 17th section of the Statute of Frauds; so that they do not require a written memorandum for a contract for their sale, when the value exceeds 10*l.*, and the buyer does not accept and receive any part, nor give something in earnest to bind the bargain or in part-payment (*f*). And such shares were not considered to be stock within the meaning of the Stock Jobbing Act above mentioned (*g*).

Sale of shares  
not within the  
Statute of  
Frauds.

Several acts of parliament have been passed for the

Friendly  
societies.

(*f*) *Humble v. Mitchell*, 11 Ad. & Ell. 205; *Knight v. Barber*, 16 M. & W. 66; *Bowlby v. Bell*, 3 C. B. 284. See *ante*, p. 37.

(*g*) *Hewitt v. Price*, 4 Man. & Gr. 355; *Williams v. Tyre*, 18 Beav. 366.



encouragement of friendly societies, for the mutual relief of their members and their families in case of sickness, old age, death, or other contingencies (*h*); all of which are now consolidated into one act (*i*). The rules of these societies are required to be certified by the registrar of friendly societies, and in whose custody a transcript of the rules of every friendly society is now required to be kept (*h*). And it is now provided that the registrar of friendly societies shall not grant any certificate to any society assuring to any member thereof a certain annuity or superannuation, deferred or immediate, unless the table of contributions payable for such kind of assurance shall have been certified under the hand of the actuary to the commissioners for the reduction of the national debt, or by an actuary to some life assurance company in London, Edinburgh, or Dublin, who shall have exercised the profession of actuary for at least five years (*l*). On the death or removal of any trustee of one of these societies, the whole property of the society vests in the succeeding trustee for the same estate and interest as the former trustee had therein, and subject to the same trusts, without any assignment or conveyance whatever, except the transfer of stock and securities in the public funds (*m*). And on the death, bankruptcy or insolvency of any officer of any such society, or on any execution issuing against him, or on his making any assignment or conveyance for the benefit of his creditors, the money or effects in his hands belonging to the society are to be paid over and de-

(*h*) Stat. 10 Geo. IV. c. 56, amended by 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 40; 3 & 4 Vict. c. 73; 9 & 10 Vict. c. 27; 13 & 14 Vict. c. 115; 15 & 16 Vict. c. 65; 16 & 17 Vict. c. 123; 17 & 18 Vict. c. 101.

(*i*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 63, amended by stats. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 101, and 23 & 24 Vict. c. 58.

(*h*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 63, s. 26. A transcript of the rules was formerly required to be inrolled with the clerk of the peace. Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 40, s. 4.

(*l*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 63, s. 26.

(*m*) Sect. 18.

livered to the society before any other of his debts are paid (n). Acts of parliament have also been passed to legalize the formation of industrial and provident societies for carrying on trades or handicrafts in common (o), and many of the provisions which relate to friendly societies apply also to these institutions (p). Loan societies are regulated by another act of parliament, which, after having been long periodically continued, is now made perpetual (q). • Other acts of parliament have recently been passed for the regulation of savings banks (r); and particularly for the establishment of savings banks in connexion with the post-office (s),—banks which, having the security of a government guarantee, are a great boon to the poorer classes.

Industrial and provident societies.

Loan societies.

Savings banks.

Post-office savings banks.

An act of parliament also exists for the regulation of benefit building societies (t). • The funds of these societies are raised by monthly contributions of the members, which must not exceed 20s. per share, and by fines for non-payment. The shares must not exceed the value of 150*l.* each; but any member may hold more than one share (u). When the amount of the shares has been realized, the money is divided amongst the members, and the society is dissolved. Such members, however, as may wish to buy land or to build, may receive the amount of their shares in advance on payment of an additional subscription by way of interest, and also on payment of a bonus for the advance,

Building societies.

(n) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 63, s. 23.

(o) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 31, amended by stats. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 25, and 19 & 20 Vict. c. 40; repealed and consolidated by stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 87.

(p) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 87, s. 15.

(q) Stat. 3 & 4 Vict. c. 110, made perpetual by stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 56.

(r) Stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 87.

(s) Stat. 24 Vict. c. 14, 26 Vict. c. 14.

(t) Stat. 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32.

(u) *Morrison v. Glover*, 4 Ex. Rep. 430.

which of course is deducted from the amount of the share advanced. This bonus is usually determined by competition amongst the members, the shares to be paid in advance being put up by auction by the society; and the subscriptions and fines to become due in respect of the advanced shares are then secured to the society by the purchasers, by mortgage of land or houses of sufficient value (*x*). These mortgages are not liable to stamp duty (*y*); they were also exempt from any of the forfeitures or penalties formerly in force against usury (*z*); and a receipt for the monies secured, indorsed by the trustees of the society upon any such mortgage, vests the estate comprised in the security in the person entitled to the equity of redemption, without any reconveyance (*a*). Under cover of the Building Societies Act, many societies called freehold land societies have been established for the purpose of buying freehold land and selling it again in lots to the different members; but these societies are not within the scope of the building and friendly societies acts, and can only be certified as such by the concealment of their real object (*b*).

**Freehold land  
Societies.**

**The Labourers  
Dwelling Act,  
1855.**

An act has also been passed for facilitating the erection of dwelling-houses for the labouring classes (*c*), under which any number of persons, not less than six, may by subscribing articles of association form themselves into a company for the purposes of the act. The

(*x*) See *Mosley v. Baker*, 6 Hare, 87; 3 De Gex, M. & G. 1032; *Doe d. Morrison v. Glover*, 15 Q. B. 103; *Seagrave v. Pope*, 1 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 783; *Fleming v. Self*, 1 Kay, 518; 3 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 997; *Farmer v. Smith*, 4 H. & N. 196; *Sparrow v. Farmer*, 26 Beav. 511; *Smith v. Pilkington*, 1 De Gex, F. & J. 120.

(*y*) *Walker v. Giles*, 6 C. B. 662; *Williams v. Hayward*, 22 Beav. 220.

(*z*) Stat. 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32, s. 2.

(*a*) Sect. 5; *Prosser v. Price*, 28 Beav. 68.

(*b*) See *Grimes v. Harrison*, 26 Beav. 435.

(*c*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 132.

articles are to be in a given form, and to be registered by the registrar of joint stock companies. And the Companies Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, is incorporated into the act, the articles of association being deemed the special act.

The provisions above referred to for charging the stock of any debtor with the payment of any judgment debt (*d*), extend to stock and shares in any *public* company in England, whether incorporated or not (*e*). Judgment debts.

The prerogative of the crown in the grant of letters-patent is frequently exercised not only for the incorporation of joint stock companies, but also for conferring on private individuals certain exclusive rights and privileges. These rights, called *patents* from the letters patent which confer them, will be considered in the next chapter. Patents.

(*d*) *Ante*, p. 188.

(*e*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s.

14. See *Nicholls v. Rosewarne*, 6 C. B., N. S. 480.

## CHAPTER II.

## OF PATENTS AND COPYRIGHTS.

A patent.

A PATENT is the name usually given to a grant from the crown, by letters-patent, of the exclusive privilege of making, using, exercising and vending some new invention. The granting of such letters-patent is an ancient prerogative of the crown, a prerogative which remains unaffected by the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852 (a). In the reign of Queen Elizabeth this prerogative was stretched far beyond its due limits, and the monopolies thus created formed one of the grievances which King James, her successor, was at last obliged to remedy. Accordingly by a statute passed in the twenty-first year of his reign, and commonly called the Statute of Monopolies (b), it was declared and enacted that all such monopolies were altogether contrary to the laws of this realm, and so were and should be utterly void and of none effect, and in nowise put in ure or execution. In this statute, however, there are certain exceptions, and particularly one on which the modern law with respect to patents may be said to be founded. This exception is as follows: "Provided also and be it declared and enacted, that any declaration before mentioned shall not extend to any letters-patents and grants of privilege for the term of *fourteen years* or under, hereafter to be made, of the sole working or making of any manner of new manufactures within this realm, to the true and first inventor and inventors of such manufactures, which others at the time of making such letters-patent and

Statute of Monopolies.

Proviso.

(a) Stat. 15 &amp; 16 Vict. c. 83; see sect. 16.

(b) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 3.

grants shall not use, so also they be not contrary to the law nor mischievous to the state, by raising prices of commodities at home, or hurt of trade, or generally inconvenient; the said fourteen years to be accounted from the date of the first letters-patent or grant of such privilege hereafter to be made; but that the same shall be of such force as they should be if this act had never been made, and of none other"(c).

It will be seen that the granting of letters-patent is not expressly warranted by this statute; but that it merely reserves to such letters-patent as fall within the terms of the exception, such force as they should have had if the act had never been made, and none other force. As, however, all grants of exclusive privilege by letters-patent, which do not fall within this exception and some others of little importance, are now rendered void by the statute, the construction of this exception has become a matter of great practical importance. And first, the term must be *fourteen* years from the date of the letters-patent, or under; and the full term of fourteen years is usually granted. But it is now provided, that all letters-patent for inventions, granted under the provisions of the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, shall be made subject to the condition that the same shall be void, and that the powers and privileges thereby granted shall cease, at the expiration of three and seven years respectively from the date thereof, unless there be paid before the expiration of the said three and seven years respectively certain stamp duties mentioned in the act, namely 50*l.* stamp duty before the expiration of the third year, and 100*l.* stamp duty before the expiration of the seventh year(d). These payments appear high, but they are a great improvement on the old law, under which heavy fees and duty were payable on taking out every

Term of patent  
fourteen years.

(c) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 3, s. 6.

(d) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 5, s. 2; *Williams v. Frost*, 28 Beav. 93.

**Extension of term.**

patent; whereas now, if a patent prove useless, it may be discontinued, and the payment saved. By a modern act of parliament(*e*), a prolongation of the term granted by the original letters-patent may be granted, either to the original grantor or to his assignee(*f*), for a term not exceeding *seven* years after the expiration of the first term in case the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council shall, upon proper application, report to Her Majesty, that such further extension of the term should be granted. And if such further period of seven years can be shown to be insufficient for the reimbursement and remuneration of the expense and labour incurred in perfecting the invention, then, by a subsequent statute(*g*), the crown may grant to the inventor, or his assignee, an extension of the patent for any time not exceeding *fourteen* years.

**New manufactures.**

Secondly, the patent must be for "new manufactures within this realm, which others at the time of making such letters-patents and grants shall not use." The *use* here mentioned has been held to mean a use in public; if therefore the invention, for which the patent is sought to be obtained, has been previously used in public within the realm, the patent will be void(*h*). And the *realm* in this statute has been determined to mean the united kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland; so that when separate letters-patent were granted for England and Scotland, if any invention had been publicly known or practised in England, a patent for Scotland was void(*i*).

(*e*) Stat. 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 83, s. 4, amended by 2 & 3 Vict. c. 67; and extended by stats. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, s. 40, and 16 & 17 Vict. c. 115, s. 7.

(*f*) *Russell v. Ledsam*, 14 Mee. & Wels. 574; affirmed, 16 M. & W. 633; 1 H. of L. Cas. 687.

(*g*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 69, ss. 2,

4, continued by stats. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, s. 40, and 16 & 17 Vict. c. 115, s. 7.

(*h*) *Lewis v. Marling*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 22; *Carpenter v. Smith*, 9 M. & W. 300; *Re Newall*, 4 C. B., N. S. 269.

(*i*) *Brown v. Annandale*, 8 Cl. & Fin. 214.

By an act of parliament to which we have before referred, it is, however, provided that letters-patent may be confirmed, or new ones granted, for any invention or supposed invention, which shall have been found by the verdict of a jury, or discovered by the patentee or his assigns, to have been either wholly or in part invented or used before, if the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, upon examining the matter, shall be satisfied that the patentee believed himself to be the first and original inventor, and that such invention, or part thereof, *had not been publicly and generally used* before the date of the first letters-patent (*h*). It is also now provided by the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852, that any invention may be used and published for six months from the date of the application for letters-patent for the invention, without prejudice to the letters-patent, provided the *provisional specification*, which describes the nature of the invention, and is to accompany the petition for the letters-patent, be allowed by the proper law officer (*l*). It is also provided that the applicant, instead of having a provisional specification, may, if he think fit, file a complete specification under his hand and seal, particularly describing and ascertaining the nature of his invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, in which case the invention will be protected for six months from the date of the application, and may be used and published without prejudice to any letters-patent to be granted for the same (*m*). It is also provided, that if any application for letters-patent be made in fraud of the true and first inventor, any letters-patent granted to the true and first inventor shall not be invalidated by reason of any use or publi-

Provisional  
specification.

Specification.

(*h*) Stat. 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 83, s. 2. 8; *Re Newall*, 4 C. B., N. S. 269.  
(*m*) Sect. 9. See also stat. 16

(*l*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, s. & 17 Vict. c. 115, s. 6.



cation of the invention subsequent to such application, and before the expiration of the term of protection (*n*).

True and first  
inventor.

Thirdly, a patent must be granted "to the true and first inventor and inventors." If, therefore, the original inventor should sell his secret to another person, such person cannot obtain letters-patent for the invention in his own name; but the original inventor must obtain the letters-patent, and then assign them to the other. If two persons should both make the same discovery, he who first publishes it by obtaining a patent for it, will be the true and first inventor within the meaning of the statute, although he may not actually have been the first to make the discovery (*o*). But a person cannot obtain a patent for an invention which has been communicated

Foreign inven-  
tions.

to him by another within the realm (*p*). If, however, a person should be in possession of an invention communicated to him from abroad, such person, if he be the first introducer of the invention into this country, is regarded by the law as the true and first inventor thereof within the meaning of the statute of James (*q*); and it is no objection that the patent is taken out in trust merely for the foreign inventor (*r*). But it is now provided that where letters-patent are granted in the United Kingdom for any invention first invented in any foreign country, or by the subject of any foreign state, and a like privilege for the exclusive use or exercise of such invention in any foreign country is there obtained before the grant of such letters-patent in the United Kingdom, all rights and privileges under such letters-patent shall (notwithstanding any term in such letters-patent limited) cease and be void immediately upon the expiration or other determination of the term of the like privilege obtained in such foreign

New enact-  
ment.

(*n*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, 395; S. C. 2 J. B. Moore, 452.

s. 10.

(*q*) *Edgeberry v. Stephens*, 2 Salk.

(*o*) *Boulton v. Bull*, 2 H. Black.

447.

487.

(*r*) *Beard v. Egerton*, 3 C. B. 97,

(*p*) *Hill v. Thompson*, 8 Taunt. 129.

country; or where more than one such like privilege is obtained abroad, immediately upon the expiration or determination of the term of such privileges which shall first expire or be determined. And no letters-patent granted for any invention, for which any patent or like privilege shall have been obtained in any foreign country, shall be of any validity, if granted after the expiration of the term for which the foreign patent or privilege was in force(s). The remaining restrictions imposed by the act of James I. require no comment.

The granting of letters-patent is, as has been observed, a prerogative of the crown; and although a patent may now be always obtained for any new invention, yet the grant is still a matter of favour and not of right, and all grants of letters-patent for inventions are at the present day clogged with certain conditions. Of these conditions, the most important is that which requires the inventor particularly to describe and ascertain the nature of his invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal, called the specification, and to cause the same to be filed in the High Court of Chancery within a given period, generally six calendar months from the date (*t*). This instrument was formerly required to be enrolled instead of being merely filed as at present. And it is provided by the new act that, if a complete specification be filed along with the petition for the letters-patent, then, in lieu of a condition for making void the letters-patent in case the invention be not described and ascertained by a subsequent specification, the letters-patent shall be conditioned to become void, if such complete specification filed as aforesaid does not particularly describe and ascertain the nature

(s) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, 17 Vict. c. 115, s. 6. As to munitions of war, see stat. 22 Vict. c. 25.

(t) *Ibid.* s. 27. See stat. 16 & 13.

of the invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed (*u*). The object of requiring a specification is to secure to the public the benefit of the knowledge of the invention after the term granted by the patent shall have expired. The framing of the specification is a matter of great nicety; for the description contained in it must correspond with the title of the invention contained in the letters-patent (*v*), and must clearly describe the invention (*x*), neither covering more than the proper subject of the patent (*y*), nor omitting anything necessary to make the description intelligible (*z*). Provision however has been made by an act of parliament before referred to (*a*), for enabling the grantee or assignee of any letters-patent to enter a *disclaimer* of any part either of the title of the invention, or of the specification, stating the reason of such disclaimer, or to enter a memorandum of any alteration in the title or specification, not being such disclaimer or such alteration as shall extend the exclusive right granted by the patent. Under these provisions, letters-patent originally void may in many cases be rendered valid from the time of the entry of the disclaimer or alteration (*b*). And these provisions have been extended to letters-patent granted and specifications filed under the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852 (*c*). This act also provides for the printing, publishing and sale, under the direction of the commissioners of patents, of all specifications, disclaimers, and memoranda of alterations deposited or filed

# Disclaimer.

(*u*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, s. 9.

(*v*) *Rex v. Wheeler*, 2 Barn. & Ald. 345, 350. See *Nickels v. Haslam*, 7 Man. & Gran. 378; *Beard v. Egerton*, 3 C. B. 97.

(*x*) *Bloxham v. Elsee*, 6 Barn. & Cres. 169.

(*y*) *Hill v. Thompson*, 3 Meriv. 629.

(*z*) *Rex v. Wheeler*, ubi supra;

*Neilson v. Harford*, 8 Mee. & Wels. 805.

(*a*) Stat. 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 83, s. 1. See also stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 69, ss. 5, 6.

(*b*) *Perry v. Skinner*, 2 M. & W. 471.

(*c*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83, s. 39.

under the act (*d*). A "register of patents" is also directed to be kept, where shall be entered and recorded, in chronological order, all letters-patent granted under the act, the deposit or filing of specifications, disclaimers and memoranda of alterations filed in respect of such letters-patent, all amendments in such letters-patent and specifications, all confirmations and extensions of such letters-patent, the expiry, vacating, or cancelling of such letters-patent, with the dates thereof respectively, and all other matters and things affecting the validity of such letters-patent as the commissioners may direct; and such register, or a copy thereof, is to be open at all convenient times to the inspection of the public, subject to such regulations as the commissioners may make (*e*).

Register of  
patents.

Another condition formerly inserted in letters-patent rendered them void, in case the letters-patent, or the liberty and privileges thereby granted, should become vested in or in trust for more than the number of twelve persons, or their representatives, at any one time, as partners, dividing or entitled to divide the benefit or profit obtained by reason thereof; but it is now enacted that, notwithstanding any proviso that may exist in former letters-patent, it shall be lawful for a larger number than twelve persons hereafter to have a legal and beneficial interest in such letters-patent (*f*).

Vesting in  
more than  
twelve persons.

In letters-patent a clause is usually contained forbidding all persons from using the invention without the consent, license or agreement of the inventor, his executors, administrators or assigns, in writing, under his or their hands and seals, first had and obtained in that behalf (*g*). The granting of licenses to use a patent is

License to use  
patent.

(*d*) Sect. 29.

joint liability.

(*e*) Sect. 34.

(*g*) See the form of letters-patent in Appendix (A.)

(*f*) Sect. 36. See *post*, the chapter on joint ownership and

one of the most profitable ways of turning it to account. All licenses are now required to be registered in the registry to be presently mentioned.

**Scotch and  
Irish patents.**

Letters-patent obtained in England formerly conferred an exclusive privilege only within England, Wales and the town of Berwick upon Tweed; and also within the islands of Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, Sark and Man, and her Majesty's colonies and plantations abroad, if so expressed in the patent. In order to obtain the like exclusive privilege for Scotland, it was necessary to obtain separate letters-patent under the seal appointed by the treaty of union to be used instead of the great seal of Scotland; and in the same manner the like privilege for Ireland was required to be obtained by letters-patent under the great seal for Ireland. But it is now provided that letters-patent shall extend to the whole of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, the channel islands, and the Isle of Man; and in case the warrant for granting the patent shall so direct, such letters-patent shall be made applicable to her Majesty's colonies and plantations abroad, or such of them as may be mentioned in such warrant (*h*). But where separate letters for England, Scotland or Ireland have been already granted, separate letters-patent may still be granted for the other countries, on payment for such country of one third the stamp duties payable for a patent for the whole kingdom (*i*).

**Assignment of  
letters-patent.**

Letters-patent and the privileges thereby granted are freely assignable from one person to another, and the assignee by such assignment is placed in the same position as his assignor previously stood. The assignee may consequently bring in his own name the same actions and suits both at law and in equity against those

(*h*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83,      (*i*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 5, s. 4.  
s. 18.

who have infringed upon the patent as the patentee himself might have done (*k*). The privileges granted by letters-patent are therefore plainly an instance of an incorporeal kind of personal property, different in its nature from a mere *chose in action*, which never has been assignable at law. A deed is said to be necessary for the valid legal assignment of letters-patent; but the author is not aware of any authority for this position; and the general rule appears to be, that the assignment of incorporeal personal property may be made without deed. Perhaps, however, the necessity of an assignment by deed may be implied from the clause in the letters-patent, which forbids the use of the invention "without the consent, license or agreement of the inventor, his executors, administrators or assigns, in writing, under his or their hands and seals, first had and obtained in that behalf." All assignments of letters-patent are now required to be registered under the Patent Law Amendment Act, 1852.

As to the necessity of a deed.

The act provides that there shall be kept at the office appointed for filing specifications in chancery under this act, a book or books entitled "The Register of Proprietors," wherein shall be entered, in such manner as the commissioners shall direct, the assignment of any letters-patent, or of any share or interest therein, any license under letters-patent, and the district to which such license relates, with the name or names of any person (*Sic*) having any share or interest in such letters-patent or license, the date of his or their acquiring such letters-patent, share and interest, and any other matter or thing relating to or affecting the proprietorship in such letters-patent or license; and a copy of any entry in such book, certified under such seal as may have been appointed, or as may be directed by the Lord Chancellor, to be

Register of proprietors.

(*k*) Godson on Patents, 237. *Walton v. Lavater*, 8 C. B., N. S. 162.

used in the said office, shall be given to any person requiring the same, on payment of the fees therein provided; and such copies so certified shall be received in evidence, in all courts and in all proceedings, and shall be *prima facie* proof of the assignment of such letters-patent, or share or interests therein, or of the license or proprietorship as therein expressed; provided always, that until such entry shall have been made, the grantee or grantees of the letters-patent shall be deemed and taken to be the sole and exclusive proprietor or proprietors of such letters-patent, and of all the licenses and privileges thereby given and granted (*l*).

### Copyright.

Closely connected with the subject of patents is that of copyright. Copyright may be defined to be the exclusive right of multiplying copies of an original work or composition (*m*). From the nature of this right it must almost necessarily have had its origin at a period subsequent to the invention of the art of printing. It is however the better opinion that such a right existed prior to the statute of Anne (*n*), by which the term of an author's copyright was first limited by the legislature (*o*). But this statute, together with others by which the copyright of authors was further secured (*p*), has been repealed by the act of the present reign to amend the law of copyright, on which the law of copyright now depends (*q*). By this act the copyright of every book (which term includes for the purposes of the act every pamphlet, sheet of letterpress, sheet of music, map, chart or plan) published after the passing of the act in the lifetime of the author shall endure for his natural

### • Present act.

(*l*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 83,  
s. 35. See *Green's patent*, 24 Beav.  
115; *Chollett v. Hoffman*, 7 E. &  
B. 686.

(*m*) 14 M. & W. 316.

(*n*) 8 Anne, c. 19.

(*o*) *Miller v. Taylor*, 4 Burr.

2303; *Donaldson v. Beckett*, 4 Burr.  
2408; 2 Bro. P.C. 129; *Boosey v.*  
*Jefferys*, 6 Exch. Rep. 592.

(*p*) 41 Geo. III. c. 107; 54  
Geo. III. c. 156.

(*q*) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 45.

life, and for the further term of seven years from his death, and shall be the property of such author and his assigns; but if the term of seven years shall expire before the end of forty-two years from the first publication of the book, the copyright shall in that case endure for such period of forty-two years; and the copyright in every book published after the death of its author shall endure for forty-two years from the first publication thereof<sup>(r)</sup>. By the same act the existing copyright in books then published is extended for the full term provided by the act in the case of books thereafter published. But if the copyright belong wholly or partly to a publisher or other person, who has acquired it for any other consideration than that of natural love and affection, the copyright is not to be extended by the act, unless the author, if living, or his personal representative if he be dead, and the proprietor of such copyright, shall, before the expiration of the subsisting term of copyright, consent and agree to accept the benefits of the act, and shall register a minute of such consent in the prescribed form; in which case the copyright shall endure for the full term provided by the act, and shall be the property of the person or persons expressed in the minute<sup>(s)</sup>. And in order to provide against the suppression of books of importance to the public, the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council are authorized, on complaint made to them, that the proprietor of the copyright in any book, after the death of its author, has refused to allow its republication, to grant a license to the complainant to publish the book in such manner and subject to such conditions as they may think fit<sup>(t)</sup>. And with regard to encyclopædias, reviews and other periodical works, it is provided, that the copyright in every article shall belong to the proprietor of the work

Extension of  
existing copy-  
rights.

Encyclopæ-  
dias, reviews  
&c.

(r) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 45, s. 3.

(t) Sect. 5.

(s) Sect. 4.



for the same term as is given by the act to authors of books, whenever any such article shall have been or shall be composed on the terms that the copyright therein shall belong to such proprietor and be paid for by him (*u*); but payment must be actually made by the proprietor before the copyright can vest in him (*x*); and after the term of twenty-eight years from the first publication of any such article, the right of publishing the same in a separate form shall revert to the author for the remainder of the term given by the act; and during such term of twenty-eight years the proprietor shall not publish any such article separately without previously obtaining the consent of the author or his assigns. But any author may reserve to himself the right to publish any such composition in a separate form, and he will then be entitled to the copyright in such composition when published separately, without prejudice to the right of the proprietor of the encyclopædia, review or other periodical in which it may have first appeared (*y*). By the same act the sole liberty of representing any dramatic piece at any place of dramatic entertainment, and of performing any musical composition in any public place (*z*), is secured to the author and his assigns for the same term as is provided for the duration of copyright in books (*a*). The property in dramatic works had previously been secured to the authors for a shorter period by an act of the reign of King William the Fourth (*b*). It is now decided that a foreigner residing abroad is not entitled to the copyright of any work composed by him and first published in this country; but a foreigner residing in England at

Dramatic and  
musical com-  
positions.

Foreigner.

(*u*) See *Bishop of Hereford v. Griffin*, 16 Sim. 190; *Sweet v. Benning*, 16 C. B. 459.

(*x*) *Richardson v. Gilbert*, 1 Sim. N. S. 336.

(*y*) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 45, s. 18.

(*z*) *Russell v. Smith*, 15 Sim. 181; 12 Q. B. 217.

(*a*) Sect. 20.

(*b*) 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 15. See *Morton v. Copeland*, 16 C. B. 517.

the time of the first publication of his work is entitled to the copyright (c).

By the same act a book of registry is required to be kept at Stationers' Hall, open to public inspection on payment of a small fee, in which may be registered the proprietorship and assignment of copyrights (d). And no proprietor of copyright in any book which shall be first published after the passing of the act can maintain any action or suit at law or in equity, or any summary proceeding, in respect of any infringement of such copyright, unless he shall, before commencing such action, suit or proceeding, have caused such book to be registered pursuant to the act; but the omission to register will not affect the copyright in the book, but only the right to sue or proceed in respect of the infringement thereof. And the remedies of the proprietors of the sole liberty of representing any dramatic piece under the above-mentioned act of Will. IV. are not to be prejudiced, although no entry shall be made in the register book (e). And every registered proprietor is empowered to assign his interest by making entry in the book of registry of such assignment and of the name and place of abode of the assignee, in the form given in a schedule to the act; and such assignment so entered is declared to be effectual in law to all intents and purposes whatsoever, without being subject to any stamp or duty, and to be of the same force and effect as if such assignment had been made by deed (f). But if the right of representing any dramatic piece or performing any musical composition is intended to pass to the assignee of the copyright, an entry must be expressly made of such intention (g).

Registry of proprietors of copyrights.

Assignment.

(c) *Jefferys v. Boosey*, H. of Lords, 1 Jur. N. S. 615; 4 H. of B. 297; *Ex parte Davidson*, 2 E. & B. 577, qu.?

L. Cas. 815.

(e) Sect. 24.

(d) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 45, ss. 11, 19,

(f) Sect. 13.

20. See *Ex parte Davidson*, 18 C.

(g) Sect. 22.

**Copyrights to be personal property.**

The act also expressly provides, that all copyrights protected by the act shall be deemed personal property, and shall be transmissible by bequest; or, in case of intestacy, shall be subject to the same laws of distribution as other personal property (*h*).

**Importation of foreign reprints of books entitled to copyright.**

In order to give more effectual protection to persons entitled to the copyright of books, it is also provided that no person, not being the proprietor of the copyright, or some person authorized by him, may import into any part of the United Kingdom, or into any other part of the British dominions, for sale or hire, any printed book first composed or written or printed and published in any part of the United Kingdom, wherein there shall be copyright, and reprinted, in any country or place whatsoever out of the British dominions (*i*). And by subsequent acts (*j*), books, wherein the copyright is subsisting, first composed or written or printed in the United Kingdom, and printed or reprinted in any other country, are absolutely prohibited to be imported either into the United Kingdom or into the British possessions abroad, provided the proprietor of such copyright, or his agent, shall have given notice in writing to the commissioners of customs that such copyright subsists, and in such notice shall have stated when the copyright will expire. But by another act (*k*) it is provided, that in case the proper legislative authorities in any British possession shall make any act or ordinance to make due provision for securing the rights of British authors in such possession, her Majesty, on the same being transmitted to the Secretary of State, may, if she think fit so to do, express her royal approval of such act or ordinance, and thereupon may issue an order in council declaring that, so long as the provisions of such act or ordinance con-

(*h*) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 45, s. 26. 9; and 16 & 17 Vict. c. 107, ss. 44,

(*i*) Sect. 17. 160.

(*j*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 93, s. (k) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 95..

tinue in force within such colony, the prohibitions contained in the above-mentioned acts, or in any other acts, with respect to foreign reprints of books first composed, written, printed or published in the United Kingdom, and entitled to copyright therein, shall be suspended so far as regards such colony; and thereupon such act or ordinance shall come into operation, except so far as may be otherwise provided therein, or as may be otherwise directed by such order in council (1).

By acts of parliament of an older date, copyright has also been created in prints, engravings, maps, charts and plans for the term of twenty-eight years, to commence from the day of first publishing thereof; which day, together with the proprietor's name, is to be truly engraved on each plate, and printed on every print (m). But these acts do not apply to illustrative wood engravings printed on the same sheet as the letter-press of a book, as such engravings form part of the book and are comprised within its copyright (n). Under these acts the assignee of the copyright may bring an action in his own name against any person who may pirate it (o). And by a modern statute (p) all the provisions contained in these acts are extended to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland. And it is provided (q) that, if any person shall, during the existence of the copyright, engrave, etch or publish any engraving or print of any description whatever, either in whole or in part, already published in any part of Great Britain or Ireland, without the express consent of the proprietor or pro-

Copyright in  
prints, maps,  
&c.

(1) Several British colonies have obtained Orders in Council under this act. See 6 Jur. N. S. pt. 2, p. 45.

(m) 8 Geo. II. c. 13, amended by 7 Geo. III. c. 38, and rendered more effectual by 17 Geo. III. c. 57. *Gambart v Sumner*, 5 H. &

N. 5.

(n) *Bogue v. Houlston*, 5 De Gex & Smale, 267; S. C. 16 Jur. 272.

(o) *Thompson v. Symonds*, 5 T. Rep. 41.

(p) Stat. 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 59, s. 1.

(q) Sect. 2.

prietors thereof first obtained in writing signed by him, her or them respectively, with his, her or their own hand or hands, in the presence of and attested by two or more credible witnesses, then every such proprietor may, by a separate action upon the case, to be brought against the person so offending, in any court of law in Great Britain or Ireland, recover such damages as the jury shall assess, together with double costs of suit. By a more recent act it is declared that the provisions of the above-mentioned statutes are intended to include prints taken by lithography, or any other mechanical process by which prints or impressions of drawings or designs are capable of being multiplied indefinitely (*r*).

**Copyright in  
sculptures, &c.**

By other acts of parliament copyright has been granted to the makers of new and original sculptures, models, copies and casts for the term of fourteen years from their first putting forth or publishing the same (*s*), with a further term of fourteen years to the original maker, if he shall be then living (*t*); provided that in every case the proprietor cause his name, with the date, to be put on every such sculpture, model, copy or cast before the same shall be put forth or published (*u*). And it is also provided that no person who shall purchase the right or property of any such sculpture, model, copy or cast of the proprietor, expressed in a deed in writing signed by him with his own hand, in the presence of and attested by two or more credible witnesses, shall be subject to any action for copying, casting or vending the same (*x*). By the Designs Act, 1850 (*y*), provision has been made for the registration of sculptures, models, copies and casts within the protection of the Sculpture Copy-

(*r*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 12,  
s. 14.

(*s*) 38 Geo. III. c. 71, amended  
by 54 Geo. III. c. 56.

(*t*) 54 Geo. III. c. 56, s. 6.

(*u*) Sect. 1.

(*x*) Sect. 4.

(*y*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 104,  
s. 6.

right Acts, which registration entitles the proprietor of the copyright to certain penalties in case of piracy (z). And with regard to paintings, drawings and photographs, it is now provided that the exclusive right of copying, engraving, reproducing and multiplying them by any means and of any size shall belong to the author, being a British subject or resident within the dominions of the Crown, for the term of his life and seven years after his death (a). And a register of proprietors of copyright in paintings, drawings and lithographs is established at Stationers' Hall, subject to similar regulations to that established for the registry of copyright in books (b).

Paintings,  
drawings and  
photographs.

By an act of parliament recently passed to amend the law of international copyright (c), her Majesty is empowered by any order in council to grant the privilege of copyright for such period as shall be defined in such order (not exceeding the term allowed in this country), to the authors, inventors and makers of books, prints, articles of sculpture and other works of art, or any particular class of them, to be defined in such order, which shall, after a future time to be specified in such order, be first published in any foreign country, to be named in such order. And her Majesty is also empowered (d) by any order in council to direct that the authors of dramatic pieces and musical compositions, which shall, after a future time to be specified in such order, be first publicly represented or performed in any foreign country, to be named in such order, shall have the sole liberty of representing or performing in any part of the British dominions such dramatic pieces or musical compositions

International  
copyright.

(z) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 104, s. 7.

(a) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 68, s. 1.

(b) Sects. 4, 5, *ante*, p. 227.

(c) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 12, ss. 2, 3, 4, extended to paintings, drawings and photographs by stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 68, s. 12.

(d) Sect. 5.

during such period as shall be defined in such order, not exceeding the period allowed in this country. Provision however is made for the entry of proper particulars of the subjects for which copyrights shall be granted in the register book of the Stationers' Company in London, within a time to be prescribed in each such order in council (*e*). And all copies of books wherein there shall be any subsisting copyright by virtue of this act, or of any order in council made in pursuance thereof, printed or reprinted in any foreign country, except that in which such books were first published, are absolutely prohibited to be imported into any part of the British dominions, except with the consent of the registered proprietor of the copyright thereof, or his agent authorized in writing (*f*). But no such order in council shall have any effect unless it shall be therein stated, as the ground for issuing the same, that due protection has been secured by the foreign power named in such order in council for the benefit of parties interested in works first published in the dominions of her Majesty, similar to those comprised in such order (*g*). And every such order in council is to be published in the London Gazette as soon as may be after the making thereof, and from the time of such publication shall have the same effect as if every part thereof were included in the act (*h*). And no copyright is allowed to any book, dramatic piece, musical composition, print, article of sculpture, or other work of art, first published out of her Majesty's dominions, otherwise than under this act. A convention under this act has already been effected with France, the stipulations of which have been confirmed by act of parliament (*i*). And the provisions of the International Copyright Act have been extended to authorized translations of foreign books for a term not exceeding five years from the first

(*e*) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 12, ss. 6,  
7, 8, 9; *Cassell v. Stiff*, 2 Kay &  
J. 279.  
(*f*) Sect. 10.

(*g*) Sect. 14.

(*h*) Sect. 15.

(*i*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 12.

publication of such translations (*k*); also to authorized translations of foreign dramatic pieces for a term not exceeding five years from the time at which the authorized translations are first published or publicly represented (*l*), but so as not to prevent fair imitations or adaptations to the English stage of any dramatic piece or musical composition published in any foreign country (*m*).

No person can print or publish any newspaper before **Newspapers.** delivering at the Stamp Office a declaration containing, amongst other things, the true name, addition and place of abode of the printer and publisher, and of every proprietor resident out of the United Kingdom, and also of every proprietor resident in the United Kingdom, if their number shall not exceed two, exclusive of the printer and publisher; and if their number should exceed two, then the names of two of the proprietors must be given, the amount of whose shares shall not be less than the share of any other proprietor resident in the United Kingdom, exclusive of the printer and publisher; and the amount of their shares must be specified (*n*). Under this act if one person holds in trust for another, both names must be mentioned (*o*); and a mortgagee must be mentioned also, otherwise the right to publish the newspaper will be considered as goods of the mortgagee in the order and disposition of the mortgagor, and will accordingly, in the event of his bankruptcy, pass to his assignees (*p*).

By recent statutes a copyright has been granted to designs for articles of manufacture for the term of three **Designs for articles of manufacture.**

(*k*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 12,  
ss. 1, 2, 3, 4.

(*l*) Sects. 4, 5.

(*m*) Sect. 6.

(*n*) Stat. 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 76,

s. 6.

(*o*) *Harmer v. Westmacott*, 6 Sim.  
284.

(*p*) *Longman v. Tripp*, 2 Bos. &  
Pull. N. Rep. 67; *Ex parte Foss, Re*  
*Baldwyn*, 2 De Gex & Jones, 230.



years, one year, or nine calendar months, according to the nature of the manufacture (*q*); and, in pursuance of these acts, a registrar of designs for articles of manufacture has been appointed, by whom all designs to be protected by the acts are required to be registered (*r*); and provision is also made for the transfer of the copyright in such designs by any writing purporting to be a transfer, and signed by the proprietor, and also for the registration of transfers in a prescribed form (*s*). These acts have been extended and amended by the Designs Act, 1850 (*t*), which provides for the "provisional registration" of designs for the term of one year, and empowers the Board of Trade to extend the copyright in ornamental designs for such term, not exceeding the additional term of three years, as the board may think fit (*u*). A more recent statute extends the copyright in certain ornamental designs (*x*), and provides for the registration of any pattern or portion of any article of manufacture instead of a drawing or description (*y*). It also enables proceedings for piracy to be brought in the county court (*z*).

#### Trade marks.

The marks often used by manufacturers to designate goods made by them are not strictly speaking property (*a*); but the Court of Chancery will restrain a third person from passing off his own goods as those made by another, by the use of that other person's trade mark. And when a business, with the machinery

(*q*) Stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 100, by which all the previous statutes were consolidated, and 6 & 7 Vict. c. 65; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 70; 24 & 25 Vict. c. 73.

(*r*) 6 & 7 Vict. c. 65, ss. 7, 8, 9.

(*s*) 5 & 6 Vict. c. 100, s. 6; 6 & 7 Vict. c. 65, s. 6.

(*t*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 104. See also stats. 14 & 15 Vict. c. 8,

extended by stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 6.

(*u*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 104, s. 9.

(*x*) Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 70, s. 3.

(*y*) Sect. 5.

(*z*) Sects. 8, 9.

(*a*) *Collins Co. v. Brown*, 3 Kay & J. 423.

and trade marks, is assigned from one person to another, the assignee has the same right as the assignor had before to prevent others from using the marks (*b*). A trade mark may belong to particular works as well as to particular persons (*c*). But those who themselves deceive the public cannot prevent others from using their marks (*d*). A recent act of parliament has amended the law relating to the fraudulent marking of merchandize (*e*), and has made the forging of trade marks or their wrongful application to articles of merchandize a misdemeanor (*f*). And every person who now contracts to sell any article with any trade mark thereon is deemed to warrant that such mark is genuine, unless the contrary be expressed in some writing signed by or on behalf of the vendor, and delivered to and accepted by the purchaser (*g*). And the same provision has been made with respect to any description, statement or other indication of or respecting the number, quantity, measure or weight of any article, or the place or country in which it shall have been made or produced (*h*).

New enactment.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>(<i>b</i>) <i>Edelston v. Vick</i>, 11 Hare,<br/>78.<br/>(<i>c</i>) <i>Motley v. Downman</i>, 3 My. &amp;<br/>Cr. 1.<br/>(<i>d</i>) <i>Pidding v. Hote</i>, 8 Sim. 477 ;</p> | <p><i>Perry v. Truefitt</i>, 6 Beav. 66.<br/>(<i>e</i>) Stat. 25 &amp; 26 Vict. c. 88.<br/>(<i>f</i>) Sects. 2, 3.<br/>(<i>g</i>) Sect. 19.<br/>(<i>h</i>) Sect. 20.</p> |
|---|--|

## PART IV.

## OF PERSONAL ESTATE GENERALLY.



## CHAPTER I.

## OF SETTLEMENTS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.

No estate for  
life.

PERSONAL property is capable of being settled, but not in the same manner as land. Land, being held by estates, is settled by means of life estates being given to some persons, with estates in remainder in tail and in fee simple to others. But personal property, as we have already observed (a), is essentially the subject of absolute ownership. The settlement of such property, by the creation of estates in it, cannot therefore be accomplished. And there is a striking difference in many cases between the effect of the same limitation, according as it may be applied to real or to personal property.

As there can be no estate in personal property, it follows that there can be no such thing as an estate for life in such property in the strict meaning of the phrase. Thus if any chattel, whether real or personal, be assigned to A. for his life, A. will at once become entitled in law to the whole. By the assignment the property in the chattel passes to him, and the law knows nothing of a reversion in such chattel remaining in the assignor. And this is the case even though the chattel be a term of years of such length (for instance 1000 years) that A. could not possibly live so long (b). The term is con-

(a) *Ante*, p. 7.

(b) 2 *Prest. Abs.* 5.

sidered in law as an indivisible chattel, and consequently incapable of any such modification of ownership as is contained in a life estate.

An apparent exception to the above rule has long been established in the case of a bequest by will of a term of years to a person for his life: in this case the intention of the testator is carried into effect by the application of a doctrine similar to that of *executory devises* of real estates (*c*). The whole term of years is considered as vesting in the legatee for life, in the same manner as under an assignment by deed; but on his decease the term is held to shift away from him, and to vest, by way of *executory bequest*, in the person to be next entitled (*d*). Accordingly, if a term of years be bequeathed to A. for his life, and after his decease to B., A. will have, during his life, the whole term vested in him, and B. will have no vested estate, but a mere *possibility*, as it is termed (*e*), until after the decease of A.; and this possibility, like the possibility of obtaining a real estate, was formerly inalienable at law unless by will (*f*), though capable of assignment in equity (*g*). But by the act to amend the law of real property (*h*), which repeals an act of the previous session passed for the same purpose (*i*), it is now provided that an executory and a future interest, and a possibility coupled with an interest, in any tenements or hereditaments of any tenure may be disposed of by deed. B. may, therefore, during the life of A., assign his expectancy by deed; and such assignment will entitle the assignee to the whole term on A.'s decease. If, how-

Bequest of a term for life.

Executory bequests.

Possibility.

How alienable.

(c) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 249, 2nd ed.; 256, 3rd ed.; 259, 4th ed.; 270, 5th ed.; 284, 6th ed.

(d) *Matthew Manning's case*, 8 Rep. 95; *Lampert's case*, 10 Rep. 47.

(e) See Principles of the Law

of Real Property, 228, 2nd ed.; 230, 3rd ed.; 231, 4th ed.; 240, 5th ed.; 250, 6th ed.

(f) Shep. Touch. 230.

(g) *Fearne*, Cont. Rem. 548.

(h) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 106, s. 6.

(i) Stat. 7 & 8 Vict. c. 76, s. 5.

ever, no such assignment should have been made, B. will become, on the decease of A., possessed of the whole term, which will then shift to B. by virtue of the executory bequest in his favour. The mere circumstance, indeed, of the term being bequeathed to A. for his life only, will operate to shift away the term on his decease (*j*), independently of the bequest to B. ; so that, if there had been no bequest over to B., the interest of A. would continue only during his life, and the residue of the term would then remain part of the undisposed-of property of the testator. It may, however, be doubted whether the doctrine of executory bequests is applicable in law to any other chattels than chattels real (*k*).

Life interests  
in equity.

The strict and ancient doctrine of the indivisibility of a chattel, though still retained by the courts of law, has no place in the modern Court of Chancery, which, in administering equity, carries out to the utmost the intentions of the parties. In equity, therefore, under a gift of personal property of any kind to A. for his life, and after his decease to B., A. is merely entitled to a life interest, and B. has, during the life of A., a vested interest in remainder, of which he may dispose at his pleasure, and the Court of Chancery will compel the person to whom the courts of law may have awarded the legal interest to make good the disposition. Accordingly, if the personal property so given should consist of moveable goods, equity will compel A., the owner for life, to furnish and sign an inventory of the goods, and an undertaking to take proper care of them (*l*). This doctrine, however, is comparatively of modern date; for formerly the Court of Chancery followed the rules

Ancient distinction between a gift of goods and a gift of the use of goods.

- (*j*) *Eyres v. Faulkland*, 1 Salk. 747, 2nd ed.; *Hoare v. Parker*, 2 231; *Ker v. Lord Dungannon*, 1 T. Rep. 376.  
*Dru. & War.* 509, 528. .  
 (*l*) *Fearne, Cont. Rem.* 407;  
 (*k*) *Fearne, Cont. Rem.* 413. *Conduitt v. Soane*, 1 Coll. 285.  
 See, however, 1 Jarm. Wills, 793;

of law in the construction of such gifts; and if a gift of moveable goods had been made to A. for his life, and after his decease to B., they would not have afforded to B. any assistance after A.'s decease (*m*). But if the gift had been of the *use or enjoyment* of the goods only to A. for his life, and after his decease to B., the court would then have assisted B. by declaring A.'s representatives after his decease to be trustees only for the benefit of B. (*n*). But this distinction is now exploded; and the only case in which the tenant for life is now entitled absolutely to things given to him for life is, that of articles *quæ ipso usu consumuntur*, as wines, &c., a gift of which to a person for his life vests in him the absolute ownership (*o*). In all other cases, as we have said, modern equity will assist the donee in remainder, to whom any gift of personal estate may be made after the decease of another who is to have them only for his life (*p*). When, therefore, it is wished to make a settlement of any kind of personal property, the doctrine of the Court of Chancery is at once resorted to. The property is assigned to trustees, *in trust* for A. for his life, and after his decease *in trust* for B., &c. This assignment to the trustees vests in them the whole legal interest in the property; and in a court of law they are held to be absolutely entitled to it; for the Statute of Uses (*q*) has no application to any kind of personal estate. But in equity the trustees are compellable to pay the entire income to A. for his life, and after his decease to B., and so on according to the trusts of the settlement; and if B. should alien his interest during the life of A., the trustees will be bound, on having notice of the disposition, to stand

Articles *quæ ipso usu consumuntur*.

Settlement of personal property by means of trusts.

(*m*) Fearne, Cont. Rem. 402.

(*n*) *Ibid.* 404.

(*o*) *Randall v. Russell*, 3 Meriv. 190; *Andrew v. Andrew*, 1 Coll. 690.

(*p*) Fearne, Cont. Rem. 406.\*

(*q*) 27 Hen. VIII. c. 10; Principles of the Law of Real Property, 126, 2nd ed.; 131, 3rd and 4th eds.; 136, 5th ed.; 142, 6th ed.

possessed of the property, after A.'s decease, in trust for the alienee (r).

#### Bonus.

When shares in joint stock companies are settled in the manner above mentioned, it sometimes becomes a question whether any extraordinary profit which may be divided amongst the shareholders by way of bonus should be considered as capital or as interest. The equitable tenant for life is too frequently inclined to consider himself entitled to any bonus in the same manner as to ordinary dividends. The Court of Chancery, however, usually considers every bonus, whether consisting of additional joint stock or shares (s), or simply of money (t), as part of the capital, unless it appear to be nothing more than an increased dividend arising from the increased profits of the year (u). In the absence, therefore, of any special provision to the contrary, every bonus ought to be invested upon the trusts of the settlement, and the income only paid to the tenant for life.

#### Apportionment of income.

By a modern act of parliament (v), on the decease of a person entitled to a life interest in any income, made payable or coming due at fixed periods, of any property, whether real or personal, his executors or administrators are entitled to recover from the remainderman an apportioned part of the next payment of the income, according to the time which shall have elapsed since the last period of payment, up to and including the day of the decease of such person. And when any other limited

(r) A form of marriage settlement of stock and other personal estate upon the usual trusts will be found in Appendix (B).

(s) *Brander v. Brander*, 4 Ves. 800; *Hooper v. Rossiter*, 13 Price, 774; *S. C.*, *McClelland*, 527.

(t) *Paris v. Paris*, 10 Ves. 185; *Ward v. Combe*, 7 Sim. 634. See

also *Gilly v. Burley*, 22 Beav. 619, 624, and the cases there collected.

(u) *Barclay v. Wainwright*, 11 Ves. 66; *Price v. Anderson*, 15 Sim. 473; *Preston v. Melville*, 16 Sim. 163.

(v) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 22, s. 2. *Re Maxwell's trusts*, V. C. W. 9 Jur. N. S. 350.

interest determines, a similar right to an apportionment is also given. But the act makes no apportionment of rent between the heir or devisee and the executor of a tenant in fee simple (*w*). And where the property ceases with the interest, and does not go over to another, as in the case of a life annuity, the act appears inapplicable; and the right to an apportioned part should therefore, if desired, be expressly conferred (*x*). The act extends only to instruments executed, and wills coming into operation, after the passing of the act, which took place on the 16th June, 1834 (*y*); and its provisions do not apply to any case in which it is expressly stipulated that no apportionment shall take place, or to annuities made payable in policies of assurance of any description (*z*). Previously to this act no apportionment was made of annuities, or of the dividends of stock settled in trust for one person for life, with remainder to another; but the remainderman was entitled to the whole of the annuity or dividend which fell due next after the decease of the person entitled for life (*a*). But in a case where the tenant for life of stock died on the day on which a half-year's dividend became due, it was held that it belonged to his personal estate (*b*). If an annuity were given for the maintenance of an infant (*c*), or of a married woman living separate from her husband (*d*), the necessity of the case was considered a ground for presuming that an apportionment was intended. The interest of money

Previous law.

Annuity given for maintenance.

Interest was always apportioned.

(*w*) *Brown v. Amyot*, 3 Hare, 173, 183; *Beer v. Beer*, C. P. 16 Jur. 223, 225; 12 C. B. 60; *Re Clulow*, 3 Kay & J. 689.

(*x*) But see *Carter v. Taggart*, 16 Sim. 447.

(*y*) *Michell v. Michell*, 4 Beav. 549; *Knight v. Boughton*, 12 Beav. 312.

(*z*) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 22, s. 3.

(*a*) *Pearly v. Smith*, 3 Atk. 260; *Sherrard v. Sherrard*, 3 Atk. 502; *Warden v. Ashburner*, 2 De Gex & Smale, 366.

(*b*) *Paton v. Sheppard*, 10 Sim. 186.

(*c*) *Hay v. Palmer*, 2 P. Wms. 501; 1 Swanst. 349, note.

(*d*) *Howell v. Hanforth*, 2 W. Black. 1096.



lent was also always apportioned; for though the payment of such interest be made half-yearly, yet it becomes due *de die in diem*, so long as the principal remains unpaid (e).

No estate tail in personal property.

An estate tail, such as that created by a gift of lands to a man and the heirs of his body (f), has nothing analogous to it in personal property. An estate tail cannot be held in such property at law, neither does equity admit of any similar interest. A gift of personal property of any kind to A. and the heirs of his body will simply vest in him the property given (g). And in the construction of wills, where many informal expressions are allowed to vest an estate tail in lands, the general rule is, that expressions, which if applied to real estate would confer an estate tail, shall, when applied to personal property, simply give the absolute interest (h). The same effect will be produced by a gift of such property to a man and his heirs. The words "heirs," and "heirs of his body," are quite inapplicable to personal estate; the heir, as heir, has nothing to do with the personal property of his ancestor. Such property has nothing hereditary in its nature, but simply belongs to its owner for the time being. Hence, a gift of personal property to A. simply, without more, is sufficient to vest in him the absolute interest (i). Whilst, under the very same words, he would acquire a life interest only in real estate (j), he will become absolutely entitled to personal property.

Word "heirs" inapplicable to personal estate.

A simple gift sufficient.

(e) *Edwards v. Countess of Warwick*, 2 P. Wms. 176; *Banner v. Lowe*, 13 Vcs. 135; *Re Rogers's trusts*, 1 D. & S. 339.

(f) See *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 28, 2nd ed.; 30, 3rd and 4th eds.; 33, 5th and 6th eds.

(g) *Fearne, Cont. Rem* 461, 463;

*Doncaster v. Doncaster*, 3 Kay & J. 26.

(h) 2 Jarm. Wills, ch. 44, p. 534, 3rd ed.

(i) *Byng v. Lord Strafford*, 5 Beav. 558.

(j) *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 17, 114, 2nd ed.; 18, 119, 3rd and 4th eds.; 19, 125, 5th ed.; 131, 6th ed.

Thus a gift of lands to A. for life, and after his decease to B., gives to B. a mere life interest in remainder expectant on the decease of A. (*k*); unless indeed the gift be by will under the act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills (*l*). But a gift of personal property to A. for life, and after his decease to B., gives to B. a vested equitable interest in the corpus or body of the fund, to which he becomes absolutely entitled, subject only to A.'s life interest; and the circumstance of B.'s dying in the lifetime of A. would be immaterial (*m*).

It is true that in deeds and other legal instruments it is usual to transfer personal estate absolutely, by the use of the words "executors, administrators and assigns." As real estate is conveyed to a man, his heirs and assigns (*n*), so personal property is assigned to him, his executors, administrators and assigns. The executor or administrator is, as we shall see, the person who becomes legally entitled to a man's personal estate after his decease; in the same manner that a man's heir or assign becomes entitled to his real property. But the analogy extends no further. There is no necessity for the use of these terms (*o*) as there is for the employment of the word "heirs." These terms, however, are constantly employed in conveyancing as words of limitation of an absolute interest; and a rule has sprung up with respect to their construction similar to the rule in Shelley's case, by which the word "heirs," when following a life estate given to the ancestor, is merely a word of limitation,

Use of the words "executors, administrators and assigns."

Rule in Shelley's case.

(*k*) *Goodtitle d. Richards v. Edwards*, 7 T. Rep. 634.

(*l*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 28.

(*m*) *Benyon v. Maddison*, 2 Bro. C. C. 75.

(*n*) Principles of the Law of

Real Property, 115, 2nd ed.; 120, 3rd and 4th eds.; 126, 5th ed.; 132, 6th ed.

(*o*) *Elliott v. Davenport*, 1 P. Wms. 84. See *Earl of Lonsdale v. Countess of Berchtoldt*, 1 Kay, 646.

giving to such ancestor an estate in fee (*p*). Thus, if money or stock be settled in trust for A. for life, and after his decease in trust for his executors, administrators and assigns, A. will be simply entitled absolutely (*q*); in the same manner as a gift of lands to A. for his life, with remainder to his heirs and assigns, gives him an estate in fee simple. But as the rule, so far as it applies to personal property, is not founded on the same strict principle as the rule in Shelley's case, a gift of such property to the executors or administrators (not adding assigns) of a person who has taken a previous life interest may, under peculiar circumstances, be construed as giving him no further interest in such property (*r*); whilst, under the same circumstances, the word "heirs" in a gift of real estate would have given him the fee simple.

Rules as to contingent remainders do not apply to contingent dispositions of personal property.

As no estates can subsist in personal property, it follows that the rules, on which contingent remainders in freehold lands depend for their existence, have never had any application to contingent dispositions of personal property. Such dispositions partake rather of the indestructible nature of executory devises and shifting uses. Thus a gift of lands to A. for his life, and after his decease to such son of A. as shall first attain the age of twenty-one years, creates a contingent remainder, which will fail in the event of no son of A. having attained the prescribed age at the time of his decease (*s*). The reason of this failure depends on the ancient rule, that there must always be some defined owner of the

(*p*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 207, 2nd ed.; 214, 3rd ed.; 215, 4th ed.; 224, 5th ed.; 234, 6th ed.

(*q*) Co. Litt. 54 b; *Hames v. Hames*, 2 Keen, 646; *Graffley v. Humpage*, 1 Beav. 46; *Howell v. Gayler*, 5 Beav. 157; *Meryon v. Collett*, 8 Beav. 386; *Morris v.*

*Howes*, 4 Hare, 599.

(*r*) *Wallis v. Taylor*, 8 Sim. 241; see 1 Beav. 52; *Daniel v. Dudley*, 1 Phi. 1; *Attorney-General v. Mal-kin*, 2 Phi. 64; *Mackenzie v. Mac-kenzie*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 559.

(*s*) *Festing v. Allen*, 12 Mee. & Wels. 279; 5 Hare, 573.

feudal possession; and, consequently, between the time of the death of A. and the time of his son's attaining the age of twenty-one years, some owner of the freehold ought to have been appointed, in whom the feudal possession might continue(*t*). Personal property, however, has evidently nothing to do with these feudal rules relating to possession. If, therefore, a gift be made of personal property to trustees, in trust for A. for his life, and after his decease, in trust for such son of A. as shall first attain the age of twenty-one years; or if a term of years be bequeathed to A. for his life, and after his decease to such son of A. as shall first attain the age of twenty-one years; it will be immaterial whether or not the son attain the age of twenty-one years in the lifetime of his father. On his attaining that age, he will become entitled quite independently of his father's interest. His ownership will spring up, as it were, on the given event of his attaining the age. But as the indestructible nature of these future dispositions of personal estate might lead to trusts of indefinite duration, the rule of perpetuities, which confines executory interests within a life or lives in being, and twenty-one years afterwards, with a further allowance for the time of gestation, should it exist(*u*), applies equally to personal as to real estate. And the further restriction on the accumulation of income imposed by the Thellusson Act(*x*) applies to trusts for the accumulation of the income of personal estate as well as real.

Limit to  
future dispo-  
sitions.

Restraint on  
accumulation.

Equitable interests in personal property of a future kind may be created through the instrumentality of Powers.

(*t*) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 200, 1st ed.; 217, 2nd ed.; 224, 3rd and 4th eds.; 233, 5th ed.; 246, 6th ed.

(*u*) Principles of the Law of Real Property, 242, 1st ed.; 251, 2nd ed.; 259, 3rd ed.; 262, 4th ed.;

272, 5th ed.; 286, 6th ed.

(*x*) Stat. 39 & 40 Geo. III. c.<sup>o</sup> 98; Principles of the Law of Real Property, 243, 1st ed.; 253, 2nd ed.; 260, 3rd ed.; 263, 4th ed.; 274, 5th ed.; 288, 6th ed.

powers, in a similar manner, and to the same extent, as future estates in land (*y*). Thus stock in the funds may be vested in trustees upon such trusts as B. shall by any deed or by his will appoint, and in default of and until any such appointment, in trust for C., or upon any other trusts. Here C. will have a vested interest in the stock, subject to be divested or destroyed by B.'s exercising his power of appointment; and B., though not owner of the stock, has power to dispose of it by deed or will, and may if he please appoint to himself; in which case the trustees will be bound to transfer it to him. If the power should not be exercised by B., C. will then be entitled absolutely; and will not, as in the case of landed property, be subject to judgment debts incurred by B. (*z*), or to any other of his debts. But if B. should exercise his power by deed without valuable consideration, or by will, in favour of a third person, the stock so appointed would be considered in equity as part of the assets of B. the appointor, and would be subject to the demands of his creditors in preference to the claim of the appointee (*a*).

If power is exercised without valuable consideration, the property appointed is subject to debts of appointor.

#### Bankruptcy.

In case of bankruptcy (*b*), it is also provided that all powers vested in the bankrupt, which he might legally execute for his own benefit (except the right of nomination to any vacant ecclesiastical benefice), may be executed by the assignees for the benefit of the creditors in the same manner as the bankrupt might have executed the same.

#### Rules respecting powers over real estate ap-

The rules respecting the necessity of a compliance with the terms and formalities of the power, whenever it

(*y*) See *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 231 *et seq.* 1st ed.; 236, 2nd ed.; 243, 3rd ed.; 245, 4th ed.; 255, 5th ed.; 266, 6th ed.

(*z*) *Ibid.*

(*a*) *Lassells v. Cornwallis*, 2 Vern. 465; *Bainton v. Ward*, 2 Atk. 172.

The doctrine applies also to appointments of real estate. See *Fleming v. Buchanan*, 3 De Gex, M. & G. 976.

(*b*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 147, repealing stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 77, to the same effect.

is exercised otherwise than by will (*c*), and the relief afforded by the Court of Chancery on the defective exercise of a power (*d*), apply as well to personal as to real property. Powers over personal estate may also be exercised by women, without their husbands' consent, and also in favour of their husbands, in the same manner as powers over land (*e*); and the provision of the recent Wills Act, which requires wills made in exercise of powers to be executed and attested like all other wills (*f*), applies equally to powers over personal estate. A general bequest of personal estate will also now include any personal estate which the testator may have only a *power* to appoint as he may think fit, in the same manner as a general devise of real estate will comprise real estate subject to any such power (*g*).

ply to powers  
over personal  
property.

A frequent instance of the employment of a power over personalty occurs in the case of children's portions, which are usually settled on all the children equally, subject to a power given to the parents to appoint the shares in a different manner. When such a power is exercised, the shares previously vested in the children are divested from them, and new shares are vested in them by the operation of the power. Formerly, if such a power were so worded as not to authorize an exclusive appointment to some or one of the children, it was held by the Court of Chancery, as a rule of equity, that each child ought to have a substantial share; and an appointment to any

Appointment  
of children's  
portions.

(*c*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 238, 2nd ed.; 245, 3rd ed.; 247, 4th ed.; 257, 5th ed.; 268, 6th ed. See now as to deeds, stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 12.

(*d*) *Ibid.* 239, 2nd ed.; 246, 3rd ed.; 248, 4th ed.; 258, 5th ed.; 269, 6th ed.

(*e*) *Ibid.* 241, 2nd ed.; 248, 3rd ed.; 250, 4th ed.; 260, 5th ed.; 279, 6th ed.

(*f*) *Ibid.* 240, 2nd ed.; 247, 3rd ed.; 249, 4th ed.; 259, 5th ed.; 271, 6th ed.

(*g*) *Ibid.* 242, 2nd ed.; 249, 3rd ed.; 251, 4th ed.; 261, 5th ed.; 273, 6th ed.

Illusory appointments.

The doctrine of equity now abolished.

child of a very small share was called an *illusory appointment*, and was held void (*h*). But this doctrine having given rise to difficulties and family disputes, from the uncertainty of the question what was too small or what a sufficient share, the meddlesome doctrine of equity on this point was a few years ago abolished by act of parliament (*i*); and now the appointment of any share, however small, cannot be set aside on the ground of its being illusory. The act extends, as did the doctrine, to real estate as well as personal; but landed property is, from its nature, seldom cut up into little portions.

Exclusive appointment, when void.

Although no appointment is now void for being illusory, yet where an exclusive appointment is not authorized, any appointment, by which any object of the power would be entirely excluded, is still void. Thus, if 1,000*l.* be given to A., B. and C. in such shares as their father shall appoint, and in default of appointment to them equally, an appointment of 900*l.* to A. would now be good, as 100*l.* would remain to be equally divided between the three (*h*), of which B. and C. would get each one-third (*l*). But a subsequent appointment of the remaining 100*l.* to B. would be void, as altogether excluding C., who is equally an object of the power (*m*). It is customary, however, in modern settlements to give to parents a power of appointment in favour of any one or more of the children exclusively of the others. And in order that those to whom appointments have been made should not obtain more than may have been intended for them, it is generally provided that no child taking any share of the fund under any appointment

\* (*h*) 1 Sugd. Pow. 568 *et seq.*; 202.

449, 8th ed.; Chance on Powers, 396 *et seq.*

(*i*) Stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 46.

(*k*) *Young v. Waterpark*, 13 Sim.

(*l*) *Wilson v. Piggott*, 2 Ves. jun. 351; *Wombwell v. Hanrott*, 14 Beav. 143.

See *Foster v. Cautley*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 55.

(*m*) 2 Ves. jun. 355.

shall be entitled to any share in the part unappointed without bringing his or her share into *hotchpot*, and accounting for the same accordingly. Under such a provision, A., in the instance above given, would not be entitled to any share in the 100*l.* unappointed, without also agreeing to a like division of his 900*l.* amongst himself and the others. The clause of *hotchpot* operates favourably to the representatives of those children who may happen to die before any appointment shall have been made to them. For when a power is given to appoint amongst children, no appointment can be made to the executors or administrators of those who may have died (*n*); so that such executors or administrators cannot possibly take more than the aliquot part given to the deceased child in default of any appointment; whilst they may be partially or totally excluded even from that by a partial or complete exercise of the power of appointment in favour of the surviving children, or even of a single survivor. When the appointment is partial only, the executors or administrators of a deceased child will, under the *hotchpot* clause, divide the fund unappointed with the other children to whom no appointment may have been made; whereas, without such a clause, the children to whom appointments may have been made would be equally entitled to participate in the part unappointed (*o*).

Hotchpot.

No appointment can be made to executors or administrators of deceased objects.

When a power is given to appoint property amongst a particular class, no portion of the fund can be appointed in favour of any person who is not a member of that class; and any appointment to such person will accordingly be void. Thus, if the power be to appoint the property to all or any of the *children* of the appointor

Appointment amongst a class.

Children.

(*n*) *Boyle v. The Bishop of Peterborough*, 1 Ves. jun. 299; *Ricketts v. Loftus*, 4 You. & Coll. 519.

(*o*) *Wilson v. Piggott*, 2 Ves. jun.

351; *Wombwell v. Hanrott*, 14 Beav. 143; *Walmesley v. Vaughan*, 1 De Gex & Jones, 114.



Nephews.

Younger children.

Child *en ventre sa mère*.

When an appointment to the issue of a child is good.

in such manner as he may think fit, no interest in the property can be appointed to any *grandchild* of the appointor; for a grandchild is not an object of the power (*p*). So if the power be to appoint amongst nephews or grand-nephews, those only can take any shares who answer that description (*q*). Again, if the power be to appoint portions amongst younger children, nothing can be taken by a younger son who afterwards becomes the eldest by the decease of his elder brother (*r*); although if he should have actually received any share in the money whilst a younger son, he will not be obliged to refund it on becoming the eldest (*s*). The word "younger," however, is not, in parental provisions (*t*), taken literally, but as meaning any child who may not be entitled to the family estate. Therefore a daughter, who may be the eldest child, would be considered as a proper object of a power to appoint amongst the younger children, whilst her younger brother, being the eldest son entitled to the family estate, would not be allowed to participate (*u*). And in the same manner a second son becoming the eldest, but not obtaining the family estate, would be allowed a share (*v*). A power to appoint amongst children living at their father's decease includes a child *en ventre sa mère* (*w*).

In some cases where the power only authorizes an appointment amongst children, an appointment in favour

(*p*) *Alexander v. Alexander*, 2 8th ed.

Ves. sen. 640; *Bristow v. Warde*,  
2 Ves. jun. 336.

(*q*) *Falkner v. Butler*, Amb. 514;  
*Waring v. Lee*, 8 Beav. 247.

(*r*) *Chadwick v. Doleman*, Vern.  
528; *Lord Teynham v. Webb*, 2  
Ves. sen. 198; *Gray v. Earl of*  
*Limerick*, 2 De Gex & Smale,  
370. See *Sandeman v. Mackenzie*,  
1 John. & H. 613.

(*s*) 2 Sugd. Pow. 293; 680,

(*t*) *Hall v. Hewer*, Amb. 203;  
*Lyddon v. Ellison*, 19 Beav. 565.

(*u*) *Pierson v. Garnet*, 2 Bro.  
C. C. 38; *Hencage v. Hunloke*, 2  
Atk. 456; *Beale v. Beale*, 1 P.  
Wms. 244.

(*v*) *Spencer v. Spencer*, 8 Sim.  
87; *Macconbrey v. Jones*, 2 Kay &  
J. 684.

(*w*) *Beale v. Beale*, 1 P. Wms.  
244.

of the issue of a child may be sustained as being, in effect, first an appointment to the child, and then an assignment by such child in favour of his issue (x). But this of course can only be done when the child is of age, and is a party to and executes the deed by which the appointment is made. And the more regular plan in such cases is, for the father first to make the appointment in favour of the child, and then for the child to make an assignment of the fund appointed to trustees in trust for his children in the manner intended.

An appointment by a father in favour of his child, in exercise of a power for that purpose, ought to be made for the benefit of the child who is the object of the provision, and not indirectly for the benefit of the father who makes the appointment. Accordingly, any bargain between the father and the child by which the former is to receive any advantage for exercising his power will be considered as, in technical phrase, a fraud on the power, and will render the appointment void (y). But when there is no evidence that the appointment is made under a bargain for the benefit of the father, although there may be strong suspicion that such is the case, the appointment cannot be set aside (z). Powers of appointment amongst children usually enable the parent to fix the age or time at which the fund appointed shall vest in any child. But, on the principle just stated, a father will not be allowed to make an immediate appointment to an infant child, for the sake of becoming himself entitled to the fund appointed, as the child's personal representa-

Appointment by a father must not be for his own benefit.

Fraud on the power.

(x) *Routledge v. Dorril*, 2 Ves. jun. 357; *West v. Berney*, 1 Russ. & My. 431, 439; *Goldsmid v. Goldsmid*, 2 Hare, 187.

(y) *Daubeny v. Cockburn*, 1 Meriv. 626; *Palmer v. Wheeler*, 2 Ball & Beatty, 18; *Jackson v. Jack-*

*son*, 1 Dru. 91; *Thompson v. Simpson*, 2 Jones & Lat. 110.

(z) *M'Queen v. Farquhar*, 11 Ves. 467; *Hamilton v. Kirwan*, 2 Jones & Lat. 393; *Campbell v. Hone*, 1 You. & Coll. 100; C. 664.

tive in the event of its decease (*a*). An appointment to an infant is not, however, necessarily void on account of the circumstance that the father, who has made the appointment, will become entitled to the property appointed in the event of the child's decease (*b*).

Perpetuity to be avoided in the exercise of powers.

In the exercise of powers of appointment amongst children, care should be taken not to postpone the vesting of their shares to a period which may exceed the limits allowed by the law of perpetuity (*c*). When the power of appointment is a general power, enabling the appointor to make a disposition in favour of any object he may please, the property is evidently not tied up so long as such a power exists over it; and neither the reason nor the rule which forbids a perpetuity has any application till some settlement is made in exercise of such a power. In such a case, therefore, the limits of perpetuity commence from the time of the appointment (*d*). But where the power of appointment is to be exercised only in favour of a particular class of objects, the property subject to the power is evidently already tied up in favour of that class. The limits of perpetuity are therefore in this case to be reckoned, not from the time of the exercise of the power, but from the date of its creation. The interests given by the power must, for this purpose, be regarded as if they had been inserted in the settlement by which the power was created; and if such interests would have been too remote, if inserted in the original settlement, they will be too remote when given in exercise of the power (*e*). Thus a person having a general power of appointment by will over a fund, may

(*a*) *Cunynghame v. Thurlow*, 1 Russ. & M. 436; *Lord Sandwich's case*, cited 11 Ves. 479; *Gee v. Gurney*, 2 Coll. 486.

(*b*) *Butcher v. Jackson*, 14 Sim. 444; *Fearon v. Desbrisay*, 14 Beav. 635.

(*c*) See *ante*, p. 245.

(*d*) 1 Sugd. Pow. 249, 495; 395, 8th ed.

(*e*) Co. Litt. 271 b, n. (1), vii. 2; 1 Sugd. Pow. 498; 396, 8th ed.; *Routledge v. Dorrit*, 2 Ves. jun. 357.

by his will appoint a share of it in favour of any unborn child of his own, to be vested in such child on his attaining the age of twenty-three years. The limit of perpetuities is reckoned from the time of the appointment, which in this case is the death of the appointor, when his will begins to take effect. The child must necessarily then be born, or in *ventre sa mère*, and the child's life is accordingly the life then in being within which the share must necessarily vest. But if by a marriage settlement a fund be settled in trust for the father for his life, and after his decease in trust for the children, in such shares as he shall appoint by his will, he cannot make an appointment in favour of any unborn child, to be vested on his attaining the age of twenty-three years. For in this case the limit of perpetuities counts from the date of the settlement, when the property was first tied up for the benefit of the children; and this limit would be exceeded if the child should not attain the given age within twenty-one years after the decease of the father, who was the life in being at the date of the settlement. And the rule is, that every limitation which *may* exceed in duration a life or lives in being, and twenty-one years afterwards (allowing for the period of actual gestation), is void as tending to a perpetuity (*f*).

When personal property is directed to be paid to any persons at a future time, the leaning of the courts is always in favour of vested interests; that is to say, the courts lean to that construction which will give to the parties a present assignable and transmissible right to that which is not payable till a future time. Thus if a legacy be given to a person to be payable when he attains the age of twenty-one years, the legacy is considered to be immediately vested, and will accordingly be payable to the administrator of the legatee in case he should die

The courts lean to vested interests.

(*f*) See Principles of the Law 251, 2nd ed.; \*259, 3rd ed.; 273, of Real Property, 242, 1st ed.; 5th ed.; 287, 6th ed.

Vesting of portions charged on land.

under age (*g*). So if personal estate be settled in trust for A. for life, and after his decease for all his children in equal shares, each of his children will be entitled to a share, whether such child survive his parent or not, and although such child should die in infancy (*h*). If, however, the property should consist of money charged on land or other real estate, such as the portions of younger children when the family estate is entailed on the eldest son, the rule is different; and if any of the children should die before the time when his or her portion becomes payable, it will, in the absence of special provision to the contrary, sink into the land for the benefit of the estate (*i*).

Vesting of interests given to children.

In the settlement of personal property upon children there are two plans, either of which may be adopted with respect to the vesting of the interests given. The one plan is, to vest the interests of the children in them immediately as they come into being, divesting from each of them proportionate shares as others are born, and also divesting the shares altogether in favour of the others, in the event of the decease of any son under age, or of any daughter under age and without having been married. The other plan is, to vest the interests given only in those who, being sons, attain the age of twenty-one years, or, being daughters, attain that age or marry under it. So far as the corpus of the fund is concerned, the result of each of these plans is the same, the property being ultimately divided only amongst those children who, being sons, live to come of age, or, being daughters, come of age or previously marry. But with regard to the income of the fund the plans are different. In the

Maintenance and education.

(*g*) 2 Black. Comm. 513; Co. Litt. 237 a, note (1).

(*h*) *Skey v. Barnes*, 3 Mer. 335; *Templeton v. Warrington*, 16 Sim. 267. See *Swallow v. Binns*, 1 Kay

& John. 417.

(*i*) Co. Litt. 237 a, n. (1). See *Evans v. Scott*, 1 H. of L. Cases, 43, 57.

first case, the income belongs to the children whilst under age; but in the second no interest either in the income or in the principal is given during minority, or, in the case of daughters, until marriage under age. In the first case, therefore, if the father be dead, the income will be payable to the guardian of the children toward their maintenance and education; but in the second case there will be no provision for these purposes in the absence of express directions. Such directions therefore should in such case be always inserted, with a provision for the accumulation of the surplus income by way of increase of the principal. If however the whole property is ultimately to go amongst the children (*k*), or if the persons entitled, in the event of the children not living to attain vested interests, should agree (*l*), the Court of Chancery will direct the income to be applied for the children's maintenance, in the absence of sufficient provision for that purpose, and even in the face of an express direction to accumulate the income (*m*).

And a recent act of parliament now provides that, in all cases where any property is held by trustees in trust for an infant, either absolutely or contingently on his attaining the age of twenty-one years, or on the occurrence of any event previously to his attaining that age, it shall be lawful for such trustees, at their sole discretion, to pay to the guardians (if any) of such infant, or otherwise to apply for or towards the maintenance or education of such infant, the whole or any part of the income to which such infant *may be entitled* in respect of such property, whether there be any other fund applicable to the same purpose, or any other person bound by law to provide for such maintenance or educa-

New enactments as to maintenance.

(*k*) *Haley v. Bannister*, 4 Mad. 275; *Errat v. Barlow*, 14 Ves. 202.

*Cannings v. Flower*, 7 Sim. 523.

(*m*) *Greenwell v. Greenwell*, 5 Ves. 194.

(*l*) *Turner v. Turner*, 4 Sim. 430;

tion, or not; and such trustees shall accumulate all the residue of such income by way of compound interest, by investing the same and the resulting income thereof from time to time in proper securities, for the benefit of the person who shall ultimately become entitled to the property from which such accumulations shall have arisen: provided always, that it shall be lawful for such trustees at any time, if it shall appear to them expedient, to apply the whole or any part of such accumulations as if the same were part of the income arising in the then current year (*n*). This enactment applies only to deeds executed, and wills executed or confirmed or revived by codicil executed after the passing of the act, which took place on the 28th of August, 1860 (*o*). The act, it will be observed, applies only to income to which the infant may be entitled; so that if the infant should not be entitled to the income irrespectively of the act, it would scarcely be safe for the trustees to apply it for the infant's maintenance without express authority.

Maintenance  
of children in  
their father's  
lifetime.

In marriage settlements a life interest is usually and properly given to the father and mother, so that no provision is required for the maintenance of the children until after the decease of the survivor. And where life interests are not given to the parents, but provision is made for the maintenance of the children during the father's lifetime out of the settled fund, such provision is considered as primarily applicable for the maintenance of the children accordingly (*p*). But the general rule is, that every father is bound to maintain his children, if of ability so to do (*q*); and a provision contained in a gift

(*n*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, K. 490. See *Thompson v. Griffin*,  
s. 26. 1 Craig & Phillips, 317.

(*o*) Sect. 34.

(*p*) *Stocken v. Stocken*, 4 Sim. C. C. 60.  
152; *Meacher v. Younge*, 2 Myl. &

(*q*) *Andrews v. Partington*, 3 Bro.

to an infant child, for his maintenance and education, will not be applied for that purpose during his father's lifetime, if the father is able to maintain him in a manner suitable to his condition and prospects (*r*). When, therefore, it is intended that the income of property given to children should be applied to their maintenance during their father's lifetime, without reference to his ability to maintain them, the application of the income, without reference to his ability, should be expressly directed; and, if such application be so directed, the income must of course be applied accordingly (*s*). When two funds are provided for the maintenance of an infant, it is frequently difficult to decide to which fund recourse should be first had. The general rule is, that the interest of the infant determines the order of application (*t*); but, in order to avoid questions, it is very desirable, when two funds are provided for an infant's maintenance, to direct that one of them shall be in aid only of the provision afforded by the other. But the act to which we have just referred gives, as we have seen (*u*), a discretion to the trustees to apply the income of the infant's property for his maintenance, whether there be any other fund applicable to the same purpose, or any other person bound by law to provide for such maintenance or education, or not.

When two funds are provided for maintenance.

New enactment.

In settlements of personal property, it has long been usual to provide for the investment of the fund settled in the parliamentary stocks or public funds of Great Britain, or at interest upon government or real securities in England or Wales, but not in Ireland; and at

Investment of settled funds.

(*r*) *Maberley v. Turton*, 14 Ves. 499; *Jervoise v. Silk*, G. Cooper, 52; *Ex parte Williams*, 2 Collyer, 740.

(*s*) See *Wetherell v. Wilson*, 1

*Keen*, 80; *White v. Grane*, 18 Beav. 571.

(*t*) *Foljambe v. Willoughby*, 2 Sim. & Stu. 165; *Lygon v. Lord Coventry*, 11 Sim. 41.

(*u*) *Ante*, p. 255.



Government securities.

Real security.

Real securities in Ireland.

the present day investments in railway debentures, preference shares and other securities, yielding a larger income, are often authorized. Government securities, as distinguished from stocks or funds, seem to be nothing else than Exchequer bills, in which trustees appear to be justified, even without express authority, in investing the property for any temporary purpose, as during the necessary delay in completing a contemplated mortgage security (*x*). But where a permanent investment is intended, a trust to lay out money in government securities will not authorize the purchase of Exchequer bills (*y*). Real security means the mortgage of real estate, namely, freehold or copyhold hereditaments of sufficient value (*z*). And if it be desired that the trustees should have power to invest the trust money on mortgage of leasehold estates; or in railway debentures (*a*), or shares, or any other securities, or to lend it to any party on his bond, express authority ought to be given to the trustees for the purpose. Investments in Ireland were often expressly prohibited, on account of an act of parliament, which empowered trustees, who were authorized by their trust, to lend money at interest on real securities in England, Wales or Great Britain, to lend the same at interest on real securities in Ireland (*b*). But all loans of money on real securities in Ireland under the act, in which any minor or unborn child, or person of unsound mind might be

(*x*) *Matthews v. Brise*, 6 Beav. 239, 244.

(*y*) *Ex parte Chaplin*, 8 You. & Coll. 397; as to the issue of Exchequer Bills, see Stat. 24 Vict. c. 5.

(*z*) See *Stickney v. Sewell*, 1 My. & Cr. 8; *Phillipson v. Gatty*, 7 Hare, 516; *Mant v. Leith*, 15 Beav. 524; *Drosier v. Brereton*, 15 Beav. 221. Turnpike bonds are real se-

curities for some purposes; *Robinson v. Robinson*, Lords Justices, 1 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 247, 262.

(*a*) *Mortimore v. Mortimore*, 4 De Gex & Jones, 472.

(*b*) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 29. Leaseholds for lives perpetually renewable at a head rent form real securities in Ireland; *Mackled v. Annesley*, 16 Beav. 600.

interested, were required to be made by the direction and under the authority of the Court of Chancery in England, to be obtained in any cause or upon petition in a summary way (c); and every such loan was to be made with the consent of the person or persons, if any, whose consent might be required as to the investment of such money upon real securities in England, Wales or Great Britain, testified in the manner required by the trust (d). And it was also provided that the act should not apply to cases where there was an express restriction against the investment of the trust money on securities in Ireland (e). But a recent statute now provides, that when a trustee, executor or administrator shall not, by some instruments creating his trust, be expressly forbidden to invest any trust fund on real securities in any part of the United Kingdom, or on the stock of the Bank of England or Ireland, or on East India Stock, it shall be lawful for such trustee, executor or administrator to invest such trust fund on such securities or stock; and he shall not be liable on that account as for a breach of trust, provided that such investments shall in other respects be reasonable and proper (f). This provision has been made retrospective by act of parliament (g). A further enactment empowers the making of general orders from time to time as to the investment of cash under the control of the Court of Chancery, and for the conversion of any 3l. per Cent. Bank Annuities, standing in the name of the accountant-general of the Court of Chancery, in trust in any cause or matter, into any stocks, funds, or securities, upon which by any such general order cash

New enactment.

General orders may be made for investment.

(c) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 29, s. 2. *Ex parte French*, 7 Sim. 510; *Ex parte Lord William Pawlett*, 1 Phill. 570; *Norris v. Wright*, 14 Beav. 291.

(d) Sect. 4.

(e) Sect. 5.

(f) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 32.

(g) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 12.

under the control of the court may be invested (*h*). And when any such general order shall have been made, trustees, executors or administrators, having power to invest their trust funds upon government securities, or upon parliamentary stocks, funds or securities, or any of them, may invest such trust funds or any part thereof in any of the stocks, funds or securities, in or upon which, by such general order, cash under the control of the court may from time to time be invested (*i*). In pursuance of this enactment a general order has been made dated the 1st of February, 1861, authorizing the investment of cash under the control of the court in Bank Stock, East India Stock, Exchequer Bills, and 2*l.* 10*s.* per Cent. Annuities, and upon mortgage of freehold and copyhold estates respectively in England and Wales, as well as in Consolidated 3*l.* per Cent. Annuities, Reduced 3*l.* per Cent. Annuities, and New 3*l.* per Cent. Annuities (*j*).

General order.

Further enactment.

A still later enactment of the same session authorizes trustees, having trust money in their hands which it is their duty to invest at interest, at their discretion to invest the same in any of the parliamentary stocks or public funds, or in government securities, and at their discretion to call in any trust funds invested in any other securities and to invest the same on any such securities as aforesaid, and also from time to time at their discretion to vary any such investments as aforesaid for others of the same nature; provided that no such original investment as aforesaid (except in the 3*l.* per Cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities), and no such change of investment as aforesaid, shall be made where there is a person under no disability entitled in

Power to vary instruments.

(*h*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 10.

(*i*) Sect. 11.

(*j*) See *Equitable Reversionary*

*Interest Society v. Fuller*, 1 John. & Hem. 379; *Re Langford*, 2 John. & Hem. 458; *Re Warde*, 2 John. & Hem. 191.

possession to receive the income of the trust fund for his life or for a term of years determinable with his life, or for any greater estate, without the consent in writing of such person (*k*). This last enactment, however, like the other provisions in the same act, extends only to persons acting under a deed executed, or a will executed or confirmed or revived by a codicil executed after the 28th of August, 1860, the date of the act (*l*). It is doubtful whether the new East Indian Loan of 1859 falls within the meaning of East Indian Stock (*m*).

The consent of the persons for the time being entitled to the income of the property is generally required, in settlements, to any change of investment which the trustees may be authorized to make; and this consent is sometimes required to be in writing, and occasionally to be testified by deed. Where consent is required, it must be given previously to or at the time of the change of investment (*n*); for as the consent is required as a check upon the trustees, a subsequent consent, when the mischief may be done, is evidently unavailing. The person whose consent is required is not, however, the sole judge of the propriety of any change of investment: the trustee, by virtue of his office, has also a discretion; and if he should consider the investment ineligible, he may refuse to make it, although requested so to do by the person whose consent ought to be obtained (*o*). But the terms of the instrument may require the trustees to change the investments at the request of any given person; and in this case they will generally be bound

Consent to  
change of in-  
vestments.

(*k*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 25.

(*l*) Sect. 34.

(*m*) *Re Colne Valley and Halstead Railway*, 5 Jur. N. S. 1123; 29 L.J. Chan. 33; 1 De Gex, Fisher & Jones, 53.

(*n*) *Bateman v. Davis*, 3 Madd. 98; *Greenham v. Gibbeson*, 10 Bing. 363; *Wiles v. Gresham*, 2 Drewry 258.

(*o*) *Lee v. Young*, 2 You. & Coll. N. C. 532.

to act accordingly, unless the circumstances of the case should be such as were evidently not contemplated when the settlement was made (*p*).

Investment of  
settled money  
in the pur-  
chase of lands.

In settlements of personal property authority is sometimes given to the trustees to make investments in the purchase of landed estates. As land devolves in a different manner from personal property, it is obvious that a simple change of the property from personalty to land would in many cases materially disarrange the destination of the property. Thus if a person entitled under the settlement to a reversionary interest in the settled fund should have died intestate, his administrator would be entitled to such interest, so long as the property continued to be personal, but, on its being changed into real estate, it would shift to his heir at law. In order to obviate this inconvenience, it is so contrived that the lands to be purchased should, from the moment the purchase is made, be considered as personal property. To effect this object, the lands when purchased are directed to be held by the trustees upon trust to sell them, with the consent of the equitable tenants for life, during their lives, and after their decease at the discretion of the trustees (*q*). This trust for sale converts the lands into money in the contemplation of equity; for it is a rule of equity, that whatever is agreed to be done shall be considered as done already. In the words of Sir Thomas Sewell (*r*), "Nothing is better established than this principle, that money directed to be employed in the purchase of land, and land directed to be sold and turned into money, are to be considered as that species of property into which they are directed to be converted; and

(*p*) *Boss v Godsall*, 1 You. & Coll. N. C. 617; *Cadogan v. Earl of Essex*, 2 Drewry, 227.

(*q*) See Appendix B.

(*r*) In *Fletcher v. Ashburner*, 1

Bro. C. C. 499, approved by Lord Alvanley in *Wheldale v. Partridge*, 5 Ves. 396, 397. See also *Griffith v. Ricketts*, 7 Hare, 299.

this in whatever manner the direction is given, whether by will, by way of contract, marriage articles, settlement or otherwise, and whether the money is actually deposited or only covenanted to be paid, whether the land is actually conveyed or only agreed to be conveyed. The owner of the fund or the contracting parties may make land money, or money land." And if land is clearly directed to be sold, the circumstance that the consent of some person or persons is required to the sale will not prevent the immediate conversion of the land into money in the contemplation of equity, although such a circumstance may often cause a long postponement of the period of its actual conversion (*s*). Notwithstanding a trust for the sale of land, if all the parties interested should be of full age (*t*), and if females unmarried (*u*), they may elect that the land shall not be sold; and after such election the land will be considered as real estate in equity as well as at law (*x*). And the election of the parties need not be expressed in so many words, but may be inferred from any acts by which their intention is clearly shown (*y*).

Election that land should not be sold.

All properly drawn settlements of personal estate formerly contained a power for the trustees or trustee for the time being, acting in the execution of the trusts, to give receipts for any money payable to them or him under the trusts, which receipts, it was declared, should effectually discharge the persons paying the money from all responsibility as to its application. The necessity of this provision arose from a rule of equity, by which any person who paid money to another, whom he knew to be merely a trustee, was bound to see the money ap-

Receipt clause.

(*s*) See *Leclmere v. Earl of Carlisle*, 3 P. Wms. 218, 219.

(*t*) *Fan v. Barnett*, 19 Ves. 102.

(*u*) *Oldham v. Hughes*, 2 Atk. 452.

(*x*) *Davies v. Ashford*, 15 Sim. 42.

(*y*) *Lingen v. Sowray*, 1 P. Wms. 172; *Copson v. Reay*, 5 Beav. 22; 12 Cl. & Fin. 121.

New enact-  
ment.

Not retrospec-  
tive.

Further enact-  
ment.

Power to ap-  
point new trus-  
tees.

plied according to the trusts(z). If, however, the trusts were of such a kind as to require time and discretion to carry them into effect, the receipt of the trustees would, from the nature of the case, have been an effectual discharge, without an express clause for this purpose (a). But by a recent act of parliament it is provided, that the *bonâ fide* payment to and receipt of any person to whom any *purchase or mortgage money* shall be payable upon any express or implied trust shall effectually discharge the person paying the same from seeing to the application or being answerable for the misapplication thereof, unless the contrary shall be expressly declared by the instrument creating the trust or security (b). It is the better opinion that this enactment is not retrospective; for it can scarcely be supposed that the legislature contemplated the existence of a prescience of this act in the authors of old settlements, inducing them to insert therein an express declaration that the act should not apply. And with respect to instruments executed and wills or codicils confirmed or revived by codicil executed after the 28th August, 1860, it is now provided that the receipts in writing of any trustees or trustee for any money payable to them or him, by reason or in the exercise of any trusts or powers reposed or vested in them or him, shall be sufficient discharges for the money therein expressed to be received, and shall effectually exonerate the person paying such money from seeing to the application thereof, or from being answerable for any loss or misapplication thereof (c).

Every settlement, the trusts of which were likely to be of long duration, formerly contained a power of ap-

(z) *Spalding v. Shalmer*, 1 Vern. 161.

301; *Lloyd v. Baldwin*, 1 Ves. sen. 173.

(a) *Doran v. Wiltshire*, 3 Swanst. 699; *Balfour v. Welland*, 16 Ves. 29.

(b) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 23.

(c) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s.

pointing new trustees in the event of any trustee dying, going to reside beyond the seas, desiring to be discharged, refusing, or becoming incapable to act in the execution of the trusts (*d*). And as the mere appointment of a trustee was not sufficient to vest the trust property in him, it was usual and proper to direct that, on every such appointment, the trust property should be so conveyed, assigned, transferred or paid as effectually to vest the same in the new trustee jointly with the surviving or continuing trustees, or solely, as the case might require. Every new trustee was also invested with the same powers as the original trustees. But the act to which we have already referred (*e*), now provides that whenever any trustee, either original or substituted, and whether appointed by the Court of Chancery or otherwise, shall die, or desire to be discharged from or refuse or become unfit or incapable to act in the trusts or powers in him reposed, before the same shall have been fully discharged and performed, it shall be lawful for the person or persons nominated for that purpose by the deed, will, or other instrument creating the trust (if any), or if there be no such person or no such person able and willing to act, then for the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee for the time being, or the acting executors or executor, or administrators or administrator of the last surviving and continuing trustee, or for the last retiring trustee, by writing, to appoint any other person or persons to be a trustee or trustees in the place of the trustee or trustees so dying or desiring to be discharged, or refusing, or becoming unfit or incapable to act as aforesaid; and so often as any new trustee or trustees shall be so appointed as aforesaid, all the trust property (if any) which for the time being shall be vested in the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee, or in the heirs, executors or administrators of any

New enactment.

(*d*) See Appendix B.

(*e*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 27.



trustee, shall, with all convenient speed, be conveyed, assigned and transferred so that the same may be legally and effectually vested in such new trustee or trustees, either solely or jointly with the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee as the case may require, and every new trustee or trustees to be appointed as aforesaid, as well before as after such conveyance or assignment as aforesaid, and also every trustee appointed by the Court of Chancery, either before or after the passing of the act, shall have the same powers, authorities and discretions, and shall in all respects act, as if he had been originally nominated a trustee by the deed, will, or other instrument creating the trust. A mere power to appoint a new trustee does not render such appointment imperative; and in case of the death of any trustee, the survivors or survivor may still carry on the ordinary business of the trust (*f*). When a trustee has once accepted the office, he has no right to retire, unless the person having the power to appoint another trustee in the event of his retiring should consent to do so (*g*); or unless from unforeseen circumstances, the duties of the trust should have become more onerous than was contemplated by the trustee when he accepted the office (*h*). When several deeds are required for the appointment of a new trustee, it is now sufficient if one of the deeds be stamped with a duty of 1*l*. 15*s*. and the others with the same duty as would be payable on a duplicate thereof (*i*).

Stamp duty.

Trustee Act,  
1850.

The Trustee Act, 1850 (*k*), the provisions of which have been extended by a more recent act (*l*), empowers

(*f*) *Warburton v. Sandys*, 14 Sim. 622.

(*g*) *Adams v. Paynter*, 1 Coll. 532.

(*h*) *Coventry v. Coventry*, 1 Keen, 758.

(*i*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 91, s.

s. 30. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 136, 6th ed.

(*k*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, See Principles of the Law of Real Property, p. 142, 3rd and 4th eds.; 148, 5th ed.; 155, 6th ed.

(*l*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55.

the Court of Chancery to appoint a new trustee in all cases where it is inexpedient, difficult or impracticable so to do without the assistance of that court, and either in substitution for, or in addition to, any existing trustee (*m*), and whether there be any existing trustee or not (*n*). Provision is also made for the appointment of a new trustee in lieu of any trustee who may have been convicted of felony (*o*), and for the infancy (*p*), lunacy or idiocy of any trustee or executor (*q*), and for his being out of the jurisdiction of the court, or not being found, and for its being uncertain whether he is living or dead (*r*), and for his neglecting or refusing to transfer any stock, or to receive the dividends or income thereof, or to sue for or recover any chose in action (*s*).

The office of trustee of a settlement is one involving great responsibility, and frequently much trouble, without any remuneration; for a trustee is not allowed to make a profit of his trust. And if he be a solicitor, he cannot receive payment for his professional trouble incurred in the business of the trust (*t*), unless he expressly stipulate before accepting the office, that he shall be permitted to do so (*u*), or unless his charges be voluntarily paid by the cestui que trust with full knowledge that they might have been resisted (*x*). But a trustee may charge

Trustee's costs and responsibilities.

Solicitor cannot charge for professional trouble.

(*m*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 32, 35.

(*n*) Stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, s. 9.

(*o*) *Ibid.* sect. 8.

(*p*) Sect. 3.

(*q*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 5, 6; 15 & 16 Vict. c. 55, ss. 10, 11.

(*r*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 60, ss. 22, 25.

(*s*) *Ibid.* ss. 23, 24, 25; stat. 15

& 16 Vict. c. 55, ss. 4, 5.

(*t*) *Moore v. Frowd*, 3 My. & Craig, 15; *Fraser v. Palmer*, 4 You. & Coll. 515; *Collins v. Carey*, 2 Beav. 128; *Bainbrigge v. Blair*, 8 Beav. 588; *Todd v. Wilson*, 9 Beav. 486. See *Ex parte Newton*, 3 De Gex & Sm. 584.

(*u*) *Re Sherwood*, 3 Beav. 368.

(*x*) *Stanes v. Parker*, 9 Beav. 385. See *Gomley v. Wood*, 3 Jones & Lat. 678.

against the trust property all costs and expenses properly incurred in the conduct of the trust. And, it has been held, that in the event of a suit being brought against the trustees, one of the trustees, being a solicitor, may be employed by his co-trustees, and may make the usual charges against them, provided the amount of the costs be not thereby increased (*y*). And every trustee is allowed in a suit his full costs, as between solicitor and client (*z*). But his right to costs may be forfeited by his negligence or misconduct (*a*); or he may even be made to pay the costs of the other parties (*b*). As the trustee has the legal title to the property, he is often enabled, if fraudulently inclined, to sell it or spend it for his own benefit. It is therefore highly proper that his conduct should be narrowly scrutinized, and that he should be invariably punished for any breach of faith. But the Court of Chancery goes further than this, and punishes, with almost equal severity, his neglect of duties, which in many cases he scarcely knows that he has undertaken. Thus, if a trustee, by his negligence or misplaced confidence in his co-trustee, gives him an opportunity to commit a breach of trust, of which opportunity the co-trustee avails himself, the innocent trustee will be made to replace the whole of the fund abstracted by the other (*c*). So if the trustee should depart from the letter of his trust, as by investing the trust fund on

(*y*) *Cradock v. Piper*, 1 Mac. & Gord. 86 k. See, however, *Lincoln v. Windsor*, 9 Harc. 158; *Lyon v. Baker*, 5 De Gex & Sm. 622; *Broughton v. Broughton*, L.C. 1 Jur. N. S. 965; 5 De Gex, M. & G. 160.

(*z*) 2 Fonb. Eq. 176.

(*a*) *Campbell v. Campbell*, 2 My. & Craig, 25; *Howard v. Rhodes*, 1 Keen, 581.

(*b*) *Wilson v. Wilson*, 2 Keen,

249; *Willis v. Hiscox*, 4 My. & Craig, 197; *Firmin v. Pulham*, 2 De Gex & Sm. 99.

(*c*) *Lord Shipbrook v. Lord Hinchinbrook*, 11 Ves. 252; *Brice v. Stokes*, 11 Ves. 319; *Hanbury v. Kirkland*, 3 Sim. 265; *Booth v. Booth*, 1 Beav. 125; *Broadhurst v. Balguy*, 1 You. & Coll. N. C. 16; *Styles v. Guy*, 1 Mac. & Gord. 422; *Dix v. Burford*, 19 Beav. 409.

an unauthorized security, although at the importunity of some of the parties interested, and with a bonâ fide desire to benefit them all, he will be answerable for any loss which such departure may have occasioned (*d*). And if, being ignorant of law, he should give himself up entirely to his professional adviser, he may still suffer from the mistake of his solicitor or conveyancer (*e*); and in such a case he will scarcely perhaps see the justice of the remark that he might (had he known how) have chosen a wiser solicitor, or a more learned counsel (*f*). In all ordinary settlements, clauses used to be inserted for the indemnity and reimbursement of trustees, to the effect that they should not be answerable the one for the other of them, or for signing receipts for the sake of conformity, or for involuntary loss; and that they might reimburse themselves out of the trust funds all costs and expenses incurred in relation to the trust. But these clauses, though often very highly valued by trustees, really afforded them little, if any, further protection than they would have been entitled to, if left to the ordinary rules of equity (*g*). It has, however, been recently enacted that every deed, will, or other instrument creating a trust, either expressly or by implication, shall be deemed to contain these clauses (*h*). It would have been more direct, and therefore more philosophical, to alter the rules of equity with respect to trustees, if alteration were required, rather than to enact that a deed shall be deemed to contain clauses which in fact are not there.

New enactment.

(*d*) *Driver v. Scott*, 4 Russ. 195; *Pride v. Fooks*, 2 Beav. 430; *Forrest v. Elwes*, 4 Ves. 497; *Watts v. Girdlestone*, 6 Beav. 188.

(*e*) *Willis v. Hiscox*, 4 My. & Craig, 197; *Angier v. Stannard*, 3 My. & Keen, 566; *Hampshire v. Bradley*, 2 Coll. 34; *Boulton v. Beard*, 3 De Gex, M. & G. 608.

See however *Poole v. Pass*, 1 Beav. 600; *Holford v. Phipps*, 3 Beav. 434; 4 Beav. 475.

(*f*) 3 My. & Keen, 572.

(*g*) *Fenwick v. Greenwell*, 10 Beav. 412; *Brumridge v. Brumridge*, 27 Beav. 5.

(*h*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 31.

**Act for better securing trust funds and the relief of trustees.**

In order to provide means for securing trust funds, and for relieving trustees from the responsibility of administering them, an act of parliament has recently been passed (*i*), whereby all trustees, executors, administrators or other persons having in their hands (*k*) any monies belonging to any trust whatsoever, or the major part of them (*l*), may pay the same, with the privity of the accountant-general of the Court of Chancery, into the Bank of England, to the account of such accountant-general in the matter of the trust, in trust to attend the orders of the court. Bank annuities, East India and South Sea stock, and government and parliamentary securities, held upon trust, may also be transferred or deposited in like manner. The trust is then administered by the court upon petition in a summary way, without a bill, unless the court direct any suit to be instituted (*m*).

**Punishment of fraudulent trustees.**

**Power to apply for the opinion of a judge.**

A salutary act has recently been passed for the punishment of fraudulent trustees, bankers, directors, and public officers (*n*). More recent acts empower any trustee, executor or administrator, by petition or statement to be signed by counsel, to apply to any judge of the Court of Chancery, for his opinion, advice or direction on any question respecting the management or administration of the trust property (*o*).

**Covenants for settlement of wife's future property.**

In some marriage settlements, in addition to the settlement actually made, a covenant is inserted for the settlement of all such property as the intended wife shall become entitled to during the coverture or marriage. It sometimes happens that at the time when such covenant

(*i*) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, s. 1.

(*k*) *Buckley's trust*, 17 Beav. 110.

(*l*) See stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 74.

(*m*) Stat. 10 & 11 Vict. c. 96, s. 2.

(*n*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 54.

(*o*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 30; 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 9.

is entered into, the wife is, without being aware of it, entitled to other property, besides that actually settled. In such a case, the general rule is that the property, to which she is then entitled, is subject to the covenant, and ought to be settled, as well as that which she may subsequently acquire (*p*). But as the question is entirely one of intention, if the property to which the wife is entitled appear to have been purposely omitted, it will not be bound by such a covenant (*q*). If the covenant to settle the wife's future property be entered into by the intended husband alone, the wife will not be bound to settle any future property to which she may become entitled for her separate use (*r*). Occasionally covenants are unadvisedly entered into by the intended husband to settle on his children, or to leave to them by his will, all the property that he may acquire during the coverture, or all his property generally (*s*). So a father may covenant, on the marriage of his daughter, to leave her as great a share in his property as to any of his other children (*t*). These covenants will be enforced in equity; but from their vague and uncertain character, they are likely to lead to much litigation. A covenant to settle property of a given value, when no time is limited for its performance, creates no lien on any of the property

Covenants to settle husband's property.

(*p*) *Graftcy v. Humpage*, 1 Beav. 46; *James v. Durant*, 2 Beav. 177; *Blythe v. Granville*, 13 Sim. 190; *Ex parte Blake*, 16 Beav. 463.

(*q*) *Hoare v. Hornby*, 2 You. & Coll. N. C. 121; *Otter v. Melvill*, 2 De Gex & Smale, 257; *Wilton v. Colwin*, 3 Drew. 617; *Archer v. Kelly*, 1 Drew. & S. 300.

(*r*) *Douglas v. Congreve*, 1 Keen, 410; *Travers v. Travers*, 2 Beav. 179; *Drury v. Scott*, 4 You. & Coll. 264; *Ramsden v. Smith*, 2 Drew. 298; *Hammond v. Hammond*, 19 Beav. 29. See also *Butcher v.*

*Butcher*, 14 Beav. 222; *Cramer v. Moore*, 3 Sma. & Giff. 141; *Grey v. Stuart*, 2 Giff. 398; *Brooks v. Keith*, 1 Drew. & S. 462.

(*s*) *Lewis v. Madocks*, 17 Ves. 48; *Needham v. Smith*, 4 Russ. 318; *Needham v. Kirkman*, 4 Barn. & Ald. 531; *Hardey v. Gregg*, 12 Beav. 182.

(*t*) *Willis v. Black*, 4 Russ. 170; *Clegg v. Clegg*, 2 Russ. & My. 670; *Eardley v. Owen*, 10 Beav. 672; *Jones v. How*, 7 Hare, 267; 9 C. B. 1.

of the covenantor (*u*). And it appears to be now settled, contrary to what was before supposed to be the law, that no lien is created whether a time for the performance of the covenant be specified or not (*x*).

Marriage settlement equally valid as a purchase.

Voluntary settlement void as against creditors.

Marriage, as we have seen (*y*), is a valuable consideration. Every settlement, therefore, made by parties of full age, previously to and in consideration of marriage, or made subsequently to marriage in pursuance of written articles (*z*), stands on the footing of a purchase, and has equal validity. But a voluntary settlement is liable to be defeated by the creditors of the settlor, if he was so much indebted at the time as to bring the settlement within the provisions of the statute of the 13th of Elizabeth (*a*) already noticed (*b*), by which the alienation of goods and chattels made for the purpose of delaying, hindering or defrauding creditors, is rendered void as against them. For although by the phrase "goods and chattels" was intended only such personal property as could be taken by the sheriff under an execution on a judgment (*c*), yet as almost all kinds of personal property may now be taken in execution (*d*), or charged with the payment of judgment debts (*e*), all such property is now within the compass of the statute (*f*). The voluntary assignment of goods or chattels, or delivery or making over of bills, bonds, notes, or other securi-

(*u*) *Freemoult v. Dedire*, 1 P. Wms. 429; *Berrington v. Evans*, 3 You. & Coll. 384.

(*x*) *Mornington v. Keane*, 2 De Gex & Jones, 292, explaining *Roundell v. Brearey*, 2 Vern. 482, and questioning *Wellesley v. Wellesley*, 4 My. & Cr. 561, 581.

(*y*) *Ante*, p. 70.

(*z*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 4, See *ante*, p. 74.

(*a*) Stat. 13 Eliz. c. 5; *Skarf v. Soulby*, 1 Mac. & Gord. 364.

(*b*) *Ante*, p. 45.

(*c*) *Sims v. Thomas*, 2 Adol. & Ell. 536. See *ante*, p. 48.

(*d*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 12. See *ante*, p. 113.

(*e*) Stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 14; 3 & 4 Vict. c. 82, s. 1. *Ante*, pp. 113, 188, 213.

(*f*) See *Edwards v. Cooper*, 11 Q. B. 33; *Barrack v. McCulloch*, 3 Kay & John. 110; *Jenkyn v. Vaughan*, 3 Drew. 419.

ties, or the voluntary transfer of any debts made by a person being at the time insolvent (*g*), is also void in the event of his bankruptcy (*h*). This provision appears to embrace all personal estate capable of assignment or transfer (*i*); but it does not extend to a gift of money (*k*).

Although a voluntary settlement may thus be defeated by creditors, yet, when once completed, it is binding on the settlor, who cannot, by any means, undo it (*l*). Thus, in one case (*m*), a maiden lady not immediately contemplating marriage, but thinking such an event possible, transferred a sum of stock into the names of trustees in trust for herself until she should marry, and, after her marriage, in trust for her separate use for her life, free from the control of any person or persons with whom she might intermarry, and after her decease, upon trusts for the benefit of any such husband, and her child or children by any husband or husbands. She afterwards, being still unmarried, filed a bill in Chancery, praying that the settlement might be delivered up to her to be cancelled, and that the stock might be ordered to be re-transferred by the trustees. But the court held that she was bound by the settlement she had made, and was not entitled to any assistance to release her from it.

Voluntary settlement binding on the settlor.

If however the object of the settlor is merely his own benefit or convenience, the settlement will be revocable

Settlement for settlor's own benefit revocable by him.

(*g*) See *Cutten v. Sanger*, 2 You. & Jerv. 459.

(*h*) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 126, repealing stat. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 73, to the same effect.

(*i*) *Brown v. Bellaris*, 5 Mad. 53.

(*k*) *Ex parte Shortland*, 7 Ves. 88; *Kensington v. Chandler*, 2 Mau. & Selw. 36; *Ex parte Skerratt*, 2 Rose, 384.

(*l*) *Ellison v. Ellison*, 6 Ves. 656;

*Edwards v. Jones*, 1 My. & Craig, 226; *Newton v. Askew*, 11 Beav. 145; *Kekewich v. Manning*, 1 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 176; *Bentley v. Mackay*, 15 Beav. 12; *Bridge v. Bridge*, 16 Beav. 315.

(*m*) *Bill v. Cureton*, 2 My. & Keen, 403. See also *Petre v. Espinasse*, 2 My. & Keen, 406; *M'Donnell v. Hesilrige*, 16 Beav. 346; *Dogaldson v. Donaldson*, 1 Kay, 711.



by him at his pleasure. Thus where a man, without any communication with his creditors, puts property into the hands of trustees for the purpose of paying his debts, his object is said to be, not to benefit his creditors, but to benefit himself by the payment of his debts (*n*). He may accordingly revoke the trust thus created (*o*), so long as the creditors remain in ignorance of it (*p*). This rule, however, though well established, seems to attribute to debtors a somewhat light estimation of the claims of their creditors; and there appears to be no disposition in the courts to extend it (*q*).

Voluntary settlements of personal estate not void against subsequent purchasers.

The statute of Elizabeth (*r*), by which voluntary settlements of lands and other hereditaments are void as against subsequent purchasers for valuable consideration, though it extends to chattels real (*s*), does not apply to purely personal estate (*t*). A voluntary settlement of personal estate cannot therefore be defeated by a subsequent sale of the property by the settlor.

Stamps on settlements.

Settlements of any definite and certain principal sum of money, or share in the funds, or Bank, East India, or South Sea stock, or in the stock or funds of any other company or corporation, are now liable to an ad valorem

(*n*) *Per* Sir C. Pepys, M. R., 2 My. & Keen, 511; cited by Wigram, V. C., in *Hughes v. Stubbs*, 1 Hare, 479.

(*o*) *Garrard v. Lord Lauderdale*, 3 Sim. 1; *Acton v. Woodgate*, 2 My. & Keen, 492; *Ravenshaw v. Holtier*, 7 Sim. 3; *Law v. Bagwell*, 4 Dru. & Warren, 398; *Smith v. Keating*, 6 C. B. 136; *Driver v. Mawdesley*, 16 Sim. 511.

(*p*) *Browne v. Cavendish*, 1 Jones & Lat. 606, 635; *Griffith v. Ricketts*, 7 Hare, 299, 307; *MacKinnon v. Stewart*, 1 Sim. N. C. 76, 89, 90;

*Harland v. Binks*, 15 Q. B. 713; *Smith v. Hurst*, 10 Hare, 30. But see *Cornthwaite v. Frith*, 4 De Gex & Smale, 552.

(*q*) See *Wilding v. Richards*, 1 Coll. 661; *Simmonds v. Palles*, 2 Jones & Lat. 489; *Kirwan v. Daniel*, 5 Hare, 493, 499—501.

(*r*) Stat. 27 Eliz. c. 4; Principles of the Law of Real Property, 56, 1st ed.; 59, 2nd ed.; 62, 3rd and 4th eds.; 67, 5th ed.; 71, 6th ed.

(*s*) Co. Litt. 3 b; 6 Rep. 72.

(*t*) 2 My. & Keen, 512.

duty of one-fourth per cent. or five shillings per hundred pounds, on the amount of the money or the value of the stock or share settled, according to the table contained in the last Stamp Act (*u*), with a progressive duty of ten shillings for every *entire* quantity of 1080 words beyond the first 1080.

By a recent act of parliament (*x*) provision has been made for charging certain duties on the succession to property upon the death of any person dying after the 19th of May, 1853. These duties are at the same rates as the legacy duty, of which an account will be given in the chapter on wills, increasing in proportion to the distance in consanguinity between the predecessor, from whom the interest succeeded to is derived, and the successor.

The Succession  
Duty Act,  
1853.

(*u*) Stat. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 97.  
A sum secured by a policy of life assurance has been held not to be a definite and certain sum within

the meaning of this act. *Sanville v. The Commissioners of Inland Revenue*, 10 Exch. 159.

(*x*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51.

## CHAPTER II.

## OF JOINT OWNERSHIP AND JOINT LIABILITY.

**Joint owners.** THERE may be a joint ownership of any kind of personal property in the same manner as there may be a joint tenancy of real estate (*a*); and the four unities of *possession, interest, title and time*, which characterize a joint tenancy of real estate, apply also to a joint ownership of chattels. But as no estates can exist in personal property, the distinctions which hold with respect to joint estates for life, in tail, or in fee, do not occur in a joint ownership of personalty. If personal property, whether in possession or in action, be given to A. and B. simply, they will be joint owners, having equal rights as between themselves, during the joint ownership, and being, with respect to all other persons than themselves, in the position of one single owner. Hence it follows, that if a bond or covenant be given or made to two or more jointly, they must all join in suing upon it (*b*); and a release by one of them to the obligor is sufficient to bar them all (*c*). As a further consequence of the unity of a joint ownership, the important right of survivorship, which distinguishes a joint tenancy of real estate, belongs also to a joint ownership of personal property. Whether the subject of the joint ownership be a chattel real as a lease, or a chose in possession as a horse, or a chose in action as a debt or legacy, the surviving joint owner will be entitled to the whole, unaffected by any disposition which the deceased joint

**Joint bond, all must sue.**

**Release by one bars all.**

**Survivorship.**

(a) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, p. 99, 1st ed.; 104, 2nd ed.; 109, 3rd<sup>d</sup> and 4th eds.; 114, 5th ed.; 120, 6th ed.

(b) *Slingsby's case*, 5 Rep. 18 b; *Petrie v. Bury*, 3 Barn. & Cress. 353; 1 Wms. Saund. 291 i.

(c) 2 Rol. Abr. 410 (D), pl. 1, 5.

owner may have made by his will, unless the joint tenancy should have been previously severed in the lifetime of both the parties (*d*). And for this reason trustees of settlements of personal estate are always made joint owners, in order that the surviving trustees may take the entire fund, rather than that the executors or administrators of any trustee who may happen to die should have any right to intermeddle with the share of the deceased. Where any *beneficial* interest accrues to any joint owner by survivorship, it is deemed a succession within the Succession Duty Act, 1853, and as such liable to the succession duty (*e*).

Trustees of personal estate made joint owners.

Succession Duty Act, 1853.

If the joint ownership be created by a will, it is not necessary that the shares of all the joint owners should vest at the same time. Thus under a bequest to A. for life, and after his decease to the issue (*f*) or children (*g*) of B., without words of severance, all the issue or children, born in A.'s lifetime, will become entitled jointly, though some may not be living when the shares of the others become vested interests. On the decease of any of them therefore before payment, the survivors will become entitled to their shares. A similar exception to the unity of time occurs also in the case of a devise of real estate by will (*h*).

The shares of joint owners under a will need not vest at the same time.

In analogy to the rule by which a joint estate in fee-simple in lands is created by a limitation to two or more, *their heirs and assigns*, it is customary with conveyancers to make a gift of personal estate to two or

Limitation to joint owners, their executors, administrators and assigns.

(*d*) Litt. sects. 281, 282; *Lady Shore v. Billingsley*, 1 Vern. 482; *Willing v. Baine*, 3 P. Wms. 115; *Morley v. Bird*, 3 Ves. 629; *Williams v. Henshaw*, 1 John. & H. 546.

(*e*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51, s. 3, ante, p. 275.

(*f*) *Bridge v. Yates*, 12 Sim. 645.

(*g*) *Amies v. Skillern*, 14 Sim. 428.

(*h*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 102, 1st ed.; 107, 2nd ed.; 112, 3rd and 4th eds.; 117, 5th ed.; 123, 6th ed.

more jointly, by limiting it to them, *their executors, administrators and assigns*. This, however, though usual, is not strictly necessary. In ill-framed instruments, limitations of personalty are sometimes made to two persons, “And the survivor of them, and the executors and administrators of such survivor.” If, however, the persons are simply made joint owners, the law will be sufficient of itself to carry the property to the survivor.

Joint bonds  
and covenants.

Bonds and covenants, when intended to be given or made to two or more jointly, are in like manner usually given or made to the obligees or covenantees, *their executors and administrators*; or if the subject-matter be assignable, to them, *their executors, administrators and assigns*. But when entered into with two or more persons, bonds or covenants cannot, as respects the obligees or covenantees, be joint or several, at their election, for one and the same cause; for otherwise the court would be in doubt for which of them to give judgment (*i*). And whether a covenant be joint or several depends much more upon the subject-matter than upon the words employed. If each of the covenantees has a separate interest, each may have a separate cause of action, and the covenant will accordingly in such a case be several, though expressed to be made with the covenantees jointly and severally (*j*). But if each of the covenantees has not a separate cause of action, all of them must concur in suing upon the covenant, even although it be expressed to be made with some of them, “and as a separate covenant” with the others (*k*); for if all may sue, all must (*l*).

Joint or  
several.

(i) 5 Rep. 19 a; 1 East, 501.

(j) 5 Rep. 19 a; 1 Wms. Saund. 155 a, n. (1).

(k) *Stingsby's case*, 5 Rep. 18 b; *Anderson v. Martindale*, 1 East, 497; *Foley v. Addenbrooke*, 4 Q. B. 197; *Hopkinson v. Lee*, 6 Q. B.

964; *Bradburne v. Botfield*, 14 Mec. & Wels. 559; *Wakefield v. Brown*, 9 Q. B. 209; *Keightley v. Watson*, 3 Exch. Rep. 716.

(l) 4 Q. B. 208; *Whetherell v. Langston*, 1 Exch. Rep. 634; *Pugh v. Stringfield*, 3 C. B. N. S. 2.

An exception to the right of survivorship between joint owners occurs in the case of partners in trade. In this case the law, in order to the encouragement of commerce, vests in the executors or administrators of a deceased partner, the share of the deceased in all personal chattels in possession, such as merchandise or ships, which were the joint property of the partnership (*m*). But this rule does not extend at law to *choses in action*, which must accordingly be sued for in the name of the survivor (*n*). In equity, however, the share of the deceased partner, both in the choses in possession and in action belonging to the partnership, devolves on his executors or administrators. The consequence is that, though the choses in action must be sued for by the surviving partner, he will be a trustee of the share of the deceased partner for his executors or administrators (*o*). The same rule is applied in equity even to real estate purchased for the purposes of a trading partnership (*p*), and conveyed to the partners as joint tenants in fee. On the decease of any of them, equity holds the survivors to be trustees of the share of the deceased for his executors or administrators as part of his personal estate (*q*).

Partners in trade, no survivorship of choses in possession.

Otherwise as to choses in action at law. But not in equity.

Real estate purchased for partnership purposes.

Indeed, as a general rule, joint ownership is not favoured in equity, on account of the right of survivorship not favoured in equity.

(*m*) Co. Litt. 182 a; *Kempe v. Andrews*, 3 Lev. 290; *Rez v. Collector of Customs*, 2 Mau. & Selw. 223; *Buckley v. Barber*, 6 Exch. Rep. 164.

(*n*) *Martin v. Crompe*, 1 Lord Raym. 340; S. C. 2 Salk. 444; 2 Wms. Saund. 117 b, n. (2).

(*o*) *Jeffereys v. Small*, 1 Vern. 217; *Lake v. Craddock*, 3 P. Wms. 158.

(*p*) *Randall v. Randall*, 7 Sim. 271.

(*q*) *Phillips v. Phillips*, 1 My. & Keen, 649, 663; *Broom v. Broom*, 3 My. & Keen, 443; *Morris v. Kearsley*, 2 You. & Coll. 139; *Bligh v. Brent*, 2 You. & Col. 258; *Houghton v. Houghton*, 11 Sim. 491; *Custance v. Bradshaw*, 4 Harc. 315, 322; *Darby v. Darby*, 3 Drew. 495; see *Cookson v. Cookson*, 8 Sim. 529.

No survivor-  
ship in equity  
of joint secu-  
rities.

ship which attaches to it (*r*). If therefore two persons advance money by way of mortgage or otherwise, and take the security to themselves jointly, and one of them die, the survivor will be a trustee in equity for the representatives of the deceased, of the share advanced by him (*s*). And when the intention is that the survivor should receive the whole, a declaration should be inserted that his receipt alone shall be a sufficient discharge for the money secured (*t*).

Ownership in  
common.

An ownership in common (or, as it is usually styled in analogy to real estate, a tenancy in common) of chattels may arise either from the severance of a joint ownership, or from a gift to two or more to hold in common (*u*). As, however, a chose in action is inalienable at law, a joint ownership of a chose in action cannot be severed at law by either, or even by both, of the joint owners. Thus in case of the bankruptcy of a joint creditor, by which all his estate becomes vested in his assignees, an action against the debtor must be brought in the joint names of the assignees and the other joint creditors (*v*). And if two joint creditors should become bankrupt, the action must be brought in the joint names of all the assignees of both of them (*w*). A tenancy in common cannot in fact exist at law of a chose in action.

No tenancy in  
common at law  
of a chose in  
action.

A. may owe 20*l.* to B. and C. jointly, or he may owe 10*l.* to B. and 10*l.* to C.; but he cannot owe 20*l.* to B. and C. in common. If each has a several cause of action, each must sue separately. In equity, however, the case is different. Though B. and C. are joint owners at

Otherwise in  
equity.\*

(*r*) 2 Atk. 55; 2 Ves. sen. 258.

(*s*) *Petty v. Styward*, 1 Chan. Rep. 57; 1 Eq. Ca. Ab. 290.

(*t*) See *Principles of the Law of Real Property*, 342, 1st ed.; 343, 2nd ed.; 355, 3rd ed.; 361, 4th ed.; 372, 5th ed.; 394, 6th ed.

(*u*) Litt. sect. 321.

(*v*) *Thomason v. Frere*, 10 East, 418. See stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 152, repealing stat. 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 31, to the same effect.

(*w*) See *Hancock v. Heywood*, 3 T. Rep. 433.

law, in equity they may be owners in common; and on the decease of either of them, his share may in equity belong to his representatives, instead of accruing beneficially to his companion. And with regard to letters patent, it appears that even at law, they may be the subject of an ownership in common, and that the assignee of an undivided share may alone sue for an infringement of that part of the patent, without joining the persons interested in the remaining shares (*x*). But it seems doubtful whether one owner in common of letters patent can work the patent on his own account, without the concurrence of the others (*y*). In the creation of a tenancy in common by deed, there is very seldom any difficulty. But in wills, where greater indulgence is given to informal words, the rule is, that any words which denote an intention to give to each of the legatees a distinct interest in the subject of gift, will be sufficient to make them tenants in common. Thus a gift by will to two or more persons "equally to be divided" between them (*z*), or simply "between them" (*a*), or "in joint and equal proportions" (*b*), or "equally" (*c*), or "respectively" (*d*), or "to be enjoyed alike" (*e*), will make such persons tenants in common, and not joint tenants, as they would have been without the insertion of such words. In this respect the rule is the same whether the subject of the devise or bequest be real or personal estate (*f*).

Letters Patent.

Gifts by will  
which make a  
tenancy in  
common.

(*x*) *Dunnichiff v. Mallet*, 7 C. B. N. S. 209, *Walton v. Lavater*, 8 C. B. N. S. 162.

(*y*) *Hancock v. Bewley*, 1 Johnson, 601.

(*z*) *Blisset v. Cranwell*, 1 Salk. 226, *Phillips v. Phillips*, 2 Vern. 430, 1 Eq. Ca. Abr. 292, pl. 6; 1 P. Wms. 34.

(*a*) *Lashbrook v. Cock*, 2 Mer 70

(*b*) *Eltricke v. Eltricke*, 2 Amb. 656.

(*c*) *Lewen v. Dodd*, Cro Eliz. 443.

(*d*) 1 Atk. 580; 1 Ves. sen. 104.

(*e*) *Loveacres d. Mudge v. Blight*, Cowp. 352.

(*f*) See 2 Jarm Wills, 161 et seq 1st ed.; 211, 2nd ed.; 231, 3rd ed.



Owners in common have merely a unity of possession.

Owners in common of personal estate, like tenants in common of lands, have merely a unity of possession: the interest of one may be larger or smaller than that of the other, one having, for instance, one-third, and the other, two-thirds of the property. So the title need not be the same, as one may have been originally a joint tenant with a third person, who may have severed the joint tenancy by assigning his moiety to the other.

No survivorship.

The right of survivorship, which springs from a unity of interest and title, has accordingly no place between owners in common (*g*).

Joint liability.

Connected with the subject of joint ownership is that of joint liability. Two or more persons may be jointly liable to the same debt or demand. In a joint bond, the obligors, according to the usual form, bind themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators jointly; and in a joint covenant, they, in like manner, covenant for themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators jointly. In every case of joint liability, each is liable for the whole debt (*h*), yet they are all, like joint owners, considered as one person. They must accordingly all be sued together during their joint lives (*i*); and a release to one of them will discharge them all (*j*). It is, however, provided by the Bankruptcy Act, 1861, that the order of discharge of a bankrupt shall not discharge any person who was a partner with the bankrupt at the time of the bankruptcy, or was then jointly bound, or had made any joint contract with the bankrupt (*k*). And if any person jointly liable upon any simple con-

Release of one discharges all.

Discharge by Bankruptcy Act.

Discharge by Statute of Limitations.

(*g*) Litt. sect. 321.  
 • (*h*) 1 Barn. & Ald. 35.  
 (*i*) 1 Wms. Saund. 291 b, n. (4).  
 (*j*) 2 Rol. Abr. 412 (G), pl. 4;  
*Clayton v. Kymaston*, 2 Salk. 574;  
 2 Wms. Saund. 47 gg, n. (1); *Warwick v. Richardson*, 14 Sim. 281.

(*k*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 163, repealing stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 200, repealing stats. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 121, and 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 37, to the same effect.

tract shall be discharged by the Statute of Limitations, but his co-contractor or co-contractors shall be liable by virtue of a new acknowledgment or promise, judgment may be given and costs allowed against the latter person or persons only (*l*). And if such person or persons shall plead in abatement that the other ought to be jointly sued, and it shall appear that he was discharged by the statute, the issue joined on such plea shall be found against such person or persons pleading the same (*m*). The fact of one joint debtor being beyond the seas at the time when the cause of action accrues, will not deprive the others of the benefit of the Statutes of Limitation; and the recovery of judgment against any who were not beyond seas, will be no bar to an action against the absent debtors on their return. And for this purpose no part of the United Kingdom, nor the Isle of Man, nor the Channel Islands, are to be considered as beyond seas (*n*). After the decease of any one joint debtor the survivors or survivor of them may still be sued for the whole debt, as though the deceased had no share in it (*o*), and the estate of the deceased will be discharged from all liability both at law and in equity (*p*). So if a judgment be obtained against two or more jointly, and one of them die, the personal estate of the survivor or survivors will be exclusively liable to be taken in execution, although the real estate of the deceased, being bound from the date of the judgment, must contribute equally with the real estate of the survivors (*q*).

Absence beyond seas.

After the decease of one joint debtor the survivor solely liable.

A liability, however, may be both joint and several at Joint and several liability.

- (*l*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 1. Beav. 185; *Wilmer v. Currey*, 2 De Gex & Smale, 347; *Crossley v. Dobson*, 2 De Gex & Smale, 486; *Other v. Jackson*, 3 Drew. 177.
- (*m*) Sect. 2. •
- (*n*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, ss. 11, 12.
- (*o*) *Richards v. Heather*, 1 Barn. & Ald. 29.
- (*p*) *Richardson v. Horton*, 6 Edw. 1 Lev. 30; 2 Wms. Saund. 51.
- (*q*) 3 Rep. 14 b; *Smart v. Edsall*, 1 Lev. 30; 2 Wms. Saund. 51.

Form of a joint  
and several  
bond.

Form of a joint  
and several  
covenant.

Release of one.

the same time; and, as such a liability is more beneficial to the creditor, it is more usual than a liability which is simply joint. A joint and several bond runs in this form:—"for which payment to be well and truly made, we bind ourselves, and each of us, and the heirs, executors and administrators of us and of each of us, jointly and severally;" or if there be a larger number of obligors, say five, the better form is:—"for which payment to be well and truly made, we bind ourselves, and each of us, and any two, three or four of us, and the heirs, executors and administrators of us, and of each of us, and of any two, three or four of us, jointly and severally." In this case, an action may be brought against all the obligors, or against any one, two, three or four of them whom the obligee may select; otherwise he must have sued either all of them jointly, or any one of them singly (r). A joint and several covenant is usually in this form:—"And the said A. B. and C. D. do hereby, for themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators jointly, and each of them doth hereby for himself respectively, and for his respective heirs, executors and administrators, covenant," &c.; or if there are more than two covenantors, the better form is, for the reason above given, "And the said A. B., C. D., E. F. and G. H., do hereby, for themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators jointly, and any two or three of them, do hereby for themselves, their heirs, executors and administrators jointly, and each of them doth hereby for himself respectively, and for his respective heirs, executors and administrators, covenant, &c. In all cases of joint and several liability, each party is individually liable, and may be sued alone for the whole debt, or if the creditor please, he may sue them all jointly. In consequence of the joint liability, a release of one of the debtors will discharge them all; and, as

(r) *Per Buller, J., in Streetfield v. Halliday*, 3 T. Rep. 782.

they are all discharged, the creditor will thenceforth be unable even to sue any of them severally (*s*). As, however, the several liability is distinct from the joint, it is competent to the creditor, in releasing one of the debtors, expressly to reserve his remedy against the others; and in this case, each of the remaining debtors will continue severally liable (*t*). So he may covenant with one of the debtors never to sue him; and in such a case he will retain his remedy against the others severally (*u*). On account of the several liability, the estate of a person who has become jointly and severally bound is not discharged by his decease in the lifetime of his co-debtors, but still remains liable to the entire debt as respects the creditor, and to a proportion of it as respects the surviving co-debtors. It has been recently enacted, that no co-contractor or co-debtor, whether liable jointly only or jointly and severally, shall lose the benefit of the Statutes of Limitation by reason only of payment of any principal, interest or other money by any other co-contractor or co-debtor (*v*).

Covenant not to sue one.

Payment by co-debtor.

One of the most usual means of incurring a joint and several liability is the entering into a partnership. At law the liability of partners is joint only, as to debts incurred by the partnership; so that they ought all to be joined as defendants to an action at law for recovering any such debt (*x*). But a dormant partner, whose name

Liability of partners.  
Joint at law.

(*s*) 2 Rol. Abr. 412 (G), pl. 5; *Clayton v. Kynaston*, 2 Salk. 574; *Nicholson v. Revill*, 4 Adol. and Ell. 683; S. C. Nev. & Man. 192; *Evans v. Bremridge*, 2 Kay & John. 174; affirmed, 8 De Gex, M. & G. 100.  
(*t*) *Ex parte Gifford*, 6 Ves. 807; *Thompson v. Lack*, 3 C. B. 540; *Kearsley v. Cole*, 16 Mec. & Wels. 136; *Price v. Barker*, Q. B. 1 Jur.

N. S. 775; 4 E. & B. 760; *Willis v. De Castro*, 4 C. B. N. S. 216.

(*u*) *Lacy v. Kynaston*, 2 Salk. 575; 2 Wms. Saund. 48, n. (1).

(*v*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 14, not retrospective; *Jackson v. Woolley*, 8 E. & B. 784.

(*x*) See *Rice v. Shute*, 5 Burr. 2611; 1 Wms. Saund. 291 b, n. (4).

Joint and several in equity.

may or may not be known, may either be joined or not at the pleasure of the creditor (*y*), unless the contract be under seal, in which case, as the deed is itself the contract, and not merely evidence of it (*z*), those only can be sued on it who have sealed and delivered it. In equity, however, in favour of creditors, all partnership debts are considered to be both joint and several. On the decease of a partner, therefore, his estate will be liable in equity to all the partnership debts incurred previous to his decease (*a*); and the creditors may, if they please, resort in the first instance to the estate of the deceased, leaving it to his representatives to recover from the surviving partners their share of the debts (*b*). It seems, however, that in analogy to the rule in bankruptcy, next stated, the separate creditors of the deceased partner would first be paid in full out of the estate, before its application to the payment of any of the debts of the partnership (*c*).

Bankruptcy of a trading partnership. Joint and several debts.

In the case of the bankruptcy of a trading-partnership, the rule which is always followed in the payment of the debts is, that the joint assets of the firm are in the first place liable to the partnership debts; and that the separate estate of each partner is in the first place liable to his separate debts, which must be paid in full out of such separate estate, before any of it can be applied towards payment of the debts of the partnership (*d*).

(*y*) *De Mautort v. Saunders*, 1 Barn. & Adol. 398; *Beckham v. Drake*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 79; 11 Mee. & Wels. 315.

(*z*) *Ante*, p. 83.

(*a*) *Devaynes v. Noble*, 1 Meriv. 329, 563; 2 Russ. & Ry. 495.

(*b*) *Wilkinson v. Henderson*, 1 M. & Keen, 582; *Braithwaite v. Britain*, 1 Keen, 206; *Thorpe v. Jackson*, 2 You & Coll. 553; *Way v. Basset*, 5 Hare, 55.

(*c*) *Gray v. Chiswell*, 9 Ves. 118; *Brown v. Weatherby*, 12 Sim. 6, 10; *Ridgway v. Clare*, 19 Beav. 111; *Whittingstall v. Grover*, M. R. 10 W. R. 53.

(*d*) *Ex parte Elton*, 3 Ves. 238. 241; *Ex parte Kensington*, 14 Ves. 447; *Ex parte Peake*, 2 Rose, 54; *Ex parte Harris*, 1 Mad. 583; *Ex parte Janson*, 3 Mad. 229; *Re Plummer*, 1 Phil. 56; *Ex parte Kennedy*, 2 De Gex, M. & G. 228.

Any creditor of a partnership may however be a petitioning creditor in respect of his debt, on the bankruptcy of any individual member of the firm; and in that case he will be entitled to a dividend on his debt out of the estate of such bankrupt rateably with his separate creditors (e). And the other partnership creditors may prove their debts on such separate bankruptcy in order to have a vote in the choice of creditors' assignees, and to be heard against the order for the bankrupt's discharge (f); but they can receive no dividends till the separate creditors have been paid in full. But if any creditor has a joint and several security, which would enable him, at law, to sue any partner severally, he may, at his option, prove his debt against the separate estate of any such partner instead of against the firm jointly (g); but he cannot prove against both together (h). The rule that the joint assets of the firm are in the first place liable to the partnership debts applies equally where there has been a change in the partnership previous to the bankruptcy. The stock handed over to the new firm is primarily liable to all the debts incurred by them; and the creditors of the old firm must first have recourse to such assets, if any, as may still belong to the old firm, and cannot touch the property of the new partnership till all its creditors have been fully paid (i). The addition or withdrawal of a partner to or from a firm in difficulties may thus occasion serious detriment to its creditors.

The Bankruptcy Act, 1861, provides, that if any New enactment.

(e) *Ex parte Ackerman*, 14 Ves. 604; *Ex parte Delastet*, 17 Ves. 247.

(f) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 140, repealing stats. 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, s. 62, and 5 & 6 Vict. c. 122, s. 39, to the same effect. See *ante*, pp. 146, 147.

(g) *Ex parte Hay*, 15 Ves. 4.

(h) *Ex parte Bevan*, 10 Ves. 107; *Ex parte Husbands*, 2 Glyn & Jam. 4.

(i) *Ex parte Freeman*, Buck. 471; *Ex parte Fry*, 1 Glyn & Jam. 96; *Ex parte Janson*, 3 Mad. 229; *Ex parte Sprague*, 4 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 866.

debtor shall, at the time of adjudication, be liable upon any bill of exchange or promissory note in respect of distinct contracts, as member of two or more firms carrying on separate and distinct trades, and having distinct estates to be wound up in bankruptcy, or as a sole trader and also as the member of a firm, the circumstance that such firms are in whole or in part composed of the same individuals, or that the sole contractor is also one of the joint contractors, shall not prevent proof and receipt of dividend in respect of such distinct contracts against the estates respectively liable upon such contracts. It also provides that, in every case where joint and separate estates have to be administered, and where the court shall not otherwise direct, dividends of the joint and separate estates shall be declared at one and the same sitting (*j*). But the general rules with respect to the payment of the joint and several creditors do not appear to be altered.

**Ostensible partner.**

The liability to the debts of a partnership may be incurred by being an ostensible partner, although no share of the profits be received. Thus, if a person allow his name to be used as one of a firm (*k*), or to be painted over the door of a shop (*l*), he will be liable to the debts of the firm; for credit may thus be given to the firm on the strength of his character as a solvent person. On the same principle, if a person have once been known to be a partner in the firm (*m*), his liability to its debts will continue after his withdrawal, unless he takes proper means to inform the creditors that he has ceased to be a partner (*n*). But the circumstance of the name of a

**Retiring partner.**

**Deceased partner.**

(*j*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, ss. 152, 177.

(*k*) *Parkin v. Carruthers*, 3 Esp. 248; *Young v. Astell*, cited 2 H. Black. 242.

(*l*) See *M'Iver v. Humble*, 16 East, 169, 174.

(*m*) *Evans v. Drummond*, 4 Esp. 89; *Brooke v. Enderby*, 2 Brod. & Bing. 70; 4 Moore, 501; *Carter v. Whalley*, 1 Barn. & Adol. 11.

(*n*) *Godfrey v. Turnbull*, 1 Esp. 371; *M'Iver v. Humble*, 16 East, 169.

deceased partner remaining in the firm will not render his estate liable to the debts of the survivors (*o*). And if a trader direct by his will that his trade shall be carried on by his executor, the executor, who ostensibly carries on the trade, will be liable for the debts he may thereby incur as fully as if he were carrying on the trade for his own benefit (*p*); but so much only of the estate of the testator will be liable to such debts as he may have directed to be employed in the business (*q*). The rest of the testator's estate is held to be exempt, on the ground of the great inconvenience which would arise from holding it liable after its distribution amongst the legatees. But in strict principle, this exemption is at variance with the rule next stated, that a liability is incurred by any participation in the profits.

Executor carrying on trade.

A liability to the debts of a partnership is also incurred by a participation in the profits, although the circumstance of such participation may be unknown to the creditors (*r*). Thus, if a person place money in a partnership (*s*), or leave it there on retiring (*t*), with a stipulation to have a compensation for it, under whatever name, subject to abatement or enlargement as the profits may fluctuate, he will be liable as a partner. If, however, he leaves no money in the concern, but is to receive a compensation for his services, or otherwise, a nice distinction is then drawn between taking a share of the profits as such, and taking a per-centage upon,

Participation in profits.

(*o*) *Vulliamy v. Noble*, 3 Mer. 614; *Webster v. Webster*, 3 Swanst. 490, n.

(*p*) 10 Ves. 119. And at law he will be liable, though his name do not appear; *Wightman v. Townroe*, 1 Mau. & Selw. 412.

(*q*) *Ex parte Garland*, 10 Ves. 110; *Ex parte Richardson*, Buck, 202; *Cutbush v. Cutbush*, 1 Beav.

184; *Re Butterfield*, 11 Jurist, 955; *Kirkman v. Booth*, 11 Beav. 273; *M'Neillie v. Acton*, 4 De Gex, M. & G. 744.

(*r*) *Beckham v. Drake*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 79; 11 Mee. & Wels. 315.

(*s*) *Grace v. Smith*, 2 Wm. Black. 998, 1001; *Waugh v. Carver*, 2 H. Black. 285.

(*t*) *Re Colbeck*, Buck, 48.



or a salary varying with, the profits. He who takes a share of the profits as such is liable as a partner (*u*); but he who takes an equivalent in the shape of percentage or salary, though varying with the profits, escapes the liability (*v*). And if a trading concern be carried on for the benefit of creditors, the creditors will not, from the mere circumstance of their debts being paid out of the profits, be liable as partners for the debts incurred (*x*).

Each partner liable to acts of the other in the ordinary course of business.

When the relation of partners has been established between two or more persons, either ostensibly or by participation in profits, each incurs liability from the acts and dealings of the other in the ordinary course of business. For any one partner may buy, sell (*y*) or pledge goods (*z*); draw (*a*), accept (*b*) or indorse (*c*) bills of exchange and promissory notes; give guarantees (*d*), receive moneys (*e*) and release or compound for debts (*f*) in the name (*g*) and on the account of the firm, in the ordinary course of business. Each partner is also answerable for the fraud of his copartner in any matter relating to the business of the partnership (*h*). And in

(*u*) *Ex parte Rowlandson*, 1 Rose, 89, 91; *Barry v. Nesham*, 3 C. B. 641; *Heyhoe v. Burge*, 9 C. B. 431; see, however, *Rawlinson v. Clarke*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 292.

(*v*) *Ex parte Hamper*, 17 Ves. 403; *Pott v. Eyton*, 3 C. B. 32; *Stocker v. Brockelbank*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 250.

(*x*) *Wheatcroft v. Hickman*, H. of L. 9 C. B., N. S. 47.

(*y*) *Hyat v. Hare*, Comb. 383; *Lambert's case*, Godbolt, 244.

(*z*) *Reid v. Hollinshead*, 4 B. & Cress. 867.

(*a*) *Smith v. Jarvis*, 2 Lord Raymond, 1484; *Re Clarke*, *Ex parte Buckley*, 14 Mee. & Wels. 469; 1 Phil. 562.

(*b*) *Pinkney v. Hall*, 1 Salk. 126;

1 Lord Raym. 175; *Lloyd v. Ashby*, 2 B. & Adol. 23.

(*c*) *Swan v. Steele*, 7 East, 210; *Vere v. Ashby*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 288.

(*d*) *Ex parte Gardom*, 15 Ves. 286; see *Halesham v. Young*, 5 Q. B. 833.

(*e*) *Duff v. East India Company*, 15 Ves. 198, 213.

(*f*) *Per Lord Kenyon*, 4 T. Rep. 519; *per Best*, C. J., 10 Moore, 393.

(*g*) *Kirk v. Blurton*, 9 Mee. & Wels. 284.

(*h*) *Wilket v. Chambers*, Cowp. 814; *Stone v. Marsh*, 6 Barn. & Cress. 551; *Lavell v. Hicks*, 2 You. & Coll. 481; *Blair v. Bromley*, 5 Hare, 542; 2 Phil. 354.

like manner notice of any matter relating to the partnership, if given to one partner, is constructively notice to them all (*i*). And any agreement between the partners, by which any one of them may be restrained from doing any act to pledge the credit of the firm, though binding as between themselves, will not be binding on any creditor (*j*) who may not have notice of it (*k*). If, however, the transaction be not in the ordinary course of the business of the partnership, the other partners will not be liable as such in respect of it. Thus one partner cannot bind the firm by a submission to arbitration (*l*), or by confessing a judgment (*m*); and one partner has ordinarily no authority to execute a deed in the names of the others so as to bind the partnership (*n*). So a farmer carrying on his business in partnership with another would not be liable on a bill of exchange drawn by his partner in the name of the partnership (*o*); neither would a solicitor be liable on a bill drawn by his partner in the name of his firm, though given to secure a partnership debt (*p*); for bill transactions form no part of the ordinary business of either farmers or solicitors. Again there is no right or power implied by law in any of the directors of a joint-stock company to bind the company by drawing or accepting bills or notes (*q*); and in like manner notice of any matter relating to the business of a joint-stock company given to any member, even a director, is not

Notice to one partner is notice to all.

Transactions not in the ordinary course of business.

Directors of joint-stock companies.

(*i*) *Per* Lord Ellenborough, 1 3 C. B. 742.  
Mau. & Selw. 259.

(*j*) *Waugh v. Carver*, 2 H. Black.  
235; *South Carolina Bank v. Case*,  
8 Barn. & Cress. 427; *Hawken v.*  
*Bourne*, 8 Mee. & Wels. 703, 710.

(*k*) *Minnit v. Whinery*, 5 Bro.  
Parl. Cas. 489.

(*l*) *Stead v. Salt*, 3 Bing. 101;  
S. C. 10 J. B. Moore, 389.

(*m*) *Hambidge v. De la Crouée*,

(*n*) *Harrison v. Jackson*, 7 T.  
Rep. 207. See *Burn v. Burn*, 3  
Ves. 573, 578.

(*o*) *Per* Littledale, J., 10 Barn.  
& Cress. 138.

(*p*) *Hedley v. Bainbridge*, 3  
Q. B. 316.

(*q*) *Dickinson v. Valpy*, 10 Barn.  
& Cress. 428; *Bramah v. Roberts*,  
3 N. C. 963.

constructive notice to the company itself (*r*). For joint-stock companies are essentially different from ordinary partnerships. It is not necessary that the directors should have any other power to bind the company by bills or notes than such as may be conferred on them by the charter or articles of association (*s*); and the business of such companies is always carried on at an office for the purpose, and is not, like that of ordinary partnerships, confined to any one individual member. The Companies Act, 1862, now provides, that a promissory note or bill of exchange shall be deemed to have been made, accepted or indorsed on behalf of any company under that act, if made, accepted or indorsed in the name of the company by any person acting under the authority of the company, or if made, accepted or indorsed by or on behalf or on account of the company by any person acting under the authority of the company (*t*).

New enactment.

Shareholders in joint-stock companies.

Provisional committee-man.

The liability of a shareholder in a joint-stock company to the debts of the company has been already noticed. It varies, as we have seen (*u*), according as the company is incorporated with unlimited liability or with liability limited by shares or by guarantee. The mere circumstance, however, of a person allowing his name to be published as a provisional committee-man of a projected joint-stock company does not confer on the solicitor or secretary of the intended company, or any one else, implied authority to pledge the credit of such person for goods supplied to the company, or work done on its account (*v*). For to agree to become a

(*r*) *Powles v. Page*, 3 C. B. 16;  
*Martin v. Sedgwick*, 9 Beav. 333.

(*s*) *Balfour v. Ernest*, 5 C. B.,  
N. S. 601.

(*t*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89,  
s. 47.

(*u*) *Ante*, p. 208.

(*v*) *Reynell v. Lewis*, 15 M. &  
W. 517; *Barker v. Stead*, 3 C. B.  
946; *Bailey v. Macaulay*, 13 Q. B.  
815.

member of a committee is merely to agree to become one of a body, to whom others have committed a particular duty, and does not constitute an agreement to share with the other members of that body in profit or loss, which is the characteristic of a partnership (x). Nor does the mere acceptance of shares and payment of a deposit on them, without any further act, render a provisional committee-man liable to the creditors of the projected company (y).

Assignees in bankruptcy, with the leave of the court first obtained, upon application to such court, but not otherwise, may commence, prosecute or defend any action at law or suit in equity which the bankrupt might have commenced or prosecuted or defended; and with the like leave of the court, after notice to such creditors, and subject to such condition (if any) as to obtaining the consent of creditors, or any proportion of them, as the court shall think fit to direct, the assignees may compound, or give time, or take security, for the payment of any debts due to the bankrupt's estate, and may submit to arbitration any dispute relating to the bankrupt's estate (z). And any agreement of reference to arbitration made by the assignees may be made a rule of any of her majesty's superior courts of law at Westminster, whether such agreement contain a clause to that effect or not (a).

Powers of assignees in bankruptcy to bind the creditors.

(x) 15 Mee. & Wels. 529.

(y) *Bright v. Hutton*, 3 H. of L. Cas. 341, overruling *Upfall's case*, 2 H. of L. Cas. 674. See *Spot-*

*tiswoode's case*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 345.

(z) Stat. 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, s. 153.

(a) Sect. 154.

## CHAPTER III.

## OF A WILL.

Growth of  
right of testa-  
mentary alien-  
ation.

ALL kinds of personal property may be bequeathed by will. This right, in its present extent, has been of very gradual and almost imperceptible growth; for anciently, by the general common law, a man who left a wife and children could not deprive them by his will of more than one equal third part of his personal property. If, however, he left a wife and no children, or children and no wife, he was then enabled to dispose of half, leaving the other half for the wife or for the children (*a*). This ancient rule, however, gradually became subject to many exceptions, by the customs of particular places, until the rule itself took the place of an exception and became confined to such places as had a custom in its favour. These places, in later times, were the province of York, the principality of Wales, and the city of London; as to all which places, a general power of testamentary disposition was conferred by acts of parliament of William and Mary, Anne and George I. (*b*); and now, by the recent act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills (*c*), every person of full age is expressly empowered to bequeath by his will, to be executed as required by the act, all personal estate to which he shall

(*a*) 2 Black. Com. 492; Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 1, ch. 1. See also 1 C. P. Cooper's Reports, p. 539.

(*b*) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. & Mary, c. 2, explained by stat. 2 & 3 Anne, c. 5, for the province of

York; stat. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 38, for Wales; and stat. 11 Geo. I. c. 18, for London. See 2 Bl. Com. 493.

(*c*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 3.

be entitled, either at law or in equity, at the time of his decease.

The ecclesiastical courts, as we shall hereafter see, very early acquired the right of determining as to the validity of wills of personal estate; and, in the exercise of this right, they generally followed the rules of the civil law. By this law males at the age of fourteen, and females at the age of twelve, were allowed, if of sufficient discretion, to make a testament (*d*); and the same rule, accordingly, prevailed in this country with respect to wills of personal property (*e*), although, by some authorities, seventeen and even eighteen was said to be the proper age (*f*). The act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills, has, however, now made the law uniform with respect to all wills, whether of real or of personal estate, and has enacted that no will made by any person under the age of twenty-one years shall be valid (*g*).

Age at which a will of personal estate might be made.

No will of a minor now valid.

Personal property was anciently of so little account that a will of it might be made by word of mouth, if proved by a sufficient number of witnesses, as well as by writing; and a will made by word of mouth was termed a nuncupative testament (*h*). By the Statute of Frauds, however, a nuncupative testament, where the estate bequeathed exceeded the value of thirty pounds, was surrounded by so many requirements as to cause its complete disuse (*i*). But no provision was made for guarding the execution of a written will of personal

Nuncupative will.

Statute of Frauds.

(*d*) Inst. lib. 2, tit. 12, s. 1; Dig. lib. 28, tit. 1, s. 5.

(*e*) 2 Bl. Com. 497.

(*f*) Co. Litt. 89 b, n. (6).

(*g*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 7.

(*h*) Wentworth's Executors, 11 *et seq.*; Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 2, ch. 2, s. 6.

(*i*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, ss. 19—21, explained by stat. 4 Anne, c. 16, s. 14.

No witness formerly required to a will of personal estate.

estate; although by the same statute (*k*) a will of real estate was required to be attested by three or four witnesses. No attestation, therefore, was required to a will of personal estate, nor was it even necessary that such a will should be signed by the testator. Thus, instructions for a will committed to writing, given by a person who died before the instrument could be formally executed, though such instructions were neither reduced into writing in the presence of the testator, nor even read over to him, have been held to operate as fully as a will itself (*l*). It was, however, provided by the Statute of Frauds, that no will in writing of personal estate should be repealed or altered by word of mouth only, except the same were, in the life of the testator, committed to writing, and after the writing thereof, read unto the testator, and allowed by him, and proved to be so done by three witnesses at the least (*m*).

New enactment, two witnesses now required.

By the recent act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills, every will of personal estate must now be in writing, and signed at the foot or end thereof by the testator or by some other person in his presence and by his direction; and such signature shall be made or acknowledged by the testator, in the presence of *two* or more witnesses present at the same time; and such witnesses shall attest and shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator (*n*). The act, in fact, requires the same mode of execution and attestation to every will, whether the property be real or personal. But an exception is made in favour of soldiers being in actual military service, that is, on an expedition (*o*), and of

Exception in favour of soldiers and seamen.

(*k*) Sect. 5.

(*l*) *Carey v. Askew*, 2 Bro. C. C. 58; S. C. 1 Cox, 241.

(*m*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 22.

(*n*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 9, explained by stat. 15 &

16 Vict. c. 24. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 168, 169, 4th ed.; 175, 176, 5th ed.; 183, 184, 6th ed.

(*o*) *Drummond v. Parish*, 3 Curt. 522.

marines and seamen, being at sea, who may dispose of their personal estate as they might have done before the making of the act (*p*); a similar exception was contained in the Statute of Frauds (*q*). The wills of soldiers on an expedition may accordingly be made by an unattested writing, or by a mere nuncupative testament or declaration of their will by word of mouth, made before a sufficient number of witnesses. But the wills of petty officers and seamen in the royal navy, and of marines and non-commissioned officers of marines, so far as relates to any wages, pay, prize money or other monies payable in respect of services in her majesty's navy, are required by act of parliament (*r*) to be executed in the presence of and to be attested by the captain of the ship, or certain other officers or persons mentioned in the act; and the wills of such persons are also guarded by other requisitions in order to prevent their being imposed upon. And by the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854, it is now provided that the Board of Trade may, in its discretion, refuse to pay or deliver the wages or effects of any deceased merchant seaman to any person claiming to be entitled thereto under any will made on board ship, unless such will is in writing and is signed or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of the master or first or only mate of the ship, and is attested by such master or mate. And the Board may, in its discretion, refuse to pay or deliver any such wages or effects to any person, not being related to the testator by blood or marriage, who claims to be entitled thereto under a will made elsewhere than on board ship, unless such will is in writing and is signed or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is some shipping master appointed under the act,

Seamen in the royal navy, and marines.

Merchant seamen.

(*p*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 11.

(*q*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 23.

(*r*) Stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will.

IV. c. 20, ss. 48—51; 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 12; Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 4.



Revocation of  
a will.

or some minister or officiating minister or curate of the place in which the same is made, or, in a place where there are no such persons, some justice of the peace, or some British consular officer, or some officer of customs, and is attested by such witnesses (s). By the act to amend the laws with respect to wills it is provided, that no will or codicil, or any part thereof, shall be revoked, otherwise than by the marriage of the testator or testatrix (which will of itself effect a revocation (t)), or by another will or codicil executed in the manner thereby required, or by some writing declaring an intention to revoke the same, and executed in the manner in which a will is thereby required to be executed, or by the burning, tearing or otherwise destroying the same by the testator, or by some person in his presence, and by his direction, with the intention of revoking the same (u).

## Domicile.

A will of personal estate was formerly required to be made according to the law of the domicile of the testator at the time of his decease (x). A person's domicile is the place which he makes his home. But with regard to many persons, the circumstances connected with their change of residence are such as to render it an exceedingly difficult question of fact,—what country is their domicile at any given time. In order to remedy the inconveniences thus occasioned, it is provided by a recent act (y), that with regard to persons who may die after the 6th of August, 1861, the date of the act, every testamentary instrument made out of the United Kingdom by a British subject, whatever may be his domicile

New enact-  
ment.

(s) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 200.

(t) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 18. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 153, 1st ed.; 163, 2nd ed.; 170, 3rd ed.; 171,

4th ed.; 179, 5th ed.; 187, 6th ed.

(u) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 20.

(x) *Stanley v. Bernes*, 3 Hagg. 373.

(y) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 114.

at the time of making it, or at his death, shall, as regards personal estate, be held to be well executed for the purpose of being admitted to probate, if the same be made according to the forms required either by the law of the place where the same was made, or by the law of the place where such person was domiciled when the same was made, or by the laws then in force in that part of her Majesty's dominions where he had his domicile of origin (z). It is further provided (a), that every testamentary instrument made within the United Kingdom by any British subject, whatever may be his domicile at the time of making the same, or at his death, shall, as regards personal estate, be held to be well executed, and shall be admitted to probate, if the same be executed according to the forms required by the laws of that part of the United Kingdom where the same is made. And no testamentary instrument is to be revoked or to become invalid, nor is the construction thereof to be altered by reason of any subsequent change of domicile of the person making the same (b). Another Act of Parliament, passed on the same day (c), provides that whenever her Majesty shall, by convention with any foreign state, agree that provisions to the effect of the enactments therein contained shall be applicable to the subjects of her Majesty and of such foreign state respectively, her Majesty may by order in council direct that after the publication of such order in the "London Gazette," no British subject resident at the time of his death in the foreign country named in such order, shall be deemed, under any circumstances, to have acquired a domicile in such country, unless he shall have been resident in such country for one year immediately preceding his decease, and shall also have made and deposited in a public office of such foreign

Further enact-  
ment.

(z) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 114, s. 1.

(a) Sect. 2.

(b) Sect. 3.

(c) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 121.

country (such office to be named in the order in council) a declaration in writing of his intention to become domiciled in such foreign country. And any British subject dying resident in such foreign country, but without having so resided, and made such declaration as aforesaid, shall be deemed, for all purposes of testate or intestate succession as to moveables, to retain the domicile he possessed at the time of his going to reside there (*d*). Similar provisions may be made after any such convention, with regard to the subjects of such foreign country dying in Great Britain (*e*). But this act is not to apply to any foreigners who may have obtained letters of naturalization in any part of her Majesty's dominions (*f*).

**Donatio mortis  
causâ.**

Connected with the subject of wills is that of donations mortis causâ, which may here be noticed. A donation mortis causâ is a gift made in contemplation of death, to be absolute only in case of the death of the giver (*g*). Being a gift, it can be made only of chattels, the property in which passes by delivery (*h*); although a bond debt has, contrary to this principle (*i*), been allowed to pass by way of donation mortis causâ by delivery of the bond (*k*). And a policy of life assurance has also recently been held a proper subject for such a gift (*l*), also bills or notes though payable to order and undorsed (*m*). An actual or constructive delivery of the subject of gift to the donee is essential to a donation

(*d*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 121, s. 1.

(*e*) Sect. 2.

(*f*) Sect. 3.

(*g*) Inst. tit. 7, De Donationibus, cited by Lord Loughborough, in *Tate v. Hilbert*, 2 Ves. jun. 119; *Walter v. Hodge*, 2 Swanst. 99.

(*h*) See *ante*, p. 33; *Miller v. Miller*, 3 P. Wms. 356.

(*i*) *Duffield v. Elwes*, 1 Sim. & Stu. 244.

(*k*) *Snellgrove v. Baily*, 3 Atk. 214; and see *Boutts v. Ellis*, 4 De Gex, M. & G. 249; *Moore v. Darton*, 4 De Gex & Smale, 517.

(*l*) *Witt v. Amis*, 1 Best & Smith, 109.

(*m*) *Veal v. Veal*, 27 Beav. 303; *Rankin v. Weguelin*, 27 Beav. 309.

mortis causâ (*n*); it must also be made in expectation of the donor's decease (*o*), and must be on condition that the gift be absolute only on that event (*p*). It is no objection, however, that the donation is clogged with a trust to be performed by the donee (*q*). A donation mortis causâ is revocable by the donor during his life (*r*), and after his decease it is subject to his debts (*s*), and also to legacy duty (*t*).

The mode of operation of a will of personalty is essentially different from the operation of a will of lands in this respect, that in strictness the appointment of an executor was formerly essential to a will of personalty (*u*); and, at the present day, the usual and proper method is to appoint an executor as to the personal estate; whereas, under a devise of landed property, the lands pass at once to the devisee, and the intervention of an executor is quite unnecessary and inapplicable. The executor of a will of personal estate becomes entitled, from the moment of the death of the testator, to all his personal property (*x*), which after payment of the debts of the deceased he is bound to apply according to the directions of the will. Thus if the testator should specifically bequeath any part of his personal property, the property so bequeathed will not belong absolutely to the legatee

Appointment of executor formerly essential.

Executor entitled to all personal property of testator.

Executor's assent.

(*n*) *Wood v. Turner*, 2 Ves. sen. 431; *Bryson v. Brownrigg*, 9 Ves. 1; *Bunn v. Markham*, 7 Taunt. 224; *Ruddell v. Dobree*, 10 Sim. 244; *Farquharson v. Cave*, 2 Coll. 356; *Powell v. Hellicar*, 26 Beav. 261.

(*o*) *Tate v. Hilbert*, 2 Ves. jun. 111; 4 Bro. C. C. 286.

(*p*) *Edwards v. Jones*, 1 My. & Craig, 226; *Staniland v. Willott*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 664.

(*q*) *Blount v. Burrow*, 4 Bro.

C. C. 72; *Hills v. Hills*, 8 Mec. & Wels. 401.

(*r*) 7 Taunt. 232.

(*s*) 1 P. Wms. 406; 2 Ves. sen. 434.

(*t*) Stat. 36 Geo. III. c. 52, s. 7; 8 & 9 Vict. c. 76, s. 4.

(*u*) *Wentworth's Executors*, 3, 4, 14th ed.; 2 Bla. Com. 503.

(*x*) Co. Litt. 388 a; Com. Dig. tit. Biens (C); *Williams on Executors*, pt. 2, bk. 2.

until the executor has assented to the bequest; and this assent must not be given until the executor is satisfied that there is sufficient to pay the debts of the deceased without having recourse to the property so specifically given (*y*).

Administrator  
*durante minore*  
*etate.*

Married  
woman execu-  
trix.

Executor of  
executor en-  
titled to be ex-  
ecutor of tes-  
tator.

If the testator should appoint as his sole executor an infant under the age of twenty-one years, such infant will not be allowed to exercise his office during his minority; but during this time the administration of the goods of the deceased will be granted to the guardian of the infant, or to such other person as the Court of Probate may think fit (*z*). Such person is called an administrator *durante minore etate* (*a*). If a married woman should be appointed an executrix, she cannot accept the office without the consent of her husband (*b*), and having accepted it with his consent, she is unable, without his concurrence, to perform any act of administration which may be to his prejudice; whilst he, on the other hand, may release debts due to the deceased, or make assignment of the deceased's personal estate, without his wife's concurrence (*c*); for as the general rule of law is that a husband and wife are but one person, the power, and with it the responsibility, are vested in the husband. Nevertheless a married woman, being an executrix, may make a will without the consent of her husband, confined to the personal estate of which she is executrix (*d*); and the executor of her will so made will be the executor of the original testator. For it is a general rule, that if any executor should die before having completely administered the estate of his testator, the executor ap-

*e*(*y*) Toller's Executors, bk. 3, s. 2; Williams on Executors, pt. 3, bk. 3, ch. 4, s. 3.

(*z*) Stat. 38 Geo. III. c. 87, s. 6.

(*a*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 5, ch. 3, s. 3.

(*b*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 3, ch. 1.

(*c*) *Ibid.* pt. 3, bk. 1, ch. 4; 5 Rep. 27 b.

(*d*) *Ibid.* pt. 1, bk. 2, ch. 1, s. 2.

pointed by the will of such executor will be entitled to complete the distribution of the estate of the former testator (e).

The testator however may, and usually does, appoint more than one person his executors. In this case the law regards all the co-executors as one individual person; and consequently any one of the executors of full age may, during the life of his companions, perform, without their concurrence, all the ordinary acts of administration, such as giving receipts, making payments and selling and assigning the property (f). But all the executors, infants included, must join in bringing actions respecting the estate (g). If, therefore, the testator appoint a person indebted to him as his executor, or one of his executors, this appointment will operate at law as a release of the debt (h). For the debt is a chose in action, and a man cannot either solely or conjointly with others bring an action against himself. In equity, however, an executor who was indebted to the testator is bound to account for his debt to the estate of the testator (i). On the decease of any co-executor, the office survives to those who remain; and until recently if one of them should have renounced the executorship in the lifetime of his companions, he might at any time have changed his mind and undertaken the office. But if, having survived all his companions, he should then have renounced (h), or if, without such renunciation, adminis-

Any one of the executors may perform acts of administration.

All must join in bringing action.

Appointment of debtor executor.

Survivorship of office of executor.

Renunciation by one in lifetime of others.

(e) 2 Bla. Com. 506.

(f) Shep. Touch. 484.

(g) Williams on Executors, pt. 3, bk. 1, ch. 2. An ejectment was an exception, as any one executor might demise the entirety of the testator's leasehold land. *Doe d. Stace v. Wheeler*, 15 Mee. & Wels. 623. But see now stat. 15 & 16 Vict. c. 76, ss. 168 *et seq.*

(h) *Wentworth's Executors*, 73, 14th ed.; *Freakley v. Fox*, 9 B. & Cress. 130.

(i) Bac. Ab. tit. Executors and Administrators (A), 10; *Simmons v. Gutteridge*, 13 Ves. 264.

(k) *Hensloe's case*, 9 Rep. 36; *Creswick v. Woodhead*, 4 Man. & Gran. 811.

New enact-  
ment.

tration should then have been granted to another person (l), he could not afterwards have interfered. It is however now provided by the recent Court of Probate Act, 1857, that where any person after the commencement of that act, (which was fixed by Order in Council for the 11th of January, 1858,) renounces probate of the will of which he is appointed executor or one of the executors, the rights of such person in respect of the executorship shall wholly cease; and the representation to the testator and the administration of his effects shall, without any further renunciation, go, devolve and be committed in like manner, as if such person had not been appointed executor (m). And by a subsequent act the same effect is produced whenever an executor named in a will survives the testator, but dies without having taken probate, and whenever an executor named in a will is cited to take probate and does not appear to such citation (n). When two or more executors prove, the executor of the will of the survivor of them will, after the decease of all of them, be entitled to act as executor of their testator.

Executor *de  
son tort*.

If any person not duly authorized should intermeddle with the goods of the testator, or do any other act relating to the office of executor, he thereby becomes an executor of his own wrong, or, as it is called in law French, an executor *de son tort*. Such an executor is liable to the same demands from the creditors of the deceased as if he had been regularly appointed; but like a regular executor he is not liable beyond the amount of the assets of the testator which have come to his hands. The chief difference between such an executor and one who has been duly appointed is this, that an executor *de son tort* is not allowed to derive any benefit from his

(l) *Venables v. East India Com- pany*, 2 Ex. Rep. 633. s. 79.

(n) Court of Probate Act, 1858,

(m) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95, s. 16.

own wrongful intermeddling; whereas a regularly appointed executor, if a creditor of the deceased, may lawfully retain his own debt out of the assets in preference to all other debts of the same degree (o).

The most striking difference between a will of personal estate and a will of lands yet remains to be noticed. A will of lands has always operated and still operates as a mode of conveyance requiring no extrinsic sanction to render it available as a document of title. But a will of personal estate has always required to be proved. This probate of the will was until recently required to be made in some ecclesiastical court. But by the Court of Probate Act, 1857 (p), the jurisdiction of all the ecclesiastical courts over wills was entirely abolished, and a court was established called the Court of Probate, with a principal registry in London and district registries throughout the kingdom, in which all wills of personal estate are now required to be proved. In this court the will itself is deposited, and a copy of the will, which is given by the court to the executor on proving, denominated the probate copy, is the only proper evidence of the right of the executor to intermeddle with the personal estate of his testator (q). Before probate, however, the executor may perform all the ordinary acts of administration, such as receiving and giving receipts for debts due to the testator, paying the debts owing by the testator, and selling and assigning any part of the personal estate. But when evidence is required of his right to intermeddle, the probate is the only valid proof; without it, therefore, no action or suit can be maintained, although proceedings may be commenced before,

A will of personalty must be proved.

Court of Probate.

The probate the only proper evidence.

Acts of executor before probate.

(o) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 3, ch. 5; pt. 3, bk. 2, ch. 2, s. 6. amended by stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95.

(q) *Reaz v. Netherseal*, 4 T. R. 260; 1 Wma. Ex. pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 1.

(p) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77,

W.P.P.



and carried up to the point where the evidence is required (*r*).

**Ecclesiastical jurisdiction over wills.**

The jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts over wills of personal estate is of very ancient origin. The probate of wills of personalty, as a means of their authentication, appears to have been in use from the very earliest times. The first persons by whom probate was granted was said to be the lords of manors; and some vestiges of this ancient right long remained in the case of one or two manors, the lords of which retained such a jurisdiction (*s*) until abolished by the Court of Probate Act, 1857 (*t*). But so early as the time of Glanville, who wrote in the reign of Henry II., the ecclesiastical courts had acquired an exclusive right to determine on the validity of a will or the bequest of a legacy (*u*). And from this period the right of the church to interfere in testamentary matters became gradually settled, though not without much opposition on the part of the temporal lords.

**In what court probate should have been taken out.**

**Bona notabilia.**

A will was required to be proved in the court of the bishop or ordinary in whose diocese the testator dwelt, and within whose jurisdiction the personal effects of the testator consequently lay. But if there were effects to the value of 5*l.*, called *bona notabilia*, in two distinct dioceses or jurisdictions within the same province, either of Canterbury or York, the will was required to be proved in the Prerogative Court of the archbishop of that province (*x*). If there were personal effects within two provinces, the will must have been proved in each pro-

(*r*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 1, s. 2; *Stuart v. Burrows*, 1 Drury, 265, 274.

(*s*) Wentworth's Ex. 14th ed. 99, 100; Toller's Executors, 50.

(*t*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 3.

(*u*) Glanville, lib. 7, cc. 6, 7; 1

Reeves's Hist. Eng. Law, 72.

(*x*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 2. For an account of the rise of the archbishop's jurisdiction, see Gent. Mag. new series, vol. 12, p. 582.

vince, either in the Prerogative Court, or in some court of inferior jurisdiction; observing, as to each province, the same rule as would have applied had the testator had no property elsewhere (y). If probate were granted by a bishop, or other inferior judge, in a case, where the deceased had goods to the value of 5*l.* in any other diocese in the same province, such probate was absolutely void; but probate granted by an archbishop, in a case where the deceased had not *bona notabilia* in divers dioceses, was voidable only, and not absolutely void (z). But the Court of Probate Act, 1857, now renders valid all grants of probates which were void or voidable by reason only that the courts from which they were obtained had not jurisdiction to make such grants, except where the same had been already litigated (a). And any will may now be proved in the principal registry of the Court of Probate without regard to the abode of the testator (b). But if the testator had at the time of his death a fixed place of abode within any district, his will may be proved in the registry of that district (c); and the grant so made will be effectual even if the testator should not have had any fixed place of abode within that district (d).

Probate void.

Voidable.

Now valid.

Probate in principal registry.

In district registry.

The evidence required for the proof of a will varies according to the form of the attestation, and also according to the circumstance of the validity of the will being or not being disputed. The usual and proper form of attestation to a will expresses that the formalities required by the Wills Act (e) have been complied with; thus, "Signed and declared by the above-named

Evidence required on probate.

(y) Second Report of Real Property Commissioners, 67.

s. 86.

(b) Sect. 59.

(z) Wentworth's Executors, 110, 14th ed.; *Lysons v. Barrow*, 2 Bing.\*

(c) Sect. 46.

(d) Sect. 47.

N. C. 486.

(e) Stat. 7 Will. IV. &amp; 1 Vict.

(a) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, c. 26, s. 9, ante, p. 296.

A. B., the testator, as and for his last will and testament, in the presence of us, both present at the same time, who, at his request, in his presence, and in the presence of each other, have hereunto subscribed our names as witnesses." When the attestation is in this form, and the validity of the will is not disputed, it is *proved* by the simple oath of the executor, that he believes the will to be the true last will and testament of the deceased. But as such a form of the attestation clause is not essential to the validity of the will (*f*), wills are sometimes informally made without any clause of attestation, or with a clause which does not express that the required formalities have been complied with. When this occurs, an affidavit, in addition to the executor's oath, is required from one of the subscribing witnesses, that the will was executed in compliance with the statute (*g*). Probate in either of the above modes is termed probate in *common form*. But if the validity of the will should be disputed, or any dispute should be anticipated by the executor, the will is proved *in solemn form per testes*. In this case both the witnesses are sworn and examined, and such other evidence taken as the circumstances require, in the presence of the widow and next of kin of the testator, and all others pretending to have any interest, who are cited to be present to see the proceedings. When a will has once been proved in this form it is finally established, and the executor cannot be compelled to prove it any more; but when a will has been proved merely in common form, the executor may, at any time within thirty years, be compelled by any party interested to prove it *per testes* in solemn form (*h*). The contentious jurisdiction with

Probate in  
common form ;

in solemn form.

(*f*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 9.

(*g*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 3, s. 3. The practice of the Court of Probate is generally the same as the old practice

of the Prerogative Court of the Archbishop of Canterbury; Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 29.

(*h*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 3, s. 4.

respect to the grant and revocation of probates of wills has been transferred to the county courts in cases where the personalty is under the value of 200*l.*, and the deceased was not at the time of his death beneficially entitled to any real estate of the value of 300*l.* (i). County courts.

Probates of wills are required by act of parliament to be stamped with an ad valorem duty according to the value of the personal estate of the testator (k). The effects of the testator within the jurisdiction of the spiritual judge granting probate were formerly alone valued for this purpose (l). But it is now provided that probate shall be granted in respect of the whole of the personal and moveable estate and effects of the deceased in the United Kingdom (m). And provisions have been made for extending to England, Scotland and Ireland respectively probates granted by the courts of probate which have now been established in England and Ireland, and confirmations, as they are called, of executors in Scotland (n). A recent act of parliament provides that all Indian government promissory notes and certificates issued or stock created in lieu thereof, being assets of a deceased person, the interest whereon shall be payable in London by drafts payable in India, and which at the decease of the owner thereof shall have been registered in the books of the secretary of state in council in London, or in the books of the governor and company of the Bank of England, or shall have been enfaced in India for the purpose of being so registered before the decease of the owner thereof, and all Indian govern- Stamp duties on probates.  
  
Indian govern-  
ment notes.

- (i) Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95, s. 10. v. *Bouwens*, 4 Mee. & Wels. 171.  
 (k) Stats. 55 Geo. III. c. 184; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 79, s. 23; 22 & 23 Vict. c. 36, s. 1. (m) Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 56, s. 15.  
 (l) *Attorney-General v. Hope*, 2 Cl. & Fin. 84; *Attorney-General* (n) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 79, ss. 94, 95; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 56, ss. 12, 13, 14; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95, s. 29.

Powers of appointment.

New enactment: probate duty now payable.

Officers and soldiers dying on service.

Seamen, &c.

ment promissory notes issued with coupons attached, which, under such regulations and conditions as may be determined from time to time by the secretary of state in council, shall be so registered, and all certificates issued or stock created in lieu thereof shall be deemed and taken to be personal estate and bona notabilia of such deceased person in England; and probate or letters of administration in England, or confirmation granted in Scotland and sealed with the seal of the principal court of probate in England, shall be sufficient to constitute the persons therein named the legal personal representatives of the deceased with respect to such notes and money as aforesaid (*o*). Probates of wills operating merely in exercise of powers of appointment over property of which the deceased had no ownership, were formerly held to be exempt from probate duty in respect of the value of the property appointed (*p*). But it is now provided, that probate duty shall be paid in respect of all the personal or moveable estate and effects which any person dying after the 3rd of April, 1860, shall have disposed of by will under any authority enabling such person to dispose of the same as he or she shall think fit (*q*). The distribution of the effects of officers and soldiers dying on service is now provided for by the Regimental Debts Act, 1863 (*r*). Exemptions from probate duty have been made by parliament in favour of the effects of common seamen, marines and soldiers, who may be slain or die in the queen's service (*s*), and in favour of depositors in savings banks whose whole estate and effects shall not exceed fifty pounds sterling (*t*). And pay, wages, prize money or

(*o*) Stat. 23 Vict. c. 5, s. 1.

(*p*) *Platt v. Routh*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 756; 3 Beav. 257; affirmed in the House of Lords; *Drake v. Attorney-General*, 10 Cl. & Fin.

257.

(*q*) Stat. 23 Vict. c. 15, s. 4.

(*r*) Stat. 26 & 27 Vict. c. 57.

(*s*) Stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184.

(*t*) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 92, ss. 40-42.

pensions due to deceased naval officers, marines, seamen and others employed in the navy, whose whole assets shall not exceed thirty-two pounds, are allowed to be paid out without probate of their wills (*u*). Probates of the wills of petty officers and seamen in the royal navy and of marines and non-commissioned officers of marines are placed by act of parliament under the care of an officer called the inspector of seamen's wills, and are subject to special regulations made to prevent frauds on persons proverbially careless and liable to imposition (*x*). And with respect to merchant seamen, the Merchant Shipping Act, 1854, now provides that if the money and effects of any such seaman do not exceed in value the sum of 50*l.*, probate may be dispensed with at the discretion of the Board of Trade (*y*). The probate duty is in the first place paid on the whole value of the personal estate of the testator without allowing for his debts; and after the debts are paid, a return of part of the probate duty is made according to the value to which the estate may be reduced by the payment of the debts. But as some persons attempted to evade probate duty by means of voluntary bonds to take effect at their decease, in lieu of legacies, it is now provided that no return of probate duty shall be made in respect of any voluntary debt due from any person dying after the 28th of June, 1861, which shall be expressed to be payable on the death of such person, or payable under any instrument which shall not have been bonâ fide delivered to the donee thereof three months before the death of such person (*z*).

Seamen's wills.

Voluntary debts.

When the will has been proved, it is the duty of the

Payment of debts.

(*u*) Stat. 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 25, s. 8.

Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 4, ch. 4; bk. 5, ch. 2, s. 4.

(*y*) Stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 20, ss. 55—58, amended by stat. 2 & 3 Will. IV. c. 40, ss. 12, 13; 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 25, s. 8;

(*z*) Stat. 17 & 18 Vict. c. 104, s. 199.

(*z*) Stat. 24 & 25 Vict. c. 92, s. 3.

**Powers of executors.**

**Purchaser from executor not bound to inquire if there be debts.**

**Nor to see to the application of his purchase money.**

**Power to executors to compound debts, &c.**

executor to pay the testator's debts out of the personal estate, to which such executor becomes entitled by virtue of his office. For this purpose the executor has reposed in him by the law the fullest powers of disposition over the personal estate of the deceased, whatever may be the manner in which it has been bequeathed by the will (*a*). And in the event of a sale of any such property by the executor, the purchaser is not bound to inquire whether there are any debts remaining unpaid; for in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the executor is presumed to be acting in the proper discharge of his office (*b*). Nor is the purchaser at all concerned with the application which the executor may make of the purchase money; but the executor's receipt will be a sufficient discharge, and he alone will be responsible to the creditors and legatees for its due application (*c*). The order in which debts ought to be paid out of the personal estate of a deceased debtor has been already noticed in the chapter on debts (*d*); and it has also been stated that the executor, if a creditor, is entitled to retain his own debt in preference to all others of the same degree (*e*).

When the will has been executed after the 28th of August, 1860, or has been confirmed or revived by a codicil executed after that date, the executors are empowered to pay any debts or claims upon any evidence that they may think sufficient, and to accept any composition, or any security, real or personal, for any debts due to the deceased, and to allow any time for payment of any such debts as they shall think fit, and also to

(*a*) *Ewer v. Corbet*, 2 P. Wms. 148; *Russell v. Plaice*, 18 Beav. 21.

(*b*) *Nugent v. Gifford*, 1 Atk. 463; *Eliot v. Merriman*, 2 Atk.

42.

(*c*) *Whale v. Booth*, 4 T. Rep. 625, n.; *M'Leod v. Drummond*, 17 Ves. 154.

(*d*) *Ante*, pp. 99, 101, 105.

(*e*) *Ante*, p. 305.

compromise, compound, or submit to arbitration all debts, accounts, claims and things whatsoever relating to the estate of the deceased; and for any of the purposes aforesaid to enter into, give and execute such agreements, instruments of composition, releases and other things as they shall think expedient, without being responsible for any loss to be occasioned thereby (*f*).

And the executors are now empowered immediately, or at any time after probate, to apply to the Court of Chancery for an order to be made upon motion or petition of course, or by the judge at chambers, referring it to the chief clerk of the judge to take an account of the debts and liabilities affecting the personal estate of the deceased and to report thereon; and after any such order shall have been made, proceedings at law by the creditors against the executors may be restrained or suspended by the court until the account directed by such order shall have been taken (*g*).

Accounts may be taken by the Court of Chancery.

When the debts have been paid, the legacies left by the testator are then to be discharged. In order to give the executor sufficient time to inform himself of the state of the assets and to pay the debts of the deceased, he is allowed a twelvemonth from the date of the death of the testator before he is bound to pay any legacies (*h*). From this time all such general legacies as remain unpaid carry interest, at the rate of four per cent. per annum (*i*). Notwithstanding the lapse of a year from the testator's death, the executor, however, is still liable to any creditor of the deceased to the amount of the assets which have come to the executor's hands (*k*); and

Legacies.  
Executor's year.

Liability of executor.

(*f*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 30.

(*i*) *Ward v. Penoyre*, ubi supra.

(*g*) Stats. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 35, s. 19; 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 14.

(*k*) *Norman v. Baldry*, 6 Sim.

(*h*) *Ward v. Penoyre*, 13 Ves. 333; *Benson v. Maude*, 6 Madd. 15.

621; *Knatchbull v. Farnhead*, 3 My. & Cr. 122; *Hill v. Gomme*, 1 Beav. 540.



New enact-  
ment: protec-  
tion to execu-  
tors.

Not liable be-  
yond amount  
of assets.

if he should have paid any legacies in ignorance of the claims of the creditor, his only remedy is to apply to the legatees to refund their legacies, which they will be bound to do, in order to satisfy the debt (*l*). From this liability to creditors, an executor could not until recently have been discharged, unless he threw the property into chancery, in which case the court undertakes the administration, and the executor is consequently exonerated from all risk (*m*). But a recent act exonerates executors from all liability to the rents and covenants of any leasehold or other property liable to rents or covenants after an assignment made by him to a purchaser, provided he shall have set apart a sufficient fund to answer any future claim in respect of any fixed and ascertained sum agreed by the lessee or grantee to be laid out on the property (*n*). And it is further provided, that where an executor shall have given the like notices as would have been given by the Court of Chancery in an administration suit, for creditors and others to send in their claims against the estate of the testator, the executor may distribute the assets amongst the parties entitled, without liability to any person of whose claim he shall not have had notice at the time of distribution (*o*). The executor is of course not answerable to the testator's creditors beyond the amount of assets which have come to his hands (*p*), unless he should for sufficient consideration give a written promise to pay personally (*q*), or should do any act amounting

(*l*) *March v. Russell*, 3 My. & Cr. 31.

(*m*) 3 Myl. & Cr. 126.

(*n*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, ss. 27, 28. This act extends to leases made before it passed; *Smith v. Smith*, 1 Drew. & Smale, 684; *Re Green*, 2 De Gex, F. &

J. 121.

(*o*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 29.

(*p*) Bac. Abr. tit. Executors, (P), 1.

(*q*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 4; *ante*, p. 74; 1 Wms. Saund. 210, n. (1); 211, n. (2).

to an admission that he has assets of the testator sufficient for the payment of the debts (*r*).

On the payment or delivery of any legacy of the amount or value of 20*l*. or upwards, whether payable out of the estate of the testator, real or personal, or out of any real or personal estate over which he had a power of appointment (*s*), a receipt must be given by the legatee, which is chargeable with a duty, called the legacy duty, on the amount or value of the legacy (*t*). But no sum of money, which by any marriage settlement is subjected to any limited power of appointment to or for the benefit of any person or persons therein specially named or described as the object or objects of such power, or to or for the benefit of the issue of any such person or persons, is liable to legacy duty under the will in which such sum is appointed or apportioned in exercise of such limited power (*u*). The amount of legacy duty varies according to the degree of relationship which the legatee bore to the deceased. Where the legacy is to a child or lineal descendant, or to the father or mother or any lineal ancestor of the deceased, the duty is one per cent. If to a brother or sister, or any descendant of a brother or sister, the duty is three per cent. If to a brother or sister of the father or mother of the deceased, or any descendant of such brother or sister, five per cent. If to a brother or sister of a grandfather or grandmother of the deceased, or any descendant of such brother or sister, six per cent. And if the legacy be to any person in any other degree of collateral consanguinity to the deceased, or to any stranger in blood, the duty is ten per cent. (*x*). But the husband or wife of the deceased are exempt

Legacy duty.

Exemption.

Amount of duty.

(*r*) *Horsley v. Chaloner*, 2 Ves. sen. 83.

(*s*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 76, s. 4;  
*Attorney-General v. Marquis of Hertford*, 3 Ex. Rep. 670.

(*t*) Stat. 36 Geo. III. c. 52, s. 27.

(*u*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 76, s. 4.  
(*x*) Stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184.

**Leasehold property.**

from all legacy duty, and so also are the royal family. By the Succession Duty Act, 1853, leasehold property, although personal estate, is exempted from legacy duty, and is charged in lieu thereof with a succession duty, calculated upon the same principles as the duty on real property (*y*).

**Legacy to infant or person beyond seas.**

If a legacy be given to an infant, or to a person absent beyond the seas, the only way in which the executor can obtain a proper discharge for such legacy is by payment of it, after deducting the legacy duty, into the Bank of England, with the privity of the accountant-general of the Court of Chancery, to be placed to the account of the person for whose benefit the same shall be so paid. The money is then laid out by the accountant-general in the purchase of consols, which, with the dividends thereon, are afterwards transferred and paid to the person entitled, or otherwise applied for his benefit, on application to the Court of Chancery by petition or motion in a summary way (*z*). The legacy duty on annuities for lives is fixed by tables given in the Succession Duty Act, and is payable by four equal payments, to be made successively on completing each of the first four years' payments of the annuity (*a*).

**Legacy duty on annuities.****Specific legacy.**

A legacy may be either specific, demonstrative, or general. A specific legacy is a bequest of a specific part of the testator's personal estate. Thus a bequest of "the service of plate, which was presented to me on such an occasion," is specific, and so also is a bequest of "100*l.* consols, now standing in my name at the

(*y*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51, ss. 1, 19, 21. See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 240, 4th ed.; 249, 5th ed.; 259, 6th ed.  
 (*z*) Stat. 36 Geo. III. c. 52, s.

32; *Ex parte Bennett*, V. C. K. B., 15 Jur. 213.

(*a*) Stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 51, s. 31; 36 Geo. III. c. 52, s. 8.

Bank of England (*b*),” or of “100*l.* consols, part of my stock (*c*).” A specific legacy must be paid or retained by the executor in preference to those which are general, and must not be sold for the payment of debts until the general assets of the testator are exhausted (*d*). It is, however, liable to *ademption* by the act of the testator in his lifetime. Thus, in the instances given above, if the testator should part with the plate, or sell the stock in his lifetime, the legacy will be ademed, and the legatee will lose all benefit (*e*). A demonstrative legacy is a gift by will of a certain sum directed to be paid out of a specific fund. Thus, “I bequeath to A. B. the sum of 50*l.* sterling, to be paid out of the sum of 100*l.* consols, now standing in my name at the Bank of England,” is a demonstrative legacy. Such a legacy is not liable to ademption, by the act of the testator in his lifetime; for it is considered to be the testator’s intention that the legatee should at all events have the legacy; but that it should, if possible, be paid out of the fund he has pointed out. If therefore the testator in this case should sell the 100*l.* consols in his lifetime, the 50*l.* will still be payable to the legatee out of the general assets (*f*). A demonstrative legacy is accordingly more beneficial to the legatee than a specific legacy. And it is also more beneficial than a legacy which is merely general; for being payable out of a specific fund, it is not, while that fund exists, liable to abatement with the general legacies (*g*). A general legacy is one payable only out of the general assets of the testator, and is liable to abatement in case of a

Entitled to preference.

Ademption.

Demonstrative legacy.

General legacy.

- (*b*) Roper on Legacies, c. 3; 560.  
*Gordon v. Duff*, 28 Beav. 519. (*e*) *Ashburner v. M'Guire*, 2 Bro. C. C. 108.  
(*c*) *Kirby v. Potter*, 4 Ves. 750. (*f*) *Roberts v. Pocock*, 4 Ves. 150; *Attwater v. Attwater*, 18 Beav. 330.  
a; *Hayes v. Hayes*, 1 Keen, 97; (*g*) *Acton v. Acton*, 1 Meriv. 178; *Livesay v. Redfern*, 2 Y. & C. 90.  
*Shuttleworth v. Greaves*, 4 M. & Cr. 35.  
(*d*) *Brown v. Allen*, 1 Vern. 31; *Hinton v. Pinke*, 1 P. Wms. 539; *Sleech v. Thorington*, 2 Ves. sen. 90.

deficiency of such assets to pay the testator's debts and other legacies. A bequest to A. of 100*l.* sterling is a general legacy; so is a bequest of 100*l.* consols, without referring to any particular stock to which the testator may be entitled (*h*). A bequest of a mourning ring, of the value of 10*l.* is also a general legacy, no specific ring of the testator's being referred to (*i*). In the two last cases, the executor would be bound to set apart or buy the stock, or purchase the ring for the legatee out of the general assets of the testator, supposing them sufficient for the purpose; and should there be a deficiency, the amount of the stock, or the value of the ring to be purchased would abate proportionably. If, however, any legacy should be given for a valuable consideration, it will not be liable to abatement with the other general legacies. An example of this exception to the usual rule occurs in the case of legacies given by husbands to their wives in consideration of their releasing their dower (*k*). And by the act for the amendment of the law relating to dower (*l*), it is provided (*m*) that nothing therein contained shall interfere with any rule of equity or of any ecclesiastical court, by which legacies bequeathed to widows in satisfaction of dower are entitled to priority over other legacies.

Legacy for  
valuable con-  
sideration.

Dower.

Satisfaction of  
debts by lega-  
cies.

When a legacy is bequeathed by a testator to his creditor, it is considered to be a satisfaction of the debt, if the legacy be equal to or greater than the amount of the debt (*n*). But if it be less than the debt (*o*), or pay-

(*h*) *Wilson v. Brownsmith*, 9 Ves. 180. See, however, *Townsend v. Martin*, 7 Hare, 471, *qu.*?

(*i*) 1 Roper on Legacies, c. 3, s. 2.

(*k*) *Burridge v. Brady*, 1 P. Wms. 127; *Norcott v. Gordon*, 14 Sim. 258.

(*l*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 105.

(*m*) Sect. 12.

(*n*) *Fowler v. Fowler*, 3 P. Wms. 353; *Fourdrin v. Gowdey*, 3 M. & K. 383, 409; 2 Roper on Legacies c. 17, s. 1; *Edmonds v. Low*, 3 Kay & J. 318.

(*o*) *Graham v. Graham*, 1 Ves. sen. 262.

able at a different time (*p*), or of a different nature from the debt (*q*), or if the debt be contracted subsequently to the date of the will (*r*), or if the will contain an express direction for payment of debts and legacies (*s*), the legacy will not be a satisfaction. The leaning of the courts is against the doctrine of the satisfaction of debts by legacies, a doctrine which seems to have been established on rather questionable grounds. When, however, a sum of money is due to a child by way of portion, the inclination of the courts is against double portions; and a legacy to such a child is accordingly regarded as a satisfaction of the portion either in part or in whole, notwithstanding such legacy may be less than the portion, or payable at a different period (*t*). A bequest of the residue, or of a share in the residue, of the testator's estate, will also be considered as a satisfaction pro tanto (*u*). The presumption of satisfaction is indeed so strong, that it is difficult to say what circumstances of variation between the portion and the legacy will be sufficient to entitle the child to both.

Satisfaction  
of portions.

By a statute of George the Second, commonly called the Mortmain Act (*x*), no hereditaments, nor any money, stock in the public funds, or other personal estate whatsoever to be laid out in the purchase of hereditaments, can be conveyed or settled for any charitable uses (with a few exceptions), otherwise than by deed, with certain

Statute of  
Mortmain.

(*p*) *Nicholls v. Judson*, 2 Atk. Ves. 516; *Weall v. Rice*, 2 Russ. 300; *Hales v. Darell*, 3 Beav. 324. • & Myl. 251.

(*q*) *Alleyn v. Alleyn*, 2 Ves. sen. 37; *Bartlett v. Gillard*, 3 Russ. 149; *Fourdrin v. Gowdey*, 3 M. & K. 383, 409.

(*r*) *Cranmer's case*, 2 Salk. 508.

(*s*) *Richardson v. Greese*, 3 Atk. 65; *Hassell v. Hawkins*, 4 Drew. 468.

(*t*) *Hinchcliffe v. Hinchcliffe*, 3

(*u*) *Rickman v. Morgan*, 2 B. C. C. 394; *Earl of Glengall, v. Barnard*, 1 Keen, 769; affirmed 2 H. of L. Cas. 131; *Beckton v. Barton*, 27 Beav. 99, 106; *Montefiore v. Guedalla*, 1 De Gex, F. & J. 93.

(*x*) Stat. 9 Geo. II. c. 36, s. 1.

**Bequest to  
charities.**

formalities mentioned in the act (*y*). And all gifts of hereditaments, or of any estate or interest therein, or of any charge or incumbrance affecting or to affect any hereditaments, or of any personal estate to be laid out in the purchase of any hereditaments, or of any estate or interest therein, or of any charge or incumbrance affecting or to affect the same, to or in trust for any charitable uses whatsoever, are rendered void if made in any other form than by the act is directed (*z*). This act has been very strictly construed, and has been held to prohibit the bequest for charitable purposes of personal estate in any degree savouring, as it is said, of the realty. Thus, it has been decided that money secured on mortgage of real estate (*a*), shares in a canal navigation (*b*), and leasehold estates (*c*), cannot be left by will for any charitable purpose. But more recently, the strictness of the courts appears to have relaxed; and it has lately been held that money secured by a policy of assurance, although the company may invest their funds in real estates (*d*), and shares in a banking company authorized to invest money on mortgage of real estates (*e*), or in a mining company (*f*), are not within the statute. So railway scrip (*g*), and shares in gas companies (*h*), docks, railways and canals (*i*), although such shares may not be

(*y*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 55, 1st ed.; 58, 2nd ed.; 60, 3rd and 4th eds.; 63, 5th ed.; 65, 6th ed.

(*z*) Sect. 3.

(*a*) *Attorney-General v. Meyrick*, 2 Ves. sen. 44.

(*b*) *Howse v. Chapman*, 4 Ves. 542.

(*c*) *Attorney-General v. Graves*, 4 Amb. 155.

(*d*) *March v. Attorney-General*, 5 Beav. 433.

(*e*) *Ashton v. Lord Langdale*, 4 De Gex & Smale, 402; *S. C.* 15 Jur. 868; *Myers v. Perigal*, 2 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 599.

(*f*) *Hayter v. Tucker*, 4 Kay & J. 243. See *Morris v. Glynn*, 27 Beav. 218.

(*g*) *Ashton v. Lord Langdale*, ubi supra.

(*h*) *Thompson v. Thompson*, 1 Coll. 381; *Sparling v. Parker*, 9 Beav. 450.

(*i*) *Hilton v. Giraud*, 1 De Gex & Smale, 183; *Sparling v. Parker*, ubi supra; *Walker v. Milne*, 11 Beav. 507; *Ashton v. Lord Langdale*, ubi supra; *Edwards v. Hall*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 74; *Lintley v. Taylor*, 1 Giff. 67; affirmed, 2 De Gex, F. & J. 84.

expressly declared by the acts establishing the undertakings to be personal estate, are now held to be unaffected by the statute. But debentures, by which such undertakings with their rates and tolls are mortgaged, have been held to be within the act (*k*); though such debentures as are mere bonds or covenants to pay money, and not mortgages, are clearly unaffected by it (*l*). With regard to the bequest of money to be laid out in the purchase of hereditaments, it has been decided that a bequest of money to be laid out in building on land already in mortmain is good (*m*); but if some land already in mortmain be not distinctly referred to, a bequest of money for building for any charitable purpose will be void, as implying a direction for the purchase of land on which to build (*n*). And it has also been held that a gift is void which tends directly to bring fresh lands into mortmain, as a gift of money to a charity on condition that other persons provide the land (*o*). This however has been overruled (*p*). And if the purchase of land be not involved in the gift, there is no law which prevents the bequest of purely personal property to any amount for charitable purposes. A bequest to a charity ought, therefore, to be directed to be paid out of such part of the testator's personal estate as he may lawfully bequeath for such a purpose. For if this precaution should be neglected, the charitable legacies will fail in the proportion which the personal assets savouring of the realty may bear to those which are purely personal (*q*).

(*k*) *Ashton v. Lord Langdale*, ubi supra; *Re Langham's trust*, 10 Hare, 446.

(*l*) *Ashton v. Lord Langdale*, ubi supra.

(*m*) *Glubb v. Attorney-General*, Amb. 373.

(*n*) *Pritchard v. Arhoun*, 3 Russ. 456; *Smith v. Oliver*, 11 Beav. 481.

(*o*) *Attorney-General v. Davies*, 9 Ves. 535; *Mather v. Scott*, 2 Keen, 172; *Trye v. Corporation of Gloucester*, 14 Beav. 173.

(*p*) *Philpott v. St. George's Hospital*, 6 H. of L. Cas. 338.

(*q*) *Attorney-General v. Tyndall*, 2 Eden, 207; *S. C.*, 2 Amb. 614; *Hobson v. Blackburn*, 1 Keen, 273;



Gifts to illegitimate children.

Other bequests which require some care are those to illegitimate children. It is very doubtful whether a bequest to the future illegitimate children of a particular woman is not void as tending to encourage immorality (*r*). And it is certain that a bequest to the future illegitimate children of a particular man is void, as the courts cannot enter into the inquiry which would be necessary to identify such children (*s*). A child *primâ facie* means a legitimate child; a bastard is considered by the law as *nullius-filius*. Accordingly, an illegitimate child can never take under a gift to children, unless it be clear, upon the terms of the will, or according to the state of facts at the making of it, that legitimate children never could have taken (*t*). An illegitimate child may, however, take under any gift in which he is sufficiently identified as the object of the testator's bounty. Thus, a bequest to the child of which a woman is now pregnant is good (*u*). And if illegitimate children have acquired the reputation of being the children of the testator or any other person, and it appear by necessary implication on the face of the will that such persons were intended in a bequest to children, they will be entitled, not on account of their being children, but on account of their reputation as such (*x*).

Rights of residuary legatee.

After payment of the testator's debts and legacies, the residue of his personal estate must be paid over to the

*Philanthropic Society v. Kemp*, 4 Beav. 581; and see *Robinson v. Geldard*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 735; *Tempest v. Tempest*, 7 De Gex, Mac. & Gord. 470.

(*r*) See 2 Jarm. Wills, 153; 202, 2nd ed.; 204, 3rd ed.

(*s*) *Wilkinson v. Adams*, 1 Ves. & Beames, 466.

(*t*) *Cartright v. Fawdry*, 5 Ves. 530; *Godfrey v. Davis*, 6 Ves. 43;

*Harris v. Lloyd*, 1 T. & Russ. 310; *Bagley v. Mollard*, 1 Russ. & M. 581; *Dover v. Alexander*, 2 Hare, 275; *Re Overhill's Trust*, 1 Sm. & Giff. 362.

(*u*) *Gordon v. Gordon*, 1 Meriv. 141.

(*x*) *Wilkinson v. Adam*, 1 Ves. & B. 422; *Gill v. Shelley*, 2 Russ. & My. 336; *Meredith v. Farr*, 2 You. & Coll. 525.

residuary legatee, if any, named in the will. A will of personal estate has always been considered as speaking from the death of the testator; and it is now expressly enacted, that every will shall be construed, with reference to the real and personal estate comprised in it, to speak and take effect as if it had been executed immediately before the death of the testator, unless a contrary intention shall appear by the will (y). Hence, it follows that all personal property acquired by the testator between the time of making his will and his decease will pass under it. If any legacy should lapse by the death of the legatee in the testator's lifetime, or should fail from being contrary to law, it will fall into the residue, and belong to the residuary legatee. And a legacy will lapse by the death of the legatee in the testator's lifetime, although given to the legatee, his executors, administrators and assigns (z), for these words are merely inserted in analogy to the limitation of real estate to a man and his heirs. If a bequest be made to two or more as joint tenants, and one of them die in the lifetime of the testator, his share will not lapse, but will survive to the others (a). But if the bequest be to two or more in common, and one of them die in the testator's lifetime, his share will lapse (b); unless the bequest be made to a class, as to the children of A. in equal shares, in which case all who answer that description at the testator's decease (c), and also (if the period of distribution be postponed by the will) all who come into being before such period (d), will be entitled to divide the bequest.

Lapse.

Joint tenants.

Tenants in common.

Bequest to a class.

(y) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, s. 24.

(z) *Elliott v. Davenport*, 1 P. Wms. 83.

(a) *Morley v. Bird*, 3 Ves. 628, 631.

(b) *Bagwell v. Dry*, 1 P. Wms. 700; *Page v. Page*, 2 P. Wms. 489; *Barber v. Barber*, 3 My. & Craig,

688; *Bain v. Lescher*, 11 Sim. 397.

(c) *Viner v. Francis*, 2 Cox, 190;

2 Jarm. Wills, 74; 126, 2nd ed.; 142, 3rd ed.; *Lee v. Pain*, 4 Hare, 250.

(d) *Ayton v. Ayton*, 1 Cox, 327;

2 Jarm. Wills, 75; 127, 2nd ed.; 143, 3rd ed.

Legacies to  
children.

amongst them. It is, however, provided by the recent act for the amendment of the laws with respect to wills, that where any person, being a child or other issue of the testator, to whom any personal estate shall be bequeathed for any interest not determinable at or before the death of such person, shall die in the testator's lifetime leaving issue, and any such issue shall be living at the death of the testator, such bequest shall not lapse, but shall take effect as if the death of such person had happened immediately after the death of the testator, unless a contrary intention shall appear by the will (*e*). The effect of this provision is curious. If the legatee had died immediately after the testator, leaving a will, it is evident that the estate bequeathed to him would have passed under his will. It has been decided therefore, that the will of the legatee shall, after his death, operate on the estate bequeathed to him in the same manner as if he had been living (*f*). This provision has been held to apply to a testamentary appointment under a general power of appointment (*g*), but to be inapplicable to a testamentary appointment under a power to appoint amongst the testator's children (*h*).

Former right  
of executor to  
the residue.

If there were no residuary legatee, the residue of the testator's personal estate, after payment of debts and legacies, formerly belonged to the executor for his own benefit, unless a contrary intention appeared from his being left executor in trust (*i*), or from his having a legacy left him for his trouble (*j*), or from other circumstances (*k*). But by a modern statute (*l*), it is en-

Modern  
statute.

(*e*) Stat. 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict.  
c. 26, s. 33.

(*f*) *Johnson v. Johnson*, 3 Hare,  
157.

(*g*) *Eccles v. Cheyne*, 2 Kay &  
J. 676.

(*h*) *Griffiths v. Gale*, 12 Sim.  
354.

(*i*) *Pring v. Pring*, 2 Vern. 99;  
*Bagwell v. Dry*, 1 P. Wms. 700.

(*j*) *Rackfield v. Careless*, 2 P.  
Wms. 158.

(*k*) *Mullen v. Bowman*, 1 Coll.  
197.

(*l*) Stat. 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will.  
IV. c. 40.

acted, that when any person shall die, having by will or codicil appointed any executor, such executor shall be deemed by courts of equity to be a trustee for the person or persons (if any) who would be entitled to the estate under the Statute of Distributions, in respect of any residue not expressly disposed of, unless it shall appear by the will or any codicil thereto<sup>(m)</sup>, that the person so appointed executor was intended to take such residue beneficially. The Statute of Distributions is that under which the personal estate of any one dying intestate is distributed between his widow and next of kin. An account of this statute will be found in the next chapter.

(m) *Love v. Gaze*, 8 Beav. 472.

## CHAPTER IV.

## OF INTESTACY.

Jurisdiction of  
ecclesiastical  
courts over  
goods of intestate  
persons.

THE ecclesiastical courts until recently had jurisdiction not only over the wills of testators, but also over the goods of persons dying intestate. This jurisdiction, though of long standing, appears to have been at first gradually acquired. In early times the clergy, being possessed of almost all the learning, appear to have been the principal framers of wills. The power they thus acquired was exercised for their own benefit, every man being expected, on making his will, after bequeathing to his lord his heriot, in the next place to remember the church (*a*). If, however, a man should have died intestate, without opportunity of making this provision, the distribution of his goods devolved on the church, together with his friends, the lord first having taken his heriot (*b*). The wife and the children were entitled to their shares; and that part of the goods which the intestate had power to dispose of by his will (called the portion of the deceased) was applied by the church in *pious usus*. This application to pious uses appears to have been as follows: in the first place, the bequest, which it was to be presumed the intestate would have made to the church, was retained, and the residue was then disposed of in paying the debts of the deceased, and distributed amongst his wife and children, his parents and their relatives. That this was the case appears from the complaints which were made by the clergy of those days, of the interference of the tem-

Pious uses.

(*a*) Glanville, lib. 7, c. 5; Bract.  
60 a; Fleta, lib. 2, c. 57. '

(*b*) Bract. 60 b; Fleta, ubi  
supra.

poral lords in cases of intestacy, whereby the distribution of the effects in the manner pointed out was prevented (c). The clergy themselves, however, do not appear to have been always free from blame; for they are accused of having frequently taken the whole of the intestate's portion to themselves, making no distribution, or at least an undue one, amongst the creditors and relatives of the deceased (d); and in order to remedy this evil, it was enacted in the reign of Edward I., by one of the very few statutes then passed relating to personal estate (e), that the ordinary should be bound to answer the debts of an intestate, so far as his goods would extend, in the same manner as the executors would have been bounden if he had made a testament. The right of the creditor was thus clothed with a remedy; for, under this statute, an action at law might be brought by the creditor against the ordinary for the payment of his debt (f); but the right of the relatives to the surplus still remained undefined.

The duty of administering intestate's effects was not, as may be supposed, usually performed by the bishops in person. For this purpose they usually appointed an administrator; but, as personal property rose in importance, it became desirable that this administrator should not be considered as the mere agent of the bishop, but should himself have a *locus standi* in the king's courts. It was accordingly enacted by a statute of the reign of Edward III. (g) that where a man died intestate the

(c) Matthew Paris, 951, Additamenta, 201, 204, 209 (Wats's ed. London, 1640); Constitutions of Boniface, *Constitutiones Provinciales*, 20, at the end of Lyndewood's *Provinciale* (Oxon, 1679), recited also in a Constitution of Archbishop Stratford (Lynd. Prov. lib. 3, tit. 13). See Gent. Mag.

New Series, vol. ii. 355, 474. See also *Dyke v. Walford*, Privy Council, 12 Jurist, 839.

(d) Fleta, lib. 2, c. 57.

(e) Stat. 13 Edw. I. c. 19.

(f) 1 Ro. Abr. 906; Bac. Abr. tit. Executors and Administrators (E).

(g) 31 Edw. III. c. 11.

ordinaries should depute the next and most lawful friends of the deceased to administer his goods, which persons so deputed should have action to demand and recover as executors the debts due to the deceased, to administer and dispense for the soul of the dead; and should answer also, in the king's courts, to others to whom the deceased was holden and bound, in the same manner as executors should answer. By a subsequent statute (*h*) administration might be granted to the widow of the deceased, or to the next of his kin, or to both, as by the discretion of the ordinary should be thought good. The widow was usually preferred to the next of kin in the grant of administration (*i*); and a joint grant was seldom made, so seldom, indeed, that the powers of co-administrators appear to be still a matter of doubt (*j*). In granting administration to the next of kin, the ecclesiastical courts were guided by the right to the property to be administered (*k*). This right will be hereafter explained. If none of the next of kin would take out administration, a creditor might, by custom, do so, on the ground that he could not be paid his debt until representation were made to the deceased (*l*); and for want of creditors, administration might be granted to any person at the discretion of the court (*m*). But the Court of Probate Act, 1857 (*n*), has now abolished the whole of the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts over the effects of intestates; and administration of the effects of deceased persons is now granted by that court in the same manner as the probate of wills (*o*). And after the decease of any person intestate, his personal estate vests

Court of Pro-  
bate Act, 1857.

(A) 21 Hen. VIII. c. 5.

(i) *Webb v. Needham*, 1 Addams,

494.

(j) *Shep. Touch.* 485, 486;

*Williams on Executors*, pt. 3, bk.

1, ch. 2.

(k) *In the Goods of Gill*, 1 Hagg.

342.

(l) *Webb v. Needham*, 1 Addams,

494.

(m) *Williams on Executors*, pt.

1, bk. 5, ch. 2, s. 1.

(n) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77,

amended by stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c.

95.

(o) *Ante*, p. 307.

in the judge of the Court of Probate for the time being, until letters of administration are granted, in the same manner and to the same extent as they formerly vested in the ordinary (*p*).

The administrator, when appointed, has the same right to, and power over, all the personal estate of the intestate as his executors would have had if he had made a will (*q*), and this right and power relate back to the time of the intestate's decease (*r*).<sup>\*</sup> The same duty also devolves upon the administrator of paying the debts in the first place. The provisions of the recent statutes for protection of executors in distributing the assets of their testator extend also to the administrator of the effects of an intestate (*s*). He has also the same privilege as an executor of retaining his own debt in preference to all others of the same degree (*t*). But the surplus, after payment of the debts, must be distributed amongst the relatives of the intestate in proportions to be hereafter mentioned. In order to enable the administrator to inform himself of the state of the assets, and to pay the debts of the deceased, the same period of a year from the time of the decease as is allowed to an executor is also given to the administrator before he can be required to make any distribution (*u*). But, notwithstanding this delay, the interest of the persons entitled to the surplus vests in them from the time of the decease of the intestate; so that in case any of them should die within a twelvemonth after the decease of the intestate, the share

Rights and powers of administrator.

Administrator's year.

(*p*) Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95, s. 19.

(*q*) Williams on Executors, pt. 2, bk. 1, ch. 1.

(*r*) *Tharpe v. Stallwood*, 5 Man. & Gran. 760; *Foster v. Bates*, 12 M. & W. 226; *Welchman v. Sturgis*, 13 Q. B. 552.

(*s*) Stats. 13 & 14 Vict. c. 35, s. 19; 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, ss. 27,

28, 29; 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 14.

*Ante*, pp. 313, 314; but not stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 145, s. 30, *ante*, p. 312.

(*t*) *Warner v. Wainsford*, Hob. 127; Williams on Executors, pt. 3, bk. 2, ch. 2, s. 6.

(*u*) Stat. 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 10, s. 8.



of the person so dying will pass to his own executors or administrators (*x*).

Limited administration :

*durante minore ætate* ;

*durante absentia* ;

*pendente lite* ;

In some instances administration is granted for a limited purpose, or confined to a given time. Of this we have already had an instance in the case of administration *durante minore ætate*, when the sole executor named in a will is under age (*y*) ; and the same sort of administration is granted on intestacy, in case of the minority of the next of kin (*z*). So if the executor or next of kin, as the case may be, should be out of the realm at the time of the decease of the testator or intestate, the court will grant a limited administration *durante absentia*, which will expire the moment of the return of such executor or next of kin. And if the executor should prove the will, or if any person should obtain letters of administration, and afterwards go to reside out of the jurisdiction of the English courts, the court is empowered by act of parliament (*a*) to grant administration, at the end of a year from the death of the testator or intestate. Again, when a suit concerning the right of administration is pending in the Court of Probate, that court may appoint an administrator *pendente lite*, who will have all the rights and powers of a general administrator, other than the right of distributing the residue of the personal estate (*b*) ; and the administrator so appointed may receive such reasonable remuneration for his trouble as the court may think fit (*c*). The court also may appoint such administrator or any other person receiver of the real estate of the deceased pending any suit touching the validity of his

(*z*) *Edwards v. Freeman*, 2 P. Wms. 442.

(*y*) *Ante*, p. 302.

(*x*) *Williams on Executors*, pt. 1, bk. 5, ch. 3, s. 3.

(*a*) Stat. 38 Geo. III. c. 87, ss.

1—5, extended by stats. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 74 ; 21 & 22 Vict. c.

95, s. 18.

(*b*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 70.

(*c*) Sect. 72.

will, if it affect such real estate (*d*). So if a will should have been made, but the executors should have renounced, or died before their testator, the court will appoint the person having the greatest interest in the effects, generally the residuary legatee, to administer the same according to the directions of the will, in which case the administration granted is termed an administration *cum testamento annexo*, with the will annexed (*e*). And it is now provided, that, if by reason of the insolvency of the estate of the deceased, or other special circumstances, the court shall think it necessary or convenient to appoint as administrator any other person than the person by law entitled to the grant, the court may do so; and every such administration may be limited as the court shall think fit (*f*).

*cum testamento annexo.*

Letters of administration, as well as probates, are liable to the payment of an *ad valorem* stamp duty on the value of the personal estate of the deceased within the United Kingdom (*g*); but the duty on letters of administration, where there is no will, is after a higher rate than the duty on probates, or on letters of administration with the will annexed (*h*). A heavy penalty is imposed by the Stamp Act on any person who shall take possession of, or in any manner administer any part of the personal estate of any deceased person, without obtaining probate or administration within six calendar months after his or her decease, or within two calendar months after the determination of any suit or dispute respecting the will or the right to administration (*i*). The same exemptions from duty in favour of seamen, marines and soldiers, and also in favour of small depo-

Stamp duty on administrations.

Exemptions.

(*d*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 71.

(*g*) *Ante*, p. 309.

(*e*) Williams on Executors, pt. 1, bk. 5, ch. 3, s. 1.

(*h*) Stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184.

(*f*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 77, s. 73.

(*i*) 100*l.*, and ten per cent. on the stamp duty. Stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184, s. 37.

sitors in savings' banks, which have been established with respect to the probate duty (*k*), apply also to the duty on letters of administration.

Office of administrator is not transmissible.

The office of administrator is not transmissible, like the office of executor. On the decease of an administrator, before he has distributed all the effects of the intestate, a new administrator must be appointed; for the administrator or executor of such administrator has no right to intermeddle. So if an executor should die intestate, without having completely distributed his testator's effects, an administrator must be appointed to distribute, according to the will of the testator, such of his effects as were not distributed by the deceased executor (*l*). In each of these cases the administration granted is called an administration *de bonis non administratis*, of the goods not administered, or, more shortly, *de bonis non* (*m*). All second and subsequent grants of probate or letters of administration must be made in the principal registry of the Court of Probate, or in the district registry where the will is registered or the original grant of administration has been made, or to which it may have been transmitted (*n*).

Administration *de bonis non*.

Statutes of Distribution.

The application of an intestate's effects, after payment of his debts, is now regulated by statutes of the reign of Charles II. and James II. (*o*), commonly called the Statutes of Distribution, by which statutes the rights of the relations of the deceased appear to have been first definitively ascertained and rendered legally available.

Widow's share.

Under these statutes, if the intestate leave a widow and any child or children, or descendant of any child, the

(*k*) *Ante*, p. 310.

(*l*) *Shep. Touch*, 465; *Williams on Executors*, pt. 1, bk. 3, ch. 4.

(*m*) *Williams on Executors*, pt. 1, bk. 5, ch. 3, s. 2.

(*n*) *Stat. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 95*,

s. 20.

(*o*) 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 10; 1 Jac. II. c. 17, s. 7. See *Watkins on Descents*, Appendix, 257 *et seq.* 4th edit.

widow shall take a third part of the surplus of his effects. If he leave no child, nor descendant of any child, she shall have a moiety. In this respect, the distribution is the same as took place under the ancient law. The husband of a married woman is entitled to the whole of her effects (*p*). If the intestate leave children, two-thirds of his effects if he leave a widow, or the whole if he leave no widow, shall be equally divided amongst his children, or, if but one, to such one child. But the descendants of such children as may have died in the intestate's lifetime, shall stand in the place of their parent or ancestor (*q*). Such children, however, as have been advanced by the parent in his lifetime must bring the amount of their advancement into hotchpot, so as to make the estate of all the children to be equal, as nearly as can be estimated. But the heir at law, notwithstanding any lands he may have by descent or otherwise from the intestate, is to have an equal part in the distribution with the rest of the children, without any consideration of the value of such land (*r*). If the intestate leave no children or representatives of them, his father, if living, takes the whole; or, if the intestate should have left a widow, one-half. If the father be dead, the mother, brothers and sisters of the intestate shall take in equal shares (*s*), subject, as before, to the widow's right to a moiety; and brothers or sisters of the half blood have an equal claim with those of the whole blood (*t*). If any brother or sister shall have died in the lifetime of the intestate, leaving children, such children shall stand *in loco parentis*, provided the mother or any brother or sister be living (*u*). If there be no brother or sister,

Shares of  
children :

and their de-  
scendants.

Advancements  
to be accounted  
for.

Father of in-  
testate.

Mother, bro-  
thers and sis-  
ters.

(*p*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 25.

(*q*) See Burton's Compendium,  
pl. 1402.

(*r*) Stat. 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 10,  
s. 5.

(*s*) Stat. 1 Jac. II. c. 17, s. 7.

(*t*) *Jessopp v. Watson*, 1 My. &  
K. 665; *Burnet v. Mann*, 1 My. &  
K. 672, n.

(*u*) *Lloyd v. Tench*, 2 Ves. sen.  
215; *Durant v. Prestwood*, 1 Atk.  
454; West, 448.

nor child of such brother or sister, the mother shall take the whole, or, if the widow be living, a moiety only, as before; but a stepmother can take nothing (*x*). If there be no mother, the brothers and sisters take equally, the children of such as may be dead standing *in loco parentis*.

**Next of kin.** Beyond brothers' and sisters' children, no right of representation belongs to the children of relatives with respect to the shares which their deceased parents would have taken. And if there be neither brother, sister nor mother of the intestate living, his personal estate will be distributed in equal shares amongst those who are next in degree of kindred to him.

**Degrees of kindred traced according to the civil law.**

In tracing the degrees of kindred, in the distribution of an intestate's personal estate, no preference is given to males over females, nor to the paternal over the maternal line (*y*), nor to the whole over the half blood, as in the case of descent of real estate; nor does the issue stand in the place of the ancestor. The degrees of kindred are reckoned according to the civil law, both upwards to the ancestor and downwards to the issue, each generation counting for a degree (*z*). Thus from father to son, or from son to father, is one degree; from grandfather to grandson, or from grandson to grandfather, is two degrees; and from brother to brother is also two degrees, namely, one upwards to the father, and one downwards to the other son. So from uncle to nephew is three degrees, one upwards to the common ancestor, and two downwards from him; and from nephew to uncle is also three degrees, two upwards and one downwards. If therefore there be neither issue, father, brother, sister nor mother of the intestate living, such persons as are his next of kin, according to the rule

(*x*) *Duke of Rutland v. Duchess of Rutland*, 2 P. Wms. 216.

(*y*) *Moor v. Barham*, 1 P. Wms.

58.

(*z*) *Mentney v. Petty*, Pre. Cha. 693; *Wallis v. Hodson*, 2 Atk. 117;

2 Black. Com. 504, 515.

above laid down are entitled in equal shares *per capita* to his personal estate, subject to his wife's right to a moiety, should she survive him. As the kindred becomes more distant, the number of persons entitled, if living, as well as the difficulty of proving their respective pedigrees, becomes prodigiously augmented. "It is at the first view astonishing," says Blackstone (a), "to consider the number of lineal ancestors which every man has within no very great number of degrees: and so many different bloods is a man said to contain in his veins as he hath lineal ancestors. Of these he hath two in the first ascending degree, his own parents; he hath four in the second, the parents of his father and the parents of his mother: he hath eight in the third, the parents of his two grandfathers and two grandmothers; and, by the same rule of progression, he hath an hundred and twenty-eight in the seventh; a thousand and twenty-four in the tenth; and at the twentieth degree, or the distance of twenty generations, every man hath above a million of ancestors, as common arithmetic will demonstrate." The number of collateral relations who may claim through such ancestors is of course far more numerous.

The estates of intestate freemen of the city of London (b), and of persons having their fixed or general residence within the archiepiscopal province of York (excepting the diocese of Chester), were until recently distributed according to peculiar customs, apparently derived from the ancient mode of distribution (c). Some parts of Wales also appear to have been subject to peculiar customs of distribution; for these several customs, though postponed to the right of testamentary disposition by the statutes to which we have already

Customs of  
London and  
York.

Wales.

(a) 2 Black. Com. 203.

(c) Williams on Executors, pt.

(b) *Onslow v. Onslow*, 1 Sim. 18. 3, bk. 4, ch. 2.

referred (*d*), were nevertheless not abolished by those statutes in the event of no will being made. But a recent statute has now altogether abolished all customary modes of administration (*e*).

Duty on shares of an intestate's estate.

The shares of persons claiming any personal estate of the amount or value of 20*l.* or upwards under an intestacy are subject to the same duty as legacies to persons of the same degree of kindred (*f*). If there be no next of kin, the crown, by virtue of its prerogative, will stand in their place (*g*), but subject always to the widow's right to a moiety in case she should survive (*h*).

The crown.

Place of the half-blood.

The division of the personal estate of an intestate, effected by the Statute of Distributions, is remarkable for its fairness. The only provision which might be amended is that which places the half blood on an equality with the whole. A corresponding equality in interest and feeling but rarely exists in actual life. The proper place for the half blood appears to be that now assigned to them in the descent of real estate, according to the recommendation of the Real Property Commissioners, namely, next after those of the same degree of the whole blood (*i*). The appointment of an executor or administrator, in whom the whole personal property is vested, with full power of disposition, tends greatly to simplify the title to leasehold estates and other property of a personal nature. It could be wished, however, that the office of an administrator were transmissible in the same manner as that of an executor. In other respects, the distribution of personal estate on intestacy ap-

Points in which distribution is preferable to descent.

(*d*) *Ante*, p. 294.

(*e*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 94.

(*f*) Stat. 55 Geo. III. c. 184.  
See *ante*, pp. 315, 316.

(*g*) *Taylor v Haygarth*, 14 Sim. 8; *Powell v. Merrett*, 1 Sma. & Giff. 381. See stat. 15 & 16 Vict.

c. 3.

(*h*) *Cave v. Roberts*, 8 Sim. 214.

(*i*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 77, 1st ed.; 82, 2nd ed.; 86, 3rd and 4th eds.; 91, 5th ed.; 97, 6th ed.

proaches far more nearly to the disposition which the deceased himself would probably have made, than the descent of real property, either at the common law or according to the custom of gavelkind. A person possessed only of small landed property usually devises it to trustees for sale, with full power to give receipts to purchasers, and directs the division of the produce by his trustees amongst his children in such shares as he may think just, with regard to the provision already made for any of them in his lifetime. He does not leave his younger children to beggary in order that his whole property may devolve to his eldest son according to the course of the common law, a course pursued, as the author believes, in no other civilized country in the world (*k*). Neither does he leave it to all his sons equally in undivided shares, thus inflicting an injustice on his daughters, and allowing all plans for the improvement of the lands to be checked by one dissentient voice, unless a partition should be resorted to, by which the property would be split up into parcels too small for the convenience of agriculture. If by any accident a man should die without making his will, it would seem to be the province of an equitable legislature to make such a disposition of his property as would, in ordinary circumstances, most nearly correspond with his intention. It is true that when property is large, it is usually entailed on the eldest son and his issue, subject to moderate portions for the younger children. This custom of primogeniture is suited to the institutions of our country, and to the habits of the class to which large landed property usually belongs, and the author has no wish to see it disturbed. The settlements, however, by which these entails are created are more frequently made by deed than by will. They almost invariably contain provisions for the portions of younger children, varying in amount with the value of the property; and, whether

Primogeniture.

(*k*) Co. Litt. 191 a, n. (1)<sup>6</sup> vi. 4.



made by deed or will, they are usually long and intricate in their nature, providing for the numerous contingencies which may arise under the peculiar circumstances of each family. Nothing in fact can be more different than the devolution of an estate to the eldest son under a family settlement, and the descent on an intestacy to the eldest son as heir at law. In the one case he takes subject to the proper claims of the other members of his family; in the other he is bound to them by no obligation at all. There seems to be no method of making, in case of intestacy, any sort of disposition of landed property which might be reasonably simple, and at the same time resemble an ordinary family settlement. If such a settlement be not made, by deed, the owner has ample power of effecting the same object by his will. Intestacy, in fact, rarely happens to the owner of large landed property. The property which descends to heirs under intestacies, though large in the aggregate, is generally small in individual cases. When the wishes of all cannot be consulted, that which would have been the wish of the generality of intestates ought apparently to form the foundation of the rule. From a consideration of these circumstances the reader may perhaps be induced to think, that if, in case of intestacy, the rules for the devolution of real and personal estate were identical, and with some slight variations similar to those which now exist as to personalty, the law on this subject would be rendered both more simple and more just.

Descent and  
devolution to  
distant heirs  
and kindred.

The descent of real estate to distant heirs, and the devolution of personalty to distant kindred, involve an amount of learning and litigation, the abolition of which would perhaps be desirable. The family and near relations of an intestate have generally claims upon his bounty, which ought not to be disappointed by the accident of his decease without making a will. But distant relatives have seldom any such claims, nor consequently

any expectation of such claims being fulfilled. To withhold from them, therefore, that which they had never expected to enjoy, would not be to inflict a loss. Under the present system, the property of an intestate who has no near relations, is not unfrequently frittered away in expensive contests between opposing claimants, or else it devolves unexpectedly upon persons who, for want of previous education, are unable to make use of it with benefit either to themselves or to the community. In a country so heavily burdened as our own, any addition to the public income, not having the pressure of a tax, would be a very desirable acquisition. Such an addition might, as it appears to the author, be very properly made by the devolution to the public of the properties of intestates having none but distant relatives. The country in which a man has lived, and in which his property has been acquired, or at any rate protected, has certainly some claims upon him,—claims which seem preferable to those of the man who, in the case of real estate, founds his title on his descent from the *most remote* male paternal ancestor of the intestate (*l*), or who claims a share in the personalty because he chances to be a survivor amongst the multitude standing in the fifth or sixth degree of a series of kindred which increases, as it grows distant, in geometrical progression (*m*).

(*l*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 78, 1st ed.; 83, 2nd ed.; 87, 3rd and 4th eds.; 92, 5th ed.; 98, 6th ed.

(*m*) The author's attention has since been called to a similar proposal in Mill's Political Economy, vol. 1, pp. 272, 273, 2nd ed.

## CHAPTER V.

## OF THE MUTUAL RIGHTS OF HUSBAND AND WIFE.

MARRIAGE, being essential to the welfare of the community, and also involving important consequences to the individuals concerned, is not on the one hand allowed to be unduly restrained, nor on the other to be brought about by unfair means.

**Restraints on marriage.**

Amongst the many striking differences between the laws of real and personal property, by which our legal system is complicated, will be found the rules relating to attempted restraints on marriage. Real estate is governed by the rules of the common law; but personal estate, when bequeathed by will, has, as we have seen (*a*), long been subject to the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts. These courts have adopted, with some modification, the rules of the civil law, which is more favourable than the common law of England to liberty of choice in marriage. Hence it follows that some restrictions on marriage, which are valid when applied to a gift of real estate, are void when attempted to be imposed on a gift of personal property. The rules respecting real and personal estate so far agree that a condition annexed to a gift of either, that a person shall not marry at all, is void (*b*). But a gift of either by a husband to his wife during her widowhood is valid (*c*); neither would a gift of the income of property to a

(*a*) *Ante*, p. 306.

(*b*) *Shep. Touch.* 132; *Perrin v. Lyon*, 9 East, 170, 183; *Rishon v. Cobb*, 9 Sim. 615; 5 My. & Cr.

145; *Morley v. Remondson*, 2 Hare, 570.

(*c*) *Barton v. Barton*, 2 Vern. 308.

single person until marriage, with a gift over on marriage, appear to be invalid (*d*). When, however, a gift is made, with a condition that it shall be forfeited if the donee marry without the consent of certain trustees or other persons, the difference between the laws of real and personal estate becomes conspicuous. If the gift be of real estate, or of money charged on real estate, it will cease on the event of marriage without the required consent (*e*). But if it be a bequest of personal property, the condition is regarded as merely *in terrorem* and void (*f*), unless accompanied by a bequest over to some other person on the marriage taking place without consent (*g*); so that the legatee will be entitled to retain the legacy, notwithstanding his or her marriage without consent, unless on that event it be expressly given in some other manner. Such conditions in bequests of personalty, when unaccompanied by a gift over, are called *in terrorem*, because, says Lord Eldon, "they are supposed to alarm persons, when we know they contain no terror whatsoever" (*h*).

Marriage without consent.

In order to prevent marriages from being unfairly obtained, it is a rule in equity that all contracts for reward for procuring marriages (called marriage brokerage) are void (*i*). And if a parent or guardian should stipulate for any private benefit for the marriage of his child or ward, such stipulation would be void, and money actually paid under it would be decreed to be refunded (*j*).

Marriage brokerage.

(*d*) See *Right d. Compton v. Compton*, 9 East, 267; *Morley v. Rennoldson*, 2 Hare, 570, 580; *Webb v. Grace*, 2 Phil. 701, *Lloyd v. Lloyd*, 2 Sim. N. S. 255; *Heath v. Lewis*, 3 De Gex, M. & G. 954.

(*e*) *Reynish v. Martin*, 3 Atk. 330, 333.

(*f*) *Bellasis v. Ermine*, 1 Cha. Ca. 22.

(*g*) *Stratton v. Grymes*, 2 Vern. 357; *Harvey v. Aston*, 1 Atk. 361; *Clarke v. Parker*, 19 Ves. 1, 13.

(*h*) 19 Ves. 13.

(*i*) *Hall v. Potter*, 3 Levinz 411, Shower's Par. Cas. 76.

(*j*) 1 Fonblanque on Equity, 262; *Smith v. Bruning*, 2 Vern. 392.

Marriage settlement<sup>a</sup>

New enactment as to infants.

Not binding on party under age.

Few marriages are now contracted between persons possessing any amount of property, without a previous settlement of such property being made, in some stipulated manner, for the benefit of the intended husband and wife and the children of the marriage. As marriage is a valuable consideration (*k*), such settlements are binding on both parties if of full age. And an act of parliament has recently been passed (*l*), enabling every infant, not under twenty if a male, and not under seventeen if a female, to settle his or her property, whether real or personal, upon marriage, provided the sanction of the Court of Chancery be obtained. But if the settlement be not made under the provisions of this act, and either husband or wife should be under age, the settlement will not be binding on him or her (*m*), although the other party, if of full age, will be bound by it (*n*). And if both of them should be under age, neither of them will be bound by it. The circumstance of the settlement of an infant's personal property being fair and reasonable, and made with the approbation of his or her guardians, was formerly considered as giving it validity (*o*); but this circumstance seems now to have no weight. It has, however, been decided that a competent legal jointure (*p*) settled on the intended wife, then an infant, with the concurrence of her guardians, in lieu of her right to dower out of her husband's freehold lands, and in lieu of her distributive share of his personal estate in the event of his intestacy, was sufficient to deprive her both of her dower and of her distributive share in her husband's

(*k*) *Anle*, p. 70.

(*l*) Stat. 18 & 19 Vict. c. 43; *Re Dalton*, 6 De Gex, M. & G. 201, extended to the Court of Chancery in Ireland, by stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 83.

(*m*) *Ellison v. Elwin*, 13 Sim. 309; *Le Vasseur v. Scrutton*, 14 Sim. 116.

(*n*) *Durnford v. Lane*, 1 Bro.

C. C. 106; *Milner v. Lord Harewood*, 18 Ves. 259.

(*o*) 2 Roper's Husband and Wife, 26.

(*p*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 174, 1st ed.; 184, 2nd ed.; 191, 3rd ed.; 192, 4th ed.; 201, 5th ed.; 211, 6th ed.

personalty (q). When the intended wife only is an infant, a settlement of her personal estate in possession is valid, on account of the interest which, as we shall see, the law gives to the husband in such personal estate. The settlement in such a case is in fact not made by the wife, but by the husband, who, being adult, is bound by its provisions to the extent of the interest which he would have taken had no settlement been made (r).

If no settlement be made, the principles which govern the rights of husband and wife to personal property must still be traced to the circumstances of ancient rather than of modern times. In ancient times landed property was by far the most important; and the wife was accordingly entitled to a provision out of the lands of her husband, in the event of her surviving him, which no alienation that he could make, nor any debts which he might incur, were able to set aside (s). But in those days personal property was of too insignificant a value to be the subject of any such provision. And if a woman now marry without a settlement, she has still no claim on her husband's personal estate, however large, unless he should happen to die intestate, in which case, as we have already mentioned, she is entitled to a third or a half of what he may leave, according as he may or may not leave issue surviving him. A husband, on the other hand, was in ancient times considered absolutely entitled to such personal chattels as his wife might possess. In this respect the law was then both simple and sufficient. By the act of marriage, the wife placed herself under the coverture or protection of her husband. She became in the law French of those days a *feme covert*. Thenceforth all demands to which she was personally liable were to

Ancient rights  
of husband and  
wife.

(q) *Earl of Buckingham v. Drury*, 3 Brown's Par. Cas. 492.

(r) *Trollope v. Linton*, 1 Sim. & Stu. 477, 485.

(s) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 172, 1st ed.; 182, 2nd ed.; 189, 3rd ed.; 190, 4th ed.; 199, 5th ed.; 209, 6th ed.

be answered by her natural protector. The wife was considered as merged in her husband, and both were regarded as but one person (*t*). So long therefore as the coverture continued, that is, during the joint lives of the husband and wife, the husband was absolutely entitled to all personal property which his wife might acquire, and was also liable to the payment of all debts which she might previously have incurred. These simple principles still pervade the law relating to the husband's interest in his wife's personal estate, although the several different species of personal estate to which modern civilization has given rise, conjoined with the rules of equitable administration laid down by the Court of Chancery, have given to this branch of law a perplexity unknown to the simple, though somewhat harsh, rules of our ancestors.

The wife's  
chattels per-  
sonal belong to  
her husband.

In the first place, then, personal property of the ancient kind, namely, chattels personal or moveable goods, belonging to the wife at the time of her marriage, or given to her afterwards, become the absolute property of her husband in the same manner precisely as if they had been originally his own, or had been subsequently given to him (*u*). He may dispose of them as he pleases in his lifetime or by his will; they will be subject to his debts; and if he should die intestate, the wife will have no further claim to them than to any other of his effects. So imperative is this rule, that if chattels personal be given to a married woman jointly with a stranger, the law will instantly sever the jointure, and make the husband and the stranger tenants in common (*v*).

(*t*) Pr. R. P. 164, 1st ed.; 176, 2nd ed.; 183, 3rd ed.; 184, 4th ed.; 190, 5th ed.; 200, 6th ed.

(*u*) Co. Litt. 300 a; 351 b; Bac. Abr. tit. Baron and Feme,

(C) 3; 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 169.

(*v*) *Bracebridge v. Cook*, Plowden, 418. See *Re Barton's will*, 10 Hare, 12.

The only exceptions to this sweeping rule are the *Paraphernalia*. wife's *paraphernalia*, so called from the Greek *παραφερνη*, being things to which the wife is entitled over and above her dower. The wife's paraphernalia consist of her apparel and ornaments suitable to her rank and degree (*x*); and gifts made by the husband to his wife of jewels or trinkets to be worn by her as ornaments are considered as part of her paraphernalia (*y*). These articles, equally with the wife's other personal chattels, may be disposed of by the husband in his lifetime (*z*), and, with the exception of the wife's necessary clothing, are also liable to his debts (*a*). The wife also herself has no power to dispose of them by gift or will during her husband's lifetime (*b*). But paraphernalia differ from the wife's other personal chattels in this respect, that the husband though he may dispose of them in his lifetime, has no power to bequeath them away from his wife by his will (*c*). Gifts of jewels or trinkets made to the wife by a relative or friend, either upon or after her marriage, will generally be considered in equity as intended for her *separate use* (*d*), in which case they will not be reckoned amongst her paraphernalia, but will, as we shall hereafter see, be exempt from the control and debts of her husband, and may be disposed of by the wife in the same manner as if she were unmarried.

With regard to such of the wife's personal estate as is *Chosen in action*, not in possession, but for which she has only a right to

(*x*) 2 Bl. Com. 436; 2 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 140; 11 Vin. Abr. tit. Executors (Z. 5).

(*y*) *Graham v. Londonderry*, 3 Atk. 394; *Jervoise v. Jervoise*, 17 Beav. 566.

(*z*) *Ibid.*; 2 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 141.

(*a*) 2 Bl. Com. 436; *Ridout v. Earl of Plymouth*, 2 Atk. 104; *Lord*

*Townsend v. Windham*, 2 Ves. sen. 1, 7.

(*b*) 2 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 141.

(*c*) *Tipping v. Tipping*, 1 P. Wms. 730; *Northey v. Northey*, 2 Atk. 77.

(*d*) *Graham v. Londonderry*, 3 Atk. 394; 2 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 143.



sue, the rights of the husband are different, according as the proceedings against the persons liable to be sued must be taken in a court of law or of equity. Property of this nature, as we have already seen (e), is termed in law French *choses in action*: such as may be recovered by action at law are called legal choses in action, and such as must be recovered by suit in equity are called equitable choses in action. With regard to each of them, the rights of the husband are of a different kind, although in each the same rule applies, that if he can get them into his possession during the coverture he has a right to keep them, otherwise they will belong to his wife (f).

**Legal choses  
in action.**

Legal choses in action consist principally of debts due to the wife, and secured or not by bond, or by bills or promissory notes. Of all these the husband has a right to receive payment, and should payment be refused him, he may sue for them in the joint names of himself and his wife (g); but bills and notes of the wife payable to order, being transferable by indorsement, may be indorsed by the husband alone (h), or sued for in his own name (i). All such legal choses in action as accrued to the wife *after* her marriage may be sued for by the husband, either in the joint names of himself and his wife, or in his own name only (k); but if the wife has really no interest, he cannot of course make use of her name (l). If the husband should sue in the joint names of himself and his wife, the benefit of the judgment of

(e) *Ante*, p. 4.

(f) 2 Bl. Com. 434; 1 Wms. on Executors, pt. 2, bk. 3, ch. 1, s. 3.

(g) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 213, 214; *Sherrington v. Yates*, 12 Mee. & Wels. 855. In this case the note was not payable to order,

and therefore not negotiable.

(h) *Mason v. Morgan*, 2 Ad. & El. 30.

(i) *Burrough v. Moss*, 10 Barn. & Cress. 558.

(k) 1 Rep. Husb. & Wife, 213.

(l) *Abbot v. Blagfield*, Cro. Jac. 644.

the court will in case of his decease survive to her (*m*); but if he sue in his own name, the benefit of the judgment will form part of his own personalty. If, however, the husband should not have received the money in his lifetime, or should not have obtained judgment for it in his own name, his wife will, on his decease, be entitled by survivorship to the chose in action so remaining still unreduced into possession (*n*); and bills and notes form no exception to this rule (*o*). But, if the wife should die before her husband, these choses in action, still remaining unreduced, will form part of her personal estate; and her husband must take out administration to her effects before he can proceed to recover them (*p*): when recovered, they will, with the rest of her personalty, belong to himself absolutely, after payment of her debts (*q*). The only exception to this rule occurs in the case of the husband being entitled, in right of his wife, to "any estate in fee simple, fee tail, or for term of life, of or in any rents or fee-farms," in which case the husband, after the death of his wife, is empowered by statute (*r*) to recover the arrears accrued to his wife before marriage by action of debt or distress. But this provision does not apply to the rents reserved upon leases for years (*s*).

Husband surviving must take out administration.

Exception.

Equitable choses in action consist principally of legacies, residuary personal estate of testators, and money in the funds. But all kinds of property, including, as is

Equitable choses in action.

(*m*) 1 Vern. 396; 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 212.

(*n*) Co. Litt. 351 b.

(*o*) *Richards v. Richards*, 2 Barn. & Adol. 447; *Gaters v. Madeley*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 423; *Hart v. Stephens*, 6 Q. B. 937; *Scarpellini v. Atcheson*, 7 Q. B. 864.

(*p*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife,

205. See *Betts v. Kimpton*, 2 B. & Adol. 273.

(*q*) Stat. 29 Car. II. c. 3, s. 25, ante, p. 333.

(*r*) Stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 37, s. 3.

(*s*) *Prescott v. Boucher*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 849.

Wife's equity  
for a settle-  
ment.

now decided, both freehold estates (*t*) and chattels real (*u*), vested in trustees, who are answerable only to the Court of Chancery, are subject to a rule of equity, by which equitable choses in action are mainly distinguished from such as are merely legal. This rule is as follows: that the Court of Chancery will not assist, nor, if the wife should dissent, will it allow, the husband to recover or receive any property of his wife recoverable only in that court, without his settling a due proportion of such property on his wife and children (*x*). The right of the wife to such a provision is termed *the wife's equity for a settlement* (*y*). In fixing the proportion to be settled, a prior settlement will always be taken into account (*z*). But where no settlement has previously been made, the proportion required to be settled on the wife is most frequently one-half (*a*); and sometimes the court has gone so far as to require a settlement of the whole fund (*b*). Although the children are usually inserted in the settlement, yet the right is personal to the wife, and may be waived by her (*c*); nor will it survive to the children in

(*t*) *Sturgis v. Champneys*, 5 Myl. & Cr. 97; *Wortham v. Pemberton*, 1 De Gex & S. 644; *Gleaves v. Paine*, 1 De Gex, J. & S. 87. See, however, Sugd. V. & P. 450, 13th ed.; 560, 14th ed.

(*u*) *Hanson v. Keating*, 4 Hare, 1.

(*z*) It was formerly held that the wife's equity to a settlement did not extend to sums under 200*l.*; *Foden v. Finney*, 4 Russ. 428; but this distinction is now abolished; *In re Cutler*, 14 Beav. 220; *Re Kincaid*, 1 Drew. 326.

(*y*) 1 Rop. Husb. and Wife, 256 *et seq.*

(*a*) *March v. Head*, 3 Atk. 720; *Lady Elibank v. Montolieu*, 5 Ves. 737; *Erskine's trust*, 1 Kay &

John. 302.

(*a*) 1 Rop. Husb. and Wife, 260; *Archer v. Gardiner*, 1 C. P. Coop. 340.

(*b*) *Brett v. Greenwell*, 3 You. & Coll. 230; *Gardner v. Marshall*, 14 Sim. 575; *Scott v. Spashett*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 599; *Dunkley v. Dunkley*, L. C. 16 Jur. 767; 2 De Gex, M. & G. 390; *Marshall v. Fowler*, 16 Beav. 249; *Gent v. Harris*, 10 Hare, 383; *Re Welchman*, 1 Giff. 31.

(*c*) *Murray v. Lord Elibank*, 13 Ves. 6. But the wife having once insisted on her right cannot afterwards waive it; *Barker v. Lea*, 6 Mad. 330; *Whittem v. Sawyer*, 1 Beav. 593.

case of her decease before the court has made its decree (*d*); but if she die after the decree, it will still be carried into effect for the benefit of the children (*e*). This rule of the Court of Chancery is founded on one of the maxims of equity, that he who would have equity must do what is equitable (*f*); it cannot, therefore, be enforced until the time arrives when the fund becomes payable to the husband (*g*). If, however, as most frequently happens, the husband can obtain from the executor or trustee of the fund in question payment of it to himself, without the assistance of the court, he has a right to do so, and in this case the wife's equity is at once excluded; and if the time of payment has arrived, the executor or trustee may safely pay over the fund to the husband, unless the wife shall have already filed her bill in Chancery to enforce her right to a settlement (*h*); and the receipt of the fund by the husband, when it has thus become payable, is also an effectual bar to the wife's right by survivorship (*i*).

If the husband, instead of obtaining payment of the fund, should assign it to a third person (*k*), or if he should become bankrupt (*l*), his assignee will take subject to the wife's equity for a settlement, in the same manner as if no assignment had been made. But if

Effect of the husband's assignment.

(*d*) *De la Garde v. Lempriere*, 6 Beav. 344, overruling *Stinmitz v. Halthin*, 1 Glyn & Jam. 64; *Baker v. Bayldon*, 8 Hare, 210; *Wallace v. Auldjo*, V. C. K. 9 Jur. N. S. 687, affirmed by Lords Jus. 11 W. R. 972.

(*e*) *Groves v. Clarke*, 1 Keen, 132; S. C., *Groves v. Perkins*, 6 Sim. 584.

(*f*) 2 P. Wms. 641.

(*g*) *Osborn v. Morgan*, 9 Hare, 432.

(*h*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife,

273; *Murray v. Lord Ellbank*, 10 Ves. 50.

(*i*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 220; *Rees v. Keith*, 11 Sim. 383; *Cunningham v. Antrobus*, 16 Sim. 436.

(*k*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 271; *Malcom v. Charlesworth*, 1 Keen, 73, 74; *Scott v. Spashett*, 3 Mac. & Gord. 599; *Carter v. Taggart*, 5 De Gex & Smale, 49; 1 De Gex, M. & G. 286. See *Ward v. Yates*, 1 Drew. & S. 80.

(*l*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 268.

the interest to which the wife is entitled consists of an equitable estate for her life only, an assignee from the husband of such life interest for valuable consideration will be entitled to hold it as against the wife's equity for a settlement (*m*); although she would be entitled to a settlement as against his assignees in bankruptcy (*n*). If the husband should die before the assignee has got possession of the fund, leaving his wife surviving, the wife's right by survivorship will prevail over the title of the assignee, whether in bankruptcy (*o*) or for valuable consideration (*p*).

New enactment disposing of wife's reversionary interests.

A recent act of parliament (*q*) enables every married woman, with the concurrence of her husband, by deed to dispose of every future or reversionary interest, whether vested or contingent, of such married woman, or her husband in her right, in any personal estate to which she shall be entitled under any instrument (except her marriage settlement) made after the 31st December, 1857; also to release or extinguish any power in regard to any such personal estate, and also to release and extinguish her equity to a settlement out of her personal estate in possession under any such instrument as aforesaid. But every such disposition must be separately acknowledged by her in the manner required by the act for the abolition of fines and recoveries (*r*). And nothing therein contained is to extend to any

Release of powers.

And of equity to a settlement.

To be separately acknowledged.

(*m*) *Elliott v. Cordell*, 5 Mad. 149; *Stanton v. Hall*, 2 Russ. & M. 175, 182; *Tidd v. Lister*, 10 Hare, 140, 154; 3 De Gex, M. & G. 857; *Re Duffy's trust*, 28 Beav. 386.

(*n*) *Wright v. Morley*, 11 Ves. 17.

(*o*) *Pierce v. Thornley*, 2 Sim. 167.

(*p*) *Hutchings v. Smith*, 9 Sim. 137; *Ellison v. Elwin*, 13 Sim. 309; *Ashby v. Ashby*, 1 Coll. 553; *Le Fasseur v. Scrutton*, 14 Sim. 116; *Michelmores v. Mudge*, 2 Giff. 183.

(*q*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 57.

(*r*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 74.

See Principles of the Law of Real Property, p. 189, 4th ed.; 197, 5th ed., 207, 6th ed.

reversionary interest, to which she shall become entitled under any instrument by which she shall be restrained from alienating or affecting the same.

If the wife should be entitled to any chose in action, whether legal or equitable, of a reversionary nature, not within the above-mentioned act, the effect of an assignment by the husband will be different under different circumstances. The wife, of course, cannot assign, for by the act of marriage she deprives herself of all power so to do; and the husband can only assign to another the interest to which he may be entitled himself. Suppose therefore that the wife is entitled, on the death of A., a person now living, to a sum of stock standing in the names of trustees, and that her husband should make an assignment of this reversionary interest to B., a purchaser: the benefit which will accrue to B. by virtue of this assignment will vary, according as the husband, the wife, or A., the tenant for life, may happen to die first. If the husband should die first, B. will lose his purchase; for the wife, having survived her husband, will now on the death of A. be entitled to the stock, which has never been reduced into the possession of her husband, or of B., his assignee (*s*). If A. should die first, B. may then obtain a transfer of the stock, if the trustees choose to transfer it to him, and if the wife should not have filed a bill to enforce her equity to a settlement (*t*). But if the trustees should refuse to transfer without the direction of the Court of Chancery, or if the wife should insist upon her right, then B. will, as we have seen (*u*), most probably obtain only half of the fund for his own benefit, and will be obliged to settle the other half on the wife and children. If, however, the wife should die first, then this chose in action, remaining unreduced into possession,

Assignment of wife's reversionary choses in action.

Example.

(*s*) *Purdew v. Jackson*, 1 Russ. 1; *Honner v. Morton*, 3 Russ. 65.

(*t*) *Greedy v. Lavender*, 13 Beav. 62.

(*u*) *Ante*, p. 348.

will, like a legal chose in action, under the same circumstances(*x*), remain part of the wife's personal estate; and the husband, on taking out administration to his wife, will be bound by his previous assignment. B. will accordingly in this single event obtain the whole fund, subject however to the wife's debts, if any. It was once thought that if an assignment could be obtained from the tenant for life, of his life interest in a fund circumstanced as above mentioned, to the married woman entitled to the reversion, she would be in the same situation as if the whole fund had been originally held in trust for her absolutely; and that after such an assignment, the whole fund might therefore be transferred to the husband(*y*). But it is contrary to the general principle of equity to allow the rights of parties to be affected by any merger or extinguishment of interests; and the doctrine in question has been overruled(*z*).

Release of  
husband.

The same principles which apply to the assignment by a husband of his wife's reversionary interest in a chose in action, apply also to his release, which will be as little binding on her as his assignment, in case of her being the survivor(*a*). If, however, the reversionary chose in action of the wife consist of money charged on real estate, the wife's interest can either be released or assigned by a deed acknowledged by her, with the concurrence of her husband, under the provisions of the act for the abolition of fines and recoveries(*b*). The

Money charged  
on real estate.

(*x*) *Ante*, p. 347.

(*y*) *Creed v. Perry*, 14 Sim. 592;  
*Hull v. Hagonin*, 14 Sim. 595;  
*Bishopp v. Colebrook*, V. C. E., 11  
Jur. 793.

(*z*) *Whittle v. Henning*, 11 Beav.  
222; affirmed, 2 Phil. 731; *Han-*  
*chett v. Briscoe*, 22 Beav. 496.

(*a*) *Rogers v. Acaster*, 14 Beav.  
445; *Harley v. Harley*, 10 Hare,  
325.

(*b*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 74.  
See Principles of the Law of Real  
Property, 189, 4th ed.; 197, 5th  
ed.; 207, 6th ed.

contrary was decided in a recent case (c), which may now be considered as overruled (d).

The same principle of the merger of the wife in the husband, which gives him such important rights in her personal estate, renders him also answerable for all the debts and liabilities of his wife contracted previously to her marriage (e). But if judgment for any debt be not recovered during the continuance of the marriage, the liability ceases, except to the extent of the assets to which the husband may be entitled as his wife's administrator (f); and if the wife survive, she will again become solely liable. The husband is also bound during the coverture to supply his wife with necessaries suitable to her station in life. She is therefore, whilst living with him, considered as his agent for the purchase of any such necessary articles with which he may not have supplied her (g). And even if the articles should not be necessaries, yet if the husband be aware of the purchase (h), or if he recognize it, by allowing his wife to use or wear the articles bought (i), she will be considered as having bought them with his authority, and he will consequently be liable to pay for them.

Husband's liability to his wife's debts.

The burdens with which the husband is thus chargeable are the consideration which he pays for his marital rights in his wife's property. It is therefore a rule of

Fraud on the husband's marital rights.

(c) *Hobby v. Allen*, V. C. Knight Bruce, 15 Jur. 835; S. C. nom. *Hobby v. Collins*, 4 De Gex & S. 289.

(d) Sugd. Real Property Statutes, p. 240; *Briggs v. Chamberlain*, V. C. Wood, 18 Jur. 56; S. C. 11 Hare, 69; *Tuer v. Turner*, 20 Beav. 560.

(e) 2 Roper's Husband and Wife, 73; *Palmer v. Wakefield*, 3

Beav. 227; *Luard's case*, 1 De Gex, F. & J. 533.

(f) *Heard v. Stamford*, 3 P. Wms. 409.

(g) 2 Roper's Husband and Wife, 110; *Seaton v. Benedict*, 5 Bing. 28.

(h) *Petty v. Anderson*, 3 Bing. 170.

(i) See *Montague v. Benedict*, 3 Barn. & Cress. 631. 638.



law, that the husband shall not, previously to the marriage, be defrauded of those rights by his intended wife (*h*). Accordingly if the wife, after an engagement to marry, should assign away any of her property without the knowledge and consent of her intended husband, such assignment would be void, as a fraud on his marital rights (*l*). And the circumstance of the intended husband's being ignorant of her possession of the property in question would be immaterial (*m*).

The husband may authorize his wife to dispose of her personal estate by her will.

The right of the husband to the whole of his wife's personal estate, in the event of her decease in his lifetime, may be waived by his giving her authority to dispose of such estate, or any part of it by her will; and such a will will be valid and binding on the husband if he once allow it to be proved (*n*). But during the wife's lifetime, and even after her death, until probate of the will, this authority may be revoked; and if the husband should die before the wife, such a will would not be binding on the wife's next of kin (*o*).

Trusts for the wife's separate use.

But at the present day, power to dispose of property of any kind may be given to a married woman, independently of her husband, by means of a trust for her *separate use*, which trust may be enforced in equity (*p*). When personal estate is so given, the wife has the same powers of ownership as if she were a feme sole; she may accordingly dispose of such property without her husband's concurrence, either in her lifetime or by her will (*q*). But should she die in his lifetime without

(*h*) *Countess of Strathmore v. Rowes*, 1 Ves. jun. 22, 28.

(*l*) *England v. Downs*, 2 Beav. 522; *Taylor v. Pugh*, 1 Hare, 608.

(*m*) *Goddard v. Snow*, 1 Russ. 485.

(*n*) 1 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 169, 170.

(*o*) 15 Ves. 156.

(*p*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 164, 1st ed.; 174, 2nd ed.; 181, 3rd ed.; 182, 4th ed.; 190, 5th ed.; 200, 6th ed.

(*q*) *Fettiplace v. Gorges*, 1 Ves. jun. 46; S. C. 3 Bro. C. C. 8; 2 Rep. Husb. and Wife, 182.

having made any disposition, her husband will become entitled to it either in his marital right (*r*) or as her administrator(*s*), according as the property may be in possession or in action. A trust for a woman's *separate use* is properly and technically created by means of the words "separate use." But a gift to a woman for her sole use (*t*), or a direction that her receipt alone shall be a sufficient discharge (*u*), will also create a trust for her separate use. A gift, however, to a woman for her own use (*x*), or to be paid into her proper hands (*y*), or even to be paid into her proper hands for her own proper use and benefit (*z*), will not be sufficient to exclude the rights of her husband.

A simple gift of property for a married woman's separate use is not so usual as the gift of the income only of the property during her life or during the joint lives of herself and her husband (*a*). A gift of the income of property to a woman's separate use may be made either after her marriage, or in contemplation of marriage, or whilst she is sole; and the gift may be made either independently of her present husband, if any, or of any future husband. When the gift is made to a woman's separate use, independently of any future husband, the act of her marriage will confer no interest in the property on her husband, but she will enjoy, after marriage, the same interest and power of disposition as she had be-

Gifts of income  
for a woman's  
separate use.

(*r*) *Molony v. Kennedy*, 10 Sim. 254; *Tugman v. Hopkins*, 4 Man. & Gran. 384.

(*s*) *Watt v. Watt*, 3 Ves. 246, 247; *Proudley v. Fielder*, 2 My. & Keen, 57.

(*t*) — *v. Lyne*, Younge, 562; *Lindsall v. Thacker*, 12 Sim. 178. See, however, *Gilbert v. Lewis*, 1 De Gex, J. & S. 38.—*qu.*?

(*u*) *Lee v. Prieaux*, 3 Bro. C. C. 381.

(*x*) *Roberts v. Spicer*, 5 Madd. 491; *Kensington v. Dollond*, 2 Myl. & Keen, 184.

(*y*) *Tyler v. Lake*, 2 Russ. & Myl. 183.

(*z*) *Blacklow v. Laws*, 2 Hare, 49.

(*a*) See Appendix B.

**Restraint on  
anticipation.**

fore (b). It is, however, more usual, when the income only of property is given to a wife's separate use, to insert a condition that she shall not dispose of the same in any mode of anticipation. Conditions restraining the alienation of property are generally invalid, as being contrary to the policy of the law. But the courts of equity have made an exception to this rule in favour of married women, and having once established a trust for a woman's separate use, they have permitted such a trust to be made effectual by depriving the wife herself of the power of disposition (c). When the income of property is given to a woman's separate use, without power of anticipation, she is not thereby deprived of the power of alienation so long as she continues single (d). Previously to or in contemplation of marriage she may therefore make such disposition or settlement of such income as she may think proper. But should she marry without a settlement, the restraint on alienation will then attach, and so long as she remains under coverture, she will have no further power than that of receiving the income as it grows due (e). On her widowhood her power of alienation will again revive (f), but will cease on her second marriage without having previously made any disposition (g), provided the restriction or alienation be not, by the terms of the gift, confined to her first marriage (h). The intention to restrain alienation ought always to be clearly expressed. A direction to pay the

(b) *Tullett v. Armstrong*, 1 Beav. 1; 4 Myl. & Cr. 390; *Scarborough v. Borman*, 1 Beav. 34; 4 Myl. & Cr. 377.

(c) *Brandon v. Robinson*, 18 Ves. 434.

(d) *Woodmeston v. Walker*, 2 Russ. & Myl. 197; *Brown v. Pockock*, 2 Russ. & Myl. 210.

(e) *Tullett v. Armstrong*, 1 Beav.

1; 4 Myl. & Cr. 390; *Scarborough v. Borman*, 1 Beav. 34; 4 Myl. & Cr. 377; *Clive v. Carcw*, 1 John. & H. 199.

(f) *Barton v. Briscoe*, Jacob, 603.

(g) *Tullett v. Armstrong*, ubi supra.

(h) *Re Gaffce*, 1 Mac. & Gord. 541.

income of property into the hands of a married woman, and not otherwise (*i*), or on her personal appearance and receipt (*k*), will not be sufficient to restrain her from disposing of her interest, the words being considered as intended only to exclude the marital claims of her husband. But if an intention can be collected from the terms of the instrument, not only to exclude the husband's claims, but also to prevent the wife from anticipating, such intention will prevail, although it may be expressed rather in popular than in strictly technical language (*l*).

In addition to trusts for separate use, powers of appointment may, as we have seen (*m*), be given to married women independently of their husbands, by means of which they may be enabled to dispose of property without their husband's concurrence (*n*); and any appointment under a general power may be made by a married woman in favour of her husband, as well as of any other person. Powers.

Unhappy differences between husband and wife sometimes end in a separation. Such a state of things is not, however, encouraged by the law. A clause in a marriage settlement providing for the event of a separation, has been considered to be void (*o*); and so has a condition in a gift of personal estate to a woman living apart from her husband, that the gift shall cease in case she should Separation.

(*i*) *Acton v. White*, 1 Sim. & Stu. 429.

(*k*) *Ross's trust*, 1 Sim. N. S. 196.

(*l*) *Brown v. Bamford*, 1 Phil. 620; *Moore v. Moore*, 1 Coll. 54; *Harrop v. Howard*, 3 Hare, 624; *Harnett v. Macdougall*, 8 Beav. 187; *Field v. Evans*, 15 Sim. 375; *Baker v. Bradley*, 7 De Gex, M. &

G. 597; *Gould v. Camm*, 1 De Gex, F. & J. 146.

(*m*) *Ante*, p. 247.

(*n*) See Appendix B.

(*o*) *Cocksedge v. Cocksedge*, 14, Sim. 244; *Cartwright v. Cartwright*, 3 De Gex, M. & G. 982; *II. v. W.* 3 Kay & J. 382. See also *Hindley v. Marquis of Westmeath*, 6 Barn. & Cres. 200.

Covenant to indemnify against wife's debts.

cohabit with him (*p*). It is however clear, that a deed making provision for an immediate separation between husband and wife is not void for illegality (*q*), and any infringement of the covenants contained in it will be restrained by the injunction of the Court of Chancery (*r*). One of the usual provisions of a deed of separation is, a covenant on the part of some friend of the wife's to indemnify the husband against any debts she may incur whilst living apart. Such a covenant is a valuable consideration for any settlement which the husband may make for the benefit of his wife, and places such settlement on the same footing as any other alienation made for valuable consideration (*s*). But if there be no such covenant, nor any other valuable consideration (*t*), a settlement made by a husband on separating from his wife stands in the same position as any other voluntary deed (*u*); and, though binding on himself, may not be binding on his creditors (*x*). The circumstance of voluntary separation gives to the wife no further power of disposition over property than she possessed whilst living with her husband (*y*). Accordingly she will not, should she survive her husband, be bound by any disposition of her personal estate made on the separation, which her husband would have been unable to make, without her concurrence, had no separation taken place (*z*). If after separation the parties become reconciled (*a*) or if a

(*p*) *Wren v. Bradley*, 2 De Gex & S. 49.

(*q*) *Jones v. Waite*, 4 Man. & Gr. 1104.

(*r*) *Sanders v. Rodway*, 16 Beav. 207.

(*s*) *Stephens v. Olive*, 2 Bro. C.C. 90; *Worrall v. Jacob*, 3 Meriv. 256, 269.

(*t*) See *Wilson v. Wilson*, 14 Sim. 405; 1 H. of L. Cas. 538.

(*u*) See *ante*, pp. 272, 273.

(*x*) *Fitzer v. Fitzer*, 2 Atk. 511;

*Clough v. Lambert*, 10 Sim. 174.

(*y*) *Lord St. John v. Lady St. John*, 11 Ves. 531.

(*z*) *Stamper v. Barker*, 5 Madd. 157; *Slatter v. Slatter*, 1 Yo. & Col. 28.

(*a*) *Bateman v. Ross*, 1 Dow, 235, 245; *Lord St. John v. Lady St. John*, 11 Ves. 537; *Wilson v. Wilson*, 15 Sim. 487, 500; 1 H. of L. Cas. 538. See, however, *Hulme v. Chitty*, 9 Beav. 437.

restitution of conjugal rights be decreed by the Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes (*b*), the provisions of the deed of separation will thenceforth become inoperative.

In the event of separation, the custody of the infant children belongs by law to the father as the natural guardian (*c*). And it has been decided that he is incompetent to relinquish a duty thrown upon him by the law, and that, therefore, a covenant on his part to give up the children to the care of their mother is illegal (*d*). If, however, the conduct of the father should be such that the children would be exposed to cruelty or gross corruption of morals from being left in his custody, the law will deprive him of a charge for which he has shown himself totally unfit (*e*). And by a recent act of parliament (*f*), power is given to the judges of the Court of Chancery (*g*), upon the petition of the mother of any infant, being in the sole custody of the father, or of any person by his authority, or of any guardian after the death of the father, to make order for the access of the petitioner to such infant, at such time and subject to such regulations as shall be deemed convenient and just; and, if such infant shall be within the age of seven years, to make order that such infant shall be delivered to and remain in the custody of the petitioner until attaining such age, subject to such regulations as shall be deemed convenient and just. If adultery has been established

Custody of infant children.

(*b*) *Fletcher v. Fletcher*, 2 Cox, 99; stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85.

(*c*) Co. Litt. 88 b, n. (12); *Rex v. Sherrington*, 3 Barn. & Adol. 714.

(*d*) *Lord St. John v. Lady St. John*, 11 Ves. 531; *Vansittart v. Vansittart*, 4 Kay & J. 62; 2 De Gex & Jones, 249; *Hope v. Hope*, 22 Beav. 351; 3 Jur. N. S. 454,

Lords Just.; *Walrond v. Walrond*, 1 John. 18.

(*e*) *Cruise v. Hunter*, 2 Bro. C. C. 499; *Wellesley v. Duke of Beaufort*, 2 Russ. 1; *Rex v. Greenhill*, 4 Adol. & Ell. 624.

(*f*) Stat. 2 & 3 Vict. c. 54; *Ex parte Bartlett*, 2 Coll. 661.

(*g*) *In re Taylor*, 10 Sim. 291.

against the mother, no order can be made in her favour under this act (*h*).

Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes.

Dissolution of Marriage.

Protection of wife deserted by her husband.

Alimony.

The jurisdiction anciently possessed by the ecclesiastical courts over matrimonial causes has been recently transferred to a new court, called the Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, which has been established since the eleventh of January, 1858 (*i*). Instead of the ancient decree for a divorce à mensâ et thoro, a decree for a judicial separation has been substituted, which has the same force and consequences (*h*). The very doubtful benefit formerly enjoyed only by the richer classes of obtaining by act of parliament a dissolution of the marriage with liberty to marry again, is now extended to all persons by petition to the court (*l*). A beneficial provision has however been inserted empowering a woman, who has been deserted by her husband, to apply to a magistrate or to the court or the judge ordinary thereof, for an order to protect any money or property she may acquire by her own lawful industry, and property which she may become possessed of after such desertion, against her husband or his creditors; and in such case her earnings and her property, whether held beneficially, or as executrix, administratrix or trustee, and whether in possession or reversion, will belong to herself as if she were a feme sole (*m*). In every case of a decree either for judicial separation or for the dissolution of the marriage the court has power to order the husband to secure to the wife for her life a separate maintenance under the name of alimony (*n*). And in every case of

(*h*) Stat. 2 & 3 Vict. c. 54, s. 4.

(*i*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, amended by stats. 21 & 22 Vict. c. 108; 22 & 23 Vict. c. 61.

(*k*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, s. 7.

(*l*) Sects. 27, 57.

(*m*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, s.

21; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 108, ss. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10; *Re Kingsley's trust*, 26 Beav. 84; *Cooke v. Fuller*, 26 Beav. 99.

(*n*) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, ss. 24, 32.

a judicial separation the wife is, from the date of the sentence and whilst separated, to be considered as a feme sole with respect to her property, whether held beneficially or as executrix, administratrix or trustee, and also for the purposes of contract, and wrongs and injuries, and suing and being sued in any civil proceeding; and her property may be disposed of by her in all respects as a feme sole, and on her decease the same will, in case she shall die intestate, go as it would have gone if her husband had then been dead (o). If, however, alimony has been ordered to be paid to the wife, and the same shall not be duly paid by the husband, he will be liable for necessaries supplied for her use. But the wife may, during such separation, join with the husband in the exercise of any joint power given to herself and him (p). And if the wife should again cohabit with her husband, all such property as she may be entitled to when such cohabitation shall take place, shall be held to her separate use, subject, however, to any agreement in writing made between herself and her husband whilst separate (q). In every case of a suit for judicial separation or for nullity or dissolution of marriage, the court or the judge ordinary is empowered, either before or after its final decree, to make provision with respect to the custody, maintenance and education of the children of the marriage, or for placing the children under the protection of the Court of Chancery (r). Whenever the court shall pronounce a sentence of divorce or judicial separation for adultery of the wife, it has power to order a settlement to be made of her property, whether in possession or reversion, for the benefit of the innocent party and the children of the marriage, or either or any of them (s). And any

Judicial separation.

Wife a feme sole.

Custody, maintenance and education of children.

Settlement on judicial separation.

(o) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, ss. 25, 26; 21 & 22 Vict. c. 108, s. 7.

(r) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, s. 35; 22 & 23 Vict. c. 61, s. 4.

(p) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, s. 26.

(s) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, s. 45.

(q) Sect. 25.



**Dissolution of marriage.**

**Settled property.**

**Comparison of the law of husband and wife as to real and as to personal estate.**

instrument executed pursuant to any order of the court made under this enactment, at the time of or after the pronouncing of a final decree of divorce or judicial separation, shall be deemed valid and effectual in the law, notwithstanding the existence of the disability of coverture at the time of the execution thereof (*t*). And after a decree of nullity or dissolution of marriage, the court may inquire into the existence of antenuptial or postnuptial settlements made on the parties whose marriage is the subject of the decree, and may make such order with reference to the application of the whole or a portion of the property settled either for the benefit of the children of the marriage or of their respective parents, as to the court shall seem fit (*u*).

A comparison of the laws of husband and wife relating to real estate, with those which affect personal property, will show a great discrepancy between them. Historically, no doubt, this discrepancy is easily accounted for; but practically, as things now exist, it is not so easy to give a satisfactory reason for the difference. Since the intended amendment of the law relating to dower, the wife's rights in her husband's real estate have, for the satisfaction of conveyancers, been reduced to as low a level as her rights in his personalty. But the husband's rights in his wife's property still materially vary, according as it may happen to be invested in real or in personal estate. If it consist of real estate, he has only a life interest as tenant by the curtesy, provided he has issue by his wife born alive, who might by possibility inherit as her heir (*r*). If it be personal estate, he has a right to appropriate to himself all that he can lay hands on. Again, the real estate of the wife is guarded from

(*t*) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 144, s. 6, made perpetual by stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 81.

(*u*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 61, s. 5.

(*r*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 167, 1st ed.; 177, 2nd ed.; 184, 3rd ed.; 185, 4th ed.; 193, 5th ed.; 203, 6th ed.

alienation by the most careful provisions. Formerly the fictitious and cumbersome machinery of a *fine* was requisite; and now every conveyance of her real estate must be not only signed by her, but also *acknowledged* by her before commissioners, apart from her husband, as her own act and deed (*x*). Recently the same principle has been applied to the release of her equity to a settlement, and to the assignment of her reversionary interests (*y*). But, in all cases not within the act for these purposes, the assignment of her personal estate, if made at all, can only be made by her husband; and her concurrence or objection is quite immaterial. When personal estate consists of mere moveable articles, the nature of the property no doubt affords a sufficient reason for the difference between the laws which dispose of it, and those which regulate estates in fixed and immoveable landed property. But when personalty assumes the form of such solid investments as mortgages or consols, when it becomes like land disposable by deed rather than by delivery, the laws which affect it should rather depend on its present nature than on its past history. It seems hardly fair that a married woman should have no voice in the disposition of property of this kind belonging to herself. At the same time, the present system of taking her acknowledgment on a conveyance of her real estate is often found to be a burdensome expense without any practical benefit. For if a husband can persuade his wife to sign a deed, he can easily prevail on her to make an acknowledgment before two commissioners, notwithstanding that during the two minutes which the transaction lasts she may remain "separate and apart" from him. If, whenever the wife's property of any kind should be alienated by deed, her signature were necessary, but her separate examination

Acknowledgment by wife on conveyance of real estate.

(*x*) *Ibid.* 171, 1st ed.; 181, 2nd ed.; 188, 3rd ed.; 189, 4th ed.; 197, 5th ed.; 207, 6th ed. (y) Stat. 20 & 21 Vict. c. 57. *Ante*, p. 350.

were dispensed with, the law both of personal and real estate would perhaps be improved. The Court of Chancery, by the establishment of trusts for separate use, and by giving the wife an equity to a settlement of part of her personal property when claimed through the medium of that court, has done much to mitigate the simple rigour of the common law. Trusts for separate use are now, after much wavering, firmly settled, it is to be hoped, into a system according both with the interests of the community and the general principles of the law. Such trusts, however, generally require to be established by deed or will, and are very seldom implied. And the wife cannot assert her equity to a settlement without taking the serious step of making an application to the Court of Chancery. The theory of that court certainly is, that its assistance is free and open to everybody, and that those who neglect to avail themselves of its aid suffer by their own fault. Experience, however, is too apt to suggest that the remedy may sometimes prove worse than the disease.

## PART V.

### OF TITLE.

THE title to personal estate varies according as it may consist of money or negotiable securities, or of ordinary choses in possession, or of choses in action.

And first, with regard to money or negotiable securities, no title at all is required to be shown by the payer in any *bonâ fide* transaction. Thus, if a sovereign or a bank note be offered in payment of a debt, it is no part of the duty of the creditor, under ordinary circumstances, to ask the debtor how he came by it. The reason of this rule is founded on the currency of the articles in question, and on the great inconvenience to trade and commerce which would ensue if the rule were otherwise (*a*). And the rule applies to all negotiable securities, that is, to all instruments the delivery of which passes the legal right to the property secured by them. Promissory notes and bills of exchange payable to bearer, or payable to order, and indorsed in blank, are accordingly within the rule (*b*). But if there be any *mala fides* on the part of the person receiving any money or negotiable security, or such gross negligence as may amount in itself to evidence of *mala fides*, the true owner may recover such property, provided its identity can be ascertained (*c*). A delivery order does

Money and negotiable securities.

Delivery order.

(*a*) *Miller v. Race*, 1 Burr. 452; 1 Smith's Leading Cas. 250.

(*b*) *Grant v. Vaughan*, 3 Burr. 1516; *Peacock v. Rhodes*, 2 Doug. 333; see *ante*, p. 79.

(*c*) *Clarke v. Shee*, Cowp. 197; *Foster v. Pearson*, 1 C. M. & R. 849; S. C. 5 Tyrw. 255; *Goodman v. Harvey*, 4 Ad. & Ell. 870.

not of itself pass the property in the goods mentioned in it; it is therefore not a negotiable security within the rule above mentioned; and the transferee is accordingly bound to inquire into the title of the transferor (*d*).

**Sale of chattels  
in market  
overt.**

With regard to ordinary choses in possession, a valid title to them is generally obtained by a purchase in an open market, or *market overt*, although no property may have been possessed by the vendor (*e*). And every shop in the city of London, where goods are openly sold, is considered as a market overt within this rule, for such things as by the trade of the owner are put there for sale (*f*). But the shops at the west end of the town do not appear to possess this privilege. If the sale is not made in market overt, the purchaser, though he purchase *bonâ fide*, acquires no further property in the article sold than was possessed by the vendor (*g*). And formerly, if a writ of execution should have been actually in the hands of the sheriff on a judgment against the vendor, the goods, if not sold in market overt, were subject, in the hands of the purchaser, to the sheriff's right to seize, in the same manner as if they had remained in the hands of the vendor (*h*). But a recent enactment now protects a purchaser *bonâ fide* for valuable consideration, without notice of any writ (*i*). So if the goods have been stolen, a *bonâ fide* purchaser, who has not bought them in market overt, will be bound to restore them to the true owner (*k*); whereas, a sale in market overt would have given the

**Stolen goods.**

(*d*) *Kingsford v. Merry*, 1 H. & N. 503.

(*e*) 2 Black. Com. 449.

(*f*) *The case of Market Overt*, 5 Rep. 83 b; *Lyons v. De Pass*, 11 Ad. & Ell. 326.

(*g*) *Peer v. Humphrey*, 2 Ad. & El. 495; *White v. Spettigue*, 13

Mee. & W. 603.

(*h*) *Samuel v. Duke*, 3 Mee. & W. 622. See *ante*, p. 48.

(*i*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 1, *ante*, p. 49, not retrospective, *Williams v. Smith*, 2 H. & N. 443.

(*k*) *White v. Spettigue*, 13 Mee. & W. 603.

purchaser a valid title. There is one case, however, in which even a sale in market overt will not protect a purchaser, namely, the case of the goods having been stolen, and the true owner prosecuting the thief and obtaining his conviction. In this case the property in the goods, wherever they may be, vests, on the conviction, in the true owner (*l*); and the only exception allowed is, where the article stolen is some valuable security, which shall have been paid or discharged *bonâ fide* by the person liable, or, being a negotiable instrument, shall have been *bonâ fide* transferred or delivered for a just and valuable consideration, without any notice, and without any reasonable cause to suspect that the same had been obtained by any felony or misdemeanor (*m*). If a person suffer the loss of his goods by theft, he cannot by any civil action recover them from the felon (*n*). To do this, he is bound to suffer the further loss of time or money incurred in a prosecution. If he should succeed in obtaining a conviction, he is then rewarded for his good fortune by a restitution of his property, whether in the hands of the felon himself, or of any innocent purchaser who may have chanced to buy them, although in open market. Such is the application made by the law of the righteous principle of restitution.

With regard to horses, a sale in market overt will not Horses. confer on the purchaser any further title than is possessed by the vendor, unless the sale be made according to the directions of certain statutes (*o*); and even then the true owner may, at any time within six months after his horse has been stolen, recover his property on tender

(*l*) *Scattergood v. Sylvester*, 15 Cress. 551, 564; 2 Wms. Saund. 47 b, n. (*p*).

(*m*) Stat. 7 & 8 Geo. IV. c. 29, (*o*) Stats. 2 & 3 P. & M. c. 7; s. 57. 31 Eliz. c. 12; 2 Black. Com. 450.

(*n*) *Stone v. Marsh*, 6 Barn. &

to the person in possession of the price he *bonâ fide* paid for it (*p*).

Factors and agents.

Power of attorney.

Decease of person giving power of attorney.

New enactment.

A factor or agent in the possession of goods could not by the common law give any further title to the goods than he was authorized to do by his principal, either expressly or by implication arising from the usual course of his employment (*q*). And when one man is appointed the agent of another for any particular purpose by power of attorney, his authority must still be strictly pursued, otherwise his principal will not be bound (*r*). But by modern acts of parliament a more extended authority has, for the convenience of commerce, been conferred on factors and agents (*s*). The provisions of these acts are too long to be here inserted; but their general effect is to render valid sales and pledges made by factors or agents, notwithstanding any notice of the fact of their being merely factors or agents, provided the party dealing with them have no notice that they are acting without authority or *malâ fide*. The authority of an agent acting under a power of attorney determines by the decease of the person giving the power (*t*). But by a recent act, no trustee, executor or administrator making any payment or doing any act *bonâ fide* in pursuance of any power of attorney, in ignorance of the death of the person who gave the power, or of his having done some act to avoid the power, shall be liable for the money so paid or the act so done (*u*).

Warranty.

In ancient times the sale of lands was usually accom-

(*p*) Stat. 31 Eliz. c. 12, s. 4.

(*q*) *Pickering v. Busk*, 15 East, 384 43.

(*r*) *Attwood v. Munnings*, 7 Barn. & Cress. 278.

(*s*) Stats. 4 Geo. IV. c. 83; 6 Geo. IV. c. 94; 5 & 6 Vict. c. 39.

(*t*) Bacon's Abridgment, tit. Authority (E); *Lepard v. Vernon*, 2 Ves. & Bea. 51. Otherwise where expressed to be valid notwithstanding death. *Kiddill v. Farnell*, 3 Sma. & Giff. 428.

(*u*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, s. 26.

panied by a warranty of their title; and some words, such as the word *give* in a feoffment, had the effect of an implied warranty, when none was expressed (*x*). When warranties fell into disuse, the purchasers of lands acquired a right to covenants for the title, varying in their stringency according to the nature of the title of the vendor (*y*). No warranty however arises from the mere sale of goods, unless it be expressly given, or implied from the custom of the trade or the nature of the contract (*z*). Every affirmation made by the vendor at the time of sale respecting the goods is an express warranty, if it appear to have been so intended (*a*). And if the vendor state that the goods are his own, this amounts to a warranty of his title (*b*); but if the contract for sale be in writing, the warranty must be in writing also (*c*). And a warranty made subsequently to the sale is void for want of consideration (*d*). Contracts made in the course of any trade are always subject to the custom of that trade; and if by the custom of the trade a warranty is implied in any contract, the vendor will be bound by it, in the same manner as if he had given an express warranty (*e*). So the nature of the contract may be such as to imply a warranty. Thus a contract to furnish goods for a particular purpose, contains an implied warranty that they are fit for that purpose (*f*); and a con-

(1) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 344, 1st ed.; 316, 2nd ed.; 359, 3rd ed.; 365, 4th ed.; 376, 5th ed.; 399, 6th ed.

(y) *Ibid.* 318, 1st ed.; 349, 2nd ed.; 362, 3rd ed.; 368, 4th ed.; 379, 5th ed.; 402, 6th ed.

(z) *Chanter v. Hopkins*, 4 Mee. & W. 399; *Burnby v. Bollett*, 16 Mee. & W. 614; *Morley v. Attenborough*, 3 Exch. Rep. 500.

(a) See *Richardson v. Brown*, 1 Buz. 314; *Sheppard v. Kain*, 5

Buz. & Ald. 210, *Power v. Barham*, 4 Ad. & Ell. 473; *Carter v. Crick*, 1 H. & N. 412.

(b) *Furniss v. Leicester*, 1 Cro. Jac. 474; *Medina v. Stoughton*, 1 Salk. 210.

(c) *Pickering v. Dowson*, 1 Taunt. 779.

(d) *Finch*, L. 169. See *ante*, p. 69.

(e) *Jones v. Bowden*, 4 Taunt. 847.

(f) *Jones v. Bright*, 5 Bing.



tract to furnish manufactured goods implies a warranty that they shall be of a merchantable quality (*g*). And an important addition to the law of warranty has been made by the Merchandise Marks Act, 1862, to the provisions of which we have before referred (*h*).

**Statute of Limitations.**

If goods and chattels should have come into the possession of persons having no title to them, such persons will, in course of time, be quieted in their enjoyment by virtue of the Statute of Limitations (*i*). By this statute all actions of trespass, detinue and replevin for goods or cattle must be brought within *six* years next after the cause of such action (*j*); but if the person entitled to any such action be under age, *feme covert*, or *non compos mentis*, such person shall be at liberty to bring the same action within *six* years after the disability is removed (*k*). The disabilities of absence beyond seas and imprisonment have been abolished by a recent statute (*l*).

**Disabilities.**

**Statutes of Limitation as to choses in action.**

Choses in action, whether legal or equitable, differ from choses in possession in this, that the title to them is endangered rather than strengthened by the Statutes of Limitation. This difference arises from the nature of the property. Goods and chattels may exist without any owner; but if there cease to be a person entitled to a debt, the debt itself ceases to exist. The time within which actions or suits may be brought for the recovery of choses in action varies according to the nature of the security. The law on this subject has been rendered somewhat difficult by two different acts of parliament (*m*)

533; *Brown v. Edgington*, 2 Man. & Gr. 279.

(*g*) *Laing v. Fidgeon*, 6 Taunt. 108.

(*h*) Stat. 25 & 26 Vict. c. 88, *ante*, p. 235.

(*i*) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16.

(*j*) Sect. 3.

(*k*) Sect. 7.

(*l*) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, ss. 10, 12.

(*m*) Stats. 3 & 4 Will. IV. cc. 27, 42.

varying from each other, each passed the same session of parliament, and each intended to amend the law. The following, however, appear to be the distinctions. If the chose in action be money secured by any mortgage, judgment (n) or lien, or otherwise charged upon or payable out of any real estate at law or in equity, or any legacy (o), or the personal estate or any share of the personal estate of a person who has died intestate (p), no action or suit can be brought to recover the same but within *twenty years* next after a present right to receive the same shall have accrued to some person capable of giving a discharge for or release of the same; unless in the meantime some part of the principal money, or some interest thereon, shall have been paid, or some acknowledgment of the right thereto shall have been given in writing signed by the person by whom the same shall be payable, or his agent (q), to the person entitled thereto or his agent (r); and in such case no such action or suit shall be brought but within twenty years after such payment or acknowledgment, or the last of such payments or acknowledgments, if more than one, was made or given (s). If the chose in action be rent due upon an indenture of demise, or money secured by bond or other specialty, or by a recognizance, an action must also be brought within *twenty years* after the cause of such action (t), or within twenty years after the removal of any of the disabilities of infancy, coverture or lunacy (u). And if any person against whom there is any such cause of action shall be beyond the seas at the time of such cause of action accrued, the

Mortgages,  
judgments and  
legacies.

Rent secured  
by indenture,  
and money se-  
cured by bond,  
specialty or re-  
cognizance.

Absence be-  
yond seas.

(n) *Watson v. Birch*, 15 Sim. 523.

(o) *Sheppard v. Duke*, 9 Sim. 567.

(p) Stat. 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 13.

(q) *Lord St. John v. Boughton*, 9 Sim. 219.

(r) *Blair v. Nugent*, 3 Jones & Lat. 673, 677.

(s) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 40.

(t) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 3.

(u) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, s. 4; 10 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 10.

person entitled to any such cause of action may bring the same against him within twenty years after his return(v). And the absence of a joint debtor beyond the seas will not prevent time from running in favour of the others, who may not be beyond the seas; and the recovery of judgment against them will not prevent the creditor from commencing an action against the absent debtor after his return(x). If any acknowledgment shall have been made, either by writing signed by the party liable(y), or his agent, or by part payment or part satisfaction on account of any principal or interest then due, the person entitled may bring his action for the money remaining unpaid, and so acknowledged to be due, within twenty years after such acknowledgment, or within twenty years after any of the above-mentioned disabilities shall have ceased, or the party liable shall have returned from beyond the seas, as the case may be(z). If the chose in action consist of arrears of dower, neither such arrears nor damages on account thereof can be recovered or obtained by any action or suit for a longer period than *six years* next before the commencement of such action or suit(a). Arrears of rent or of interest in respect of any sum of money charged upon or payable out of any real estate or in respect of any legacy, can be recovered only within *six years* next after the same shall have become due, or next after an acknowledgment of the same in writing shall have been given to the person entitled thereto, or his agent, signed by the person by whom the same was payable, or his agent(b). But if such arrears are secured

Arrears of  
dower.

Arrears of rent  
and interest.

(v) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42,  
s. 4.

(x) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97,  
s. 11.

(y) See *Roddam v. Morley*, 1 De  
Gex & Jones, 1; *Moodie v. Bannister*,  
4 Drew. 432.

(z) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42,

s. 5; *Kempe v. Gibbon*, 9 Q. B.  
609.

(a) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27,  
s. 41.

(b) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27,  
s. 42; *Hodges v. Croydon Canal  
Company*, 3 Beav. 86; *Francis v.  
Grover*, 5 Hare, 39; *Humphrey v.*

to the claimant(c) by indenture of demise(d), or by bond or other specialty(e), an action of debt or covenant may be brought for such arrears at any time within twenty years. And where a mortgagee or other incumbrancer shall have been in possession of any real estate within one year next before the action or suit of a subsequent mortgagee or incumbrancer, the latter may recover the arrears of interest which may have become due to him during the whole time that the prior mortgagee or incumbrancer was in possession(f). • If the chose in action consist of a simple contract debt, it must be sued for within *six years* next after the cause of action, or within *six years* next after the removal of any of the disabilities of infancy, coverture or lunacy(g). And no acknowledgment or promise by words only to pay such debt shall be deemed sufficient evidence of a new or continuing contract to take the case out of the operation of the statute, unless such acknowledgment or promise shall be made in writing, signed by the party chargeable thereby(h) or his agent(i). Actions of debt upon any award where the submission is not by specialty, or for any fine due in respect of any copyhold estates, or for an escape, or for money levied on any *feri facias*, must also be brought within *six years* after the cause of action, with a similar saving in respect of disabilities to that applicable in the case of actions on indentures of demise, bonds or other specialties(h). And actions for penalties, damages or sums of money given to the party

Simple contract debts.

Awards, fines for copyholds, &c.

Penalties, &c., given to the party grieved.

*Gery*, 7 C. B. 567. See *Toft v. Stevenson*, 5 De Gex, M & G. 735.

(c) *Hughes v. Kelly*, 3 Dru. & Warren, 462.

(d) *Paget v. Foley*, 2 New. Ca. 679.

(e) *Sims v. Thomas*, 12 Ad. & Ell. 536, *Hunter v. Nockolds*, 1 Mac & Gord. 640. See *Eli v. Norwood*, 5 De Gex & Smale, 240;

*Sinclair v. Jackson*, 17 Beav. 405.

(f) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, s. 42.

(g) Stat. 21 Jac. I. c. 16, ss. 3, 7; 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, ss. 10, 12.

(h) Stat. 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, s. 1.

(i) Stat. 19 & 20 Vict. c. 97, s. 13; see *ante*, pp 72, 78.

(k) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, ss. 3, 4; see *ante*, p. 371.

grieved by any statute now or hereafter to be in force, must be brought within *two years* after the cause of such actions, with the like saving in respect of disabilities, unless the time for bringing such action is or shall be by any statute specially limited (*l*).

Death of creditor.

When a cause of action accrues to a person in his lifetime, the time limited by the Statutes of Limitation will run on after his decease from the period that the cause of action accrued, and will not be reckoned from the time that administration was taken out to his effects (*m*). But if the cause of action accrue after the death of the party, the time limited by the statute will run only from the grant of the letters of administration (*n*).

Death of debtor.

On the other hand, the death of the debtor and the absence of any personal representative to his effects, will not prevent the time limited by the statute from continuing to run on. For if there be once a cause of action, a plaintiff that can sue, and a defendant that can be sued in England, the time limited by the statute will begin to run, and will not be stopped by the decease of either party (*o*). An executor or administrator is not, however, bound to plead the Statute of Limitations to any debt or demand, but may, if he please, pay the same notwithstanding the time limited by the statute may have expired (*p*). But if the estate be administered in the Court of Chancery, any party to the suit is competent to take the objection, although the executor may not have insisted on it (*q*).

Executor or administrator not bound to plead the statute.

(*l*) Stat. 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 42, ss. 3, 4.

(*m*) 2 Wms. Saund. 63 k.

(*n*) *Murray v. East India Company*, 5 Barn. & Ald. 204; *Perry v. Jenkins*, 1 Mylne & Cr. 118.

(*o*) *Rhodes v. Smethurst*, 6 Mee. & Wels. 351; *Freak v. Cramfeldt*, 3 Mylne & Cr. 499; *Sturges v.*

*Darell*, 6 H. & N. 120.

(*p*) *Norton v. Frecker*, 1 Atk. 526; *Ex parte Dwydney*, 15 Ves. 498. See *Stahlschmidt v. Lett*, 1 Sma. & Giff. 415.

(*q*) *Shewen v. Vanderhorst*, 1 Russ. & M. 317; 2 Russ. & M. 75.

Notwithstanding the period of six years limited for the payment of simple contract debts, the debtor may, by charging his real estate by his will with the payment of his debts, and, *à fortiori*, by creating an express trust for their payment out of his real estate, prevent the operation of the statute on all such debts as have not been barred by the statute in his lifetime (*r*). Real estate, it will be remembered, was not formerly liable to the payment of any debts which were not secured by specialty binding the heirs (*s*); and the alteration, which in this respect has been made in the law, affects only such real estates as have not been charged by the deceased with the payment of his debts. The creditors therefore in whose favour the charge is made acquire, as before the alteration, the character of *cestui que trusts*; and in equity they will not be allowed to lose their debts, because they do not go to law to enforce payment when they have a trustee to pay them (*t*). But after twenty years the charge, if not enforced, will be barred like any other charge (*u*). An express trust, however, is proof against any length of time (*v*). And as personal estate has always been primarily liable to the payment of all debts, a trust created by a testator for the payment of his debts out of his personal estate will not prevent the operation of the statute (*x*).

Charge of real estate for payment of debts.

When the dividends upon any stock transferable at the Bank of England have not been claimed for ten

Unclaimed dividends.

(*r*) *Burke v. Jones*, 2 Ves. & Beames, 275; *Hughes v. Wynne*, Turn. & Russ. 307; *Crallan v. Oulton*, 3 Beav. 1.

(*s*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 57, 1st ed.; 61, 2nd ed.; 64, 3rd & 4th eds.; 68, 5th ed.; 72, 6th ed.; *ante*, p. 101.

(*t*) Turn. & Russ. 309.

(*u*) *Dundas v. Blake*, 11 Ir. Eq.

Rep. 138; Sug. Real Prop. Stat. p. 107; *Jacquet v. Jacquet*, 27 Beav. 322; *Dickinson v. Teesdale*, 31 Beav. 511.

(*v*) See the author's Essay on Real Assets, p. 40.

(*x*) *Scott v. Jones*, 4 Cl. & Fin. 382; *Freaker v. Cranefeldt*, 3 My. & Cr. 499.

years, such stock, together with the unclaimed dividends, is transferred to the account of the commissioners for the reduction of the national debt (*y*); and such dividends, together with all the future dividends on the stock, are invested by the commissioners in the purchase of like stock, so as to accumulate (*z*). And the governor or deputy governor of the bank for the time being may order the transfer of such stock and the payment of the dividends to any person, showing, to his satisfaction, a right thereto; but in case such governor or deputy governor shall not be satisfied of the justice or legality of the claim, an order for transfer and payment may be obtained from the Court of Chancery by petition in a summary way, stating and verifying the claim (*a*). But no such transfer of stock or payment of dividends, exceeding the sum of 20*L.*, can be made until three calendar months after the application, nor until notice has been advertised in one or more newspapers circulating in London and elsewhere, as the governor and company of the bank shall think fit; which notice must state the name, description and condition of the person in whose name the unclaimed stock or dividends stood when transferred to the commissioners, and the amount thereof, and the name of the claimant, and the time at which the transfer or payment will be made if no other claimant shall sooner appear and make out his claim. And when the stock or dividends are directed to be transferred or paid by any order of the Court of Chancery, the notice must also state the purport or effect of such order (*b*); and any person may at any time before the actual retransfer of the stock, or payment of the dividends to any such claimant, apply to the Court of

(*y*) Stats. 56 Geo. III. c. 60; 8  
 & 9 Vict. c. 62.

(*z*) Stat. 56 Geo. III. c. 60, s. 4.

(*a*) Stat. 56 Geo. III. c. 60, s. 5;

21 Vict. c. 3, s. 8. *Ex parte Kam,*  
 3 My. & Craig. 25; *Hunt v. Pea-*  
*cock*, 6 Hare, 361.

(*b*) Stat. 8 & 9 Vict. c. 62, s. 2.

Chancery by motion or petition to rescind, alter or vary any order made for such transfer or payment (c).

When a chose in action, whether legal or equitable, is transferred from one person to another, notice of the assignment should be given by the transferee to the person liable to the action at law or suit in equity, the right to bring which is the subject of the transfer (*d*). Thus if a debt be assigned, notice of the assignment should be given to the debtor. • If the subject of the assignment be the right to stock standing in the name of a trustee, notice of the assignment should be given to such trustee. Until such notice be given, it is evident that the debtor may innocently pay the debt, or the trustee transfer the stock to the transferor; or the transferor may fraudulently transfer his right over again to a third person. The transferee, therefore, until he has given notice to the party liable, has not done all that lies in his power to perfect his title. The chose in action still remains the apparent property of the transferor, and in the event of his bankruptcy will pass to his assignees as property in his order and disposition, with the consent of the true owner thereof (*e*); and even the assignees themselves will not be safe unless they give a similar notice (*f*). The importance of giving notice suggests the precaution that every person about to accept an assignment of a chose in action should inquire of the person liable to the action or suit, whether he has had notice of any prior assignment. And if there be two or more persons liable, inquiry should be made of every one of them; for notice by a prior assignee to any

Notice of assignment of choses in action.

Bankruptcy of transferor.

Inquiry as to prior assignments.

(c) Sect. 3. •

(d) *Dearle v. Hall*, *Loveridge v. Cooper*, 3 Russ. 1; *Bright's trusts*, 21 Beav. 430.

(e) *Ex parte Munro*, Buck, 300; *Williams v. Thorpe*, 2 Sim. 257;

*Thompson v. Spiers*, 13 Sim. 469; *Bartlett v. Bartlett*, 1 De Gex & Jones, 127; see *ante*, p. 51.

(f) *Re Barr's trusts*, 4 Kay & J. 219.



one of them would be equivalent to notice to all (g). It is also advisable that a written answer should be obtained to every such inquiry, in order that if the assignee should be misled by a false answer, he may be enabled to recover damages for the misrepresentation. For it has been doubted whether the answer to such an inquiry be not a representation concerning the ability of the intended assignor within the meaning of Lord Tenterden's Act, which requires that all such representations be made in writing signed by the party to be charged therewith (h). The inquiry, however, thus recommended will not of itself strengthen the title of the assignee, further than by assuring him that no previous assignment has been made. In order to obtain a good title, he must himself give notice to the person or one of the persons liable to the debt or demand assigned to him. When this has been done his title will be secure, and will prevail over that of any unknown prior assignee who may have omitted to give such notice (i). If the property consist of money or stock standing in the name of the accountant-general of the Court of Chancery, or of securities in his possession (k), an order of the court should be obtained restraining transfer or payment without notice to the assignee. This order is called a stop order, and will have the same effect as notice of assignment given to any private debtor (l). If the property be stock standing in the name of a trustee, who has died without any administration having been taken out to his effects, a *distringas* obtained by the assignee to restrain the transfer of the stock will confer on him the same priority as notice to the trustee would have

Stop order.

*Distringas.*

(g) *Smith v. Smith*, 2 Cr. & M. 231; *Meux v. Bell*, 1 Hare, 73, 87. See *Broune v. Savage*, 4 Drew. 635, 640.

(h) *Lyde v. Burnard*, 1 Mee. & Wels. 101; *Swann v. Phillips*, 8 Ad. & El. 457; see *ante*, p. 78

(i) *Dearle v. Hall*, *Loveridge v. Cooper*, 1 Russ. 1.

(k) *Williams v. Symonds*, 9 Beav. 523.

(l) *Greening v. Beckford*, 5 Sim. 195; *Swayne v. Swayne*, 11 Beav. 463.

done had he been living (*m*). When the property consists of a policy of assurance, or of shares in a joint-stock company, notice of the transfer should be given to the office of the company (*n*).

The title to personal property sometimes depends upon deeds, wills or other documents of title of the like nature, and cannot be shown without their production. Thus a reversionary interest in money in the funds, settled by deed or will, may be mortgaged and sold again and again before it becomes an interest in possession. In these cases the purchaser is entitled to an abstract of the deeds, wills, &c. which compose the title, in the same manner as if the subject of the contract had been real estate; and the original deeds and the probates or office copies of the wills, must also in like manner be produced for the verification of the abstract (*o*). The purchaser is also entitled either to the possession of the deeds, or, if this cannot be had, to attested copies of them, and a covenant for their production, at the expense of the vendor (*p*). And when an assignment of any kind of personal property is made by deed, it is usual for the assignor to enter into covenants for the title similar to those entered into under the like circumstances by the grantor of real estate (*q*).

Title through  
deeds, wills,  
&c.

Abstract of  
title.

Covenants for  
title.

The vendor of shares in a joint-stock company is bound merely to give such evidence of the constitution

Title to shares.

(*m*) *Elty v. Bridges*, 2 Younge, 2nd ed.; 364, 3rd ed.; 370, 4th ed.; & Coll. N. C. 486; see *ante*, p. 187. 381, 5th ed.; 404, 6th ed.; *Hobson v. Bell*, 2 Beav. 17.

(*n*) *Williams v. Thorpe*, 2 Sim. 257; *Thompson v. Spiers*, 13 Sim. 469; *West v. Reid*, 2 Hare, 249; *Martin v. Sedgwick*, 9 Beav. 333; *Powles v. Page*, 3 C. B. 16. (p) *Ibid.* 354, 356, 1st ed.; 356, 358, 2nd ed.; 369, 372, 3rd ed.; 375, 378, 4th ed.; 389, 5th ed.; 412, 6th ed.

(*q*) *Ibid.* 348, 1st ed.; 349, 2nd ed.; 362, 3rd ed.; 368, 4th ed. 379, 5th ed.; 402, 6th ed.

(*o*) See Principles of the Law of Real Property, 349, 1st ed.; 351,

of the company, as to show that the proposed transfer will give a valid title to the shares sold (*r*).

A person may assign to himself.

A recent act of parliament provides that any person shall have power to assign personal property, now by law assignable, directly to himself and another person or other persons or corporation, by the like means as he might assign the same to another (*s*). Before this act an assignment by A. to himself and B. vested the whole of the property in B. The same act renders criminally punishable the concealment, with intent to defraud, of any deed or instrument material to a title or of any incumbrance, or the falsification of any pedigree on which a title depends (*t*).

Comparison of the title to real and personal estate.

From what has been said it will appear that the title to personal property is far more simple than that to real estate. And amongst the plans which have appeared for the amendment of the law has been one for adapting the machinery of the funds to the transfer of landed property. Upon consideration, however, it will perhaps appear that the greater complexity of the title to lands arises partly from the nature of the property, and partly from the more full power of disposition to which lands are subject. Lands, unlike stock, may be converted from arable to pasture, may be cut up into roads, canals or railways, may be sold by the foot for building purposes, may be let upon lease for terms absolute or determinable, may be held for life, or in tail, as well as in fee, and may be disposed of by contingent remainders, shifting uses and executory devises, without the intervention of any trustees. Personal property, on the contrary, cannot be settled without the intervention of trustees in whom a great degree of personal confidence must neces-

(*r*) *Curling v. Flight*, 2 Phil. s. 21.

613.

(*t*) Sect. 24, extended by stat.

(*s*) Stat. 22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, 23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, s. 8.

sarily be placed; but when so settled, the title to it is sometimes as long and intricate as that to real estate. If the nature of lands could be altered, or if landowners were willing, in order to save themselves expense, to give up some of their powers of disposition, the title to real estate might doubtless be rendered as simple as that to personal property. To the latter alternative, however, few, if any, would be inclined to submit.\* Whilst, therefore, much might be done to simplify and improve our laws of property by an assimilation of the rules of real and personal estate, where the history of each forms the only ground of variety, care should be taken to preserve untouched such distinctions as are founded on the broad basis of practical difference.



## APPENDIX (A.)

Referred to p. 221.

*Form of Letters Patent.*

VICTORIA by the grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland Queen Defender of the Faith to all to whom these presents shall come greeting WHEREAS A. B. of — hath by his petition humbly represented unto us that he is in possession of an invention for — which the petitioner conceives will be of great public utility. That he is the true and first inventor thereof and the same is not in use by any other person or persons to the best of his knowledge and belief the petitioner therefore most humbly prayed that we would be graciously pleased to grant unto him his executors administrators and assigns our royal letters patent for the sole use benefit and advantage of his said invention within our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland the Channel Islands and Isle of Man [COLONIES TO BE MENTIONED IF ANY] for the term of fourteen years pursuant to the statutes in that case made and provided [AND WHEREAS the said A. B. hath particularly described and ascertained the nature of the said invention and in what manner the same is to be performed by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal and has caused the same to be duly filed in — :] AND we being willing to give encouragement to all arts and inventions which may be for the public good are graciously pleased to condescend to the petitioner's request Know ye therefore that we of our especial grace certain knowledge and mere motion have given and granted and by these presents for us our heirs and successors do give and grant unto the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns our especial licence full power sole privilege and authority that he the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns and every of them by himself

and themselves or by his or their deputy or deputies servants or agents or such others as he the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns shall at any time agree with and no others from time to time and at all times hereafter during the term of years herein expressed shall and lawfully may make use exercise and vend his said invention within our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland the Channel Islands and Isle of Man (a) in such a manner as to him the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns or any of them shall in his or their discretion seem meet and that he the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns shall and lawfully may have and enjoy the whole profit benefit commodity and advantage from time to time coming growing accruing and arising by reason of the said invention for and during the term of years herein mentioned TO HAVE HOLD exercise and enjoy the said licences powers privileges and advantages hereinbefore granted or mentioned to be granted unto the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns for and during and unto the full end and term of fourteen years from the — day of — A.D. — next and immediately ensuing according to the statute in such case made and provided And to the end that he the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns and every of them may have and enjoy the full benefit and the sole use and exercise of the said invention according to our gracious intention hereinbefore declared We do by these presents for us our heirs and successors require and strictly command all and every person and persons bodies politic and corporate and all other our subjects whatsoever of what estate quality degree name or condition soever they be within our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland the Channel Islands and Isle of Man [COLONIES TO BE MENTIONED IF ANY] that neither they nor any of them at any time during the continuance of the said term of fourteen years hereby granted either directly or indirectly do make use or put in practice the said invention on any part of the same so attained unto by the said A. B. as aforesaid nor in anywise counterfeit imitate or resemble the same nor shall make or cause to be made any addition

(a) The Colonies should here be mentioned, if any, though it is not so stated in the printed form annexed to the Act.

thereunto or subtraction from the same whereby to pretend himself or themselves the inventor or inventors devisors or devisors thereof without the consent licence or agreement of the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns in writing under his or their hands and seals first had and obtained in that behalf upon such pains and penalties as can or may be justly inflicted on such offenders for their contempt of this our royal command and further to be answerable to the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns according to law for his and their damages thereby occasioned And moreover we do by these presents for us our heirs and successors will and command all and singular the justices of the peace mayors sheriffs bailiffs constables headboroughs and all other officers and ministers whatsoever of us our heirs and successors for the time being that they or any of them do not nor shall at any time during the said term hereby granted in anywise molest trouble or hinder the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns or any of them or his or their deputies servants or agents in or about the due and lawful use or exercise of the aforesaid invention or anything relating thereto PROVIDED ALWAYS and these our letters patent are and shall be upon this condition that if at any time during the said term hereby granted it shall be made appear to us our heirs or successors or any six or more of our or their Privy Council that this our grant is contrary to law or prejudicial or inconvenient to our subjects in general or that the said invention is not a new invention as to the public use and exercise thereof or that the said A. B. is not the true and first inventor thereof within this realm as aforesaid these our letters patent shall forthwith cease determine and be utterly void to all intents and purposes anything herein contained to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding PROVIDED ALSO and these our letters patent or anything herein contained shall not extend or be construed to extend to give privilege unto the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns or any of them to use or imitate any invention or work whatsoever which hath heretofore been found out or invented by any other of our subjects whatsoever and publicly used or exercised unto whom our like letters patent or privileges have been already granted for the sole use exercise and benefit



thereof it being our will and pleasure that the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns and all and every other person and persons to whom like letters patent or privileges have been already granted as aforesaid shall distinctly use and practise their several inventions by them invented and found out according to the true intent and meaning of the same respective letters patent and of these presents PROVIDED LIKEWISE nevertheless and these our letters patent are upon this express condition [that if the said A. B. shall not particularly describe and ascertain the nature of his said invention and in what manner the same is to be performed by an instrument in writing under his hand and seal and cause the same to be filed in — within — calendar monthis next and immediately after the date of these our letters patent] [and also if the said instrument in writing filed as aforesaid does not particularly describe and ascertain the nature of the said invention and in what manner the same is to be performed] and also if the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns shall not pay or cause to be paid at the office of our Commissioners of Patents for Inventions the sums following that is to say the sum of — — pounds on or before — day of — A.D. — and the stamp duty payable in respect of the certificate of such payment and the sum of — — pounds on or before the — day of — A.D. — and the stamp duty payable in respect of the certificate of such payment (b) AND ALSO if the said A. B. his executors administrators or assigns shall not supply or cause to be supplied for our service all such articles of the said invention as he or they shall be required to supply by the officers or commissioners administering the department of our service for the use of which the same shall be required in such manner at such times and at and upon such reasonable prices and terms as shall be settled for that purpose by the said officers or commissioners requiring the same that then and in any of the said cases these our letters patent and all liberties and advantages whatsoever hereby granted shall utterly cease determine and become void anything hereinbefore contained to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding.

(b) By stat. 16 & 17 Vict. c. 5, no fees are now payable, but stamp duties only. See *ante*, p. 215.

# APPENDIX.

227

standing PROVIDED THAT nothing herein contained shall prevent the granting of licences in such manner and for such consideration as they may by law be granted AND LASTLY we do by these presents for us our heirs and successors grant unto the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns that these our letters patent on the filing thereof shall be in and by all things good firm valid sufficient and effectual in the law according to the true intent and meaning thereof and shall be taken construed and adjudged in the most favourable and beneficial sense for the best advantage of the said A. B. his executors administrators and assigns as well in all our Courts of Record as elsewhere and by all and singular the officers and ministers whatsoever of us our heirs and successors in our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man [COLONIES TO BE MENTIONED IF ANY] and amongst all and every the subjects of us our heirs and successors whatsoever and wheresoever notwithstanding the not full and certain describing the nature or quality of the said invention or of the materials thereunto conducing and belonging In witness whereof we have caused these our letters to be made patent this — day of — A. D. — and to be sealed and bear date as of the said — day of — A. D. — in the — year of our reign.

## APPENDIX (B.)

Referred to, pp. 240, 262, 265, 355, 357.

*Marriage Settlement of a Share of a Testator's Residuary  
Personal Estate and of Money in the Funds upon the  
usual Trusts.*

Recital of intended marriage.

Recital of possession of personally under a will.

Recital of possession of stock.

Recital of agreement to assign personally to trustees.

THIS INDENTURE made the — day of — 1860 Between Charles Catchpole of King Street in the city of London gentleman of the first part Grace Gurney of Harley Street in the county of Middlesex spinster of the second part and Henry Hunter of Brixton in the county of Surrey Esquire John James of Lincoln's Inn in the county of Middlesex Esquire and Leonard Lambert of Brighton in the county of Sussex Esquire of the third part WHEREAS a marriage has been agreed upon and is intended to be shortly solemnized between the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney AND WHEREAS under and by virtue of the last will and testament of John Gurney late of Harley Street aforesaid Esquire deceased which said will bears date on or about the ninth day of January 1840 and was proved in the Prerogative Court of the Archbishop of Canterbury (a) on or about the twelfth day of March 1840 the said Grace Gurney is now entitled to one equal undivided fourth part or share or some other part or share of the residuary personal estate of the said testator or the stocks funds or securities in or upon which the same is or may be invested AND WHEREAS the said Grace Gurney is possessed of the sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities which said sum was lately standing in her own name in the books of the governor and company of the Bank of England AND WHEREAS upon the treaty for the said intended marriage it was agreed that the said Grace Gurney should assign the said one equal undivided fourth part or

(a) See *ante*, p. 306.

share or other part or share to which she is entitled as aforesaid of and in the residuary personal estate of her said late father unto the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert their executors administrators and assigns upon and for the trusts intents and purposes hereinafter expressed and declared of and concerning the same And it was also agreed that the said Grace Gurney should transfer the said sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities of which she is possessed as aforesaid into the names of the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert to be held by them upon and for the trusts intents and purposes hereinafter expressed and declared of and concerning the same

Recital of agreement to transfer stock to trustees.

AND WHEREAS the said sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities hath been accordingly transferred by the said Grace Gurney out of her name into the names of the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert and the same is now standing in their names in the books of the governor and company of the Bank of England as they the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert do

Recital of transfer of stock accordingly.

hereby admit and acknowledge Now THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH that in pursuance of the said agreement in this behalf and in consideration of the said intended marriage she the said Grace Gurney with the consent and approbation of the said Charles Catchpole testified by his being a party to and executing these presents HATH granted bargained sold assigned and transferred and by these presents BOTH grant bargain sell assign and transfer unto the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert their executors administrators and assigns ALL that the one equal undivided fourth part or share or other part or share of her the said Grace Gurney under the hereinbefore mentioned will of her said late father John Gurney of and in the residuary personal estate of her said late father and of and in the stocks funds and securities in or upon which the same now is or shall or may at any time or times hereafter be invested and of and in the dividends interest and annual produce thereof AND all the right title claim and demand whatsoever at law and in equity of her the said Grace Gurney in and to the said one equal undivided fourth part or share or other part or share hereby assigned TO HAVE HOLD RECEIVE AND TAKE the said

Testatum.

Assignment.

Parcels, share of residue.

And all the right, &c.

Habendum.

Trust for lady  
till marriage.

Power of at-  
torney.

Trust of stock.

one equal undivided fourth part or share or other part or share intended to be hereby assigned of and in the residuary personal estate of the said John Gurney and the investments and income thereof unto the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert their, executors administrators and assigns IN TRUST for the said Grace Gurney her executors administrators and assigns until the solemnization of the said intended marriage and from and immediately after the solemnization thereof Upon and for the trusts intents and purposes and with under and subject to the powers provisos agreements and declarations hereinafter expressed and declared of and concerning the same AND the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney do and each of them doth hereby irrevocably nominate and appoint the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert and the survivors and survivor of them his executors administrators and assigns to be the true and lawful attornies and attorney of them the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney and each of them (b) in their his or her names or name to ask recover and receive from the executors of the will of the said John Gurney and all and every persons and person liable to pay or transfer the same the said one equal undivided fourth part or share hereby assigned and to give effectual discharges for the same and on non-payment or non-transfer thereof or of any part thereof to commence carry on and prosecute any action or actions suit or suits or other proceedings whatsoever for obtaining payment or transfer thereof And also for all or any of the said purposes from time to time to substitute or appoint any attorney or attornies under them or him And generally to do and execute all such other matters and things in the premises as shall be necessary they the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney hereby agreeing to allow and confirm whatsoever the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert or the survivors or survivor of them his executors administrators or assigns shall lawfully do or cause to be done in the premises by virtue hereof AND it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that they the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert their executors

(b) This power of attorney is not in action which are assigned are absolutely necessary, as the cases equitable only; see ante, p. 112.

administrators and assigns shall stand possessed of and interested in the said sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities so transferred into their names as aforesaid IN TRUST for the said Grace Gurney her executors administrators and assigns until the solemnization of the said intended marriage And from and immediately after the solemnization thereof UPON and for the trusts intents and purposes and with under and subject to the powers *provisos* agreements and declarations hereinafter expressed and contained of and concerning the same And it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that from and after the solemnization of the said intended marriage the said Henry Hunter John James and Leonard Lambert their executors administrators and assigns shall stand possessed of and interested in the said one equal fourth part or share or other part or share hereinbefore assigned of and in the residuary personal estate of the said John Gurney and the investments thereof and the said sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities UPON TRUST that the said trustees or the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents do and shall either continue the same respectively in their respective actual states of investment or do and shall lay out and invest the same in any of the parliamentary stocks or public funds of Great Britain or at interest upon government or real securities in England or Wales but not in stock of the Bank of England or Ireland, or in East India stock, or on real securities in Ireland (c) and do and shall from time to time alter and vary the said stocks funds and securities for or into others of a like nature as often as the said trustees or trustee shall think fit PROVIDED that every such investment alteration and variation be made with the consent of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney during their joint lives and after the decease of either of them with the consent of the survivor of them (d) and after the decease of such survivor at the discretion of the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents AND it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that after the solemnization of the said intended marriage the said trustees or

Trust for intended wife till marriage.

Trust of share of personality and of stock after marriage.

Trust to continue or vary investments.

With consent.

Declaration of trust of investments.

(c) See *ante*, pp. 258, 260.

(d) See *ante*, p. 261.

Trust for separate use of intended wife for life.

After decease of intended wife, trust for intended husband for life.

After decease of survivor,

trustee for the time being of these presents shall stand possessed of and interested in the said share of the residuary personal estate of the said John Gurney and the investments thereof and the said sum of £5000 £3 per cent. consolidated bank annuities and the stocks funds and securities in or upon which the same may be invested and the dividends interest and annual produce thereof UPON and for the trusts intents and purposes and under and subject to the powers provisos agreements and declarations hereinafter expressed and declared of and concerning the same that is to say UPON TRUST that they the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents do and shall during the life of the said Grace Gurney pay the interest dividends and annual produce thereof unto such person or persons as the said Grace Gurney shall from time to time notwithstanding her said intended or any future coverture appoint by any writing under her hand but not by any mode of anticipation and in default of such appointment into her own hands for her sole and separate use (c) exclusive of the said Charles Catchpole and of any future husband but so that she shall not dispose thereof in any mode of anticipation And the receipts in writing of the said Grace Gurney or of such person or persons as she shall appoint to receive the said dividends interest and annual produce in manner aforesaid but not in any mode of anticipation shall notwithstanding her said intended or any future coverture be effectual discharges for the same AND from and immediately after the decease of the said Grace Gurney UPON TRUST that the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents do and shall pay the dividends interest and annual produce of the said trust monies stocks funds and securities unto or permit the same to be received by the said Charles Catchpole and his assigns for and during the term of his natural life AND from and immediately after the decease of the survivor of them the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents shall stand and be possessed of and interested in the said trust monies stocks funds and securities and the dividends interest and annual produce thereof IN TRUST for all and

(c) See ante, p. 355.

every or such one or more exclusively of the others or other of the children or child of the said intended marriage with such provision for their respective maintenance and if more than one in such shares and proportions and subject to such limitations and conditions over in favour of any others or other of the said children and in such manner (*f*) as the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney by any deed or deeds instrument or instruments in writing with or without power of revocation and new appointment to be by them sealed and delivered in the presence of and to be attested by two or more credible witnesses shall jointly direct or appoint And in default of such joint direction or appointment and so far as any such joint direction or appointment if incomplete shall not extend as the survivor of them the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney by any deed or deeds instrument or instruments in writing with or without power of revocation and new appointment to be by him or her respectively sealed and delivered in the presence of and to be attested by two or more credible witnesses or by his or her last will or any codicil or testamentary writing to be by him or her respectively duly executed (and as to the said Grace Gurney notwithstanding any future coverture) shall direct or appoint And in default of such direction or appointment and so far as any such direction or appointment if incomplete shall not extend In trust for all and every the children or child of the said intended marriage who being a son or sons shall attain the age of twenty-one years or being a daughter or daughters shall attain that age or marry under that age with the consent of her or their parent or parents guardian or guardians for the time being and to be divided between or amongst the said children if more than one in equal shares as tenants in common and if there shall be but one such child who being a son shall live to attain the age of twenty-one years or being a daughter shall live to attain that age or marry under that age with such consent as aforesaid then the whole shall be in trust for that one or only child But no child taking any part of the said trust monies stocks funds and securities under any appointment to be made in exercise of

trust for children as intended husband and wife shall jointly appoint.

In default, as survivor shall appoint by deed or will.

In default of appointment, trust for children equally, sons at twenty-one years, daughters at twenty-one or marriage with consent.

Hotchpot clause.



If no child  
shall become  
entitled.

If intended  
husband shall  
die first.

Trust for in-  
tended wife ab-  
solutely.

If intended  
wife shall die  
first.

Upon such  
trusts as in-  
tended wife  
shall by will  
appoint.

In default of  
appointment.

Trust for next  
of kin of in-  
tended wife.

Maintenance  
and education  
clause.

any of the aforesaid powers shall be entitled to any share of the unappointed part of the said trust monies stocks funds and securities without bringing his or her appointed share into hotchpot and accounting for the same accordingly (g) AND if there shall be no child or children of the said intended marriage who shall become entitled to the said trust monies stocks funds and securities under the trusts hereinbefore declared then the said trustees or trustee for the time being shall stand possessed of the said trust monies stocks funds and securities or so much thereof as shall not have been disposed of under the powers and authorities herein contained and the dividends interest and annual produce thereof (subject nevertheless to the trusts hereinbefore declared) UPON and for the trusts intents and purposes hereinafter expressed and declared of and concerning the same that is to say If the said Charles Catchpole shall depart this life in the lifetime of the said Grace Gurney IN TRUST for the said Grace Gurney her executors administrators and assigns for her own benefit BUT IF the said Grace Gurney shall depart this life in the lifetime of the said Charles Catchpole then after the decease of the said Charles Catchpole and such failure of children as aforesaid UPON and for such trusts intents and purposes and in such manner as the said Grace Gurney by her last will or any codicil or testamentary writing to be by her duly executed notwithstanding her said intended coverture shall direct or appoint (h) AND in default of such direction or appointment and so far as any such direction or appointment if incomplete shall not extend IN TRUST for the person or persons who under the statutes made for the distribution of the estates of intestates would at the decease of the said Grace Gurney be entitled to her personal estate in case she had died possessed of the same intestate and without having been married and to be divided between or amongst the same persons if more than one in the shares in which the same would under the same statutes be divided between or amongst them PROVIDED ALWAYS and it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that after the decease of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney

(g) See ante, p. 249.

(h) See ante, p. 217.

and whilst any child or children of the said intended marriage being a son or sons shall be under the age of twenty-one years or being a daughter or daughters shall be under that age and unmarried the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents do and shall apply the whole or such part as the said trustees or trustee for the time being shall think fit of the dividends interest and annual produce of the expectant or presumptive share of each such child in the said trust monies stocks funds and securities for or towards his or her maintenance and education or otherwise for his or her benefit and that the said trustees or trustee for the time being may either themselves or himself so apply the same or may pay the same to the guardian or guardians of such child for the purpose aforesaid without seeing to the application thereof (1) And do and shall lay out and invest the surplus if any of the said interest dividends and annual produce in the names or name of the said trustees or trustee for the time being in any of the stocks funds or securities hereinbefore mentioned to be from time to time altered and varied for or into any other stocks funds and securities of a like nature as often as the said trustees or trustee shall think fit so that the same may accumulate by way of compound interest and the accumulations to be so made shall be added to the fund or respective funds from which the same shall have proceeded and be subject to the same trusts and provisions in every respect and so that the dividends interest and annual produce of each such accumulated fund may be subject to the provision hereinbefore contained for the maintenance and education at any subsequent period of minority of the child from whose expectant or presumptive share the same shall have proceeded.

PROVIDED ALSO and it is hereby agreed and declared that it shall be lawful for the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents during the joint lives of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney with their consent in writing and after the decease of either of them with the consent in writing of the survivor of them which consent shall be binding whether the said Grace Gurney shall be covert or sole and after the decease of such survivor at the discretion

Accumulation  
clause.

Power of ad-  
vancement.

(1) See *ante*, pp. 254—257.

Power to invest in the purchase of lands.

Trust for sale of lands to be purchased.

of the said trustees or trustee for the time being to raise and apply a sufficient part of the expectant share of any child of the said intended marriage in the said trust monies stocks funds and securities for or towards his or her advancement in the world notwithstanding he or she shall not then have attained the age of twenty-one years or after he or she may have attained that age in the lifetime of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney or the survivor of them PROVIDED ALWAYS and it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that it shall be lawful for the said trustees or trustee for the time being at any time or times during the lives or life of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney or the survivor of them with their his or her consent and approbation in writing signed with their his or her hands or hand to convert into money the whole or any part of the said stocks funds and securities and to lay out the monies arising thereby in the purchase of any freehold or copyhold estates in England or Wales of an estate of inheritance in fee simple in possession free from all incumbrances except quit rents and copyhold and customary dues and services (k) to be conveyed or surrendered to the said trustees or trustee for the time being their or his heirs and assigns UPON TRUST nevertheless with the consent and approbation of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney or the survivor of them to be signified by writing signed with their his or her hands or hand during the lifetime of them or the survivor of them and after the decease of the survivor of them then at the discretion and of the proper authority of the said trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents to sell and dispose of the said estates which shall have been so purchased as aforesaid either by public auction or private contract in one lot or in parcels subject to such special conditions of sale and for such price or prices as to the said trustees or trustee for the time being shall seem reasonable with power at any public auction of the said premises or any of them to buy in the same or any of them and also to vary or rescind any contract for the sale of the same or any part thereof and to resell the same in manner

(k) See *ante*, p. 262.

aforesaid without responsibility for any loss to be occasioned thereby and to convey and assure the said premises which shall be sold to the purchaser or respective purchasers thereof or as he she or they respectively shall direct AND UPON TRUST to apply the monies arising from such sale after payment of the costs charges and expenses attending the same Upon and for such and the same trusts intents and purposes as the monies so raised and laid out in the purchase of such estates were subject to before such purchase was made or would have been subject to if the same had not been laid out therein AND ALSO UPON TRUST in the meantime and until such estates shall be so resold to apply the rents and profits thereof in such manner as the interest dividends and annual produce of the monies laid out in the purchase thereof would have been applicable under the trusts hereinbefore declared in case such purchase had not been made IT IS HEREBY agreed and declared that the estates to be purchased under this present power as aforesaid shall when so purchased be considered as money and be subject to such and the same trusts in all respects as the monies laid out in the purchase thereof were subject to before such purchase was made or would have been subject to if the same had not been laid out therein PROVIDED ALWAYS and it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that it shall be lawful for the trustees or trustee for the time being of the estates so to be purchased by virtue of such power as aforesaid with the consent and approbation of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney or the survivor of them testified by some writing under their his or her hands or hand and after the decease of such survivor then at the discretion and of the proper authority of the said trustees or trustee by deed at any time or times to demise and lease the same estates or any of them or any part thereof to any person or persons whomsoever for any term of years not exceeding twenty-one years to take effect in possession and not by way of future interest at the best yearly rent that can be had or gotten for the same and without any fine or foregift for the making thereof and upon such other terms and conditions as the said trustees or trustee shall think fair and reasonable PROVIDED ALWAYS and it is hereby agreed and declared by and between

Trust of sale monies the same as monies laid out.

Purchased estates to be considered as money.

Power of leasing estates to be purchased.

Power for trustees to settle.

with executors  
as to share of  
residue,

and to pass  
their accounts.

Power to refer  
to arbitration.

Power for trust-  
tees to post-  
pone and for-  
bear exercise  
and enforce-  
ment of powers  
and remedies.

Receipt clause.

the said parties hereto that it shall be lawful for the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents with the consent in writing of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney during their joint lives and after the decease of either of them with the consent in writing of the survivor of them and after the decease of such survivor at the discretion of the said trustees or trustee to settle and ascertain in such manner as they or he shall deem expedient the amount of any monies properties or effects due to or claimed by them or him under these presents by virtue of the will of the said John Gurney deceased and also to pass and allow the accounts of the person or persons paying over or transferring the same monies properties or effects or any part thereof and to accept any monies properties or effects which the said trustees or trustee for the time being with such consent or at such discretion as aforesaid shall deem it expedient to accept in lieu of or satisfaction for the whole of the said premises hereby assigned and to give releases and discharges to the accounting party or parties for the same premises or any part thereof as fully and effectually as the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents might or could do if they or he were absolute and beneficial owners or owner of such premises. And if any disputes or difficulties shall at any time arise in relation to the said premises hereby assigned or any part thereof it shall be lawful for the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents if they or he shall think proper with such consent or at such discretion as aforesaid to refer any such disputes or difficulties to arbitration in the usual manner or otherwise to settle and adjust the same in such manner in all respects as the said trustees or trustee for the time being with such consent or at such discretion as aforesaid shall think proper. PROVIDED ALSO and it is hereby further agreed that it shall be lawful for the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents in their or his discretion to postpone or forbear the exercise and enforcement of all or any of the powers and remedies hereby vested in or which shall or may be exercisable by such trustees or trustee by virtue hereof anything herein contained or any rule at law or equity to the contrary notwithstanding. PROVIDED ALSO and it is hereby agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto

that the receipts in writing of the trustees or trustee for the time being acting in the execution of the trusts or powers of these presents for any monies payable to them or him by virtue of these presents shall effectually discharge the person or persons paying the same from all responsibility as to the misapplication or nonapplication thereof and from all obligation of seeing to the application thereof (1) AND ALSO that it shall be lawful for the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents but during the lives of the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney and the life of the survivor of them with their his or her consent in writing to accept other real securities for any part of the said trust funds which may be invested in real securities and the interest thereof in lieu of and as a substitution for the hereditaments or any part of the hereditaments comprised in any such security AND ALSO to discharge from any such security any part or parts of the hereditaments therein comprised and without which the said trustees or trustee shall deem the existing security or securities sufficient and every such acceptance of a new security and every release of all or any part of the hereditaments comprised in the existing securities shall be binding on all persons interested in the said trust funds and the interest thereof and the persons deriving title to the hereditaments so released shall not be obliged to inquire into the sufficiency in point of value or title of the substituted or retained security or securities PROVIDED ALSO and it is hereby further agreed and declared by and between the said parties hereto that if the said trustees hereinbefore appointed or any or either of them or any future trustee or trustees to be appointed as hereinafter is mentioned shall happen to die or shall go to reside beyond the seas or shall be desirous of being discharged or shall decline or become incapable to act in the trusts or powers herein contained before the same shall be fully performed or otherwise satisfied then and in every such case it shall be lawful for the said Charles Catchpole and Grace Gurney during their joint lives and after the decease of either of them for the survivor of them and after the decease of such survivor for the surviving or continuing trustees or

Power to accept other securities.

And to discharge part of the hereditaments comprised in any security.

Power to appoint new trustees.

(1) See *ante*, p. 263.

trustee for the time being of these presents or the acting executors or administrators of the last surviving or continuing trustee (and for this purpose a retiring trustee shall if willing to act in the execution of this power be considered a continuing trustee) by any deed or deeds instrument or instruments in writing to be by them him or her sealed and delivered in the presence of and to be attested by two or more credible witnesses to substitute and appoint any other person or persons to be a trustee or trustees in lieu of the trustee or trustees so dying going to reside beyond the seas desiring to be discharged declining or becoming incapable to act as aforesaid (m) AND THAT when any new trustee or trustees shall have been appointed as aforesaid all the said trust estates monies and premises which shall be then vested in the trustees or trustee for the time being of these presents or in the heirs executors or administrators of the last surviving or continuing trustee shall with all convenient speed be conveyed assigned transferred and paid so as effectually to vest the same in the surviving or continuing trustees or trustee and such new or other trustee or trustees or if there shall be no surviving or continuing trustee then in such new trustees or trustee only upon the same trusts as are hereinbefore declared concerning the same or such of the same trusts as shall be subsisting or capable of taking effect AND it is hereby agreed and declared that every such new trustee shall in all things act and assist in the management and execution of the trusts and powers to which he shall be so appointed as effectually and with the same powers authorities exemptions and discretion as if he had been originally by these presents nominated a trustee for the purposes aforesaid IN WITNESS whereof the said parties to these presents have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.

(m) See *ante*, p. 265.

# I N D E X.

## A.

- ABILITY**, representation as to, 79, 378.  
**ABSTRACT** of title, 379.  
**ACCEPTANCE** of goods, what is, 38.  
    of offer, 77. •  
**ACCEPTOR** of a bill, liability of, 81.  
**ACCUMULATION**, restraint on, 245.  
**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**, remarks on, by wife, of the conveyance of her real estate, 363.  
**ACT** of bankruptcy, what is, 117, 126—133, 156, 157.  
    *bonâ fide* transactions valid notwithstanding, 141.  
    —See **BANKRUPTCY**. •  
**ACTION**, chose in, 4, 111, 345, 346, 347, 377.—See **CHOSE IN ACTION**.  
**ACTIONS**, real, personal and mixed, 3.  
    *ex delicto*, 61.  
    personal, 62.  
    for dilapidations, 64.  
    of detinue, 3.  
    of trover, 23, 43, 46.  
    of replevin, 3.  
    of debt, 5, 66.  
    of debt by husband for arrears of wife's rent, 347.  
    limitation of, 370—374.  
**ADEMPION** of specific legacy, 317.  
**ADJUDICATION** of bankruptcy, 134.  
**ADMINISTRATION**, stamp on letters of, 331.  
    limited, 330.  
    husband's right to, of his wife's effects, 347.  
**ADMINISTRATOR** *durante minore ætate*, 302, 330.  
    who appointed, 327.  
    joint, 328.  
    rights and powers of, 329.  
    his year, *ib.*  
    *durante absentia*, 330. •



- ADMINISTRATOR** *pendente lite*, 330.  
     *cum testamento annexo*, 331. •  
     office of, not transmissible, 332.  
     *de bonis non*, *ib.*  
     not bound to plead the Statute of Limitations, 374.
- ADMIRALTY**, high court of, 58, 91.
- ADMISSION** of debt, 130.
- ADVANCEMENT** to children, to be accounted for in distribution, 333.  
     form of power of, in a settlement, 395.
- AFFIDAVIT** of debt, filing an, 130.
- AGENTS**, 368.
- AGREEMENTS** which require, to be in writing, 38, 40, 73.—See **CONTRACTS**.  
     stamp on, 74.  
     by letter, 77.  
     bonds for performance of, 104
- ALIEN**, 43.  
     may be bankrupt, 125.
- ALIENATION** of choses in possession, 32, 35.  
     void, 44.  
     involuntary, 47.  
     of choses in action, 111, 112, 113, 343, 351.  
     growth of right of testamentary, 294.—See **ASSIGNMENT**.
- ALIMONY**, 360.
- ALLOWANCE** of bankrupts, 143.
- ALTERATION** of a deed, 83.
- ANIMALS** *feræ naturæ*, 19.
- ANNUITIES**, bank.—See **STOCK IN THE FUNDS**.
- ANNUITY** creditors, proof by, 137.  
     apportionment of, 240.  
     apportionable if given for maintenance, 241.  
     legacy duty on, 316.  
     warrant of attorney to secure, 94.  
     personal, 186.
- ANTICIPATION**, restraint on, 356.
- APPOINTMENT** of portions, 247.  
     illusory, 248.  
     exclusive, *ib.*  
     voluntary, 246.  
     amongst a class, 249.  
     to issue of a child, when good, 250.  
     fraudulent by a father, 251.  
     creating a perpetuity, 252.  
     of new trustees, 264.  
     by wife in favour of her husband, 357.  
     form of power of, amongst children, 392.  
     form of power of by wife, 394.

**APPORTIONMENT** of income, 240.

**ARBITRATION**, 165.

- jurisdiction of the Courts in matters referred to, 165.
- act for determining differences by, 167.
- power of assignees to submit to, 293.
- every submission may be made a rule of court, 168.
- revocation of submission to, *ib.*
- death of parties, 169.

**ARBITRATOR** may state special case, 174.

- on failure of parties, judge may appoint, 169, 176.
- death of, 170.
- appointment of, *ib.*
- two may appoint umpire, 176.

**ARRANGEMENTS** by deed between a trader and his creditors, 115, 118, 122.

- under control of court of bankruptcy, 118, 122.

**ARREARS** of rent, 101.

- of rent, limitation of actions for, 372.
- action by husband for, of rent of wife's estate, 347.
- of interest on bond, 103.
- of interest, limitation of actions for, 372.
- of dower, 372.

**ARTICLES** of association, 204.

- quæ ipsæ usu consumuntur*, 239.

**ASSENT** of executor, 301.

**ASSETS**, executor not liable beyond amount of, 314.

**ASSIGNEES** of bankrupt, 140, 141.

- official, 135.
- of insolvent, 151.
- what proceedings of, require consent of creditors, 293.

**ASSIGNMENT** of choses in possession, 32, 33, 35.

- of choses in action, 111, 112, 351.
- notice of, 377.

- of breaches, 104.

- of letters-patent, 222.

- of copyright, 227.

- in trust for creditors, 117.

- of wife's reversionary choses in action, 351.

- inquiry as to prior, of chose in action, 377.

- of choses in action, form of an, 389.

**ASSOCIATION**, memorandum of, 202, 203.

- articles of, 204.

**ASSUMPSIT**, 68.

**ATTORNEY**, warrant of, 93.

- execution and attestation of warrant of, 95.

- warrant of, formerly executed by insolvent debtor, 153.

- power of, on assigning a legal chose in action, 111.

- ATTORNEY**, power of, construed strictly, 368.  
     form of a power of, 390.  
     not liable as a trader to the bankrupt laws, 125.
- AUCTION**, sale by, 40.
- AWARD**, 171.  
     time of making, *ib.*  
     enlargement of time of making, *ib.*  
     must be certain and final, 173.  
     setting aside, 174.  
     effect of, 178.  
     performance of, *ib.*  
     for payment of money, creates a debt, *ib.*  
     under seal not a deed, 179.  
     stamp on, *ib.*  
     limitation of actions on, 373.

## B.

- BAILEE**, possession of, 26, 39.
- BAILMENT**, 25.
- BANK annuities**.—See **STOCK IN THE FUNDS**.  
     notes, title to, 365.
- BANKING companies**, 195.  
     act for incorporation of, 198.<sup>1</sup>
- BANKRUPTCY**, who may be bankrupt, 124.  
     of joint stock companies, 199.  
     of a trading partnership, 286.  
     act of, 48, 117, 126, 133, 156, 157.  
     registration of deed of composition, 116, 118.  
     concerted, 128.  
     petitioning creditor, 131, 133.  
     fiat, 133.  
     goods in bankrupt's possession, order or disposition, 50.  
     chooses in action in bankrupt's possession, order and disposition, 377.  
     former revival of debt barred by, 72.  
     court of, 91, 133, 139.  
     judgment on warrant of attorney or cognovit, in case of, 96.  
     how assignees sue for debts, 114, 280.  
     exercise of powers by assignees in, 246.  
     when assignment in trust for creditors an act of, 117.  
     adjudication of, 134.  
     proof in, 136, 139.  
     debts paid rateably in, 143.  
     certificate, 146.  
     uncertificated bankrupt, 147.

- BANKRUPTCY** does not determine a submission to arbitration, 170.  
 voluntary assignment void in event of, 272.  
 consent of creditors to proceedings of assignees, 293.  
 deed of arrangement to be registered, 120.  
 after registration the court has jurisdiction, *ib.*  
 protection after notice of registration, *ib.*  
 provision in case debtor cannot obtain assent of requisite majority of creditors, 121.  
 debtor may petition against himself, 127.  
 judgment debtor summons, 128, 129, 157.  
 debtor to be examined, 129.  
 filing affidavit of debt, 130.  
 admission of debt and non-payment, *ib.*  
 what are reckoned debts, 132.  
 gazette is evidence of, 135.  
 official assignee, 135, 141.  
 creditors' assignee, 140, 141.  
 contingent liabilities, 137.  
 interest, costs, 138, 144.  
 apportionment of rent, 138.  
 debt payable by instalments, *ib.*  
 unliquidated damages, *ib.*  
 premiums on policies of insurance, 139.  
 court may expunge or reduce proof, *ib.*  
 creditor holding security, *ib.*  
 power to mortgage or pledge bankrupt's property, 142.  
 power to sell debts, goodwill, &c., 143.  
 dividend, *ib.*  
 allowance, *ib.*  
 power to suspend proceedings, 145.  
 power to wind up estate by deed, *ib.*  
 order of discharge, 147.  
 entry of proceedings on record, *ib.*  
 pauper and lunatic prisoners, 157.  
 half-pay &c., *ib.*  
 sequestration, *ib.*  
 of non-traders, 149.  
     acts of, 156.  
     lying in prison, 157.  
     escape, *ib.*  
     declaration of insolvency, *ib.*  
     judgment debtor summons, *ib.*
- BARNARD'S Act**, now repealed, 185.
- BASTARD**, gift by will to, 322.
- BENEFICE**, charge by clergyman on, void, 87.  
 right of nomination to, does not pass to assignees, 246.  
 sequestration of, in bankruptcy, 158.

- BEQUEST** of stock in the funds, 189.  
     executory, 237.  
     general, operates as an exercise of a general power, 247.  
     to charities, 320.  
     to illegitimate children, 322.  
     to joint tenants, 323.  
     to tenants in common, *ib.*  
     to a class, *ib.*
- BILLS** of exchange, 79. *c*  
     what prohibited, 80.  
     indorsement of, 81.  
     liability of drawer and acceptor, *ib.*  
     protest of, *ib.*  
     consideration presumed, 82.  
     have no preference over other simple contract debts,  
         105.  
     always carry interest, 107.  
     title to, 365.  
     of lading, 35, 58.  
     of sale, filing of, 46.
- BONA** notabilia, 306, 310.
- BOND**, 101.  
     to induce cohabitation void, 85.  
     for past cohabitation good, *ib.*  
     single, 102.  
     with condition, *ib.*  
     stamp on, 103.  
     joint, 276, 278, 281.  
     joint and several, 286.  
     for performance of agreements, 104.  
     interest of money secured by, 107.  
     limitation of actions on, 371.  
     voluntary, 105.
- BONUS**, 240. *c*
- BOYSOMRY**, 163.
- BREACHES**, assignment of, 104.
- c* **BRITISH** possessions abroad, copyright in, 228.  
     ships, 52.
- BROTHERS**, right of, under Statute of Distributions, 333.
- BUILDING** societies, 211, 212.

## C.

- CAPIAS** ad satisfaciendum, writ of, 100.
- CASTS**, copyright in, 230.
- CERTIFICATE** of ship's registry, 54.

- CERTIFICATE**, bankrupt's, 146.  
     of mortgage and sale of ships, 57.  
     of shares or stock, 205.
- CHANCERY**, Court of, investments of, 182, 259.  
     order of, restraining transfer, 376.
- CHARACTER**, representations as to, 79.
- CHARITIES**, bequest to, 320.
- CHARTER** party, 58.  
     companies incorporated by, 191.
- CHATELS** which descend to the heir, 9.  
     personal, 2.  
     of wife belong to her husband, 314.  
     real, 1.  
     vegetable, 16.  
     sale of, in market overt, 366.
- CHILDREN**, appointments to, 247, 249.  
     younger, 250.  
     in ventre, *ib.*  
     vesting of portions of, 254.  
     maintenance of, 254, 255, 361.  
     form of power of maintenance of, in a settlement, 394.  
     covenant to settle property on, 271.  
     gifts to illegitimate, 322.  
     gifts to, *ib.*, 324.  
     shares of, under Statutes of Distribution, 333.  
     custody of infant, 359, 361.  
     order to settle property on, 361.  
     form of powers of appointment amongst, 392.  
     form of trusts for, 393.
- CHOSE** in action, 4, 5, 345, 346, 347.  
     assignment of legal, 111.  
     assignment of equitable, 112.  
     right of husband to wife's legal, 346.  
     right of husband to wife's equitable, 347.  
     Statute of Limitations as to, 370.  
     notice of assignment of, 377.
- in possession, 4, 5.  
         alienation of, 32, 35, 47.  
         title to, 366.  
         Statutes of Limitation as to, 370.
- CIVIL** law, age at which a will may be made by the, 295.  
     degrees of kindred traced according to the, 334.  
     rules of the, as to restraint of marriage, 340.
- CLASS**, appointment amongst a, 249.  
     bequest to a, 323.
- CLAUSES** Consolidation Acts, 192, 193.
- CLERGYMAN**, insolvent, 161.

- CLERGYMAN**, bankrupt, 158.  
     action for dilapidations, 64.  
     waste by, 65.
- COAT armour**, 13.
- Co-DEBTOR**, payment by, 285.
- COGNOVIT**, 93.  
     execution and attestation of, 95.  
     to be filed within twenty-one days, 96.  
     effect of, in case of bankruptcy, *ib.*
- COHABITATION**, bond to induce, void, 85.  
     bond for past, good, *ib.*
- COLONIES**, patent for, 222.  
     copyright in, 228. \*
- COMMISSION** of bankruptcy, 132.
- COMMITTEE** of lunatic entitled to stock, 186.  
     man, liability of provisional, 292.
- COMMON Law Procedure Acts**, 1854 and 1860. 113.—And see **STATUTES**.
- COMPANIES**, joint stock, 191.—See **JOINT STOCK COMPANIES**.
- COMPARISON** of title to real and personal estate, 380.
- COMPOSITION** with creditors, 115.  
     act to facilitate, 122.  
     by assignees of bankrupt, 293.
- CONCERTED** bankruptcy, 128.
- CONSENT** to change of investments, 261.  
     forfeiture on marriage without, 341.
- CONSIDERATION** necessary to a contract, 68.  
     executed, 69.  
     illegal, *ib.*  
     valuable, 70, 71.  
     good, *ib.*  
     must be in writing to form a written contract, 75.  
     why presumed to a note, 82.
- CONSOLS**, 182.—See **STOCK IN THE FUNDS**.
- CONTINGENCY**, proof of debts payable on, 137.
- CONTINGENT liabilities**, proof of, in bankruptcy, *ib.*  
     remainders, none in personal estate, 244.
- CONTRACTS**, 66.  
     by deed, 83.  
     when writing required to, 36, 40, 73.  
     definition of, 68.  
     parol, *ib.*  
     with unlawful object, 84.  
     with lawful object, *ib.*  
     by way of gaming void, 88.  
     for restraint of trade, 86.  
     usurious, 88.  
     for sale of goods, 36.

CONTRIBUTORIES, 208.

CONVERSION of money into land or land into money, 262.

CONVEYANCER, certificated, has no general lien, 30.

CONVICTS, 44.

COPYRIGHT, 224.

in encyclopædias, reviews, &c., 225.

in dramatic and musical compositions, 226.

foreigner entitled to, *ib.*

registry of proprietors, 227.

assignment of, *ib.*

personal property, 228.

in prints, maps, &c., 228, 229.

in sculptures, 230.

paintings, drawings and photographs, 231.

international, *ib.*

newspapers, 233.

in designs, *ib.*

CORPORATIONS, 191.

COSTS, of writ of mandamus, 61.

proof of, in bankruptcy, 138.

of trustees, 267.

CO-SURETIES, 109.

COUNTY Courts, registry of judgments in, 100.

COURT for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, 360.

dissolution of marriage, 360, 361.

protection of wife deserted by husband, 360.

alimony, *ib.*

judicial separation, 361.

wife a feme sole, *ib.*

custody, maintenance, &c., of children, *ib.*

settlement on judicial separation, *ib.*

COVENANT, 101.

to insure against fire, 161.

stamps on, 103.

for settlement of wife's future property, 270.

to settle husband's property, 271.

joint, 276, 278, 282.

joint and several, 284.

not to sue one joint and several debtor, 285.

for title, 379.

CREDITORS, gifts for defrauding, 45, 272.

remedies of judgment, 99.

composition with, 115, 118, 122.

assignment in trust for, 117.

petitioning on bankruptcy, 131.

proof by, holding security, 139.

assignees of, in bankruptcy, 140.

voluntary settlement void as against, 272.



- CREDITORS**, trust for payment of, when revocable, 272.  
 consent of, required for what proceedings of assignees, 293.  
 may by custom take out administration, 328.  
 Statutes of Limitation not affected by death of, 374.
- CROPS**, 17.
- CROWN** debts, 92.  
     in bankruptcy, 144.  
     jewels, 13.  
     right of, to intestate's estates if no next of kin, 336.
- CUSTOMS** of London and York, 335.  
     of Wales, *ib.*  
     of trades, 369.
- D.
- DAMAGES**, actions which sound in, 66.  
     liquidated, 67.  
     limitation of actions for, 373.
- DEATH**, actions by executors in case of, 62.  
     of creditor, effect of, 374.  
     of debtor, effect of, *ib.*
- DE BONIS NON**, administration, 332.
- DEBT**, action of, 5, 66.  
     by husband for arrears of wife's rents, 347.
- DEBTS**, how assignable, 111.  
     barred by bankruptcy, 72.  
     barred by Statute of Limitations, revival of, *ib.*  
     incurred during infancy, 73, 78.  
     involuntary alienation of, 113.  
     of record, 91.  
     crown, 92, 144.  
     judgment, *ib.*  
     execution for sums over 50*l.*, 48.  
     charge on stock of judgment, 188, 213.  
     specialty, 101.  
     simple contract, 104.  
     interest on, 107.  
     when taken in execution, 113.  
     payment of, 114.  
     appropriation of payments, *ib.*  
     filing an affidavit of debt, 130.  
     admission of, *ib.*  
     proof of, in bankruptcy, 136.  
     set-off of mutual, in bankruptcy, 137.  
     all debts in bankruptcy paid rateably, 143.  
     voluntary, when exempt from probate duty, 311.  
     payment of, by executor, *ib.*  
     satisfaction of, by legacies, 318.

- DEBTS**, payment of, by administrator, 329.  
 husband's liability to wife's, 353.  
 covenant to indemnify husband against wife's, 358.  
 limitation of actions for, 370—374.  
 charge of real estate for payment of, 375.  
 notice to debtor on assignment of, 112, 377.
- DEBTOR**, notice to, on assigning the debt, *ib.*  
 bankruptcy of.—See **BANKRUPTCY**.  
 insolvent.—See **INSOLVENT DEBTOR**.  
 protection of, after notice of registration of deed of arrangement, 120.  
 appointment of, executor, 303.  
 effect of death of, 374.  
 joint, beyond sea, 283.  
 and creditor, defects in the law of, 105.
- DECEASE** of person giving power of attorney, 368.
- DECLARATION** of insolvency, 127.
- DECREE** of a court of equity, 99.
- DEED**, title deeds pass by conveyance of the lands, 8.  
 tenant for life entitled to a possession of the deeds, 11.  
 alienation by, 35.  
 contracts by, 83.  
 alteration or rasure of a, *ib.*  
 solicitor's lien on, 29.  
 boxes, 13.  
 of arrangement, 118.  
 stamp duty on, *ib.*
- DEER**, 19.
- DEFEAZANCE** to warrant of attorney, 93.
- DEGREES** of kindred, how traced, 334.
- DELIVERY**, alienation of personal chattels by, 33.  
 constructive, 34, 39.  
 order, 35, 365.
- DEMONSTRATIVE** legacy, 317.
- DENIZEN** may be bankrupt, 125.
- DESCENT**, remarks on law of, 336.  
 to distant heirs and kindred, 338.
- DESIGNS** of articles of manufacture, copyright in, 233.
- DETINUE**, action of, 3.  
 limitation of action of, 370.
- DILAPIDATIONS**, 64.
- DIRECTORS** of joint stock companies, powers of, 291.  
 notice to, *ib.*
- DISABILITIES**, savings of, 370, 372, 373.
- DISCLAIMER** of title or specification of invention, 220.
- DISHONOUR** of bill or note, notice of, 82.
- DISSOLUTION** of marriage, 360, 361.

**DISTANT** heirs and kindred, remarks on descent to, 338.

**DISTRESS** by husband for arrears of wife's rent, 347.

**DISTRIBUTION**, Statutes of, 332.

remarks on law of, 336.

**DISTRINGAS**, 187.

**DIVIDENDS**, payment of, in bankruptcy, 143.

apportionment of, 240.

unclaimed, of stock in the funds, 375.

**DIVORCE**, Court for, 360.

**DOCK** warrants, 35.

**DOMICILE**, 298, 299.

**DONATION** *mortis causâ*, 300.

**DOWER**, legacy in lieu of, 318.

limitation of actions for arrears of, 372.

**DRAMATIC** pieces, copyright in, 226.

**DRAWEE** of a bill, 80.

**DRAWER** of a bill, *ib.*

liability of, 81.

**DRAWINGS**, copyright in, 231.

**DURANTE** *absentiâ*, administrator, 330.

*minore ætate*, administrator, 302, 330.

**DWELLING** Act, Labourers, 212.

## F.

**EDUCATION** of children, provisions for, 255.

form of power of, in a settlement, 394.

**EJECTMENT**, by one executor, 303.

**ELECTION**, that lands should not be sold, 263.

**ELEGIT**, writ of, 49.

**EMBLEMENTS**, 17.

**ENCYCLOPÆDIAS**, copyright in, 225.

**ENGRAVINGS**, copyright in, 229.

**EQUITABLE** chose in action, 6.

**EQUITY**, decree of Court of, 99.

life interest in, 238.

considers as done what is agreed to be done, 262.

of wife to a settlement, 348.

**ERASURE**, 83.

**ESCAPE**, limitation of action for, 373.

**ESTATES**, none in personal property, 7, 236.

**EXCHEQUER** bills, 258.

**EXCLUSIVE** appointment, 248.

**EXECUTION** on a judgment, 47.

in case of bankruptcy, 97.

sale of goods, how affected by, 366.

- EXECUTOR**, actions by, for injury to estate of deceased, 62.  
 actions against, for wrong done by deceased, 64.  
 liability of, carrying on trade, 289.  
 appointment of, 301.  
 assent of, *ib.*  
 of executor, 302.  
 appointment of debtor, 303.  
 survivorship of office of, *ib.*  
 renunciation by one in the lifetime of another, *ib.*  
*de son tort*, 304.  
 acts of, before probate, 305.  
 power of, 312, 313.  
 purchase from, *ib.*  
 his year, *ib.*  
 liability of, 314.  
 his former right to the residue, 324.  
 now trustee for the next of kin, *ib.*  
**EXECUTORS**, any one may perform acts of administration, 303.  
 all must join in bringing actions, *ib.*  
 as to ejection, *ib.*  
 administrators and assigns, use of the words, 243.  
 of objects of a power cannot take under an appointment,  
 249.  
 protection to, 314.  
 not bound to plead the Statute of Limitations, 374.  
**EXECUTORY** bequests, 237.  
 interests in personal estate, 246.  
**EXECUTRIX**, married woman, 302.

## F.

- FACTORS**, 368.  
**FARM** buildings, 14.  
**FATHER**, appointments by, must not be for his own benefit, 251.  
 bound to maintain his children, 256.  
 right of, under Statute of Distributions, 333.  
**FELONY**, forfeiture on conviction of, 44.  
**FEME** covert, 340.—See **MARRIED WOMAN AND WIFE**.  
**FERÆ naturæ**, animals, 19.  
**FIAT** in bankruptcy, 133.—See **BANKRUPTCY**.  
**FLERI factas**, writ of, 48.  
 securities which can be taken under, 113.  
 limitation of action for money levied under, 373.  
**FINES** for copyhold estates, limitations of actions for, *ib.*  
**FIRE** insurance, 162.  
**FISH**, 19.

- FIXTURES**, 13, 47.  
     agricultural, 14.  
     when demised, 16.  
**FOREIGN** judgment, 99.  
     inventions, 218.  
**FORFEITURE** of goods, 44.  
**FRANCE**, convention with, as to copyright, 232.  
**FRAUD** on a power, 251.  
**FRAUDS**, Statute of.—*See* STATUTE 29 CAR. II. c. 3.  
**FRAUDULENT** preference, 142.  
**FREEHOLD** land societies, 212.  
**FREIGHT**, 59.  
**FRIENDLY** societies, 209.  
**FRUIT**, 17.  
**FUNDS**, the.— *See* STOCK IN THE FUNDS.  
**FUTURE** property, covenants to settle, 270, 271.

## G.

- GAME**, 20.  
**GAMING**, 88.  
**GARNISHER**, 113.  
**GAZETTE** evidence of bankruptcy, 135.  
**GENERAL** lien, 28.  
     ship, 58.  
     legacy, 317.  
**GIFT** and delivery, 33.  
     for defrauding creditors, 45.  
**GOODS**, property in, 22, 48.  
     constructive delivery of, 34.  
     sale of, 36—43, 77.  
     what is an acceptance of, 38, 39.  
     forfeiture of, 44.  
     mortgage of, 46.  
     gift of, for life, in law, 236.  
         in equity, 238.  
     stolen, 366.  
     limitation of actions for, 370.  
**GOVERNMENT** securities, what are, 258.  
**GRANT** of goods, 32.  
**GUARDIANS**, effect of concurrence of, in settlements, 342.

## H.

- HALF** blood, claim in distribution equally with the whole, 334, 336.  
**HAWKS**, 19.  
**HEIR** looms, 12.

- HEIR**, specialty debts in which he is bound, 101.  
**HEIRS**, word inapplicable to personal estate, 242.  
     remarks on descent to distant, 338.  
**Hide** of goods, 26.  
**HOLDER** of a bill or note, 82.  
**HORSES**, sale of stolen, 367.  
**HOTCHPOT**, clause of, in settlements, 249.  
     advancements to be brought into, on intestacy, 333.  
     form of clause of, in a settlement, 393.  
**HOUNDS**, 19.  
**HUSBAND**, covenant to settle his property, 271.  
     no duty on legacy to, 315.  
     ancient rights of, 343.  
     right to wife's chattels personal, 344.  
     gifts by, to wife of jewels and trinkets, 345.  
     his right to wife's legal choses in action, 346.  
         equitable choses in action, 347.  
     effect of his assignment, 349.  
     his assignment of his wife's reversionary choses in action,  
         351.  
     his liability to his wife's debts, 353.  
     fraud on his marital rights, *ib.*  
     covenant to indemnify, against wife's debts, 358.  
     his right to the custody of infant children, 359.  
     and wife, remarks on law of, 362.  
     I.  
**IDIOT**, 43.  
     transfer of stock of, 186.  
**ILLEGALITY** of contracts, 69, 84.  
**ILLEGITIMATE** children, gift to, 322.  
**ILLUSORY** appointments, 248.  
**IMMORAL** publication, 16.  
**IMPORTATION** of foreign reprints of English books, 228.  
**IMPRISONMENT** of debtor in execution, 100.  
     discharge of insolvent from, 149, 150.  
     saving of disability of, 370.  
**INCOME**, apportionment of, 240.  
**INCORPORATION** of joint stock companies, 197.  
**INCORPOREAL** personal property, 180.  
     anciently none, 4.  
**INDEMNITY** of trustees, 263.  
**INDORSEMENT** of sale of ship on certificate of registry, 54.  
     of bills and notes, 79, 80, 81.  
     special, 81.  
     in blank, *ib.*  
**INDUSTRIAL** and provident societies, 211.

- INFANCY**, confirmation of debt incurred in, 73.  
     saving of disability of, 370.
- INFANT**, 43.  
     cannot be a bankrupt, 125.  
     stock of, 186.  
     executor, 302.  
     legacy to, 316.  
     marriage settlements of, 342.  
     custody of, 359.
- INFERIOR courts of record**, 91.  
     judgments of, 100.
- INJUNCTION**, writ of, 60.
- INJURY**, actions by executors in respect of, 62.  
     actions against executors in respect of, 64.
- INSOLVENCY**, 149, 153.  
     declaration of, 127.  
     in the colonies, 128.
- INSOLVENT debtors**, court for relief of, now abolished, 155.  
     schedule of, 152.  
     discharge of, 149, 152.  
     warrant of attorney formerly executed by, 153.
- INSPECTORSHIP**, deed of, 115.
- INSURANCE**, 159.  
     of life, 159, 160.  
     fire, 161.  
     relief against forfeiture by breach of covenant, 162.  
     lessor to have benefit of informal, *ib.*  
     of ships, 163.  
     protection of purchasers against breach of covenant, 164.  
     companies, 206.
- INTEREST**, legal rate of, 88.  
     on bills and notes, 89.  
     on judgment debt, 99.  
     on bond, 102.  
     on debts, 107.  
     appropriation of payments towards, 114.  
     rebate of, in bankruptcy, 136.  
     proof of, in bankruptcy, 137.  
     on debts proved in bankruptcy, 144.  
     always apportioned, 240.  
     limitation of actions for arrears of, 372, 373.
- INTERNATIONAL copyright**, 231.
- INTESTACY**, 327.
- INVENTOR of patent**, 218.
- INVESTMENT of settled funds**, 257.  
     form of trust to continue or vary with consent, 391.  
     consent to change, 261.

IRELAND, real securities in, 258.

IRISH patent, 222.

ISSUE, appointment to, 250.

## J.

JOINT bequest, no lapse by decease of one legatee, 323.

bond, all must sue, 276.

release by one obligee bars all, *ib.*

form of, 284.

and several bond, *ib.*

covenant, 278.

form of, 282.

and several covenant, 284.

and several debts in bankruptcy, 286.

debtors, 283.

liability, 281.

and several liability, 283.

of partners, 285.

owners, 276.

trustees made, 277.

shares of, under a will, need not vest at the same time, *ib.*

limitation to them, their executors, administrators and assigns, *ib.*

JOINT stock companies, 191.

incorporated by charter or act, *ib.*

inconvenience of unincorporated, 194.

registration act, 196.

banking, 195, 198.

letters patent, 195.

registry office, 197, 205.

liability of shareholders in, 195, 198, 201, 202.

bankruptcy of, 199.

with limited liability, 201.

shares in, not goods, wares or merchandize, 209.

powers of directors of, 291.

liability of provisional committeemen of projected, 292.

provisional registration, 197.

complete registration, 197, 204.

incorporation, 197, 204.

acts, objects of, 199.

winding-up acts, 199, 207.

act, 1862.. 201.

memorandum of association, 202, 203.

articles of association, 204.

shares personal estate, 205.

register of members, *ib.*



**JOINT stock companies**, name of limited company to be painted up, 205.

judgment debts, 213.  
 name may be changed, 204.  
 certificate of shares or stock, 205.  
 register evidence, *ib.*  
 register of mortgages, 206.  
 special resolution, *ib.*  
 liquidators, 208.  
 contributories, *ib.*

**JUDGE's order**, 93.

**JUDGMENT**, effect of, on goods, 47.

debt, a debt of record, 92.  
 debtor summons, 128, 129, 157.  
 on warrant of attorney or cognovit, 96.  
 in case of bankruptcy, 97, 144.  
 carries interest, 99.  
 entitled to preference in administration, *ib.*  
 foreign, *ib.*  
 registry of, in county courts, 100.  
 charge of, on stock, 188.  
 charge of, on shares, 213.  
 limitation of actions for money secured by, 371.

**JUDICIAL separation of husband and wife**, 361.

## K.

**KIN**, next of, their right to administration, 328.

how traced, 334.

**KINDRED**, degrees of, how traced, *ib.*

remarks on descent to distant, 338.

## L.

**LABOURERS' Dwellings Act, 1855**, 212.

**LANDS**, sale of, 35.

investment of settled funds in purchase of, 262.

**LAPSE**, 323.

**LEGACIES**, no action at law for pecuniary, 6.

payment of, 315.

duty on, *ib.*

no duty on, to husband, wife or royal family, 315, 316.

to infants, *ib.*

duty on annuities, *ib.*

specific, 316, 317.

ademption of, 317.

demonstrative, *ib.*

- LEGACIES**, general, 317.  
     in lieu of dower, 318.  
     satisfaction of debts by, *ib.*  
     satisfaction of portions by, 319.  
     to charities, 320.  
     to illegitimate children, 322.  
     lapse of, 323.  
     to children, 324.  
     limitation of suits for, 371.
- LEGATEE**, rights of residuary, 322.
- LESSOR** to have benefit of informal insurance, 162.
- LETTERS-PATENT**.—See **PATENT**, 195, 281.
- LEVARI facias**, writ of, 49.
- LIABILITY**, limitation of, by letters-patent, 195.  
     in joint stock company, 201.  
     joint, 282.  
     joint and several, 283.  
     of partners in trade, 285.  
     of executor carrying on trade, 289.  
     of executor for debts, 313.
- LICENSE**, letter of, 115.  
     to use patent, 221.
- LIEN**, 27.  
     how lost, 30, 41.  
     of solicitors, 29.  
     of vendor, 40.  
     on property from covenant to settle, 271, 272.
- LIFE** insurance, 159.  
     no estate for, in personal property at law, 236.  
     bequest of term for, 237.  
     interests in equity in personalty, 238, 242.  
     right of tenant for, as to bonus, 240.  
     apportionment of income of tenant for, *ib.*
- LIMITATIONS**, Statute of, 370.  
     • executors or administrators not bound to plead,  
        374.  
     operation of, barred by charge of real estate,  
        375.  
     operation of, not barred by charge of personal  
        estate, *ib.*
- LIMITED** Liability Act, 200, 202.
- LIQUIDATED** damages, 67.
- LITHOGRAPH**, copyright in, 230.
- LOAN** societies, 211.
- LONDON**, custom of, 294, 335.
- LORDS**, House of, a superior court of record, 91.
- LOST** article, 23.

LUNATIC, 43.

transfer of stock of, 186.

## M.

MAINTENANCE, crime of, 5.

of children, provisions for, 254, 255.

form of power of, in a settlement, 394.

MAKER of promissory note, 80.

MALA fides on receipt of money or negociable securities, 365.

*prohibita*, 84.

*in se, ib.*

MANDAMUS, writ of, 60.

MANUFACTURED goods, property in, 37.

contract to furnish, 369.

MANUFACTURES, patent for new, 216.

copyright in designs for articles of manufacture, 233.

MAPS, copyright in, 229.

MARINES, wills of, and administration to, 295, 310.

MARITAL rights, fraud on husband's, 353.

MARKET overt, sale of chattels in, 366.

sale of stolen goods in, *ib.*

MARKS, trade, 234.

MARRIAGE, a valuable consideration, 70, 272.

restraints on, 340.

consent to, 341.

brocage, *ib.*

agreement on, must be in writing, 74.

settlement on, 272, 342.

dissolution of, 360, 361.

form of a settlement on, 388.

MARRIED woman, 44.

when she may be bankrupt, 125.

executrix, 302.

saving of disability of, 370.

See WIFE.

MATRIMONIAL causes, court for, 360.

MEMORANDUM in writing, what is, 39, 77.

MINOR, will of, now invalid, 295.

See CHILDREN AND INFANT.

MODELS, copyright in, 230.

MONEY, title to, 365.

MONOPOLIES, statute of, 214.

MORTGAGE of goods, 36, 46.

of ships, 56.

of ships, certificate of, 57.

limitation of action for money secured by, 371.

**MORTIS causa**, donation, 300.

**MORTMAIN**, statute of, 319.

**MOTHER**, right of, under Statute of Distributions, 333.

**MUSICAL** compositions, copyright in, 226.

N.

**NAVY**, wills and administration to seamen in the, 297, 311, 331.

**NECESSARIES**, husband bound to supply his wife with, 353, 361.

**NEGOCIABLE** securities, title to, 365.

See **BILLS OF EXCHANGE AND PROMISSORY NOTES**.

**NEPHEWS**, appointment to, 250.

**NEWSPAPERS**, copyright in, 233.

**NEXT OF KIN**, right of, to administration, 328.

their interest vests from the decease of the intestate, 329  
how traced, 334.

**NOTE** of a contract, what is, 39, 77.

**NOTES**, promissory, 80, 112.

not preferred in administration, 105.

carry interest, 107.

title to, 365.

**NOTICE** of dishonour of bill or note, 82.

to debtor on assigning the debt, 112.

to one partner notice to all, 291.

to trustees on assigning stock, 377.

**NUNCUPATIVE** will, 295.

who may now make, 296.

O.

**OBJECTS** of a contract, lawful or unlawful, 84.

**OFFER**, acceptance of, 77.

**OFFICER**, bankrupt, 157.

**OFFICIAL** assignees, 135.

**ORDER** and disposition, goods in, of bankrupt, 50.

choses in action in, of bankrupt, 377.

**OWNERSHIP**, personal property the subject of absolute, 7, 9.

P.

**PAINTINGS**, copyright in, 231.

**PALATINE** courts, superior courts of record, 91.

**PARAPHERNALIA**, 345.

**PARLIAMENT**, the supreme court, 91.

**PAROL**, contracts, 68.

**PARTICULAR** lien, 27.

**PARTNERS, liability of, 285.**

bankruptcy of, 286.

ostensible, 288.

retiring, *ib.*deceased, *ib.*

by participation in profits, 289.

liability for each other's acts, 290.

notice to one notice to all, 291.

**PART OWNERS of ships, 53.****PATENT, 213, 281.**

stamps on, 215.

term of, *ib.*

for new manufactures only, 216.

first inventor of, 217, 218.

specification of, 217, 219.

disclaimer, 220.

register of, 221.

vesting of, in more than twelve persons, *ib.*license to use, *ib.*

Scotch and Irish, 222.

assignment of, *ib.*

register of proprietors of, 223.

tenants in common of, 281.

form of letters patent, 363.

**PAWN, 26, 46.****PAYEE, 80.****PAYMENT of debts, 114.**

of interest, 115.

of debts in bankruptcy, 143.

**PENALTY, 67.****PERSUASION, limitation of actions for, 373.****PINDAR *Ida*, administrator, 330****PERIODICAL works, copyright in, 225.****PERPETUITIES, 214.**

in exercise of powers, 252.

**PERSONAL property, the subject of absolute ownership, 7.**

annuity, 180.

**PETITIONING creditor on bankruptcy, 131.**

compounding with, 133.

**PHOTOGRAPHS, copyright in, 231.****PIOUS uses, 293.****PLANS, copyright in, 229.****PLEDGE of goods, 26, 46.**

by factor or agent, 368.

**POLICY of insurance, 159.****PORTIONS, appointment of, 247.**

vesting of, charged on land, 254.

satisfaction of, by legacies, 319.

POSSESSION, choses in, 4.—See CHOSSES IN POSSESSION.

goods in, of bankrupt, 50.

POSSIBILITY, 237.

how alienable, *ib.*

POWER of attorney, 111.

construed strictly, 368.

decease of person giving, *ib.*

payment by trustee, executor, or administrator, in pursuance of, *ib.*

form of a, 390.

POWERS, 22.

of appointment amongst children, 247, 249, 250.

assignees of bankrupt may exercise, 246.

frauds on, 251.

perpetuity in exercise of, 252.

to appoint new trustees, 264.

form of power to appoint new trustees, 399.

given to married women, 357.

form of, of appointment amongst children, 393.

form of, given to a wife, 394.

PRIMOGENITURE, remarks on, 337.

PRINTS, copyright in, 229.

PROBATE, Court of, 305, 306, 309.

of wills, 305.

acts of executor before, *ib.*

in which court to be taken out, 306.

in principal registry, 307.

in district registry, *ib.*

evidence required on, *ib.*

in common form, 308.

*per testes*, *ib.*

county courts, 309.

stamp duties on, *ib.*

when exempt from stamp duties, 310.

of seamen's wills, 311.

PROFITS of partnership, participation in, 289.

PROMISE implied, 68.

insufficient of itself to form a contract, 71.

PROMISSORY NOTES, 80, 112.

not preferred in administration, 105.

carry interest, 108.

title to, 365.

PROOF of debts in bankruptcy, 136.

PROPERTY, real and personal, 3, 7.

in British ships, 52.

in goods, 22.

requisite to a grant, 32.

**PROTEST**, 81.

**PROVISIONAL** committee-man, liability of, 292.

**PUBLIC** officer of banking company, 200.

**PUBLICATION**, immoral, 86.

**PURCHASE** of land, investment of settled funds in, 262.

**PURCHASER** from executor not bound to see to the application of his  
purchase money, 312.  
protection of, in bankruptcy, 142.

## R.

**RASURE** of deeds, 83.

**REAL** estate, charge of, for payment of debts, 375.

**REAL** securities, what are, 258.  
in Ireland, *ib.*

**RECEIPT** by surviving joint owner, when good, 280.  
by one executor a good discharge, 308.  
by executor on sale a good discharge, 312.  
by husband or wife's chose in action, 346, 349.  
clause in settlements, 263.  
form of a, 398.

**RECOGNIZANCE**, 100.

limitation of actions on, 371.

**RECORD**, debts of, 91.

courts of, *ib.*

of proceedings in bankruptcy, 147.

**REFERENCE** to arbitration, 166.

revocation of, 168.

by assignees in bankruptcy, 293.

**REGISTRATION** of bill of sale, 46.

of ships, 52.

certificate of, 54.

of transfers of ships, 55.

of deeds of arrangement, 118, 120.

of joint-stock companies, 197.

of banking companies, 204.

of copyrights, 227.

of sculptures, 230.

in court of probate, 307.

**REIMBURSEMENT** of trustees, 263, 264.

**RELEASE** by one joint obligee bars all, 276.

of one joint debtor discharges all, 282.

of one joint and several debtor, 284.

by husband or wife's reversionary chose in action, 352.

of money charged on real estate, *ib.*

**RENT**, arrears of, 101.

payment of, in bankruptcy, 144.

limitation of actions for, 372.

- RENUNCIATION of office of executor, 303.  
 REPLEVIN, action of, 3.  
     limitation of action of, 370.  
 REPUTED ownership, 47, 48.  
     of chose in action, 377.  
 RESIDUARY legatee, rights of, 322.  
 RESIDUE, former right of executors to, 324.  
     right of next of kin to, 325.  
     form of assignment of a share in, 390.  
 RESPONDENTIA, 163.  
 RESTRAINT on anticipation, 356.  
     on marriage, 340.  
 RETAINER by executor of his own debt, 305.  
     by administrator of his own debt, 329.  
 REVERSIONARY chose in action of wife, assignment of, 351.  
 REVIEWS, copyright in, 225.  
 REVOCATION of the trusts of a settlement, 273.  
     of a will, 298.  
 ROYAL family, no duty on legacies to, 316.  
 RULE in *Shelley's case*, 243.

S.

- SALE of goods, 36—43, 77.  
     of goods in market overt, 366.  
     of goods by factor or agent, 368.  
     of lands, direction for, converts them into money in equity, 262.  
     of ships, certificate of, 57.  
 SALVAGE, 28.  
 SATISFACTION of debts by legacies, 318.  
     of portions by legacies, 319.  
 SAVINGS banks, 211.  
 SCIRE facias to revive a judgment, 94.  
 SCOTCH patent, 222.  
 SCULPTURES, copyright in, 230.  
 SEAMEN, wills of, 297.  
     probate of wills of, 311.  
     administration to effects of, 331.  
 SECURITIES of creditor, how affected by composition, 116.  
     for money won at play, 88.  
     proof in bankruptcy by creditors holding, 139.  
     government, what are, 258.  
     real, what are, *ib.*  
     real, in Ireland, *ib.*  
     stolen, 367.  
 SEPARATE use, trust for woman's separate, 364.  
     form of a trust for, 392.



SEPARATION of husband and wife, 357.

SEQUESTRATION of profits of bankrupt, 158.

SETTLEMENT of personal property, 236.

wife's equity for a, 348.

covenant for, of wife's future property, 270.

covenant for, of husband's property, 271.

voluntary, void as against creditors, 272.

voluntary, binding on settlor, 273.

for settlor's own benefit revocable, *ib.*

voluntary, of personal estate, not void against subsequent purchasers, 274.

stamps on, *ib.*

on marriage, 272. \*

by infants, 342.

form of a marriage settlement of residuary personal estate and stock in the funds, 380.

SHARES in joint-stock companies, 191, 205.

sale of, 209.

transfer of, 379.

title to, *ib.*

SHELLEY'S case, rule in, 243.

SHIPS, 52.

register, no trusts entered on, 53.

transfer of property in, 55.

equities may be enforced against owner and mortgagees, 53.

mortgage of, 56.

no stamp duty on transfer of, 57.

certificate of registry, 54.

certificate of mortgage of, 56.

insurance of, 163.

SHOPS in the city of London are market overt, 366.

SIGNATURE to contracts, 77.

SIMPLE contract debts, 104.

limitation of actions for, 373.

SISTERS, right of, under Statute of Distributions, 333.

SOLDIERS, wills of, 296, 297.

probate of wills of, 311.

administration to effects of, 331.

SOLICITOR, lien of, 29.

not liable as such to bankrupt laws, 125.

cannot charge for professional trouble as trustee, 267.

SPECIALTY debts, 101.

limitation of actions for, 371, 372.

SPECIFIC legacy, 316.

SPECIFICATION of patent, 219. \*

STAMP duty, none on agreement for sale of goods, 40.

none on transfer of ships, 57.

on agreements, 74. \*

- STAMP duty** on bills and notes, 83.  
     on warrants of attorney, 94.  
     on bonds, 104.  
     on covenants, *ib.*  
     on contract notes, 186.  
     on policies of life insurance, 161.  
     on awards, 179.  
     on letters-patent, 215.  
     on settlements, 274.  
     on probates, 309.  
     on legacies, 315.  
     on letters of administration, 331.  
     on shares of intestate's estates, 336.

**STATUTE of frauds.**—See statute 29 Car. II. c. 3.

**STATUTES** cited.

- 13 Edw. I. c. 18, (*elegit*), 48.  
 13 Edw. I. c. 19, (*intestates*), 327.  
 13 Edw. I. c. 45, (*scire facias*), 94.  
 4 Edw. III. c. 7, (*action by executors*), 61.  
 15 Edw. III. c. 5, (*executors*), *ib.*  
 31 Edw. III. c. 11, (*administrator*), 327.  
 21 Hen. VIII. c. 5, (*next of kin*), 328.  
 27 Hen. VIII. c. 10, (*Statute of Uses*), 11, 71, 239.  
 32 Hen. VIII. c. 37, (*arrears of rent*), 347.  
 37 Hen. VIII. c. 9, (*interest*), 5, 108.  
 2 & 3 Phil. & Mary, c. 7, (*stolen horses*), 367.  
 13 Eliz. c. 5, (*gifts for defrauding creditors*), 45, 49, 272.  
 13 Eliz. c. 7, (*bankrupts*), 127, 133.  
 13 Eliz. c. 20, (*charges on benefices*), 87.  
 27 Eliz. c. 4, (*voluntary settlements*), 274.  
 31 Eliz. c. 12, (*stolen horses*), 368.  
 21 Jac. I. c. 3, (*patents*), 214, 215.  
 21 Jac. I. c. 16, (*Statute of Limitations*), 72, 78, 370, 373.  
 22 & 23 Car. II. c. 10, (*distribution*), 329, 332, 333.  
 29 Car. II. c. 3, (*Statute of Frauds*), ss. 1, 2..32.  
     s. 4, (*contract in writing*), 40, 73, 272, 314.  
     s. 5, (*will of real estate*), 296.  
     s. 16, (*writ of *scire facias**), 48.  
     s. 17, (*sale of goods*), 37, 38, 186, 209.  
     ss. 19—21, (*nuncupative testament*), 295.  
     s. 22, (*revocation of will of personal estate*), 296.  
     s. 23, (*soldiers and mariners*), 297.  
     s. 25, (*husband*), 333, 347.  
 1 Jac. II. c. 17, (*distribution*), 332.  
 4 & 5 Will. & Mary, c. 2, (*custom of York*), 294.  
 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 38, (*custom of Wales*), *ib.*  
 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 11, (*judgments*), 104.

## STATUTES cited.

- 9 & 10 Will. III. c. 15, (arbitration,) 167, 174, 175.  
 2 & 3 Anne, c. 5, (custom of York,) 294.  
 3 & 4 Anne, c. 9, (promissory notes,) 5, 112,  
 4 Anne, c. 16, (nuncupative testaments,) 295, 296  
 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, (bond debts,) 103.  
 7 Anne, c. 25, (promissory notes,) 5, 112.  
 8 Anne, c. 19, (copyright,) 224.  
 9 Anne, c. 14, (money won at play,) 88.  
 12 Anne, stat. 2, c. 16, (usury,) 89.  
 1 Geo. I. stat. 2, c. 19, (stock,) 183, 184, 190. •  
 11 Geo. I. c. 18, (custom of London,) 294.  
 7 Geo. II. c. 8, (stock jobbing,) 88, 185.  
 8 Geo. II. c. 13, (copyright in prints, &c.) 229.  
 9 Geo. II. c. 36, (mortmain,) 319.  
 19 Geo. II. c. 37, (ship insurance,) 160, 163.  
 7 Geo. III. c. 38, (copyright in prints,) 229.  
 14 Geo. III. c. 48, (life insurance,) 160.  
 14 Geo. III. c. 78, (Metropolitan Building Act,) 162.  
 17 Geo. III. c. 30, (bills of exchange,) 80.  
 17 Geo. III. c. 57, (copyright in prints, &c.) 229.  
 36 Geo. III. c. 52, (legacy duty,) 304, 315, 316.  
 38 Geo. III. c. 71 (copyright in sculptures, &c.) 230.  
 38 Geo. III. c. 87, (infant and absent executor,) 302, 330.  
 39 & 40 Geo. III. c. 98, (accumulations,) 245.  
 41 Geo. III. c. 107, (copyright,) 224.  
 46 Geo. III. c. 135, (bankruptcy,) 141.  
 48 Geo. III. c. 88, (bills of exchange,) 80.  
 48 Geo. III. c. 123, (discharge of small debtors,) 155.  
 49 Geo. III. c. 121, (bankruptcy,) 141.  
 54 Geo. III. c. 56, (copyright in sculptures, &c.) 230.  
 54 Geo. III. c. 156, (copyright,) 224.  
 55 Geo. III. c. 184, (stamps,) 40, 309, 310, 315, 331, 336.  
 56 Geo. III. c. 60, (unclaimed dividends,) 376.  
 56 Geo. III. c. 137, (bankruptcy,) 141.  
 5 Geo. IV. c. 39, (warrants of attorney and cognovits,) 93, 96.  
 4 Geo. IV. c. 83, (factors and agents,) 368.  
 6 Geo. IV. c. 16, (bankruptcy,) 50, 73, 97, 118, 133, 140, 141, 146,  
 246, 273, 282, 287.  
 6 Geo. IV. c. 94, (factors and agents,) 368.  
 7 Geo. IV. c. 6, (bills and notes,) 80.  
 7 Geo. IV. c. 46, (banking companies,) 195.  
 7 Geo. IV. c. 57, (insolvency,) 149.  
 7 & 8 Geo. IV. c. 29, (stolen goods,) 367.  
 9 Geo. IV. c. 14, (written contracts,) 38, 73, 75, 77, 78, 79, 114,  
 283, 373.  
 9 Geo. IV. c. 32, (felony,) 44.

## STATUTES cited.

- 9 Geo. IV. c. 92, (savings banks,) 310.  
 10 Geo. IV. c. 56, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 11 Geo. IV. & 1 Will. IV. c. 20, (seamen's wills,) 297, 311.  
     c. 38, (insolvency,) 149.  
     c. 40, (executors trustees of residue,) 324.  
     c. 46, (illusory appointments,) 248.  
     c. 65, (infants, idiots and lunatics,) 186.  
 1 Will. IV. c. 7, (judgments,) 98,  
 1 & 2 Will. IV. c. 32, (game act,) 20, 21.  
     c. 56, (bankruptcy court,) 50, 114, 124, 133, 134.  
 2 & 3 Will. IV. c. 40, (seamen's wills,) 311.  
 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 15, (copyright in dramatic works,) 226.  
     c. 27, (limitations,) 370, 371, 372, 373.  
     c. 42, s. 2, (actions by and against executors,)  
         62, 63.  
         s. 3, (limitation,) 371, 373, 374.  
         s. 4, (disabilities,) 371, 372, 373, 374.  
         s. 5, (acknowledgments,) 372.  
         ss. 28, 29, (interest,) 108.  
         s. 39, (arbitration,) 168, 171.  
         s. 40, (witnesses on arbitration,) 169.  
     c. 47, (bankruptcy,) 124.  
     c. 74, (fines and recoveries,) 350, 352.  
     c. 98, (bills and notes,) 89.  
     c. 105, (dower,) 318.  
 4 & 5 Will. IV. c. 22, (apportionment of income,) 240, 241.  
     c. 25, (seamen's pay,) 311.  
     c. 29, (real securities in Ireland,) 258, 259.  
     c. 40, (friendly societies,) 210.  
     c. 94, (public officer,) 195.  
 5 & 6 Will. IV. c. 41, (securities for illegal consideration,) 85, 88, 89.  
     c. 83, (patents,) 216, 217, 220.  
 6 & 7 Will. IV. c. 32, (building societies,) 211, 212.  
     c. 59, (copyright in prints, &c.) 229.  
     c. 76, (newspapers,) 233.  
 7 Will. IV. & 1 Vict. c. 26, (wills,) 190, 243, 294, 295, 296, 297,  
     298, 307, 308, 323, 324.  
     c. 73, (public officer,) 195.  
 1 & 2 Vict. c. 96, (banking companies,) *ib.*  
     c. 110, ss. 9, 10, (execution of warrants of attorney,)  
         95, 124, 119.  
         s. 12, (seizure of notes and securities,) 115, 272.  
         s. 13, (judgments a charge on real estate,) 144.  
         ss. 14, 15, (charging stock,) 188, 189, 213, 272.  
         s. 18, (imprisonment,) 100.  
         s. 17, (interest on judgment debt,) 99.

## STATUTES cited. •

- 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 22, (judgment of inferior courts,) 100.
  - s. 35, (discharge,) 150.
  - s. 36, (petition by creditor,) 150.
  - s. 37, (vesting order,) 151.
  - s. 45, (assignees,) *ib.*
  - s. 47, (sale,) *ib.*
  - s. 48, (mortgage,) *ib.*
  - s. 55, (benefice,) *ib.*
  - s. 56, (officer,) *ib.*
  - s. 59, (voluntary preference,) 152.
  - s. 62, (dividend,) 151.
  - s. 69, (schedule,) 152.
  - ss. 70, 71, 72, (examination,) *ib.*
  - s. 75, (discharge,) 152.
  - ss. 76, 77, 78, (postponement of discharge,) 153.
  - s. 79, (costs,) *ib.*
  - s. 80, (annuities,) *ib.*
  - ss. 87, 88, 89, (future execution,) *ib.*
  - ss. 90, 91, (freedom from execution,) *ib.*
- 2 & 3 Vict. c. 11, (bankruptcy,) 124, 141.
  - c. 29, (bankruptcy,) 98, 124, 141.
  - c. 37, (usury,) 89.
  - c. 54, (custody of infants,) 359, 360.
  - c. 67, (patents,) 216.
- 3 & 4 Vict. c. 73, (friendly societies,) 210.
  - c. 82, (stock, judgments,) 188, 272.
  - c. 110, (loan societies,) 211.
  - c. 111, (banking companies,) 195.
- 5 Vict. c. 5, (Court of Exchequer in equity,) 187.
- 5 & 6 Vict. c. 39, (factors and agents,) 368.
  - c. 45, (copyright,) 224, 225, 226, 227, 228.
  - c. 79, (stamps on probates,) 309.
  - c. 85, (banking companies,) 195.
  - c. 100, (copyright in designs,) 234.
  - c. 116, (insolvency,) 153, 154, 155.
  - c. 122, (bankruptcy,) 50, 72, 124, 134, 280, 282, 287.
- 6 & 7 Vict. c. 65 (copyright in designs,) 234.
  - c. 66, (index to warrants of attorney,) 96.
- 7 & 8 Vict. c. 12, (international copyright,) 231, 232.
  - c. 32, (bank notes,) 80.
  - c. 66, (aliens,) 44.
  - c. 69, (patents,) 216, 220.
  - c. 70, (arrangements between debtors and creditors,) 122.
  - c. 76, (transfer of property,) 237.
  - c. 96, (insolvency,) 100, 124, 154, 155.

## STATUTES cited.

- 7 & 8 Vict. c. 110, (joint stock companies,) 191, 196, 197, 198, 199.  
     c. 111, (Bankruptcy of joint stock companies,) 199.  
     c. 113, (banking companies,) 191, 198, 199.
- 8 & 9 Vict. c. 16, (Companies Clauses Consolidation Act,) 192, 193, 194.  
     c. 18, (lands clauses consolidation,) 192.  
     c. 20, (railways clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 48, (bankrupt's oath,) 124.  
     c. 62, (unclaimed dividends,) 376, 377.  
     c. 76, (legacy duty,) 301, 315.  
     c. 93, (copyright in colonies,) 228.  
     c. 97, (stock,) 187, 190.  
     c. 106, (real property,) 33, 237.  
     c. 109, (gaming and wagering,) 88.  
     c. 127, (execution,) 50, 100.
- 9 & 10 Vict. c. 27, (friendly societies,) 210.  
     c. 93, (death by accident compensation,) 62, 63.  
     c. 95, (small debts,) 6, 92, 100.
- 10 & 11 Vict. c. 14, (markets clauses consolidation,) 192.  
     c. 15, (gas clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 17, (water clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 27, (harbours clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 34, (paving clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 65, (cemeteries clauses consolidation,) *ib.*  
     c. 78, (joint stock companies,) 196, 197, 198.  
     c. 83, (aliens,) 44.  
     c. 95, (copyright in colonies,) 228.  
     c. 96, (trust funds,) 270.  
     c. 102, (bankruptcy and insolvency,) 124, 150, 154, 155.
- 11 & 12 Vict. c. 29, (hares,) 20.  
     c. 45, (Winding-up Act,) 200.  
     c. 86, (bankruptcy,) 124.
- 12 & 13 Vict. c. 67, (sequestration,) 151.  
     c. 74, (trustees' relief,) 270.  
     c. 110, (small debts,) 92.  
     c. 106, (bankruptcy,) 124, 146.  
         s. 6, (court,) 91, 134, 147.  
         s. 11, (commissioners,) 134.  
         s. 12, (appeal,) 144.  
         s. 66, (who traders,) 125.  
         s. 67, (acts of bankruptcy,) 126.  
         s. 68, (composition deeds,) 118.  
         s. 71, (compounding with petitioning creditor,) 133.  
         ss. 78, 79, (affidavit of debt,) 130.

## STATUTES cited. c

- 12 & 13 Vict. c. 106, ss. 80—84, (admission of debt,) 130, 131.  
     s. 88, (time,) 131.  
     s. 89, (petition,) 127.  
     s. 101, (adjudication,) 134.  
     s. 104, (adjudication,) *ib.*  
     s. 115, (concerted act of bankruptcy,) 128.  
     s. 125, (order and disposition,) 47, 50.  
     s. 126, (voluntary gifts,) 273.  
     s. 129, (one year's rent,) 144.  
     s. 133, (executions, &c.) 97; 142.  
     s. 134, (fraudulent preference,) 142.  
     s. 135, (warrants of attorney,) 97.  
     s. 136, (warrants of attorney,) 93, 96.  
     s. 137, (warrants of attorney,) 96.  
     s. 140, (joint creditors,) 287.  
     s. 141, (assignees,) 114, 140, 147.  
     s. 142, (assignees,) 140, 147.  
     s. 147, (powers,) 246.  
     s. 152, (joint debts,) 280.  
     s. 153, (suits,) 293.  
     s. 154, (arbitration,) *ib.*  
     s. 168, (clerk's pay,) 144.  
     s. 169, (labourer's wages,) *ib.*  
     ss. 171—183, (proof,) 136, 137, 138.  
     s. 184, (execution,) 97, 98.  
     s. 197, (surplus,) 144.  
     s. 198, (certificate,) 146.  
     s. 199, (certificate,) *ib.*  
     s. 200, (certificate,) 146, 282.  
     s. 204, (promise to pay barred debt,) 72.  
     s. 224, (arrangements by deed,) 118.  
     s. 233, (gazette,) 135.  
     s. 277, (alien, &c.) 125.  
     c. 108, (winding-up amendment,) 200.  
 13 & 14 Vict. c. 21, (interpretation,) 172.  
     c. 35, (Court of Chancery,) 313, 329.  
     c. 60, (Trustee Act, 1850,) 266, 267.  
     c. 61, (small debts,) 6, 92.  
     s. 83, (railways,) 200.  
     c. 97, (stamps,) 94, 103, 275.  
     c. 104, (Designs Act, 1850,) 230, 231, 234.  
     c. 115, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 14 & 15 Vict. c. 8, (designs,) 234.  
     c. 25, (agricultural fixtures, emblements,) 14, 18.  
     c. 99, (evidence,) 169.

STATUTES cited.

- 15 & 16 Vict. c. 3, (administration for crown,) 336.  
 c. 6, (designs,) 234.  
 c. 12, (international copyright,) 230, 232, 233.  
 c. 24, (wills amendment,) 296.  
 c. 31, (industrial societies,) 211.  
 c. 54, (small debts,) 92, 100.  
 c. 55, (trustees,) 266, 267.  
 c. 65, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 c. 76, (common law procedure,) 66, 68, 94, 114, 303.  
 c. 83, (patent law amendment,) 214, 216, 217, 219,  
 220, 221, 222, 224.
- 16 & 17 Vict. c. 5, (stamps on patents,) 215, 222, 386.  
 c. 51, (succession duty,) 275, 277, 316.  
 c. 59, (stamps,) 161, 194.  
 c. 63, (stamps on life policies,) 161.  
 c. 70, (lunatics,) 186, 187.  
 c. 107, (copyright,) 228.  
 c. 115, (patents,) 216, 217, 218, 219.  
 c. 123, (friendly societies,) 210.
- 17 & 18 Vict. c. 16, (county courts jurisdiction,) 92, 155.  
 c. 25, (industrial and provident societies,) 211.  
 c. 36, (bills of sale,) 47.  
 c. 83, (bills of exchange and promissory notes,) 83.  
 c. 90, (repeal of usury laws,) 89.  
 c. 101, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 c. 104, (Merchant Shipping Act,) 29, 52, 53, 55, 56,  
 57, 58, 298, 311.  
 c. 119, (bankruptcy,) 135.  
 c. 120, (Merchant Shipping Repeal Act,) 52.  
 c. 125, (Common Law Procedure Act, 1854,) 4.  
     ss. 3, 6, 7, (arbitration,) 167.  
     ss. 5, 9, (award,) 174, 176.  
     s. 11, (proceedings at law may be stayed by  
         arbitration,) 166.  
     s. 12, (appointment of arbitrator by a judge,)  
         169, 177.  
     s. 13, (death of arbitrator,) 170.  
     s. 14, (appointment of umpire,) 176.  
     s. 15, (time for making award,) 172, 177.  
     s. 16, (possession of lands,) 178.  
     s. 17, (submission to arbitration by consent  
         may be made a rule of court,) 168.  
     s. 60, (court may examine judgment debtor as  
         to debts owing to him,) 113.  
     ss. 61, 65, (garnishee,) 113.  
     ss. 68, 69, (writ of mandamus,) 60.



## STATUTES cited.

- 17 & 18 Vict. c. 125, s. 78, (order for restitution of chattels,) 4.  
 ss. 79—82, (writ of injunction,) 60, 61.
- 18 & 19 Vict. c. 15, s. 7, (judgments,) 100.  
 c. 43, (marriage settlement of infants,) 342.  
 c. 63, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 c. 67, (bills of exchange and promissory notes,) 83.  
 c. 91, (merchant shipping amendment,) 29, 52, 55.  
 c. 111, (bills of lading,) 35, 59.  
 c. 122, (fire insurance,) 162.  
 c. 132, (labourers' dwellings,) 212.  
 c. 133, (Limited Liability Act,) 200.
- 19 & 20 Vict. c. 40, (provident societies,) 211.  
 c. 47, (joint stock companies,) 200.  
 c. 94, (administration,) 336.  
 s. 97, s. 1, (writ of execution, *bonâ fide* purchaser,) 49, 366.  
 s. 2, (restitution of chattels,) 4.  
 s. 3, (consideration for promise,) 75.  
 s. 5, (surety,) 109, 110.  
 s. 10, (infancy, coverture, lunacy,) 370, 371, 373.  
 s. 11, (joint debtor, absence beyond seas,) 283, 372.  
 s. 12, (absent debtors,) 283, 370, 373.  
 s. 13, (debts, limitations,) 73, 78, 79, 373.  
 s. 14, (co-contractor's interest,) 78.  
 c. 108, (Small Debts Act,) 6, 92.
- 20 & 21 Vict. c. 14, (winding-up acts,) 191, 200, 201.  
 c. 49, (joint stock companies,) 200, 201.  
 c. 54, (fraudulent trustees, bankers,) 270.  
 c. 57, (disposition of wife's reversionary interest,) 350, 363.  
 c. 77, (Court of Probate Act, 1857,) 305, 328.  
 s. 3, (lords of manors,) 306.  
 s. 23, (court of record) 91.  
 s. 29, (practice,) 308.  
 ss. 46, 47, (district registry,) 307.  
 s. 59, (abode of testator,) *ib.*  
 s. 70, (administrator *pendente lite*,) 330.  
 s. 71, (receiver,) 331.  
 ss. 72, 74, (administrator,) 330.  
 s. 73, (administration,) 331.  
 s. 79, (executor,) 304.  
 s. 86, (voidable probates,) 307.  
 c. 78, (Joint Stock Companies Winding-up,) 200.  
 c. 79, (probates,) 309.  
 c. 80, (Joint Stock Companies,) 201.

## STATUTES cited.

20 & 21 Vict. c. 85, (Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes,) 360.

- s. 7, (decree for judicial separation,) *ib.*
- s. 21, (protection order,) *ib.*
- ss. 24, 32, (alimony,) *ib.*
- s. 25, (wife *feme sole*), 361.
- s. 26, (wife's necessities, joint power,) 361.
- s. 35, (custody and maintenance of children,) *ib.*
- s. 45, (children's settlement,) *ib.*
- s. 57, (petition), 327.

21 & 22 Vict. c. 27, (Court of Chancery,) 61.

- c. 56, (probates,) 309.
- c. 60, (joint stock companies,) 200, 201.
- c. 70, (copyright,) 234.
- c. 74, (small debts,) 92.
- c. 91, (joint stock companies,) 200, 201.
- c. 95, (executors,) 304, 305, 309, 328, 329, 331, 332.
- c. 101, (Court for Divorce,) 210.
- c. 108, (wife's property,) 360, 361.

22 Vict. c. 13, (patents, munitions of war,) 219, 220.

22 & 23 Vict. c. 35, ss. 4<sup>o</sup> 6, (fire insurance,) 162.

- s. 7, (informal insurance,) 163.
- s. 8, (purchaser,) *ib.*
- s. 12, (powers,) 247.
- s. 21, (assignment to self,) 380.
- s. 23, (payment of money by trustees,) 264.
- s. 24, (concealment of deeds,) 380.
- s. 26, (power of attorney,) 368.
- ss. 27, 28, 29, (administration,) 314, 329.
- s. 30, (trustees may apply for opinion of judge,) 270.
- s. 31, (trustees,) 269.
- s. 32, (investments,) 259.
- c. 36, (stamps on probates,) 309.
- c. 61, (divorce amendment,) 360, 361, 362.

23 Vict. c. 5, (Indian government notes,) 310.

- c. 15, (probate,) 35, 83, 310.
- c. 28, (stock jobbing,) 88.

23 & 24 Vict. c. 38, ss. 3, 4, (registered judgment,) 99.

- s. 8, (concealment of deeds,) 380.
- s. 9, (trustees may apply for opinion of judge,) 270.
- s. 10, (investments,) 260.
- s. 11, (investments,) *ib.*
- s. 12, (investments,) 259.
- s. 14, (accounts in chancery,) 313, 329.

## STATUTES cited.

- 23 & 24 Vict. c. 58, (friendly societies,) 210.  
 c. 83, (infants' settlements, Ireland,) 342.  
 c. 106, (railways,) 192.  
 c. 111, (stamps,) 35, 80, 83, 161, 186.  
 c. 126, s. 13, (Common Law Procedure Act, 1860,  
     bill of sale,) 50.  
     ss. 28—31, (garnishee,) 114.  
     s. 32, (costs,) 61.  
 c. 144, (divorce,) 362.  
 c. 145, s. 25, (investment of trust monies,) 261.  
     s. 28, (maintenance,) 256.  
     s. 27, (appointment of trustees,) 265.  
     s. 29, (receipt of trustees,) 264.  
     s. 30, (executors,) 313.  
     s. 34, (date of operation,) 256, 261.  
     c. 147, (creditors,) 123.  
 24 Vict. c. 3, (transfer of stock,) 184, 376.  
     c. 10, (Court of Admiralty,) 29, 91.  
     c. 14, (post-office savings banks,) 211.  
 24 & 25 Vict. c. 73, (designs for articles of manufacture,) 234.  
     c. 91, s. 30, (stamps on appointment of new trustees,)  
         266.  
     s. 34, (fixtures, bills of sale,) 47.  
     c. 92, s. 3, (probate, voluntary debts,) 311.  
     c. 114, (domicile,) 298, 299.  
     c. 121, (domicile,) 299, 300.  
     c. 134, (Bankruptcy Act, 1861,) 124, 155.  
         s. 2, (reduction of London commissioners,) 134.  
         s. 3, (jurisdiction of county courts,) *ib.*  
         s. 4, (county courts,) *ib.*  
         ss. 19—27, (abolition of insolvent court,) 155.  
         s. 66, (appeal,) 144.  
         s. 69, (all debtors subject to bankrupt laws,) 155, 156.  
         s. 71, (acts of bankruptcy,) 126, 127, 157.  
         s. 72, (declaration of insolvency,) 127, 157.  
         s. 73, (execution,) 47, 98, 107, 128.  
         s. 74, (sale of goods by auction,) 48.  
         s. 75, (insolvency in colonies,) 128, 157.  
         s. 76, (judgment debtor summons,) *ib.*  
         s. 77, (decrees and orders,) 129, 157.  
         s. 79, (service of summons,) 129.  
         s. 82, (examination of debtor,) *ib.*  
         s. 83, (summons, adjudication of bankruptcy,) *ib.*  
         s. 84, (adjudication,) 130, 134.

## STATUTES cited.

- 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 86, (petition by debtor,) 127.  
 s. 87, (petition,) 132.  
 s. 89, (petitioning creditor's debt,) *ib.*  
 s. 93, (filing statement of liabilities by debtor,) 128.  
 s. 96, (powers for court to adjudicate), 132.  
 s. 97, (computation of debts), 133.  
 ss. 98—107, (pauper and lunatic prisoners,) 157.  
 s. 108, (official assignees,) 50.  
 s. 109, (proof of debts,) 136.  
 s. 110, (power to suspend proceedings,) 145.  
 s. 116, (creditors' assignees), 135, 140.  
 s. 117, (assignees,) 50, 135.  
 s. 118, (official assignee,) 135.  
 s. 128, (debts under § 127,) 136.  
 s. 132, (mortgagee,) 140.  
 s. 133, (mortgage by assignees,) 143.  
 s. 134, (half-pay, &c.) 158.  
 s. 135, (sequestration of benefice,) *ib.*  
 s. 137, (power to sell debts, goodwill, &c.) 143.  
 s. 144, (proof of debts,) 136.  
 s. 146, (proof of debts) *b.*  
 s. 149, (costs,) 138.  
 s. 150, (apportionment of rent,) *ib.*  
 s. 151, (debts payable by instalments,) *ib.*  
 s. 152, (dividends of joint and separate estates,) 288.  
 s. 153, (damages,) 139.  
 s. 154, (policies of insurance,) *ib.*  
 s. 155, (proof of debt,) *ib.*  
 s. 157, (certificates,) 146.  
 s. 159, (after-acquired property,) 147.  
 s. 161, (order of discharge,) *ib.*  
 s. 163, (discharge,) 282.  
 s. 164, (barred debt,) 72.  
 s. 174, (allowance to bankrupt,) 143.  
 s. 177, (joint estates,) 288.  
 s. 185, (power to wind up by deed,) 145.  
 s. 186, (power to wind up by deed,) *ib.*  
 s. 187, (registration of deed,) 146.  
 s. 192, (trust deeds for creditors,) 120.  
 s. 193, (registration,) *ib.*  
 s. 194, (registration,) 116.  
 s. 195 (stamps,) 120.  
 s. 196, (registration,) 117.  
 s. 197, (jurisdiction after registration,) 120.

## STATUTES cited.

- 24 & 25 Vict. c. 134, s. 198, (protection,) 121.  
     s. 200, (assent of creditors,) 122.  
     s. 203, (evidence,) 148.  
     s. 204, (signature of commissioner or registrar,) *ib.*  
     s. 232, (acts construed together,) 51.
- 25 & 26 Vict. c. 63, (Merchant Shipping Act Amendment Acts,  
     ● 1862,) 29, 52.  
     s. 3, (equities against owners and mortgagees  
         of ships,) 54.  
     s. 45, (certificate of registry,) *ib.*  
     ss. 47, 48, 53, (loss of certificate,) *ib.*  
     s. 50, (certificate of registry,) 55.  
     s. 55 (transfer of registered ships,) *ib.*  
     ss. 66—78, (lien for freight,) 29, 59.  
     s. 68, (copyright works of art,) 231.  
     c. 87, (divorce,) 362.  
     c. 86, ss. 12—14, (lunatics or idiots,) 191.  
     c. 87, (industrial and provident societies,) 211.  
     c. 88, (fraudulent marking of merchandise,) 235, 370.  
     c. 89, (Companies Act, 1862,) 191, 196, 198, 200, 201.  
         s. 4, (partners,) 201.  
         s. 6, (memorandum of association,) *ib.*  
         s. 7, (liability may be limited,) 202.  
         s. 8, (shares,) *ib.*  
         s. 9, (guarantee,) 203.  
         s. 10, (memorandum of unlimited company,) *ib.*  
         s. 11, (effect of memorandum,) *ib.*  
         s. 12, (powers to alter memorandum,) 204.  
         s. 13, (change of name,) *ib.*  
         ss. 14, 15, (articles of association,) *ib.*  
         s. 16, (stamp on articles,) *ib.*  
         s. 17, (registration of articles,) *ib.*  
         s. 18, (certificate of incorporation,) *ib.*  
         s. 21, (license to hold land,) 205.  
         s. 22, (shares personal estate,) *ib.*  
         s. 23, (register,) *ib.*  
         s. 26, (annual list of members,) *ib.*  
         s. 30, (register of members,) *ib.*  
         s. 31, (certificate of shares,) *ib.*  
         s. 37, (register, evidence,) *ib.*  
         s. 38, (liability of contributories,) 208.  
         s. 39, (registered office,) 205.  
         s. 41, (name of limited company,) 206.  
         s. 43, (mortgages and charges,) *ib.*  
         s. 44, (annual statement,) *ib.*

STATUTES cited.

- 25 & 26 Vict. c. 89, s. 47, (bills and notes,) 29
  - s. 50, (special resolution,) *ib.*
  - s. 51, (special resolution,) 207.
  - s. 53, (registry of special resolution,) *ib.*
  - s. 54, (copies of ditto,) *ib.*
  - s. 74, (contributories,) 208.
  - ss. 79—128, (winding up by the court,) 207, 208.
  - ss. 92—97, 133—141, (official liquidators,) *ib.*
  - ss. 128—146, (voluntary winding up,) 207.
  - ss. 147—152, (supervision of court,) *ib.*
  - s. 182, (banking companies,) 201.
- 26 Vict. c. 14, (post-office savings banks,) 211.
  - c. 28, (stock certificates,) 185.
- 26 & 27 Vict. c. 41, (lien of innkeepers,) 28.
  - c. 56, (loan societies,) 211.
  - c. 57, (regimental debts act,) 300.
  - c. 92, (Clauses Consolidation Act, railways,) 192.
  - c. 93, (ditto waterworks,) *ib.*
  - c. 105, (bills and notes,) 80.
  - c. 118, (Companies Clauses Consolidation Act,) 192.

STATUTES merchant and staple, 100.

STOCK in trade, assignment of, 32.

in the funds, 181—190.

- is personal estate, 183.
- jobbing, 87, 185.
- transfer of, 184.
- contract for sale of, 186.
- distringas on, 187.
- charge of judgment on, 188.
- transmission of, by will, 189.
- unclaimed dividends on, 375.
- notice to trustee on assignment of, 377.

STOLEN goods, 366.

STOP order, 378.

STOPPAGE in transitu, 41.

SUBMISSION to arbitration, 165, 167.

by assignees in bankruptcy, 293.

SUCCESSION Duty Act, 1853.. 275, 277, 316.

SUPERIOR courts of record, 91.

SURETIES, 108, 109.

• discharge of, 110.

proof of debts paid by, 136.

SURVIVORSHIP amongst joint owners, 283.

none in equity of joint securities, 280.

none amongst owners in common, *ib.*

amongst joint debtors, 283.

**SURVIVORSHIP** ~~and~~ joint and several debtors, 283.  
of office of executor, 303.

## T.

**TAIL**, estate, none in personal property, 242.

**TENANT** without impeachment for waste, 18.

for years or for life, *ib.*

joint, 323.

in common, *ib.*

**TENTERDEN**, Lord, his act.—See statute 9 Geo. IV. c. 14.

**TESTAMENTARY** alienation, growth of right of, 294.

See **WILL**.

**TIMBER**, 16, 18.

trees, what are, *ib.*

**TITLE**, 365.

to money and negotiable securities, *ib.*

to chattels personal, 366.

to stolen goods, *ib.*

to horses stolen, 367.

under factors and agents, 368.

warranty of, *ib.*

under statutes of limitation, 370—374.

to unclaimed dividends, 375.

to choses in action by notice, 377—379.

through deeds, wills, &c., 379.

abstract of, *ib.*

covenants for, *ib.*

to shares, *ib.*

comparison of, to real and personal estate, 380.

to goods *bond fide* acquired, 48, 366.

deeds, 9, 11.

solicitor's lien on, 29.

**TOMBSTONE**, 13.

**TRADE**, contracts in restraint of, 86.

marks, 234, 235.

bankruptcy of partners in, 286.

liability of executor carrying on, 289.

customs of, 369.

**TRADER**, who is, within the bankrupt laws, 124.

**TRANSFER** of stock, 184.

**TROVER** and conversion, 23, 45.

recovery in, 43.

**TRUST**, though voluntary, enforced in equity, 34.

settlements by means of, 239.

funds, act for better securing, 270.

for payment of creditors, when revocable, 272.

TRUST for wife's separate use, 354.

form of, of stock, 390.

note entered on ship's register, 53.

TRUSTEE Act, 1850..266.

TRUSTEES, former liability of, not investing in consols, 183.

transfer of stock when they refuse, 184.

infant, 186.

power to appoint new, 264, 265.

costs of, 267.

responsibilities of, *ib.*

indemnity and reimbursement of, 269.

act for relief of, 270.

punishment for fraudulent, *ib.*

power to apply for opinion of judge, *ib.*

of personal estate made joint owners, 277.

notice to, on assignment of chose in action, 277.

inquiry of, as to prior assignments of choses in action, *ib.*

form of usual clauses in settlement as to, 399.

U.

UMPIRE, 176, 177.

UNCERTIFICATED bankrupt, 147.

UNCLAIMED dividends, 375.

UNLAWFUL contracts, 69, 84.

USE, conveyance by way of, 11.

USURIOUS contracts, 89.

V.

VENDOR'S lien, 41.

VESTED interests, 239.

the courts lean to, 253.

giving, to children by settlement, 254.

VOLUNTARY trust enforced, 34.

bonds, 105.

preference in bankruptcy, 144, 151.

settlement, void as against creditors, 272.

binding on settlor, 273.

of personal estate not void as against subsequent purchasers, 274.

W.

WAGERS void, 88.

WAGES to servants of bankrupt, 144.

WALSLEY, custom of, 294, 335.



**WARRANT of attorney, 93.**

- to secure annuity, 94.
- execution and attestation of, 95.
- to be filed within twenty-one days, 96.
- in case of bankruptcy, *ib.*

**WARRANTY on sale of goods, 368.****WASTE, tenant without impeachment of, 18.**

- being impleaded of, *ib.*

**WIDOW, usually preferred in grant of administration, 328.**

- her share under the Statute of Distribution, 332.
- when deprived of her distributive share by settlement, 342.

**WIDOWHOOD, gift to a woman during, 340.****WIFE, executrix, 302.**

- covenant to settle her future property, 270.
- no duty on legacy to, 315.
- a feme covert, 343.
- her chattels personal belong to her husband, 344.
- her paraphernalia, 345.
- her legal choses in action, 346.
- her equitable choses in action, 347.
- her equity to a settlement, 348.
- disposition of her reversionary interests, 350, 351.
- her husband's liability to her debts, 353, 358.
- her will of her personal estate, 354.
- trusts for her separate use, 354, 355.
- restraint on her anticipation, 356.
- powers may be exercised by, 247.
- separation of, 357.
- protection when deserted by her husband, 360.
- her alimony, *ib.*
- a feme sole, 361.

See MARRIED WOMAN.

**WILL, 294.**

- attestation of, 296.
- revocation of, 298.
- domicile, 298, 299.
- executor of, 301.
- probate of, 305—310.
- ecclesiastical jurisdiction over, 306.
- registration of, in Court of Probate, 307.
- of wife by husband's authority, 354.

**WINDING-UP Acts, 199.****WITNESSES, examination of, by arbitrators, 169.**

- to a will, 296.

**WRIT of mandamus, 60.**

- of injunction, *ib.*
- of *feri facias*, 48.

WRIT of *levavi facias*, 49.

of *ehgit*, 56.

of *capias ad satisfaciendum*, 100.

WRITING, what contracts to be in, 39, 73.

## Y.

YEAR, agreement not to be performed within a, 75.

of executor, 313.

of administrator, 329.

YORK, custom of province of, 294, 335.

YOUNGER children, 250.

LONDON :  
PRINTED BY C. ROWORTH AND SONS,  
BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR.





